# PREDICTING TRUCK DRIVERS' CRITICAL EVENTS: EFFICIENT BAYESIAN HIERARCHICAL MODELS

Miao Cai, M.S.

Draft on June 5, 2019

Dissertation Presented to the Graduate Faculty of Saint Louis University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Public Health Studies, Ph.D.

© Copyright by Miao Cai ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

2019

#### COMMITTEE IN CHARGE OF CANDIDACY:

Professor Steven E. Rigdon, Ph.D. Chairperson and Advisor

Professor Hong Xian, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor Fadel Megahed, Ph.D.  $\,$ 

## Dedication

I dedicate this dissertation to my parents, Zhimin Cai and Guizhen Xu, who believe in the power of higher education, hard work, and always support me.

## Acknowledgement

I want to thank my PhD mentor and committee chair Dr. Steven E. Rigdon, committee members Dr. Hong Xian and Dr. Fadel Megahed.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ש	eaica	tion		111
A	cknov	vledge	ment	v
Li	st of	Figure	es	ix
Li	st of	Tables	5	xi
1	INT	RODU	UCTION	1
	1.1	Transp	portation safety	1
	1.2	Trucki	ing safety	3
	1.3	Crashe	es and critical events	4
	1.4	Propos	sal	5
2	LIT	ERAT	URE REVIEW	7
_	2.1		rsors to crashes	7
	2.2		actors	7
		2.2.1	Fatigue	7
		2.2.2	Fatigue measurement	9
		2.2.3	Driver characteristics	9
		2.2.4	Traffic	10
		2.2.5	Weather	11
		2.2.6	Time of the day	12
	2.3	Predic	etive models	12
		2.3.1	Bayesian models	12
		2.3.2	Hierarchical models	13
		2.3.3	Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)	13
	2.4	Scalab	ole Bayesian models	16
		2.4.1	Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC)	17
		2.4.2	Integrated Laplace Approximation (INLA)	18
		2.4.3	Subsampling MCMC	18
	2.5	Conce	ptual framework	18
	2.6	Gaps i	in literature	18
	2.7	Resear	rch aims	19

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

3	METHODS	21		
	3.1 Data source	21		
	3.1.1 Real-time ping data	21		
	3.1.2 Weather data from the DarkSky API	22		
	3.1.3 Road geometry data from the OpenStreetMap	22		
	3.2 Study design	23		
	3.3 Analytical Plan for Aim 1	23		
	3.4 Analytical Plan for Aim 2	23		
	3.5 Analytical Plan for Aim 3	23		
4	THE PROBABLE CONTENT	<b>25</b>		
<b>5</b>	TRUCK CRASHES AND CRITICAL EVENTS	29		
6	THREE STATISTICAL MODELS PREDICTING TRUCK CRITICAL	ı		
	EVENTS	31		
	6.1 Hierarchical logistic model	31		
	6.1.1 Model set up	31		
	6.1.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data	31		
	6.2 Hierarchical Poisson model	31		
	6.3 Hierarchical power law process	31		
	6.3.1	32		
	6.3.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data	32		
7	HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODELS USING SUBSAMPLING MARK			
	CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS	33		
8	DISCUSSION	35		
$\mathbf{A}$	PPENDIX	<b>37</b>		
	Data query	37		
	Weather data	37		
	Road geometry data	37		
Bi	ibliography	41		
${f V}$ i	Vita Auctoris			

## List of Figures

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES

## List of Tables

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF TABLES

## Chapter 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Transportation safety

Traffic safety is a pressing public health issue that involves huge lives losses and financial burden across the world and in the United States (US). As reported by the World Health Organization (WHO 2018b), road injury was the eighth cause of death globally in 2016, killing approximately 1.4 million people, which consisted of about 2.5% of all deaths in the world. If no sustained action is taken, road injuries are predicted to be the seventh leading cause of death across the world by 2030 (WHO 2018a). Compared to the victims who were claimed lives by diseases, people killed in traffic are mostly early- or middle-aged, particularly those aged 4 to 44 years old (Litman 2013; Evans 2014). Without traffic accidents, these victims could have much longer lives with normal health.

Apart from fatal deaths, road traffic injuries were also reported to be the cause of 50 million non-fatal life injuries and approximately 75.5 million disability-adjusted life years globally (Staton et al. 2016). In high-income countries, the majority of non-death costs were attributable to non-fatal crashes, with 2% of non-fatal events leading to over 40% of life-time medical costs (Ameratunga, Hijar, and Norton 2006). Besides non-fatal injuries, traffic safety is a major economic burden. The global economic losses attributable to transportation safety were estimated to be 518 billion the United States Dollars (USD), which accounted for 1%

the gross domestic product (GDP) in low-income countries, 1.5% in middle-income countries, and 2% in high-income countries (Peden et al. 2004; Dalal et al. 2013).

Specifically in the United States, transportation contributed to the highest number of fatal occupational injuries, leading to 2,077 deaths and accounting for over 40% of all fatal occupational injuries in 2017 (The United States, Bureau of Labor Statistics 2017). The National Safety Council reported that the number of deaths attributable to car crashes will be at least 40,000 in 2018, which is the third straight year that this number is over 40,000 (The National Safety Council 2018). A comparison study of 26 developed countries revealed that 20 to 60 traffic deaths per billion kilometers were reduced from 2011 (Evans 2014). Even though fatality rates attributable to road traffic in the US were reduced by 40% during that period, the rates declined more rapidly in all other 25 countries. Given the large amounts of investments in roads, improved vehicle protection and traffic policy implementation, and advanced emergency and trauma care, the reduction in traffic associated fatality rates is nominal (Litman 2013). If the change of traffic fatality rates match those in other unremarkable countries, 20,000 traffic deaths could have been prevented each year (Evans 2014).

The impact of road injuries is even more impactful in developing countries than in developed countries (Goonewardene et al. 2010). Although low- and middle-income countries own only 48% of the registered vehicles in the world, 90% of road traffic fatalities and injuries were estimated to occur in these countries, which continue to be escalating due to rapid urbanization and motorization (Dalal et al. 2013; Staton et al. 2016). Ten developing countries, including Brazil, Cambodia, China, Egypt, India, Kenya, Mexico, Russia, Turkey, and Vietnam, account for almost half of all the road traffic in the world (Hyder et al. 2012). For example, China has around 100,000 traffic-related fatalities each year (Zhang et al. 2010), accounting for around 80% of all accidental deaths, with 87% of them were caused by motor vehicles in 2015 (Jiang et al. 2017). In comparison, 148,707 lives were claimed by road collisions in India in 2015, with the road fatality rate similar to the global average level of 17.4

deaths per 100,000 people (National Crime Records Bureau, Government of India 2015).

