# Distributed algorithms

# Pierre Colson

# Wednesday 05 January 2022

# Contents

General	2
Fair-loss Links	2
Stubborn Links	2
Reliable (Perfect) Links	2
Reliable Broadcast	3
Best-effort Broadcast (beb)	3
Reliable Broadcast (rb)	3
Uniform Reliable Broadcast (urb)	4
Causal Broadcast	4
Causality	4
Causal Broadcast	4
Reliable Causal Broadcast (rcb)	
Uniform Causal Broadcast (ucb)	
Reliable Causal Order Broadcast (rco)	5
Total Order Broadcast (to)	6
Consensus	6
Algorithm 1 (cons)	-
Algorithm 2 (ucons)	
Algorithm 3	
Shared Memory	9
Regular Register	9
Atomic Register	10
Terminating Reliable Broadcast (trb)	11
Non-Blocking Atomic Commit (nbac)	12
Group Menbership and View Synchronous Communication	13
Group Menbership (gmp)	
View Synchrony (vsc)	14
view bynomony (voc)	14

Markdown verion on github

Compiled using pandoc and gpdf script

More fiches *here* 

### General

- The distributed system is made of a finite set of **processes**: each process models a **sequencial** program
- Every pair of processes is connected by a link through which the processes exchange messages
- Safety is a property which states that nothing bad should happen
- Liveness is a property which states that something good should happen
- Twos kinds of failures are mainly considered
  - Omissions: The process omits to send messages it is supposed to send
  - Arbitrary: The process sends messages it is not supposed to send
- A **correct** process is a process that does not fail (that does not crash)
- A **Failure detector** is a distributed oracle that provides processes with suspicions about crashed processes
  - It is implemented using timing assumptions
  - Perfect :
    - \* Strong Completness: Eventually, every process that crashes is permanantly suspected by every other correct process
    - \* String Accuracy: No process is suspected before it crashes
  - Eventually Perfect:
    - \* Strong Completness
    - \* Eventually Strong Accuracy: Eventually, no correct process is ever suspected

#### Fair-loss Links

- **FL1. Fai-loss**: If a message is sent infinitely often by  $p_i$  to  $p_j$ n and neither  $p_i$  or  $p_j$  crashes then m is delivered infinitely often by  $p_j$
- **FL2. Finite duplication**: If a message m is sent a finite number if times by  $p_i$  to  $p_j$ , m is delivered a finite number of times by  $p_i$
- FL3. No creation: No message is delivered unless it was sent

#### Stubborn Links

- SL1. Stubborn delivery: If a process  $p_i$  sends a message m to a correct process  $p_j$ , and  $p_i$  does not crash, then  $p_i$  delivers m an infinite number of times
- SL2. No creation: No message is delivered unless it was sent

```
Implements: StubbornLinks (sp2p)
Uses : FairLossLinks (flp2p)
upon event <sp2pSend, dest, m> do
    while (true) do
    trigger <flp2pSend, dest, m>
upon event <flp2pDeliver, src, m> do
    trigger <sp2pDeliver, src, m>
```

#### Reliable (Perfect) Links

- PL1. Validity: If  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are correct
- PL2. No duplication: No message is delivered (to a process) more than once
- PL3. No creation: No message is delivered unless it was sent
- Roughly speaking, reliable links ensure that messages exchanged between correct processes are not lost

```
Implements: PerfectLinks (pp2p)
Uses: StubbornLinks (sp2p)
upon event <Init> do delivered = emptySet
upon event <pp2pSend, dest, m> do
    trigger <sp2Send, dest, m>
```

```
upon event <sp2pDeliver, src, m> do
  if m not in delivered then
    trigger <pp2pDeliver, src, m>
    add m to delivered
```

### Reliable Broadcast

### Best-effort Broadcast (beb)

- **Request** : <bebBroadcast, m>
- Indication : <bebDeliver, src, m>
- **BEB1. Validity**: If  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are correct then every message broadcast by  $p_i$  is eventually delivered by  $p_i$
- BEB2. No duplication : No message is delivered more than once
- BEB3. No creation: No messages is delivered unless it was broadcast

```
Implements: BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
Uses: PerfectLinks (pp2p)
upon event <bebBroadcast, m> do
    forall pi in S do
        trigger <pp2pSend, pi, m>
upon event <pp2pDeliver, pi, m> do
    trigger <dedDeliver, pi, m>
```

