

**PREDICTING TRUCK DRIVERS' CRITICAL EVENTS: EFFICIENT  
BAYESIAN HIERARCHICAL MODELS**

Miao Cai, M.S.

Draft on May 28, 2019

Dissertation Presented to the Graduate Faculty of  
Saint Louis University in Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Public Health Studies, Ph.D.

2019

© Copyright by  
Miao Cai  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

2019

COMMITTEE IN CHARGE OF CANDIDACY:

Professor Steven E. Rigdon, Ph.D.

Chairperson and Advisor

Professor Hong Xian, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor Fadel Megahed, Ph.D.

# Dedication

I dedicate this dissertation to my parents, Zhimin Cai and Guizhen Xu, who believe in the power of higher education, hard work, and always support me.



# Acknowledgement

I want to thank my PhD mentor and committee chair Dr. Steven E. Rigdon, committee members Dr. Hong Xian and Dr. Fadel Megahed.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Dedication</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Transportation safety . . . . .	1
1.2 Trucking safety . . . . .	2
1.3 Crashes and critical events . . . . .	3
1.4 Predictive models . . . . .	4
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Precursors to crashes . . . . .	5
2.2 Risk factors . . . . .	5
2.2.1 Fatigue . . . . .	5
2.2.2 Driver characteristics . . . . .	6
2.2.3 Traffic . . . . .	8
2.2.4 Weather . . . . .	8
2.3 Predictive models . . . . .	9
2.3.1 Bayesian models . . . . .	9
2.3.2 Hierarchical models . . . . .	9
2.4 Scalable Bayesian models . . . . .	9
2.4.1 Hamiltonian Monte Carlo . . . . .	9
2.4.2 Integrated Laplace Approximation (INLA) . . . . .	9
2.4.3 Subsampling MCMC . . . . .	9
2.5 Conceptual framework . . . . .	10
2.6 Gaps in literature . . . . .	10
2.7 Research aims . . . . .	10
<b>3 METHODS</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1 Data source . . . . .	11
3.2 Study design . . . . .	11
3.3 Analytical Plan for Aim 1 . . . . .	11
3.4 Analytical Plan for Aim 2 . . . . .	11



3.5 Analytical Plan for Aim 3 . . . . .	11
<b>4 The Probable Content</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5 TRUCK CRASHES AND CRITICAL EVENTS</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>6 THREE STATISTICAL MODELS PREDICTING TRUCK CRITICAL EVENTS</b>	<b>19</b>
6.1 Hierarchical logistic model . . . . .	19
6.1.1 Model set up . . . . .	19
6.1.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data . . . . .	19
6.2 Hierarchical Poisson model . . . . .	19
6.3 Hierarchical power law process . . . . .	19
6.3.1 . . . . .	20
6.3.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data . . . . .	20
<b>7 HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODELS USING SUBSAMPLING MARKOV CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>8 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>25</b>
R code . . . . .	25
Another appendix . . . . .	25
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Vita Auctoris</b>	<b>37</b>

# List of Figures



# List of Tables



# Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Transportation safety

Traffic safety is a pressing public health issue that involves huge lives losses and financial burden across the world and in the United States (US). As reported by the World Health Organization (WHO [2018b](#)), road injury was the eighth cause of death globally in 2016, killing approximately 1.4 million people, which consisted of about 2.5% of all deaths in the world. If no sustained action is taken, road injuries are predicted to be the seventh leading cause of death across the world by 2030 (WHO [2018a](#)). Compared to the victims who were claimed lives by diseases, people killed in traffic are mostly early- or middle-aged, particularly those aged 4 to 44 years old (Litman [2013](#) @evans2014traffic). Without traffic accidents, these victims could have much longer lives with normal health.

Apart from fatal deaths, road traffic injuries were also reported to be the cause of 50 million non-fatal life injuries and approximately 75.5 million disability-adjusted life years globally (Staton et al. [2016](#)). In high-income countries, the majority of non-death costs were attributable to non-fatal crashes, with 2% of non-fatal events leading to over 40% of life-time medical costs (Ameratunga, Hajar, and Norton [2006](#)). Besides non-fatal injuries, traffic safety is a major economic burden. The global economic losses attributable to transportation safety were estimated to be 518 billion the United States Dollars (USD), which accounted for 1%

the gross domestic product (GDP) in low-income countries, 1.5% in middle-income countries, and 2% in high-income countries (Peden et al. 2004; Dalal et al. 2013).

Specifically in the United States, transportation contributed to the highest number of fatal occupational injuries, leading to 2,077 deaths and accounting for over 40% of all fatal occupational injuries in 2017 (The United States, Bureau of Labor Statistics 2017). The National Safety Council reported that the number of deaths attributable to car crashes will be at least 40,000 in 2018, which is the third straight year that this number is over 40,000 (The National Safety Council 2018). A comparison study of 26 developed countries revealed that 20 to 60 traffic deaths per billion kilometers were reduced from 2011 (Evans 2014). Despite the fact that fatality rates attributable to road traffic in the US were reduced by 40% during that period, the rates declined more rapidly in all other 25 countries. Given the large amounts of investments in roads, improved vehicle protection and traffic policy implementation, and advanced emergency and trauma care, the reduction in traffic associated fatality rates is nominal (Litman 2013). If the change of traffic fatality rates match those in other unremarkable countries, 20,000 traffic deaths could have been prevented each year (Evans 2014).