#### 1.2 Trucking safety

In the US, the large commercial truck industry is the backbone of the economy. Approximately 70% of freight is delivered via a truck at some point of their transportation, which account for 73.1% of value and 71.3% of volume of the domestic goods (Olson et al. 2016; Anderson et al. 2017). However, among all vehicles, large trucks are associated with more catastrophic accidents and therefore are the primary concern of traffic safety. In 2016, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) reported that 27% fatal crashes in work zones involved large trucks (FMCSA 2018). Among all 4,079 crashes involving large trucks or buses in 2016, 4,564 people (1.12 people per crash) were killed in the accidents (FMCSA 2016). Large truck crashes approximately claim 5,000 lives and cause 120,000 injuries each year, but only 15% of these fatalities occur in the trucks, with a predominate 78% occurred in the other vehicles (Neeley and Richardson Jr 2009). Besides, the economic losses associated with large truck crashes are also higher than those with passenger vehicles, with an estimated average cost of 91,000 US dollars per crash (Zaloshnja, Miller, and others 2008).

The high risk of large trucks is attributed to two aspects of reasons (Huang et al. 2013). First, large truck drivers generally need to drive alone for long routes, under on-time demands, challenging weather and traffic conditions. Professional truck drivers usually need to work in shifts, and sometimes unavoidable late-night or early-morning shifts (Pylkkönen et al. 2015). These late-night or early-morning working shifts have been reported to be associated with sleep deprivation and disorders (Åkerstedt 1988; Mitler et al. 1997; Solomon et al. 2004; Sallinen et al. 2005). Besides, commercial truck drivers are exposed to long route, constant concentration, and overtime work, which intertwines with sleep deprivation and disorder, and induce the fatigue symptoms among truck drivers. It is estimated that fatigue among long distance truck drivers caused up to 31% of single vehicle fatal truck crashes (National

Transportation Safety Board 1990; Mitler et al. 1997).

On the other hand, trucks have huge weights, large physical dimensions, and potentially carry hazardous cargoes. Although these huge-size trucks boost the transportation efficiency by increasing cargo capacity and reducing fuel costs per trip, they also raise public safety concerns (Lemp, Kockelman, and Unnikrishnan 2011). Large trucks can weight up to 80,000 pounds by federal law, which are twenty times as much as a passenger vehicle (Department of Transportation, Utah 2019). If these trucks travel at the speed of 65 miles per hour on the highway, it will take them 525 feet to stop, which is about two times the length of a football field (Department of Transportation, Utah 2019). The large physical size also creates large blind spots on both sides of the truck, which poses more threat on smaller-sized vehicles. When a crash occurs between a large truck and a smaller vehicle, the sheer size and weight of the truck result in the tragedy that the victims are from the smaller vehicle instead of the trucks in around 80% of the cases (Neeley and Richardson Jr 2009). In even worse case, commercial trucks crashes can cause massive casualties and regional public health emergency when the carried hazardous materials (such as gasoline and sulfuric acid) are leaked.

The importance of truck industry and the potential catastrophic consequences of truck crashes underscore the need to reduce crash risk and improve the safety of truck transportation.

#### 1.3 Crashes and critical events

To reduce the lives and economic losses associated with trucks, numerous studies attempted to screen the risk factors for truck-related traffic crashes and make accurate prediction. Data used in these studies are characterized by rare events (dozens to thousands of times fewer crashes than non-crashes) (Theofilatos et al. 2016, 2018). Therefore, the most common study design is a case-control study, matching a crash with one to up to ten non-crashes, and use statistical models such as logistic regressions to explain the causes or predict the crashes (Braver et al. 1997; C. Chen and Xie 2014; Meuleners et al. 2015; Née et al. 2019). However,

a case-control study is limited in estimating the incidence data. It may be contentious in selecting the ratio of controls to cases and how to select these controls (Grimes and Schulz 2005; Sedgwick 2014). Due to the retrospective nature of crash data, it is also unrealistic to trace back to the real-time traffic, weather, and other environmental factors that were associated with the crashes.

Past truck safety literature almost exclusively focused on crashes, while ignoring the precursors to crashes. A precursor to crashes, also known as a critical events, adverse events, or near-miss crashes, is a pattern or signature associated with an increasing chance of truck crash (Saleh et al. 2013; Janakiraman, Matthews, and Oza 2016). Truck critical events deserve more attention since they occur more frequently than crashes, suggest fatigue and a lapse in performance, and they can lead to giant crashes (Dingus et al. 2006).

With the rapid development of modern technology, real-time driving and critical events data are recorded more and more by truck companies (Janakiraman, Matthews, and Oza 2016). Although critical events do not always result in an accident, they could potentially be used as an early warning system to mitigate or prevent truck crashes (Kusano and Gabler 2012; Rome et al. 2018).

#### 1.4 Proposal

I propose using real-time truck ping data provided by the J.B. Hunt, real-time weather and traffic data to

- 1) quantify the association between truck crashes and critical events;
- 2) construct predictive models for truck critical events;
- 3) establish scalable Bayesian hierarchical models for large truck data.

I believe that this work will contribute to statistical theories in constructing scalable Bayesian hierarchical statistical and reliability models using modern Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations. Realistically, these predictive models will inform policy-makers the functional relationship between driver characteristics, traffic, weather, and other real-time driving environment. These predictive models can be further used to provide data-driven justification to optimize trucking routes and minimize unsafe driving behaviors.

## Chapter 2

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Precursors to crashes

#### 2.2 Risk factors

#### 2.2.1 Fatigue

Among all driver-related safety critical events, fatigue has become the most pressing problem of traffic accidents. It is estimated by National Sleep Foundation that approximately 32% of drivers in U.S drive with fatigue over twice a month (National Sleep Foundation 2008). The American Automobile Association Foundation for Traffic Safety claimed that 16.5% of fatal traffic accidents and 12.5% of collisions related to injuries in the US in 2010 were associated with driving with fatigue (American Automobile Association Foundation for Traffic Safety 2010).

(Anderson et al. 2017)

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (2017) demands that property-carrying drivers should not drive more than 14 consecutive hours after coming on duty after 10 hours of rest. This 14-hour restriction cannot be extended by off-duty time.

Drowsy driving is an especially common practice in less-developed countries because

of cost control and tight schedule. Surveys of commercial and public road transportation companies in less-developed countries showed that employers were frequently forcing their employees to drive for longer hours and keep working even when they were exhausted (Zhang et al. 2016; Odero, Khayesi, and Heda 2003; Nantulya and Reich 2002). High proportions of drowsy driving have been found among Brazilian (22%) (Canani et al. 2005), Argentinean (44%) (Pérez-Chada et al. 2005), Pakistani (54%) (Azam et al. 2014), and Thai (75%) (Leechawengwongs et al. 2006) truck or bus drivers. The mechanism of fatigue leading to safety critical events is that a driver's capability to stay alert to ambient traffic and pedestrians will be largely impaired. The reaction time is subsequently prolonged in that situation (Zhang et al. 2014). It is estimated that 17 hours of continuous working lead to a deterioration of driving performance equivalent to a blood alcohol level of 0.05% (MacLean, Davies, and Thiele 2003). What makes the outcomes worse is that fatigue driving is more likely to happen on expressways and major highways where the speed limit is over 55 miles per hour (Knipling and Wang 1994). This is especially concerning because fatigue driving safety critical events are more likely to result in serious injuries and fatalities, compared with non-fatigue driving safety critical events.