### Reliable Broadcast (rb)

- **Request**: <rbBroadcast, m>
- Indication : <rbDeliver, src, m>
- RB1 = BEB1
- $\mathbf{RB2} = \mathrm{BEB2}$
- RB3 = BEB3
- RB4. Agreement: For any message m, if any correct process delivers m, then every correct process delivers m

```
Implements: ReliableBroadcast (rb)
Uses:
  BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
  PerfectFailureDetector (P)
upon event <Init> do
  delivered = emptySet
  correct = S
  forall pi in S do from[pi] = emptySet
upon event <rbBroadcast, m> do
  delivered = delivered U {m}
  trigger <rbDeliver, self, m>
  trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, self, m]>
upon event <crash, pi> do
  correct = correct \{pi}
  forall [pj, m] in from[pi] do
    trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, pj, m]>
upon event <bebDeliver, pi, [data, pj, m] > do
  if m not in delivered then
    delivered = delivered U {m}
    trigger <rbDeliver, pj, m>
```

```
if pi not in correct then
  trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, pj, m]>
else
  from[pi] = from[pi] U {[pj, m]}
```

### Uniform Reliable Broadcast (urb)

- Request : <urbBroadcast, m>
- Indication : <urbDeliver, src, m>
- URB1 = BEB1
- URB2 = BEB2
- URB3 = BEB3
- URB4. Uniform Agreement: For any message m, if any process delivers m, then every correct process delivers m

```
Implements: UniformBroadcast (urb)
Uses:
  BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
  PerfectFailureDetector (P)
upon event <Init> do
  correct = S
  delivered = forward = emptySet
  ack[Message] = emptySet
upon event <urbBroadcast, m> do
  forward = forward U {[self, m]}
  trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, self, m]>
upon event <bebDeliver, pi, [data, pj, m] > do
  ack[m] = ack[m] U \{pi\}
  if [pi, m] not in forward then
    forward = forward U {[pj, m]}
    trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, pj, m]>
upon event (for any [pj, m] in forward) <correct in ack[m] > and <m not in delivered > do
  delivered = delivered U {m}
  trigger <urbDeliver, pj, m>
```

#### Causal Broadcast

- A non-blocking algorithm using the past
- A blocking algorithm using vector clocks

#### Causality

- Let  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  be any two messages:  $m_1 \to m_2$  ( $m_1$  causally precedes  $m_2$ ) iff
  - C1. Fifo order: Some process  $p_i$  broadcast  $m_1$  before broadcasting  $m_2$
  - C2. Local order: Some process  $p_i$  delivers  $m_1$  and then broadcast  $m_2$
  - C3. Transitivity: There is a message  $m_3$  such that  $m_1 \to m_3$  and  $m_3 \to m_2$

#### Causal Broadcast

- Request : <coBroadcast, m>
- Indication : <coDeliver, src, m>
- CO: If any process  $p_i$  delivers a message  $m_2$ , then  $p_i$  must have delivered every message  $m_1$  such that  $m_1 \to m_2$

#### Reliable Causal Broadcast (rcb)

```
Request: <rcoBroadcast, m>
Indication: <rcoDeliver, src, m>
RB1, RB2, RB3, RB4
CO
```

# Uniform Causal Broadcast (ucb)

```
Request: <ucoBroadcast, m>
Indication: <ucoDeliver, src, m>
URB1, URB2, URB3, URB4
CO
```