The impact of road injuries are even more impactful in developing countries than in developed countries (Goonewardene et al. 2010). Although low- and middle-income countries own only 48% of the registered vehicles in the world, 90% of road traffic fatalities and injuries were estimated to occur in these countries, which continue to be escalating due to rapid urbanization and motorization (Dalal et al. 2013; Staton et al. 2016). Ten developing countries, including Brazil, Cambodia, China, Egypt, India, Kenya, Mexico, Russia, Turkey, and Vietnam, account for almost half of all the road traffic in the world (Hyder et al. 2012).

## 1.2 Trucking safety

Among all vehicles, large trucks are the primary concern of traffic safety since they are associated with more catastrophic accidents. In 2016, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety

Administration (FMCSA) reported that 27% fatal crashes in work zones involved large trucks (FMCSA 2018). Among all 4,079 crashes involving large trucks or buses in 2016, 4,564 lives (1.12 lives per crash) were claimed in the accidents (FMCSA 2016). The economic losses associated with large truck crashes are also higher than those with passenger vehicles, with an estimated average cost of 91,000 US dollars per crash (Zaloshnja, Miller, and others 2008). The high risk of large trucks is attributed to two aspects of reasons (Huang et al. 2013). First, large truck drivers generally need to drive alone for long routes, under on-time demands, challenging weather and traffic conditions. On the other hand, trucks are huge weighted and potentially carrying hazardous cargoes.

### 1.3 Crashes and critical events

To reduce the lives and economic losses associated with trucks, numerous studies attempted to screen the risk factors for truck-related traffic crashes or predict the crashes. The most common study design is a case-control study, matching a crash with one to up to ten non-crashes, and use statistical models such as logistic regressions to explain the causes or predict the crashes (Braver et al. 1997; C. Chen and Xie 2014; Meuleners et al. 2015; Née et al. 2019). This widespread case-control design is due to the fact that large truck crashes are very rare compared to the amount of time on road. However, a case-control study is limited in estimating the incidence data and may be contentious in selecting the control groups (Grimes and Schulz 2005; Sedgwick 2014).

Past truck safety literature almost exclusively focused on crashes, while ignoring the precursors to crashes. A precursor, or critical event, is a pattern or signature associated with an increasing chance of truck crash (Saleh et al. 2013; Janakiraman, Matthews, and Oza 2016). Truck critical events deserve more attention since they occur more frequently than crashes, suggest fatigue and a lapse in performance, and they can lead to giant crashes (Dingus et al. 2006). Although critical events do not always result in an accident, they could be used as an early warning system to mitigate or prevent truck crashes (Kusano and Gabler



[2012](#)).

Rome et al. ([2018](#))

## **1.4 Predictive models**

This prospectus proposal focuses on statistical methods in truck safety prediction.

# Chapter 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Precursors to crashes

### 2.2 Risk factors

#### 2.2.1 Fatigue

Among all driver-related safety critical events, fatigue has become the most pressing problem of traffic accidents. It is estimated by National Sleep Foundation that approximately 32% of drivers in U.S drive with fatigue over twice a month (National Sleep Foundation [2008](#)). Another statistic provided by American Automobile Association Foundation for Traffic Safety in 2010 said that 16.5% of fatal traffic accidents and 12.5% of collisions related to injuries in U.S were associated with driving with fatigue (American Automobile Association Foundation for Traffic Safety [2010](#)). Drowsy driving is an especially common practice in less-developed countries because of cost control and tight schedule. Surveys of commercial and public road transportation companies in less-developed countries showed that employers were frequently forcing their employees to drive for longer hours and keep working even when they were exhausted (Zhang et al. [2016](#); Odero, Khayesi, and Heda [2003](#); Nantulya and Reich [2002](#)). High proportions of drowsy driving have been found among Brazilian (22%) (Canani et al.

2005), Argentinean (44%) (Pérez-Chada et al. 2005), Pakistani (54%) (Azam et al. 2014), and Thai (75%) (Leechawengwongs et al. 2006) truck or bus drivers. The mechanism of fatigue leading to safety critical events is that a driver’s capability to stay alert to ambient traffic and pedestrians will be largely impaired. The reaction time is subsequently prolonged in that situation (Zhang et al. 2014). It is estimated that 17 hours of continuous working lead to a deterioration of driving performance equivalent to a blood alcohol level of 0.05% (MacLean, Davies, and Thiele 2003). What makes the outcomes worse is that fatigue driving is more likely to happen on expressways and major highways where the speed limit is over 55 miles per hour (Knipling and Wang 1994). This is especially concerning because fatigue driving safety critical events are more likely to result in serious injuries and fatalities, compared with non-fatigue driving safety critical events.