Stern et al. (2018) reviewed the research related to fatigue of commercial motor vehicle drivers. Because of the difficulty of running a controlled experiment by imposing treatments, most research designs are observational studies, that is, they compare the effects of variables that are observed, not imposed. One exception to this is a randomized encouragement design where drivers are randomized to receive some sort of incentive to apply some treatment, but are not forced to do so. If an effect is observed, we would conclude that it is due to the incentive, not necessarily to the actual treatment. Many studies use a cohort design or a case-control study. In a cohort design, a number of drivers is identified and studied across time. In a case-control study, a number of cases (e.g., crashes, or some other safety measure) are identified and are matched with controls; focus is then placed on the differences between the cases and controls. Both cohort studies and case-control studies can be useful in assessing

safety.

#### 2.2.2 Fatigue measurement

The reason why little has been done about drowsy driving is there is no simple way to objectively measure fatigue driving (Dement 1997).

#### 2.2.3 Driver characteristics

A study by Pack et al. (1995) revealed that the drivers were 25 years of age or younger in over a half of the 5,000 highway crashes in which they fell asleep whiling driving.

(Duke, Guest, and Boggess 2010) Age-related safety.

Another driver-related risk factor of driving safety critical events is drivers' age. In many developing countries, to meet the huge demand services and supply chain management, it is very common to extend the retirement age or reemploy retired workers (Popkin et al. 2008). Aging drivers increase the chance of the safety critical events in three aspects: impaired eyesight, prolonged reaction time to exogenous stimuli, and vulnerability to fatigue (Di Milia et al. 2011). Aged drivers are associated with eyesight diseases or functionality impairment, such as cataracts, narrowed peripheral vision and decreasing visual acuity (Di Milia et al. 2011). In addition, working for truck companies often means irregular shifts and taking the night schedules, which disrupt the circadian time-keeping systems, especially for the aged workers (Moneta et al. 1996).

Aged drivers may find it much more difficult to adjust for the sleep-wake cycle to keep pace with the schedule required by the employer company. Therefore, this disruption of the circadian systems, in turn, increases the chances to feel sleepy or fatigue for workers. It is indicated by research that the "critical age" of shiftwork intolerance is about 45 to 50 years, at which sleep disorder, persisting fatigue and digestive problems become the most obvious (Di Milia et al. 2011). Young drivers are much better in the sense of physical health and resistance to fatigue compared with aged drivers, however, they are more vulnerable regarding

the experience of driving. A study conducted by Clarke suggested that young drivers (17 – 19 years old), especially males, have significantly more accidents than other drivers during the hours of darkness, on rural curves, and rear-end shunts compared with male drivers aged 20 -25 years (Clarke et al. 2006). The reasons for these young driver accidents were not fully explained, but could largely be attributed to inexperience.

One more risk factor that could explain driving safety critical events is drivers' gender. Gender has been suggested to be related with outcomes in medical treatment, education, sports and other fields, and there is no exception for truck drivers' safety. In the first place, women are more likely to suffer from fatigue compared with men. A study found that women in general have 1.4 times higher chance of complaining of fatigue than men (Fjell et al. 2008). However, females are found to have longer sleeping hours than their male counterparts of the same race (Lauderdale et al. 2006). In that study, it was found that the mean sleep hours for white females was 6.7 hours compared with 6.1 hours for white males, and 5.9 hours for black female compared with 5.1 hours for black males even after adjusting for socioeconomic status, lifestyle and sleep apnea (Lauderdale et al. 2006). Gender differences are huge in terms of working conditions. Females had significantly fewer working hours per week, with 47 hours versus 52 hours per week (Rotenberg et al. 2008). In general, women tend to work fewer hours within a week but are more prone to feel fatigue and have a higher risk of traffic incidences.

#### **2.2.4** Traffic

Although it is generally believed that lower **speed limits** can reduce the chances of traffic crashes, studies on speed limits and traffic fatalities showed inconsistent results (Neeley and Richardson Jr 2009; Korkut, Ishak, and Wolshon 2010; Zhu and Srinivasan 2011; Davis et al. 2015).

#### 2.2.5 Weather

(Naik et al. 2016)

Weather has both direct and indirect effects on drivers' safety critical events. On one hand, the increase of ambient temperature places risks on drivers' occupational safety, and possibly leads to cognition loss, heat stroke, and impairment of wakefulness. Evidence showed that the risk of mistakes and safety critical events increase in hot weather (Kjellstrom et al. 2009; Basagaña et al. 2015). Leard and Roth found that for a day with temperature above 80F there is a 9.5% increase in fatality rates compared with a day at 50-60 F (Leard, Roth, and others 2015). A literature review found that 11 out of 13 studies indicated an increase in unintentional injuries associated with high temperatures (Kampe, Kovats, and Hajat 2016). On the other hand, real-time extreme weather conditions such as heavy rain, fog, storm, and snow can either impair the driver's visual capability or reduce the safety of driving on the road (Chang and Chen 2005; Al-Ghamdi 2007; Baker and Reynolds 1992). It is to noted that the cumulative time of driving in such extreme weather conditions could increase the chances of safety critical events. Studies that explore the association between precipitation and driving safety critical events consistently find a negative relationship. The positive linear relationship between precipitation and traffic accidents can be observed in both driver accidents and pedestrian accidents (Al-Ghamdi 2007; Graham and Glaister 2003). Abdel-Aty et al. used detector and sensor data to successfully predict more than 70% of accidents with low visibility conditions (Abdel-Aty et al. 2012). The common problem for the literature exploring the relationship between ambient weather and safety driving critical events is the failure to include the cumulative effect of weather conditions. Instead, they all use an indicator variable to represent whether extreme weather happened during the trip or not, which could lead to potential bias in prediction models.

#### 2.2.6 Time of the day

Pack et al. (1995)'s analysis reported the crashes in which the drivers fell asleep occurred primarily from mid-night to 7 a.m. and from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

#### 2.3 Predictive models

#### 2.3.1 Bayesian models

In contrast to traditional frequentist models that view parameters as unknown but fixed values, Bayesian models view parameters as random variables that have probability distributions (Gelman et al. 2013).

$$p(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{p(\theta)p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)}{p(\mathbf{X})}$$

$$= \frac{p(\theta)p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)}{\int p(\theta)p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)d\theta}$$
(2.1)

Where  $p(\theta|\mathbf{X})$  is the posterior distribution of the parameters,  $p(\theta)$  is the prior distribution of the parameters,  $p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$  is the likelihood function, and  $\int p(\theta)p(\mathbf{X}|\theta)d\theta$  is a normalizing constant.