# Reliable Causal Order Broadcast (rco)

```
Implements: ReliableCausalOrderBroadcast (rco)
Uses : ReliableBroadcast (rb)
upon event <Init> do
  delivered = past = emptySet
upon event <rcoBroadcast, m> do
  trigger <rbBroadcast, [data, past, m]>
 past = past U {[self, m]}
upon event <rbDeliver, pi [data, pastm, m] > do
  if m not in delivered then
   forall [sn, n] in pastm do
      if n not in delivered then
        trigger <rcoDeliver, sn, n>
        delivered = delivered U {n}
        past = past U {[self, n]}
    trigger <rcoDeliver, pi, m>
    delivered = delivered U {m}
    past = past U {[pi, m]}
```

```
Implements ReliableCausalOrderBroadcast (rco)
Uses: ReliableBroadcast (rb)
upon event <Init> do
  forall pi in S: VC[pi] = 0
  pending = emptySet
upon event <rcoBroadcast, m> do
  trigger <rcoDeliver, self, m>
  trigger <rbBroadcast, [data, VC, m]>
  VC[self] = VC[self] + 1
upon event <rbDeliver, pj, [data, VCm, m]> do
  if pj not self then
    pending = pending U (pj, [data, VCm, m])
    deliver-pending
procedure deliver-pending is
  while (s, [data, VCm, m]) in pending do
    if forall pk: (VC[pk] >= VCm[pk]) do
      pending = pending - (s, [data, VCm, m])
      trigger <rcoDeliver, self, m>
      VC[s] = VC[s] + 1
```

• These algo ensure causal reliable broadcast

 If we replace reliabe broadcast with uniform reliabe broadcast, these algo would ensure uniform causal broadcast

# Total Order Broadcast (to)

- In reliable broadcast, the processes are free to deliver messages in any order they wish
- In causal broadcast, the processes need to deliver messages according to some order (causal order)
  - The order imposed by causal broadcast is however partial: some messages might be delivered in different order by the processes
- In **total order** broadcast, the processes must deliver all messages according to the same order (i.e. the order is now total)
  - This order does not need to respect causality (or event FIFO ordering)
- Request : <toBroadcast, m>
- Indication : <toDeliver, src, m>
- **RB1.** Validity: If  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are correct, then every message broadcast by  $p_i$  is eventually delivered by  $p_i$
- RB2. No duplication : No message is delivered more than once
- RB3. No creation: No message os delivered unless it was broadcast
- RB4. (Uniform) Agreement: For any message m. If a correct (any) process delivers m, then every correct process delivers m
- (Uniform) Total order: Let m and m' be any two messages. Let  $p_i$  be any (correct) process that delivers m without having delivered m'. Then no (correct) process delivers m' before m
- Uses consensus (see next chapter)

```
Implements: TotalOrder (to)
Uses:
  ReliableBroadcast (rb)
  Consensus (cons)
upon event <Init> do
  unordered = delivered = emptySet
  wait = false;
  sn = 1
upon event <toBroadcast, m> do
  trigger <rbBroadcast, m>
upon event <rbDeliver, sm, m> and (m not in delivered) do
  unordered = unordered U {(sm, m)}
upon event (unordered not emptySet) and not wait do
  wait = true
  trigger <Propose, unordered>sn
upon event <Decide, decided>sn do
  unordered = unordered \ decided
  ordered = deterministicSort(decided)
  forall (sm, m) in ordered do
    trigger <toDeliver, sm, m>
    delivered = delivered U {m}
  sn = sn + 1
  wait = false
```

#### Consensus

- In the (uniform) consensus problem the processes propose values and need to agree on one among these values
- Request : <Propose, v>

- Indication : < Decide, v'>
- C1. Validity: Any value decided is a value proposed
- C2. (Uniform) Agreement: No two correct (any) processes decide differently
- C3. Termination: Every correct process eventually decides
- C4. Integrity: Every process decides at most once, No process decides twice

# Algorithm 1 (cons)

- A P-based (fail-stop) consensus algorithm
- The processes exchange and update proposals in rounds and decide on the value of the non-suspected process with the smallest id
- The processes go through rounds incrementally (1 to n): in each round, the process with the id corresponding to that round is the leader of the round
- The leader of a round decides its current proposal and broadcast it to all
- A process that is not leader in a round waits to deliver the proposal of the leader in that round to adopt, or to suspect the leader

```
Implements: Consensus (cons)
Uses:
  BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
  PerfectFailureDetector (P)
upon event <Init> do
  suspected = emptySet
  round = 1
  currentProposal = null
  broadcast = delivered[] = false
upon event <crash, pi> do
  suspected := suspected U {pi}
upon event <Propose, v> do
if currentPoposal = null then
  currentPoposal = v
upon event <bebDeliver, p_round, value> do
  currentPoposal = value
  delivered[round] = true
upon event delivered[round] = true or p round in suspected do
  round = round + 1
upon event p round = self and broadcasted = false and currentPoposal not null do
  trigger <Decide, currentPoposal>
  trigger <bebBroadcast, currentPoposal>
  broadcast = true
```