Stern et al. (2018) reviewed the research related to fatigue of commercial motor vehicle drivers. Because of the difficulty of running a controlled experiment by imposing treatments, most research designs are observational studies, that is, they compare the effects of variables that are observed, not imposed. One exception to this is a *randomized encouragement design* where drivers are randomized to receive some sort of incentive to apply some treatment, but are not forced to do so. If an effect is observed, we would conclude that it is due to the incentive, not necessarily to the actual treatment. Many studies use a cohort design or a case-control study. In a cohort design, a number of drivers is identified and studied across time. In a case-control study, a number of cases (e.g., crashes, or some other safety measure) are identified and are matched with controls; focus is then placed on the differences between the cases and controls. Both cohort studies and case-control studies can be useful in assessing safety.

### 2.2.2 Driver characteristics

Another driver-related risk factor of driving safety critical events is drivers’ age. In many developing countries, to meet the huge demand services and supply chain management, it is

very common to extend the retirement age or reemploy retired workers (Popkin et al. 2008). Aging drivers increase the chance of the safety critical events in three aspects: impaired eyesight, prolonged reaction time to exogenous stimuli, and vulnerability to fatigue (Di Milia et al. 2011). Aged drivers are associated with eyesight diseases or functionality impairment, such as cataracts, narrowed peripheral vision and decreasing visual acuity (Di Milia et al. 2011). In addition, working for truck companies often means irregular shifts and taking the night schedules, which disrupt the circadian time-keeping systems, especially for the aged workers (Moneta et al. 1996).

Aged drivers may find it much more difficult to adjust for the sleep-wake cycle to keep pace with the schedule required by the employer company. Therefore, this disruption of the circadian systems, in turn, increases the chances to feel sleepy or fatigue for workers. It is indicated by research that the “critical age” of shiftwork intolerance is about 45 to 50 years, at which sleep disorder, persisting fatigue and digestive problems become the most obvious (Di Milia et al. 2011). Young drivers are much better in the sense of physical health and resistance to fatigue compared with aged drivers, however, they are more vulnerable regarding the experience of driving. A study conducted by Clarke suggested that young drivers (17 – 19 years old), especially males, have significantly more accidents than other drivers during the hours of darkness, on rural curves, and rear-end shunts compared with male drivers aged 20 -25 years (Clarke et al. 2006). The reasons for these young driver accidents were not fully explained, but could largely be attributed to inexperience.

One more risk factor that could explain driving safety critical events is drivers’ gender. Gender has been suggested to be related with outcomes in medical treatment, education, sports and other fields, and there is no exception for truck drivers’ safety. In the first place, women are more likely to suffer from fatigue compared with men. A study found that women in general have 1.4 times higher chance of complaining of fatigue than men (Fjell et al. 2008). However, females are found to have longer sleeping hours than their male counterparts of the same race (Lauderdale et al. 2006). In that study, it was found that the mean sleep hours

for white females was 6.7 hours compared with 6.1 hours for white males, and 5.9 hours for black female compared with 5.1 hours for black males even after adjusting for socioeconomic status, lifestyle and sleep apnea (Lauderdale et al. 2006). Gender differences are huge in terms of working conditions. Females had significantly fewer working hours per week, with 47 hours versus 52 hours per week (Rotenberg et al. 2008). In general, women tend to work fewer hours within a week but are more prone to feel fatigue and have a higher risk of traffic incidences.

### 2.2.3 Traffic

### 2.2.4 Weather

Weather has both direct and indirect effects on drivers' safety critical events. On one hand, the increase of ambient temperature places risks on drivers' occupational safety, and possibly leads to cognition loss, heat stroke, and impairment of wakefulness. Evidence showed that the risk of mistakes and safety critical events increase in hot weather (Kjellstrom et al. 2009; Basagaña et al. 2015). Leard and Roth found that for a day with temperature above 80F there is a 9.5% increase in fatality rates compared with a day at 50-60 F (Leard, Roth, and others 2015). A literature review found that 11 out of 13 studies indicated an increase in unintentional injuries associated with high temperatures (Kampe, Kovats, and Hajat 2016). On the other hand, real-time extreme weather conditions such as heavy rain, fog, storm, and snow can either impair the driver's visual capability or reduce the safety of driving on the road (Chang and Chen 2005; Al-Ghamdi 2007; Baker and Reynolds 1992). It is to noted that the cumulative time of driving in such extreme weather conditions could increase the chances of safety critical events. Studies that explore the association between precipitation and driving safety critical events consistently find a negative relationship. The positive linear relationship between precipitation and traffic accidents can be observed in both driver accidents and pedestrian accidents (Al-Ghamdi 2007; Graham and Glaister 2003). Abdel-Aty et al. used detector and sensor data to successfully predict more than 70% of accidents with low visibility

conditions (Abdel-Aty et al. [2012](#)). The common problem for the literature exploring the relationship between ambient weather and safety driving critical events is the failure to include the cumulative effect of weather conditions. Instead, they all use an indicator variable to represent whether extreme weather happened during the trip or not, which could lead to potential bias in prediction models.