The prior distribution reflects the researcher's subjective belief on the parameters before observing any data. The likelihood function reflects the data generating process that gives rise to the data observed. The posterior distribution is an unconditional distribution that includes the researcher's belief on the parameters after observing the data. The prior and likelihood function are straightforward since they both have analytical forms. The most tricky part of Bayesian inference is the normalizing constant in the denominator (Gelman et al. 2013).

The normalizing constant need to make the posterior distribution integrate to one since the posterior is supposed to be a probability density distribution. When there are more than two parameters in the model, the normalizing constant often becomes intractable since it involves integration in multiple dimensions. Modern Bayesian inference often uses numerical methods, such as Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods, to calculate or approximate this constant.

Advantages of Bayesian inference Posterior predictive distribution.

#### 2.3.2 Hierarchical models

Driver-focused statistical models (Cantor et al. 2010).

partial pooling

#### 2.3.3 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

In modern statistics, Bayesian inference almost indispensably relies on Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling to overcome the intractable denominator in the Bayes Theroem (Equation (2.1)). A **Monte Carlo simulation** is a technique to understand a target distribution by generating a large amount of random values from that distribution (Kruschke 2014). A **Markov chain** has the property that the probability distribution of the observation i only depends on the previous observation i-1, not on any one prior to observation i-1, as demonstrated in Equation (2.2).

$$P(X_i = x_i | X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}) = P(X_i = x_i | X_{i-1} = x_{i-1})$$
(2.2)

Integrating Markov chains and Monte Carlo simulations, the MCMC method can characterize an unknown unconditional distribution without knowing its all mathematical properties by sampling from the distribution (Van Ravenzwaaij, Cassey, and Brown 2018). It has been widely applied in multiple fields such as statistics, physics, chemistry, and computer science (Craiu and Rosenthal 2014). The most notable application of MCMC is probably in

Bayesian inference, in which it has been used to draw samples from the posterior distribution and calculate relevant statistics (such as mean, standard deviation, and intervals).

The first proposal of using MCMC dates back to the paper by Metropolis et al. (1953), in which they tried to solve an intractable integral with a random walk MCMC. The Metropolis algorithm starts with a randomly defined initial value of the parameter  $\theta$ . From a pre-defined symmetric proposal probability distribution  $p(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ , it then draw a proposal parameter value  $\theta^{(\text{prop})}$ , which only depends on the current parameter value  $\theta^{(t)}$ . This proposal value will be accepted with the probability of  $\alpha$  defined in Equation (2.3).

$$\alpha = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\theta^{(\text{prop})}|\mathbf{x})}{p(\theta^{(t)}|\mathbf{x})}\right)$$
(2.3)

This proposal and acceptance with probability steps will be iterated for a pre-define number of times. When the Metropolis algorithm reaches a steady state, these proposal values are random values drawn from the posterior distribution of parameter  $\theta$ , which can be used to describe and characterize the posterior distribution.

After decades of successful empirical trials in physics, Hastings (1970) proposed a more generalized form of the Metropolis algorithm, in which the proposal distribution can be arbitrary (Theorem 2.1), but the acceptance probability  $\alpha^*$  is modified as shown in Equation (2.4). This Metropolis-Hasting (MH) algorithm is the most classic and widely-known MCMC algorithm used in multiple fields.

**Theorem 2.1** (The Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm). Let  $p(\theta|\mathbf{X})$  be the posterior distribution we want to know, then the Metropolis-Hasting algorithm is:

- 1. Let  $\theta^{(1)}$  denote an initial value for the continuous state Markov chain,
- 2. Set t = 1,
- 3. Let q be the proposal density which can depend on the current state  $\theta^{(t)}$ . Simulate one observation  $\theta^{(prop)}$  from  $q(\theta^{(prop)}|\theta^{(t)})$ ,

4. Compute the following probability:

$$\alpha^{\star} = \min\left(1, \frac{p\left(\theta^{(prop)}|\boldsymbol{x}\right)}{p\left(\theta^{(t)}|\boldsymbol{x}\right)} \frac{q\left(\theta^{(t)}|\theta^{(prop)}\right)}{q\left(\theta^{(prop)}|\theta^{(t)}\right)}\right)$$
(2.4)

5. Set  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(prop)}$  with the probability of  $\alpha^*$ ; otherwise set  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)}$  and return to 3 until the desired number of iterations is reached.

Although the M-H algorithm is simple and powerful for performing MCMC, its performance highly depends on the choice of the proposal distribution. When there are a few parameters in the model and the proposal distribution is not well-designed, the M-H algorithm will have a very low acceptance rate, which makes the M-H algorithm very inefficient. In view of this issue, Gibbs sampler (Theorem 2.2) was proposed with the idea that the proposed values are always accepted and each parameter is updated one at a time by generating samples from the conditional distirbutions (Geman and Geman 1987; Gelfand and Smith 1990; Lambert 2018). The development of the software Bayesian inference Using Gibbs Sampler (BUGS) (Lunn et al. 2000, 2009) was critical in increasing the popularity of applied Bayesian analyses considering its support for a wide variety of statistical distributions, automatic application of the Gibbs Sampler, and numerous textbooks, tutorials and discussion.

**Theorem 2.2** (Gibbs Sampler). Suppose  $\theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k]$  is a k-dimensional parameter. Let **X** denote the data. The Gibss sampling algorithm is then:

- 1. Begin with an estimate  $\theta^{(0)} = [\theta_1^{(0)}, \theta_2^{(0)}, \cdots, \theta_k^{(0)}]$  in the parameter space,
- 2. Set t = 1,
- 3. Simulate  $\theta_1^{(t)}$  from  $p(\theta_1|\theta_2^{(t-1)}, \theta_3^{(t-1)}, \cdots, \theta_k^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{X})$ ,
- 4. Simulate  $\theta_2^{(t)}$  from  $p(\theta_2|\theta_1^{(t)}, \theta_3^{(t-1)}, \dots, \theta_k^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{X})$ ,
- *5.* ···,
- 6. Simulate  $\theta_k^{(t)}$  from  $p(\theta_k | \theta_1^{(t)}, \theta_3^{(t)}, \dots, \theta_{k-1}^{(t)}, \mathbf{X})$ ,

7. Set  $t \leftarrow t+1$  and repeat steps 3-6 for a pre-specified number of iterations and make sure the Gibbs sampler reaches the steady state for a sufficient number of iterations.