# Algorithm 2 (ucons)

- A P-based (fail-stop) uniform consenus algorithm
- The processes exchange and update proposal in rounds, and after n rounds decide on the current proposal value
- Implements uniform consensus
- The processes go through rounds incrementally (1 to n): in each round I, process  $p_I$  sends its current-Proposal to all
- A process adpots any currentProposal it receives
- Processes decide on their current Proposal values at the end of round n

```
Implements: Uniform Consensus (ucons)
Uses :
    BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
```

```
PerfectFailureDetector (P)
upon event <Init> do
  suspected = emptySet
  round = 1
  currentProposal = null
  broadcast = delivered[] = false
  decided = false
upon event <crash, pi> do
  suspected = suspected U {pi}
upon event <Propose, v> do
  if currentProposal = null then
    currentProposal = v
upon event <bebDeliver, p_round, value> do
  currentProposal = value
  delivered[round] = true
upon event delivered[round] = true or p round in suspected do
  if round = n and decided = false then
    trigger <Decide, currentProposal>
    decided = true
    round = round + 1
upon event p_round = self and broadcast = false and currentProposal not null do
  trigger <bebBroadcast, currentProposal>
  broadcast = true
```

# Algorithm 3

- A <>P-based uniform algorithm assuming a correct majority
- The processes alternate in the role of a coordinator until one of them succeeds in imposing a decision
- A uniform consensus algorithm assuming
  - A correct majority
  - A <>P failure detector
- The processes alternate in the role of a phase coordinator until one of them succeds in imposing a decision
- <>P ensures
  - Strong completeness: eventually every process that crashes is permanantly suspected by all correct processes
  - ${\bf Eventual}$   ${\bf strong}$   ${\bf accuracy}$  : eventually no correct process is suspected by any process
    - \* Strong accuracy holds only after finite time
  - Correct processes may be falsely suspected a finite number of times
  - This breaks consensus algorithm 1 and 2  $\,$
- This algorithm is also round based: process move incrementally from one round to the other
- Process  $p_i$  is leader in every round k such that  $k \mod N = i$
- In such a round,  $p_i$  tries to decide
  - $p_i$  succeeds if it is not suspected (process that suspect  $p_i$  inform  $p_i$  and move to the next round,  $p_i$  does so as well)
  - If  $p_i$  succeeds,  $p_i$  uses a reliable broadcast to send the decision to all (the reliability of the broadcast is important here to preclude the case where  $p_i$  crashes, some other porcesses delivers the message and stop while rest keeps going without majority)
  - 1.  $p_i$  selects among a majority the latest adopted value (lastest with respect to the round in which the value is adopted)
  - 2.  $p_i$  imposes that value at a majority : any process in that majority adopts that value  $p_i$  fails if it is suspected
  - 3.  $p_i$  decides and broadcasts the decision to all

# **Shared Memory**

### Regular Register

- Assumes only one writer
- Provides *strong* guarantees when there is no concurrent operations
- When some operations are concurrent, the register provides minimal guarantees
- Read() returns:
  - The last value written if there is no concurrent or failed operations
  - Otherwise the last value written on *any* value concurrently written i.e. the input parameter of some Write()
- We assume fail-stop model
  - Process can fail by crashing (no recovery)
  - Channels are reliable
  - Failure detection is perfect
- We implement a **regular** register
  - Every process  $p_i$  has a local copy of the register value  $v_i$
  - Every process reads locally
  - The writer writes **globally**

```
Write(v) at pi
send [W, w] to all
forall pj, wait until either
  receive [ack] or
  detect [pj]
return ok
```

```
Read() at pi
return vi
```

```
At pi
when receive [W, w] from pj
vi = v
send [ack] to pj
```