## 2.3 Predictive models

### 2.3.1 Bayesian models

### 2.3.2 Hierarchical models

## 2.4 Scalable Bayesian models

An introduction to MCMC methods (Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm, Gibbs sampler), limitation of these traditional methods. (Quiroz [2015](#))

### 2.4.1 Hamiltonian Monte Carlo

(Betancourt [2017](#); Neal and others [2011](#))

### 2.4.2 Integrated Laplace Approximation (INLA)

(Rue, Martino, and Chopin [2009](#); Lindgren, Rue, and Lindström [2011](#); Martins et al. [2013](#); De Coninck et al. [2016](#); Rue et al. [2017](#); Verbosio et al. [2017](#); Kourounis, Fuchs, and Schenk [2018](#))

### 2.4.3 Subsampling MCMC

[Stochastic Gradient HMC](#)

(Quiroz et al., [n.d.](#), [2016](#); Gunawan et al. [2018](#); Quiroz, Tran, et al. [2018](#); Quiroz, Kohn, et al. [2018](#); Dang et al. [2017](#); Quiroz [2015](#))

T. Chen, Fox, and Guestrin ([2014](#))

## 2.5 Conceptual framework

Cantor et al. ([2010](#)) suggested three factors that cause truck crashes: driver factors, vehicle factors (type and condition), and environmental factors.

Roshandel, Zheng, and Washington ([2015](#)) proposed five factors that affect traffic safety: (a) behavioral characteristics of the driver, e.g., impairment, fatigue, distractions; (b) vehicle — the condition of the vehicle; (c) traffic — the traffic conditions; (d) geometry — geometric characteristics of the road, e.g. curve, hill, ramps, etc.; and (e) environmental — characteristics of the surrounding environment, such as weather conditions (rain, snow, night-time driving, etc.). Traffic conditions are the most studied of these and we focus on discussing them in this subsection.

## 2.6 Gaps in literature

- A focus on crashes instead of precursors of crashes
- A focus on road segments rather than drivers
- A focus on case-control comparison given the rareness of truck crashes rather than rates

## 2.7 Research aims

**Aim1:** Explore the association between truck crashes and critical events.

**Aim2:** Predict critical events using hierarchical statistical models.

**Aim3:** scalable hierarchical Bayesian models using subsampling MCMC.

# Chapter 3

## METHODS

### 3.1 Data source

The 496 over-the-road drivers

### 3.2 Study design

### 3.3 Analytical Plan for Aim 1

### 3.4 Analytical Plan for Aim 2

### 3.5 Analytical Plan for Aim 3





# Chapter 4

## The Probable Content

This dissertation will be completed according to the three-paper model. The expected chapter headings are as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction: The problem

A. Epidemiology of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and its association with second primary malignancies

1. Cancer
2. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
3. Definition of second primary malignancies
4. second primary malignancies and Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

B. Risk factors for second primary malignancies

1. Tobacco use
2. Excessive alcohol use
3. Human papillomavirus infection

C. Existing literature and gaps that exist in the area of second primary malignancies in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

Chapter 2: Literature review

A. Introduction

B. Methods

1. Source of data and eligibility/exclusion criteria
2. Definitions of primary and secondary outcomes and covariates
3. Statistical analysis

C. Results

1. Description of studies included in the study
2. Primary outcome results
3. Secondary outcome results
4. Publication bias and study quality assessment

D. Discussion/Conclusions

1. Implications
2. Strengths and limitations
3. Future research

Chapter 3: Aim 1 - Truck crashes and critical events

A. Introduction

B. Methods

1. Source of data and eligibility/exclusion criteria
2. Definitions of primary and secondary outcomes and covariates
3. Statistical analysis

C. Results

1. Demographics of study population

## CHAPTER 4. THE PROBABLE CONTENT

2. Primary outcome results
3. Secondary outcome results

### D. Discussion/Conclusions

1. Implications
2. Strengths and limitations
3. Future research

Chapter 4: Aim 2 - Statistical models predicting truck critical events

### A. Introduction

### B. Methods

1. Source of data and eligibility/exclusion criteria
2. Definitions of primary and secondary outcomes and covariates
3. Statistical analysis

### C. Results

1. Demographics of study population
2. Primary outcome results
3. Secondary outcome results

### D. Discussion/Conclusions

1. Implications
2. Strengths and limitations
3. Future research

Chapter 5: Aim 3 - Subsampling Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods

Chapter 6: DISCUSSION

### A. Conclusion

### B. Strengths and limitation

### C. Future research



## Chapter 5

# TRUCK CRASHES AND CRITICAL EVENTS



# Chapter 6

## THREE STATISTICAL MODELS PREDICTING TRUCK CRITICAL EVENTS

### 6.1 Hierarchical logistic model

#### 6.1.1 Model set up

#### 6.1.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data

### 6.2 Hierarchical Poisson model

### 6.3 Hierarchical power law process

\*Mean function of a point process\*\*:

$$\Lambda(t) = E(N(t))$$

$\Lambda(t)$  is the expected number of failures through time  $t$ .