The generality of the M-H algorithm and Gibbs sampler and the simplicity in software packages in R or BUGS help them gain popularity among applied researchers in the recent 30 years. However, as more and more data are available in applied field, the performance of the two most popular MCMC methods has been widely criticized (Betancourt 2019). The performance of the M-H algorithm crucially depends on the proposal distribution. A efficient proposal distribution in M-H algorithm should generate random draws with less auto-correlation, which enables more effective exploration of the parameter space (Quiroz 2015). On the other hand, the performance of the Gibss Sampler crucially depends on the parameter structure. If there is a significant correlation between parameter estimates, the Gibbs Sampler will become very inefficient as the geometry of the distribution is not aligned with the stepping directions of each sampler (Lambert 2018).

#### 2.4 Scalable Bayesian models

Recent ten years witnessed an explosive growth of data size and dimensionality. This poses a major challenge to Bayesian methods using MCMC. Traditional MCMC algorithm need to evaluate the entire data at each step of iteration, which could be expensive for computation in the case of tall data (Bardenet, Doucet, and Holmes 2017). In applied analysis, researchers often need to set thousands of iterations to reach stable posterior distribution, which takes hours or days to implement a single model. Besides, when the researchers have high dimensional data where high-probability regions are concentrated on a extremely limited region of sample space, it would very hard for random-walk MCMC to generate samples from these small regions (Barp et al. 2018). Hierarchical models even complicates this issue by adding random parameters for each subgroup, which further grows the dimensionality of parameter space. Furthermore, when there is high correlation between different parameters

that often occur in the case of many parameters, neither the M-H algorithm or Gibbs sampler can efficiently generate samples from the posterior distribution. All the aforementioned problems motivates researchers in different fields to develop different scalable algorithms to make Bayesian inference for big data.

#### 2.4.1 Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC)

The M-H algorithm can be very inefficient in big data settings as a consequence of sparse high-density parameter space, the cost of evaluating the entire data at each step, and high correlation between parameters. Originally proposed by Duane et al. (1987) with the name of Hybrid Monte Carlo, the Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC) changes the random-walk behavior into a deterministic one by adding auxiliary momentum parameters  $p_n$ , thus more efficiently explores the high-density regions in big data settings compared to the traditional M-H algorithm or the Gibbs sampler (Betancourt 2017; Wang, Broccardo, and Song 2019).

**Theorem 2.3** (Himiltonian mechanics). Let  $\mathbf{q}$  denote the position vector and  $\mathbf{p}$  denote the momentum vector in the conservative dynamics physics system. The combination  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  then defines a position-momentum phase space. The evolution of this system is then governed by the Hamiltonian equations:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\partial K}{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{\partial K}{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{\partial V}{\mathbf{q}}$$
(2.5)

Where  $H = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  is the Hamiltonian that is the total energy of the system.

The Hamiltonian can be decomposed into two components  $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = K(p, q) + V(q)$ . K(p, q) is the kinetic energy that is unconstrained and need to be decided during implementation, and V(q) is the potential energy that only depends on the target posterior distribution (Betancourt 2017).

(Betancourt 2017, 2019; Neal and others 2011)

#### 2.4.2 Integrated Laplace Approximation (INLA)

(Rue, Martino, and Chopin 2009; Lindgren, Rue, and Lindström 2011; Martins et al. 2013; De Coninck et al. 2016; Rue et al. 2017; Verbosio et al. 2017; Kourounis, Fuchs, and Schenk 2018)

#### 2.4.3 Subsampling MCMC

#### Stochastic Gradient HMC

(Quiroz et al., n.d., 2016; Gunawan et al. 2018; Quiroz, Tran, et al. 2018; Quiroz, Kohn, et al. 2018; Dang et al. 2017; Quiroz 2015)

T. Chen, Fox, and Guestrin (2014)

#### 2.5 Conceptual framework

Cantor et al. (2010) suggested three factors that cause truck crashes: driver factors, vehicle factors (type and condition), and environmental factors.

Roshandel, Zheng, and Washington (2015) proposed five factors that affect traffic safety:

(a) behavioral characteristics of the driver, e.g., impairment, fatigue, distractions; (b) vehicle

— the condition of the vehicle; (c) traffic — the traffic conditions; (d) geometry — geometric characteristics of the road, e.g. curve, hill, ramps, etc.; and (e) environmental — characteristics of the surrounding environment, such as weather conditions (rain, snow, night-time driving, etc.). Traffic conditions are the most studied of these and we focus on discussing them in this subsection.

#### 2.6 Gaps in literature

- A focus on crashes instead of precursors of crashes
- A focus on road segments rather than drivers

• A focus on case-control comparison given the rareness of truck crashes rather than rates

### 2.7 Research aims

- 1. **Aim1**: Explore the association between truck crashes and critical events.
- 2. Aim2: Predict critical events using hierarchical statistical models.
- 3. Aim3: scalable hierarchical Bayesian models using subsampling MCMC.

## Chapter 3

### **METHODS**

#### 3.1 Data source

#### 3.1.1 Real-time ping data

The J.B. Hunt Transport Services, a trucking and transportation company in the United States, provided real-time ping data on 496 truck drivers who conducted regional work (REG, JBI00) from April 1st, 2015 to March 29th, 2016. A small device was installed in each truck in the company, which will ping irregularly (typically every 5-30 minutes). Each ping will collect data on the vehicle number, date and time, latitude, longitude, driver identity number, and speed at that second. In total, 13,187,289 pings were provided to the research team. Besides, the company also regularly collected real-time GPS location and time-stamped critical events data for all their trucks. There were 12,458 critical events occurred to these 498 truck drivers during the study period. Four types of critical events were recorded in this critical events data.

To shrink the large size of over 10 million ping data, we rounded the GPS coordinates to the second decimal places, which are worth up to 1.1 kilometers, and we also round the time to the nearest hour. We then queried weather variables from the DarkSky API using the approximated latitudes, longitudes, date and hour. The weather variables used in this

study include precipitation probability, precipitation intensity, and visibility.

For each of the truck drivers, if the ping data showed that the truck was not moving for more than 20 minutes, the ping data were separated into two different trips. These ping data were then aggregated into different trips. A **trip** is therefore defined as a continuous period of driving without stop. The average length of a trip in this study is 2.31 hours with the standard deviation of 1.8 hours.

After the ping data were aggregated into trips, these trips data were then further divded into different shifts according to an eight-hour rest time for each driver. A **shift** is defined as a long period of driving with potentially less than 8 hours' stops. The Shift\_ID column in Table ?? shows different shifts, separated by an eight-hour threshold. The average length of a shift in this study is 8.42 hours with the standard deviation of 2.45 hours.

#### 3.1.2 Weather data from the DarkSky API

The DarkSky API.