- We assume while failure detection is not perfect
  - $-P_1$  is the writer and any process can be reader
  - A mojority of the process is correct
  - Channels are reliable
- $\bullet~$  We implement a  ${\bf regular}$  register
  - Every process  $p_i$  maintains a local copy of the register  $v_i$ , as well as a sequence number  $sn_i$  and a read timestamp  $rs_i$
  - Process  $p_1$  maintains in addition a timestamp  $ts_1$

```
Write(v) at p1
  ts1 ++
  send [W, ts1, v] to all
  when receive [W, ts1, ack] from majority
   return ok
```

```
Read() at pi
  rsi ++
  send [R, rsi] to all
  when receive [R, rsi, snj, vj] from majority
  v = vj with the largest snj
  return v
```

```
At pi
when receive [W, ts1, v] from p1
if ts1 > sni then
vi = v
sni = ts1
send[W, ts1, ack] to p1
when receive [R, rsj] from pj
send [R, rsj, sni, vi] to pj
```

#### Atomic Register

- An **Atomic Register** provides strong guarantees event when there is concurrency and failures: the execution is equivalent to a sequencial and failure-free execution
- Every failed (write) operation appears to be either complete or not to have been invoked at all
- Every complete operation appears to be executed at some instant between its invocation and reply time events
- We implement a fail-stop 1-N atomic register
  - Every process maintais a local value of the register as well as a sequence number
  - The writer,  $p_1$ , maintains, in addition a timestamp  $ts_1$
  - Any process can read in the register

```
Write(v) at p1
  ts1++
  send [W, ts1, v] to all
  forall pi wait until either
    receive [ack] or
    detect [pi]
 return ok
Read() at pi
  send [W, sni, vi] to all
  forall pi wait until either
    receive [ack] or
    suspect [pj]
return vi
At pi
  When pi receive [W, ts, v] from pj
    if ts > sni then
      vi = v
      sni = ts
    send [ack] to pj
```

• We implement a fail-stop N-N atomic register

```
Write(v) at pi
send [W] to all
forall pj wait until either
  receive [W, snj] or
  suspect [pj]
(sn, id) = (highest snj + 1, i)
send [W, (sni, id), v] to all
forall pj wait until either
  receive [W, (sn, id), ack] or
```

```
detect [pj]
  return ok
Read() at pi
  send [R] to all
  forall pj wait until either
    recieve [R, (snj, idj), vj] or
    suspect pj
  v = vj with the highest (snj, idj)
  (sn, id) = highest (snj, idj)
  send [W, (sn, id), v] to all
  forall pj wait until either
    receive [W, (sn, id), ack] or
    detect [pj]
 return v
At pi
  when receive [W] from pj
    send [W, sn] to pj
  when receive [R] from pj
    send [R, (sn, id), vi] to pj
T2:
  when receive [W, (snj, idj), v] from pj
    if (snj, idj) > (sn, id) then
      vi = v
      (sn, id) = (snj, idj)
    send [W, (sn, id), ack] to pj
  when receive [W, (snj, idj), v] from pj
    if (snj, idj) > (sn, id) then
      vi = v
      (sn, id) = (snj, idj)
    send [W, (sn, id), ack] to pj
```

- From fail-stop to fail-silent
  - We assume a mojority of correct processes
  - In the 1-N algorithm, the writer writes in a majority using a timestamp determined locally and the reader selects a value from a majority and then imposes this value on a majority
  - In the N-N algorithm, the writers determines first the timestamp using a majority

# Terminating Reliable Broadcast (trb)

- Like reliable broadcast, terminating reliable broadcast (TRB) is a communication primitive used to disseminate a message among a set of processes in a reliable way
- TRB is however strictly stronger than (uniform) reliable broadcast
- Like with reliable broadcast, correct processes in TRB agree on the set of messages they deliver
- Like with (uniform) reliable broadcast, every correct process in TRB delivers every message delivered by any correct process
- Unlike with reliable broadcast, every correct process delivers a message, event if the broadcaster crashes
- The problem is defined for a specific broadcaster process  $p_i = src$  (know by all processes)
  - Process src is supposed to broadcast a message m (distinct from  $\varphi$ )
  - The other processes need to deliver m if src is correct but may deliver  $\varphi$  is src crashes
- **Request**: <trbBroadcast, m>