**Rate of Occurrence of Failures (ROCOF):** When  $\Lambda$  is differentiable, the ROCOF is:

$$\mu(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\Lambda(t)$$

The ROCOF can be interpreted as the instantaneous rate of change in the expected number of failures.

**Intensity function:** The intensity function of a point process is

$$\lambda(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(N(t, t + \Delta t] \geq 1)}{\Delta t}$$

When there is no simultaneous events, ROCOF is the same as intensity function.

**Nonhomogeneous Poisson Process (NHPP):** The NHPP is a Poisson process whose intensity function is non-constant.

**Power law process (PLP):** When the intensity function of a NHPP is:

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\beta}{\theta} \left( \frac{t}{\theta} \right)^{\beta-1}$$

Where  $\beta > 0$  and  $\theta > 0$ , the process is called the power law process (PLP).

Therefore, the mean function  $\Lambda(t)$  is the integral of the intensity function:

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(t) dt = \int_0^t \frac{\beta}{\theta} \left( \frac{t}{\theta} \right)^{\beta-1} = \left( \frac{t}{\theta} \right)^{\beta}$$

### 6.3.1

### 6.3.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data

## Chapter 7

# HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODELS USING SUBSAMPLING MARKOVE CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS

- Comparison with results given by frequentist models using REML (`lmer4`)
- Comparison with results given by Bayesian models using HMC (`rstan`)
- Comparison with results given Bayesian models using INLA (`INLA`)



## Chapter 8

# DISCUSSION



# APPENDIX

R code

Another appendix



# Bibliography

Abdel-Aty, Mohamed A, Hany M Hassan, Mohamed Ahmed, and Ali S Al-Ghamdi. 2012. “Real-Time Prediction of Visibility Related Crashes.” *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies* 24: 288–98.

Al-Ghamdi, Ali S. 2007. “Experimental Evaluation of Fog Warning System.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 39 (6): 1065–72.

Ameratunga, Shanthi, Martha Hajar, and Robyn Norton. 2006. “Road-Traffic Injuries: Confronting Disparities to Address a Global-Health Problem.” *The Lancet* 367 (9521): 1533–40.

American Automobile Association Foundation for Traffic Safety. 2010. “Asleep at the Wheel: The Prevalence and Impact of Drowsy Driving.” [https://www.aaafoundation.org/sites/default/files/2010DrowsyDrivingReport\\_1.pdf](https://www.aaafoundation.org/sites/default/files/2010DrowsyDrivingReport_1.pdf).

Azam, Khizar, Abdul Shakoor, Riaz Akbar Shah, Afzal Khan, Shaukat Ali Shah, and Muhammad Shahid Khalil. 2014. “Comparison of Fatigue Related Road Traffic Crashes on the National Highways and Motorways in Pakistan.” *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* 33 (2).

Baker, CJ, and Sheila Reynolds. 1992. “Wind-Induced Accidents of Road Vehicles.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 24 (6): 559–75.

Basagaña, Xavier, Juan Pablo Escalera-Antezana, Payam Dadvand, Òscar Llatje, Jose Barrera-Gómez, Jordi Cunillera, Mercedes Medina-Ramón, and Katherine Pérez. 2015. “High Ambient Temperatures and Risk of Motor Vehicle Crashes in Catalonia, Spain (2000–2011): A Time-Series Analysis.” *Environmental Health Perspectives* 123 (12): 1309–16.



Betancourt, Michael. 2017. “A Conceptual Introduction to Hamiltonian Monte Carlo.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1701.02434*.

Braver, Elisa R, Paul L Zador, Denise Thum, Eric L Mitter, Herbert M Baum, and Frank J Vilardo. 1997. “Tractor-Trailer Crashes in Indiana: A Case-Control Study of the Role of Truck Configuration.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 29 (1): 79–96.

Canani, SF, AB John, MG Raymundi, S Schönwald, and SS Menna Barreto. 2005. “Prevalence of Sleepiness in a Group of Brazilian Lorry Drivers.” *Public Health* 119 (10): 925–29.

Cantor, David E, Thomas M Corsi, Curtis M Grimm, and Koray Özpolat. 2010. “A Driver Focused Truck Crash Prediction Model.” *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 46 (5): 683–92.

Chang, Li-Yen, and Wen-Chieh Chen. 2005. “Data Mining of Tree-Based Models to Analyze Freeway Accident Frequency.” *Journal of Safety Research* 36 (4): 365–75.

Chen, Chen, and Yuanchang Xie. 2014. “Modeling the Safety Impacts of Driving Hours and Rest Breaks on Truck Drivers Considering Time-Dependent Covariates.” *Journal of Safety Research* 51: 57–63.

Chen, Tianqi, Emily Fox, and Carlos Guestrin. 2014. “Stochastic Gradient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo.” In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 1683–91.