#### 3.1.3 Road geometry data from the OpenStreetMap

We queried two road geometry variables from the OpenStreetMap (OSM) project: speed limit and the number of lanes. The OSM data are collaboratively collected by over two million registered users via manual survey, GPS devices, aerial photography, and other open-access sources (Wikipedia contributors 2019). The OpenStreetMap Foundation supports a website to make the data freely available to the public under the Open Database License.

We queried the speed limit and the number of lanes by specifying a bounding box by defining a center point, as well as the width and height in meters in the center\_bbox() function available from the osmar R package (Eugster and Schlesinger 2013). We used real-time longitudes and latitudes as the center point and defined a  $100 \times 100$  meters box to retrieve the two variables. If the  $100 \times 100$  meters box is too small to have any road geometry data, we expanded the box to  $500 \times 500$  and then  $1000 \times 1000$  to obtain geometry data. If

the OSM API returned data from multiple geometry structures, we took the mean as the returned values.

- 3.2 Study design
- 3.3 Analytical Plan for Aim 1
- 3.4 Analytical Plan for Aim 2
- 3.5 Analytical Plan for Aim 3

### Chapter 4

### THE PROBABLE CONTENT

This dissertation will be completed according to the three-paper model. The expected chapter headings are as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction: The problem

- A. Epidemiology of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and its association with second primary malignancies
- 1. Cancer
- 2. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
- 3. Definition of second primary malignancies
- 4. second primary malignancies and Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
- B. Risk factors for second primary malignancies
- 1. Tobacco use
- 2. Excessive alcohol use
- 3. Human papillomavirus infection
- C. Existing literature and gaps that exist in the area of second primary malignancies in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

Chapter 2: Literature review

- A. Introduction
- B. Methods
- 1. Source of data and eligibility/exclusion criteria
- 2. Definitions of primary and secondary outcomes and covariates
- 3. Statistical analysis
- C. Results
- 1. Description of studies included in the study
- 2. Primary outcome results
- 3. Secondary outcome results
- 4. Publication bias and study quality assessment
- D. Discussion/Conclusions
- 1. Implications
- 2. Strengths and limitations
- 3. Future research
- Chapter 3: Aim 1 Truck crashes and critical events
- A. Introduction
- B. Methods
- 1. Source of data and eligibility/exclusion criteria
- 2. Definitions of primary and secondary outcomes and covariates
- 3. Statistical analysis
- C. Results
- 1. Demographics of study population

### CHAPTER 4. THE PROBABLE CONTENT

- 2. Primary outcome results
- 3. Secondary outcome results
- D. Discussion/Conclusions
- 1. Implications
- 2. Strengths and limitations
- 3. Future research

Chapter 4: Aim 2 - Statistical models predicting truck critical events

- A. Introduction
- B. Methods
- 1. Source of data and eligibility/exclusion criteria
- 2. Definitions of primary and secondary outcomes and covariates
- 3. Statistical analysis
- C. Results
- 1. Demographics of study population
- 2. Primary outcome results
- 3. Secondary outcome results
- D. Discussion/Conclusions
- 1. Implications
- 2. Strengths and limitations
- 3. Future research

Chapter 5: Aim 3 - Subsampling Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods

Chapter 6: DISCUSSION

- A. Conclusion
- B. Strengths and limitation
- C. Future research

### CHAPTER 4. THE PROBABLE CONTENT

### Chapter 5

### TRUCK CRASHES AND CRITICAL EVENTS

### CHAPTER 5. TRUCK CRASHES AND CRITICAL EVENTS

### Chapter 6

## THREE STATISTICAL MODELS PREDICTING TRUCK CRITICAL EVENTS

- 6.1 Hierarchical logistic model
- 6.1.1 Model set up
- 6.1.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data
- 6.2 Hierarchical Poisson model
- 6.3 Hierarchical power law process

$$\Lambda(t) = E(N(t))$$

 $\Lambda(t)$  is the expected number of failures through time t.

<sup>\*</sup>Mean function of a point process\*\*:

### CHAPTER 6. THREE STATISTICAL MODELS PREDICTING TRUCK CRITICAL 6.3. HIERARCHICAL POWER LAW PROCESS EVENTS

Rate of Occurence of Failures (ROCOF): When  $\Lambda$  is differentiable, the ROCOF is:

$$\mu(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\Lambda(t)$$

The ROCOF can be interpreted as the instantaneous rate of change in the expected number of failures.

**Intensity function**: The intensity function of a point process is

$$\lambda(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{P(N(t, t + \Delta t) \ge 1)}{\Delta t}$$

When there is no simultaneous events, ROCOF is the same as intensity function.

Nonhomogeneous Poisson Process (NHPP): The NHPP is a Poisson process whose intensity function is non-constant.

Power law process (PLP): When the intensity function of a NHPP is:

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\beta}{\theta} \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^{\beta - 1}$$

Where  $\beta > 0$  and  $\theta > 0$ , the process is called the power law process (PLP).

Therefore, the mean function  $\Lambda(t)$  is the integral of the intensity function:

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(t)dt = \int_0^t \frac{\beta}{\theta} \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^{\beta - 1} = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^{\beta}$$

6.3.1

### 6.3.2 Bayesian estimation based on simulated data

### Chapter 7

# HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODELS USING SUBSAMPLING MARKOVE CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS

- Comparison with results given by frequentist models using REML (lmer4)
- Comparison with results given by Bayesian models using HMC (rstan)
- Comparison with results given Bayesian models using INLA (INLA)

### CHAPTER 7. HIERARCHICAL BAYESIAN MODELS USING SUBSAMPLING MARKOVE CHAIN MONTE CARLO METHODS

### Chapter 8

### **DISCUSSION**

### **APPENDIX**

### Data query

Weather data

Road geometry data

```
"[[:digit:]]+"))
  num_lanes <- as.numeric(str_extract(road_inf[row_lane, "Value"],</pre>
                                       "[[:digit:]]+"))
  return(c(mean(max speed), mean(num lanes)))
}
loop data = function(start index = 1, loop length = 100000){
  end_index = start_index + loop_length
  out_data = data.frame(matrix(0, ncol = 2, nrow = loop_length))
  df_index_diff = start_index-1
  for (i in start_index:end_index) {
    out_data[i-df_index_diff,] = road_data(i, data = df)
    print(paste0(end_index - i, " remained (",
                 round((end_index - i)*100/
                          (end_index-df_index_diff), 3), "%)"))
  }
  return(out_data)
```

```
df = data.table::fread("data/20190605_ping_compressed_3digits.csv")
dfcontainer12 = loop_data(start_index = 1)
```

### Bibliography

Abdel-Aty, Mohamed A, Hany M Hassan, Mohamed Ahmed, and Ali S Al-Ghamdi. 2012. "Real-Time Prediction of Visibility Related Crashes." *Transportation Research Part C:* Emerging Technologies 24: 288–98.

Al-Ghamdi, Ali S. 2007. "Experimental Evaluation of Fog Warning System." Accident Analysis & Prevention 39 (6): 1065–72.