- Indication : <trbDeliver, p, m>
- TRB1. Integrity: If a press delivers a message m, then either m is  $\varphi$  or m was broadcasted by src
- TRB2. Validity: If the sender src is correct and broadcasts a message m, then src eventually delivers m
- TRB3. (Uniform) Agreement: For any message m, if a correct (any) process delivers m, then every correct process delivers m
- TRB4. Termination: Every correct process eventually delivers exactly one message

```
Implements: trbBroadcast (trb)
Uses:
  BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
  PerfectFailureDetector (P)
  Consensus (cons)
upon event <Init> do
  prop = 0
  correct = S
upon event <trbBroadcast, m> do
  trigger <bebBroadcast, m>
upon event <crash, src> and (prop = 0) do
 prop = phi
upon event <bebDeliver, src, m> and (prop = 0) do
  prop = m
upon event (prop not 0) do
  trigger <Propose, prop>
upon event <Decide, decision> do
 trigger <trbDeliver, src, decision>
```

- We give an algorithm that implements P unsign TRB. More precisely, we assume that every process  $p_i$  can use an infinite number of instances of TRB where  $p_i$  is the sender src
  - 1. Every process  $p_i$  keeps on trbBroadcasting messages  $m_{i1}, m_{i2}$  etc
  - 2. If a process  $p_k$  delivers  $\varphi_i$ ,  $p_k$  suspects  $p_i$

# Non-Blocking Atomic Commit (nbac)

- A transaction is an atomic program describing a sequence of accesses to shared and distributed information
  - Can be determined either by committing or aborting
- Atomicity: a transaction either performs entirely or none at all
- Consistency: a transaction transforms a consistent state into another consistent state
- Isolation : a transaction appears to be executed in isolation
- Durability: the effects of a transaction that commits are permanent
- As in consensus, every process has an initial value 0 (no) or 1 (yes) and must decide on a final value 0 (abort) or 1 (commit)
- The proposition means the ability to commit the transaction
- The decision reflects the contract with the user
- Unlike consensus, the processes here seek to decide 1 but every process has a veto right
- Request : <Propose, v>
- Indication : < Decide, v'>
- NBAC1. Agreement: No two processes decide differently
- NC1C2. Termination: Every correct process eventually decides
- NBAC3. Commit-Validity: 1 can only be decided if all process propose 1
- NBAC4. Abort-Validity: 0 can only be decided if some process crashes of votes 0

```
Implements: nonBlockingAtomicCommit (nbac)
Uses:
```

```
BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
  PerfectFailureDetector (P)
  UniformConsensus (uniCons)
upon event <Init> do
  prop = 1
  delivered = emptySet
  correct = pi
upon evnet <crash, pi> do
  correct = correct \{pi}
upon event <Propose, v> do
  trigger <bebBroadcast, v>
upon event <bebDeliver, pi, v> do
  delivered = delivered U {pi}
  prop = prop * v
upon event correct \ deliver = empty do
  if correct not pi then
   prop = 0
  trigger <uncPropose, prop>
upon event <uncDecide, decision> do
  trigger <Decide, decision>
```

# Group Menbership and View Synchronous Communication

# Group Menbership (gmp)

- In many distributed applications, processes neet to know which processes are **participating** in the computation and which are not
- Failure detector provide such information; however that information is **not coordinated** event if the failure detector is perfect
- Like with a failure detector, the processes are informed about failures, we say that the precesses **install** views
- Like with a perfect failure detector, the processes have accurate knowledge about failures
- Unlike with a perfect failure detector, the information about failures are **coordinated**: the processes install the same sequence of views
- Indication : <membView, V>
- Memb1. Local Monotonicity : if a process installs view (j, M) after installing (k, N), then j > k and M < N
- Memb2. Agreement: no two porcesses install views (j, M) and (j, M') such that  $M \neq M'$
- Memb3. Completness: if a process p crashes, then there is an integer j such that every correct porcess eventually installs view (j, M) such that  $p \notin M$
- Memb4. Accuracy: if some process installs view (i, M) and  $p \notin M$  then p has crashed

```
Implements: groupMenberShip (gmp)
Uses:
    PerfectFailureDetector (P)
    UniformConsensus (Ucons)
upon event <Init> do
    view = (0, S)
    correct = S
    wait = true
upon event <crash, pi> do
    correct = corrext \{pi}
upon event (correct < view.memb) and (wait = false) do</pre>
```

```
wait = true
trigger <ucPropose, (view.id + 1, correct)>
upon event <ucDecide, (id, memb)> do

view = (id, memb)
wait = false
trigger <membView, view>
```