Clarke, David D, Patrick Ward, Craig Bartle, and Wendy Truman. 2006. “Young Driver Accidents in the Uk: The Influence of Age, Experience, and Time of Day.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 38 (5): 871–78.

Dalal, Koustuv, Zhiquin Lin, Mervyn Gifford, and Leif Svanström. 2013. “Economics of Global Burden of Road Traffic Injuries and Their Relationship with Health System Variables.” *International Journal of Preventive Medicine* 4 (12): 1442.

Dang, Khue-Dung, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, Minh-Ngoc Tran, and Mattias Villani. 2017. “Hamiltonian Monte Carlo with Energy Conserving Subsampling.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1708.00955*.

## CHAPTER 8. DISCUSSION

De Coninck, Arne, Bernard De Baets, Drosos Kourounis, Fabio Verbosio, Olaf Schenk, Steven Maenhout, and Jan Fostier. 2016. “Needles: Toward Large-Scale Genomic Prediction with Marker-by-Environment Interaction.” *Genetics* 203 (1): 543–55. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.179887>.

Di Milia, Lee, Michael H Smolensky, Giovanni Costa, Heidi D Howarth, Maurice M Ohayon, and Pierre Philip. 2011. “Demographic Factors, Fatigue, and Driving Accidents: An Examination of the Published Literature.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 43 (2): 516–32.

Dingus, Thomas A, Vicki L Neale, Sheila G Klauer, Andrew D Petersen, and Robert J Carroll. 2006. “The Development of a Naturalistic Data Collection System to Perform Critical Incident Analysis: An Investigation of Safety and Fatigue Issues in Long-Haul Trucking.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 38 (6): 1127–36.

Evans, Leonard. 2014. “Traffic Fatality Reductions: United States Compared with 25 Other Countries.” *American Journal of Public Health* 104 (8): 1501–7.

Fjell, Ylva, Kristina Alexanderson, Mikael Nordenmark, and Carina Bildt. 2008. “Perceived Physical Strain in Paid and Unpaid Work and the Work-Home Interface: The Associations with Musculoskeletal Pain and Fatigue Among Public Employees.” *Women & Health* 47 (1): 21–44.

FMCSA. 2016. “Fatal occupational injuries by event, 2016.” <https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/docs/safety/data-and-statistics/84856/cmvttrafficsafetyfact.pdf>.

———. 2018. “Large Truck and Bus Crash Facts 2016.” <https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/docs/safety/data-and-statistics/398686/ltbcf-2016-final-508c-m.pdf>.

Goonewardene, Sanchia S, Khalid Baloch, Keith Porter, Ian Sargeant, and Gamini Punchihewa. 2010. “Road Traffic Collisions—Case Fatality Rate, Crash Injury Rate, and Number of Motor Vehicles: Time Trends Between a Developed and Developing Country.” *The American*

*Surgeon* 76 (9): 977–81.

Graham, Daniel J, and Stephen Glaister. 2003. “Spatial Variation in Road Pedestrian Casualties: The Role of Urban Scale, Density and Land-Use Mix.” *Urban Studies* 40 (8): 1591–1607.

Grimes, David A, and Kenneth F Schulz. 2005. “Compared to What? Finding Controls for Case-Control Studies.” *The Lancet* 365 (9468): 1429–33.

Gunawan, David, Khue-Dung Dang, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, and Minh-Ngoc Tran. 2018. “Subsampling Sequential Monte Carlo for Static Bayesian Models.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1805.03317*.

Huang, Yueng-hsiang, Dov Zohar, Michelle M Robertson, Angela Garabet, Jin Lee, and Lauren A Murphy. 2013. “Development and Validation of Safety Climate Scales for Lone Workers Using Truck Drivers as Exemplar.” *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* 17: 5–19.

Hyder, Adnan A, Katharine A Allen, Gayle Di Pietro, Claudia A Adriazola, Rochelle Sobel, Kelly Larson, and Margie Peden. 2012. “Addressing the Implementation Gap in Global Road Safety: Exploring Features of an Effective Response and Introducing a 10-Country Program.” *American Journal of Public Health* 102 (6): 1061–7.

Janakiraman, Vijay Manikandan, Bryan Matthews, and Nikunj Oza. 2016. “Discovery of Precursors to Adverse Events Using Time Series Data.” In *Proceedings of the 2016 Siam International Conference on Data Mining*, 639–47. SIAM.

Kampe, Eveline Otte im, Sari Kovats, and Shakoor Hajat. 2016. “Impact of High Ambient Temperature on Unintentional Injuries in High-Income Countries: A Narrative Systematic Literature Review.” *BMJ Open* 6 (2): e010399.

Kjellstrom, Tord, R Sari Kovats, Simon J Lloyd, Tom Holt, and Richard SJ Tol. 2009. “The Direct Impact of Climate Change on Regional Labor Productivity.” *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health* 64 (4): 217–27.