Ameratunga, Shanthi, Martha Hijar, and Robyn Norton. 2006. "Road-Traffic Injuries: Confronting Disparities to Address a Global-Health Problem." *The Lancet* 367 (9521): 1533–40.

American Automobile Association Foundation for Traffic Safety. 2010. "Asleep at the Wheel: The Prevalence and Impact of Drowsy Driving." https://www.aaafoundation.org/sites/default/files/2010DrowsyDrivingReport\_1.pdf.

Anderson, Jason R, Jeffrey D Ogden, William A Cunningham, and Christine Schubert-Kabban. 2017. "An Exploratory Study of Hours of Service and Its Safety Impact on Motorists." *Transport Policy* 53: 161–74.

Azam, Khizar, Abdul Shakoor, Riaz Akbar Shah, Afzal Khan, Shaukat Ali Shah, and Muhammad Shahid Khalil. 2014. "Comparison of Fatigue Related Road Traffic Crashes on the National Highways and Motorways in Pakistan." *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* 33 (2).

Åkerstedt, Torbjörn. 1988. "Sleepiness as a Consequence of Shift Work." *Sleep* 11 (1): 17–34.

Baker, CJ, and Sheila Reynolds. 1992. "Wind-Induced Accidents of Road Vehicles."

Accident Analysis & Prevention 24 (6): 559–75.

Bardenet, Rémi, Arnaud Doucet, and Chris Holmes. 2017. "On Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods for Tall Data." *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18 (1): 1515–57.

Barp, Alessandro, François-Xavier Briol, Anthony D Kennedy, and Mark Girolami. 2018. "Geometry and Dynamics for Markov Chain Monte Carlo." *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application* 5: 451–71.

Basagaña, Xavier, Juan Pablo Escalera-Antezana, Payam Dadvand, Òscar Llatje, Jose Barrera-Gómez, Jordi Cunillera, Mercedes Medina-Ramón, and Katherine Pérez. 2015. "High Ambient Temperatures and Risk of Motor Vehicle Crashes in Catalonia, Spain (2000–2011): A Time-Series Analysis." *Environmental Health Perspectives* 123 (12): 1309–16.

Betancourt, Michael. 2017. "A Conceptual Introduction to Hamiltonian Monte Carlo." arXiv Preprint arXiv:1701.02434.

——. 2019. "The Convergence of Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods: From the Metropolis Method to Hamiltonian Monte Carlo." *Annalen Der Physik* 531 (3): 1700214.

Braver, Elisa R, Paul L Zador, Denise Thum, Eric L Mitter, Herbert M Baum, and Frank J Vilardo. 1997. "Tractor-Trailer Crashes in Indiana: A Case-Control Study of the Role of Truck Configuration." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 29 (1): 79–96.

Canani, SF, AB John, MG Raymundi, S Schönwald, and SS Menna Barreto. 2005. "Prevalence of Sleepiness in a Group of Brazilian Lorry Drivers." *Public Health* 119 (10): 925–29.

Cantor, David E, Thomas M Corsi, Curtis M Grimm, and Koray Özpolat. 2010. "A Driver Focused Truck Crash Prediction Model." *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 46 (5): 683–92.

Chang, Li-Yen, and Wen-Chieh Chen. 2005. "Data Mining of Tree-Based Models to Analyze Freeway Accident Frequency." *Journal of Safety Research* 36 (4): 365–75.

Chen, Chen, and Yuanchang Xie. 2014. "Modeling the Safety Impacts of Driving Hours and Rest Breaks on Truck Drivers Considering Time-Dependent Covariates." *Journal of* 

Safety Research 51: 57–63.

Chen, Tianqi, Emily Fox, and Carlos Guestrin. 2014. "Stochastic Gradient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo." In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 1683–91.

Clarke, David D, Patrick Ward, Craig Bartle, and Wendy Truman. 2006. "Young Driver Accidents in the Uk: The Influence of Age, Experience, and Time of Day." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 38 (5): 871–78.

Craiu, Radu V, and Jeffrey S Rosenthal. 2014. "Bayesian Computation via Markov Chain Monte Carlo." Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application 1: 179–201.

Dalal, Koustuv, Zhiquin Lin, Mervyn Gifford, and Leif Svanström. 2013. "Economics of Global Burden of Road Traffic Injuries and Their Relationship with Health System Variables." International Journal of Preventive Medicine 4 (12): 1442.

Dang, Khue-Dung, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, Minh-Ngoc Tran, and Mattias Villani. 2017. "Hamiltonian Monte Carlo with Energy Conserving Subsampling." arXiv Preprint arXiv:1708.00955.

Davis, Amelia, Elizabeth Hacker, Peter T Savolainen, and Timothy J Gates. 2015. "Longitudinal Analysis of Rural Interstate Fatalities in Relation to Speed Limit Policies." *Transportation Research Record* 2514 (1): 21–31.

De Coninck, Arne, Bernard De Baets, Drosos Kourounis, Fabio Verbosio, Olaf Schenk, Steven Maenhout, and Jan Fostier. 2016. "Needles: Toward Large-Scale Genomic Prediction with Marker-by-Environment Interaction." *Genetics* 203 (1): 543–55. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.179887.

Dement, William C. 1997. "The Perils of Drowsy Driving." The New England Journal of Medicine 337 (11): 783–84.

Department of Transportation, Utah. 2019. "TRUCKS Need More Time to Stop." https://www.udot.utah.gov/trucksmart/motorist-home/stopping-distances/.

Di Milia, Lee, Michael H Smolensky, Giovanni Costa, Heidi D Howarth, Maurice M Ohayon, and Pierre Philip. 2011. "Demographic Factors, Fatigue, and Driving Accidents:

An Examination of the Published Literature." Accident Analysis & Prevention 43 (2): 516–32.

Dingus, Thomas A, Vicki L Neale, Sheila G Klauer, Andrew D Petersen, and Robert J Carroll. 2006. "The Development of a Naturalistic Data Collection System to Perform Critical Incident Analysis: An Investigation of Safety and Fatigue Issues in Long-Haul Trucking." Accident Analysis & Prevention 38 (6): 1127–36.

Duane, Simon, Anthony D Kennedy, Brian J Pendleton, and Duncan Roweth. 1987. "Hybrid Monte Carlo." *Physics Letters B* 195 (2): 216–22.

Duke, Janine, Maya Guest, and May Boggess. 2010. "Age-Related Safety in Professional Heavy Vehicle Drivers: A Literature Review." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 42 (2): 364–71.

Eugster, Manuel JA, and Thomas Schlesinger. 2013. "Osmar: OpenStreetMap and R." The R Journal 5 (1): 53–63.

Evans, Leonard. 2014. "Traffic Fatality Reductions: United States Compared with 25 Other Countries." American Journal of Public Health 104 (8): 1501–7.