# View Synchrony (vsc)

- View synchronous broadcast is an abstraction that results from the combination of group membership and reliable broadcast
  - Ensures that the delivery of messages is coordinated with the installation of views
- Request : <vsBroadcast, m> (<vsBlockOk>)
- Indication : <vsDeliver, src, m>, <vsView, V> (<vsBlock>)
- Memb1, Memb2, Memb3, Memb4, RB1, RB2, RB3, RB4
- VS: a message is vsDelivered in the view where it is vsBroadcast
- If the application keeps **vsBroadcasting** messages, the **view synchrony** abstraction might never be able to **vsInstall** a new view, the abstraction would be impossible
  - We introduce a specific event for the abstraction to block the application from vsBroadcasting messages, this only happends when a process crashes

```
Implements: ViewSynchrony (vs)
Uses:
  GroupMembership (gmp)
  TerminationReliableBroadcast (trb)
  BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
upon event <Init> do
  view = (0, S)
  nextView = ()
 pending = delivered = trbDone = emptySet
  flushing = blocked = false
upon event <vsBroadcast, m> and (blocked = false) do
  delivered = delivered U {m}
  trigger <vsDeliver, self, m>
  trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, view.id, m]>
upon event <bebDeliver, src, [data, vid, m]> do
  if (view.id = vid) and (m not in delivered) and (blocked = false) then
    delivered = delivered U {m}
    trigger <vsDeliver, src, m>
upon event <membView, V> do
  addtoTail(pending, V)
upon event (pending not emptySet) and (flushing = false) do
  nextView = removeFromHead(pending)
  flushing = true
  trigger <vsBlock>
upon event <vsBlockOk> do
  blocked = true
  trbDone = emptySet
  trigger <trbBroadcast, self, (view.id, delivered)>
upon event <trbDeliver, p, (vid, del)> do
 trbDone = trbDone U {p}
  forall m in del and m not in delivered do
    delivered = delivered U {m}
    trigger <vsDeliver, src, m>
```

```
upon event (trbDone = view.memb) and (blocked = true) do
  view = nextView
  flushing = blocked = false
  delivered = emptySet
  trigger <vsView, view>
Implements: ViewSynchrony (vs)
Uses:
  UniformConsensus (uc)
  BestEffortBroadcast (beb)
  PerfectFailureDetector (P)
upon event <Init> do
  view = (0, S)
  correct = S
  flushing = blocked = false
  delivered = dset = emptySet
upon event <vsBroadcast, m> and (blocked = false) do
  delivered = delivered U {m}
  trigger <vsDeliver, self, m>
  trigger <bebBroadcast, [data, view.id, m]>
upon event <bebDeliver, src, [data, vid, m]> do
  if (view.id = vid) and (m not in delivered) and (blocked = false) then
    delivered = delivered U {m}
    trigger <vsDeliver, src, m>
upon event <crash, p> do
  correct = correct \{p}
  if flushing = false then
    flushing = true
    trigger <vsBlock>
upon event <vsBlockOk> do
  blocked = true
  trigger <bebBroadcast, [DSET, view.id, delivered]>
upon event <bebDeliver, src, [DSET, vid, del]> do
  dset = dset U (src, del)
  if forall p in correct, (p, mset) in dset then
    trigger <ucPropose, view.id+1, correct, dset>
upon event <ucDecided, id, memb, vsdset> do
  forall (p, mset) in vsdest and p in memb do
    forall (src, m) in mset and m not in delivered do
      delivered = delivered U {m}
      trigger <vsDeliver, src, m>
    viewx = (id, memb)
    flushing = blocked = false
    dset = delivered = emptySet
    trigger <vsView, view>
```

• Using uniform reliable broadcast instead of best effort broadcast in the previous algorithms does not ensure the uniformity of the message delivery