Knipling, Ronald R, and Jing-Shiarn Wang. 1994. *Crashes and Fatalities Related to*

## CHAPTER 8. DISCUSSION

*Driver Drowsiness/Fatigue*. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Washington, DC.

Kourounis, D., A. Fuchs, and O. Schenk. 2018. “Towards the Next Generation of Multi-period Optimal Power Flow Solvers.” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* PP (99): 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2017.2789187>.

Kusano, Kristofer D, and Hampton C Gabler. 2012. “Safety Benefits of Forward Collision Warning, Brake Assist, and Autonomous Braking Systems in Rear-End Collisions.” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 13 (4): 1546–55.

Lauderdale, Diane S, Kristen L Knutson, Lijing L Yan, Paul J Rathouz, Stephen B Hulley, Steve Sidney, and Kiang Liu. 2006. “Objectively Measured Sleep Characteristics Among Early-Middle-Aged Adults: The Cardia Study.” *American Journal of Epidemiology* 164 (1): 5–16.

Leard, Benjamin, Kevin Roth, and others. 2015. “Weather, Traffic Accidents, and Climate Change.” *Resources for the Future Discussion Paper*, 15–19.

Leechawengwongs, Manoon, Evelyn Leechawengwongs, Chakrit Sukying, and Umaporn Udomsubpayakul. 2006. “Role of Drowsy Driving in Traffic Accidents: A Questionnaire Survey of Thai Commercial Bus/Truck Drivers.” *JOURNAL-MEDICAL ASSOCIATION OF THAILAND* 89 (11): 1845.

Lindgren, Finn, Håvard Rue, and Johan Lindström. 2011. “An Explicit Link Between Gaussian Fields and Gaussian Markov Random Fields: The Stochastic Partial Differential Equation Approach (with Discussion).” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B* 73 (4): 423–98.

Litman, Todd. 2013. “Transportation and Public Health.” *Annual Review of Public Health* 34: 217–33.

MacLean, Alistair W, David RT Davies, and Kris Thiele. 2003. “The Hazards and Prevention of Driving While Sleepy.” *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 7 (6): 507–21.

Martins, Thiago G., Daniel Simpson, Finn Lindgren, and Håvard Rue. 2013. “Bayesian

Computing with INLA: New Features.” *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 67: 68–83.

Meuleners, Lynn, Michelle L Fraser, Matthew H Govorko, and Mark R Stevenson. 2015. “Obstructive Sleep Apnea, Health-Related Factors, and Long Distance Heavy Vehicle Crashes in Western Australia: A Case Control Study.” *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 11 (04): 413–18.

Moneta, Giovanni B, Annette Leclerc, Jean-François Chastang, Patrick Dang Tran, and Marcel Goldberg. 1996. “Time-Trend of Sleep Disorder in Relation to Night Work: A Study of Sequential 1-Year Prevalences Within the Gazel Cohort.” *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 49 (10): 1133–41.

Nantulya, Vinand M, and Michael R Reich. 2002. “The Neglected Epidemic: Road Traffic Injuries in Developing Countries.” *Bmj* 324 (7346): 1139–41.

National Sleep Foundation. 2008. “2008 State of the States Report on Drowsy Driving.” <http://drowsydriving.org/resources/2008-state-of-the-states-report-on-drowsy-driving/>.

Neal, Radford M, and others. 2011. “MCMC Using Hamiltonian Dynamics.” *Handbook of Markov Chain Monte Carlo* 2 (11): 2.

Née, Mélanie, Benjamin Contrand, Ludivine Orriols, Cédric Gil-Jardiné, Cedric Galéra, and Emmanuel Lagarde. 2019. “Road Safety and Distraction, Results from a Responsibility Case-Control Study Among a Sample of Road Users Interviewed at the Emergency Room.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 122: 19–24.

Odero, Wilson, Meleckidzedek Khayesi, and PM Heda. 2003. “Road Traffic Injuries in Kenya: Magnitude, Causes and Status of Intervention.” *Injury Control and Safety Promotion* 10 (1-2): 53–61.

Peden, Margie, Richard Scurfield, David Sleet, Dinesh Mohan, Adnan A Hyder, Eva Jarawan, Colin D Mathers, and others. 2004. “World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention.” World Health Organization Geneva.

Pérez-Chada, Daniel, Alejandro J Videla, Martin E O’flaherty, Patricia Palermo, Jorgelina

## CHAPTER 8. DISCUSSION

Meoni, Maria I Sarchi, Marina Khoury, and Joaquin Durán-Cantolla. 2005. “Sleep Habits and Accident Risk Among Truck Drivers: A Cross-Sectional Study in Argentina.” *Sleep* 28 (9): 1103–8.

Popkin, Stephen M, Stephanie L Morrow, Tara E Di Domenico, and Heidi D Howarth. 2008. “Age Is More Than Just a Number: Implications for an Aging Workforce in the Us Transportation Sector.” *Applied Ergonomics* 39 (5): 542–49.

Quiroz, Matias. 2015. “Bayesian Inference in Large Data Problems.” PhD thesis, Department of Statistics, Stockholm University.

Quiroz, Matias, Robert Kohn, Mattias Villani, and Minh-Ngoc Tran. 2018. “Speeding up Mcmc by Efficient Data Subsampling.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1–13.

Quiroz, Matias, Minh-Ngoc Tran, Mattias Villani, and Robert Kohn. 2018. “Speeding up Mcmc by Delayed Acceptance and Data Subsampling.” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 27 (1): 12–22.

Quiroz, Matias, Minh-Ngoc Tran, Mattias Villani, Robert Kohn, and Khue-Dung Dang. 2016. “The Block-Poisson Estimator for Optimally Tuned Exact Subsampling Mcmc.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1603.08232*.

Quiroz, Matias, Mattias Villani, Robert Kohn, Minh-Ngoc Tran, and Khue-Dung Dang. n.d. “Subsampling Mcmc-an Introduction for the Survey Statistician.” *Sankhya A*, 1–37.

Rome, Liz de, Julie Brown, Matthew Baldock, and Michael Fitzharris. 2018. “Near-Miss Crashes and Other Predictors of Motorcycle Crashes: Findings from a Population-Based Survey.” *Traffic Injury Prevention* 19 (sup2): S20–S26.

Roshandel, Saman, Zuduo Zheng, and Simon Washington. 2015. “Impact of Real-Time Traffic Characteristics on Freeway Crash Occurrence: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 79: 198–211.

Rotenberg, Lúcia, Luciana Fernandes Portela, Bahby Banks, Rosane Harter Griep, Frida Marina Fischer, and Paul Landsbergis. 2008. “A Gender Approach to Work Ability and Its

Relationship to Professional and Domestic Work Hours Among Nursing Personnel.” *Applied Ergonomics* 39 (5): 646–52.

Rue, Håvard, Sara Martino, and Nicholas Chopin. 2009. “Approximate Bayesian Inference for Latent Gaussian Models Using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximations (with Discussion).” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B* 71: 319–92.

Rue, Håvard, Andrea I. Riebler, Sigrunn H. Sørbye, Janine B. Illian, Daniel P. Simpson, and Finn K. Lindgren. 2017. “Bayesian Computing with INLA: A Review.” *Annual Reviews of Statistics and Its Applications* 4 (March): 395–421. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.00860>.

Saleh, Joseph H, Elizabeth A Saltmarsh, Francesca M Favaro, and Loic Brevault. 2013. “Accident Precursors, Near Misses, and Warning Signs: Critical Review and Formal Definitions Within the Framework of Discrete Event Systems.” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 114: 148–54.

Sedgwick, Philip. 2014. “Case-Control Studies: Advantages and Disadvantages.” *Bmj* 348: f7707.

Staton, Catherine, Joao Vissoci, Enying Gong, Nicole Toomey, Rebecca Wafula, Jihad Abdelgadir, Yi Zhou, et al. 2016. “Road Traffic Injury Prevention Initiatives: A Systematic Review and Metasummary of Effectiveness in Low and Middle Income Countries.” *PLoS One* 11 (1): e0144971.

Stern, Hal S, Daniel Blower, Michael L Cohen, Charles A Czeisler, David F Dinges, Joel B Greenhouse, Feng Guo, et al. 2018. “Data and Methods for Studying Commercial Motor Vehicle Driver Fatigue, Highway Safety and Long-Term Driver Health.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention*.

The National Safety Council. 2018. “Vehicle Deaths Estimated at 40,000 for Third Straight Year.” <https://www.nsc.org/road-safety/safety-topics/fatality-estimates>.

The United States, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017. “Fatal occupational injuries by event, 2017.” <https://www.bls.gov/charts/census-of-fatal-occupational-injuries/fatal-occupational-injuries-by-event-drilldown.htm>.

## CHAPTER 8. DISCUSSION

Verbosio, Fabio, Arne De Coninck, Drosos Kourounis, and Olaf Schenk. 2017. “Enhancing the Scalability of Selected Inversion Factorization Algorithms in Genomic Prediction.” *Journal of Computational Science* 22 (Supplement C): 99–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2017.08.013>.

WHO. 2018a. “Road traffic injuries.” <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs358/en/>.

———. 2018b. “The Top 10 Causes of Death.” <http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death>.

Zaloshnja, Eduard, Ted Miller, and others. 2008. “Unit Costs of Medium and Heavy Truck Crashes.” The United States. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration.

Zhang, Guangnan, Kelvin KW Yau, Xun Zhang, and Yanyan Li. 2016. “Traffic Accidents Involving Fatigue Driving and Their Extent of Casualties.” *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 87: 34–42.

Zhang, Xingjian, Xiaohua Zhao, Hongji Du, and Jian Rong. 2014. “A Study on the Effects of Fatigue Driving and Drunk Driving on Drivers’ Physical Characteristics.” *Traffic Injury Prevention* 15 (8): 801–8.





# Vita Auctoris

Miao Cai was born and raised in Xinzhou district, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China.