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. 2017. "Summary of Hours of Service Regulations." https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/regulations/hours-service/summary-hours-service-regulations.

Fjell, Ylva, Kristina Alexanderson, Mikael Nordenmark, and Carina Bildt. 2008. "Perceived Physical Strain in Paid and Unpaid Work and the Work-Home Interface: The Associations with Musculoskeletal Pain and Fatigue Among Public Employees." Women & Health 47 (1): 21–44.

FMCSA. 2016. "Fatal occupational injuries by event, 2016." https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/docs/safety/data-and-statistics/84856/cmvtrafficsafetyfact2017.pdf.

——. 2018. "Large Truck and Bus Crash Facts 2016." https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/docs/safety/data-and-statistics/398686/ltbcf-2016-final-

### 508c-may-2018.pdf.

Gelfand, Alan E, and Adrian FM Smith. 1990. "Sampling-Based Approaches to Calculating Marginal Densities." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 85 (410): 398–409.

Gelman, Andrew, Hal S Stern, John B Carlin, David B Dunson, Aki Vehtari, and Donald B Rubin. 2013. *Bayesian Data Analysis*. Chapman; Hall/CRC.

Geman, Stuart, and Donald Geman. 1987. "Stochastic Relaxation, Gibbs Distributions, and the Bayesian Restoration of Images." In *Readings in Computer Vision*, 564–84. Elsevier.

Goonewardene, Sanchia S, Khalid Baloch, Keith Porter, Ian Sargeant, and Gamini Punchihewa. 2010. "Road Traffic Collisions—Case Fatality Rate, Crash Injury Rate, and Number of Motor Vehicles: Time Trends Between a Developed and Developing Country." *The American Surgeon* 76 (9): 977–81.

Graham, Daniel J, and Stephen Glaister. 2003. "Spatial Variation in Road Pedestrian Casualties: The Role of Urban Scale, Density and Land-Use Mix." *Urban Studies* 40 (8): 1591–1607.

Grimes, David A, and Kenneth F Schulz. 2005. "Compared to What? Finding Controls for Case-Control Studies." *The Lancet* 365 (9468): 1429–33.

Gunawan, David, Khue-Dung Dang, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, and Minh-Ngoc Tran. 2018. "Subsampling Sequential Monte Carlo for Static Bayesian Models." arXiv Preprint arXiv:1805.03317.

Hastings, W Keith. 1970. "Monte Carlo Sampling Methods Using Markov Chains and Their Applications."

Huang, Yueng-hsiang, Dov Zohar, Michelle M Robertson, Angela Garabet, Jin Lee, and Lauren A Murphy. 2013. "Development and Validation of Safety Climate Scales for Lone Workers Using Truck Drivers as Exemplar." *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* 17: 5–19.

Hyder, Adnan A, Katharine A Allen, Gayle Di Pietro, Claudia A Adriazola, Rochelle Sobel, Kelly Larson, and Margie Peden. 2012. "Addressing the Implementation Gap in

Global Road Safety: Exploring Features of an Effective Response and Introducing a 10-Country Program." American Journal of Public Health 102 (6): 1061–7.

Janakiraman, Vijay Manikandan, Bryan Matthews, and Nikunj Oza. 2016. "Discovery of Precursors to Adverse Events Using Time Series Data." In *Proceedings of the 2016 Siam International Conference on Data Mining*, 639–47. SIAM.

Jiang, Baoguo, Song Liang, Zhong-Ren Peng, Haozhe Cong, Morgan Levy, Qu Cheng, Tianbing Wang, and Justin V Remais. 2017. "Transport and Public Health in China: The Road to a Healthy Future." *The Lancet* 390 (10104): 1781–91.

Kampe, Eveline Otte im, Sari Kovats, and Shakoor Hajat. 2016. "Impact of High Ambient Temperature on Unintentional Injuries in High-Income Countries: A Narrative Systematic Literature Review." *BMJ Open* 6 (2): e010399.

Kjellstrom, Tord, R Sari Kovats, Simon J Lloyd, Tom Holt, and Richard SJ Tol. 2009. "The Direct Impact of Climate Change on Regional Labor Productivity." *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health* 64 (4): 217–27.

Knipling, Ronald R, and Jing-Shiarn Wang. 1994. Crashes and Fatalities Related to Driver Drowsiness/Fatigue. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Washington, DC.

Korkut, Murat, Sherif Ishak, and Brian Wolshon. 2010. "Freeway Truck Lane Restriction and Differential Speed Limits: Crash Analysis and Traffic Characteristics." *Transportation Research Record* 2194 (1): 11–20.

Kourounis, D., A. Fuchs, and O. Schenk. 2018. "Towards the Next Generation of Multiperiod Optimal Power Flow Solvers." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* PP (99): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2017.2789187.

Kruschke, John. 2014. Doing Bayesian Data Analysis: A Tutorial with R, Jags, and Stan. Academic Press.

Kusano, Kristofer D, and Hampton C Gabler. 2012. "Safety Benefits of Forward Collision Warning, Brake Assist, and Autonomous Braking Systems in Rear-End Collisions." *IEEE* 

Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 13 (4): 1546–55.

Lambert, Ben. 2018. A Student's Guide to Bayesian Statistics. Sage.

Lauderdale, Diane S, Kristen L Knutson, Lijing L Yan, Paul J Rathouz, Stephen B Hulley, Steve Sidney, and Kiang Liu. 2006. "Objectively Measured Sleep Characteristics Among Early-Middle-Aged Adults: The Cardia Study." *American Journal of Epidemiology* 164 (1): 5–16.

Leard, Benjamin, Kevin Roth, and others. 2015. "Weather, Traffic Accidents, and Climate Change." Resources for the Future Discussion Paper, 15–19.

Leechawengwongs, Manoon, Evelyn Leechawengwongs, Chakrit Sukying, and Umaporn Udomsubpayakul. 2006. "Role of Drowsy Driving in Traffic Accidents: A Questionnaire Survey of Thai Commercial Bus/Truck Drivers." *JOURNAL-MEDICAL ASSOCIATION OF THAILAND* 89 (11): 1845.

Lemp, Jason D, Kara M Kockelman, and Avinash Unnikrishnan. 2011. "Analysis of Large Truck Crash Severity Using Heteroskedastic Ordered Probit Models." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 43 (1): 370–80.

Lindgren, Finn, Håvard Rue, and Johan Lindström. 2011. "An Explicit Link Between Gaussian Fields and Gaussian Markov Random Fields: The Stochastic Partial Differential Equation Approach (with Discussion)." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B* 73 (4): 423–98.

Litman, Todd. 2013. "Transportation and Public Health." *Annual Review of Public Health* 34: 217–33.

Lunn, David J, Andrew Thomas, Nicky Best, and David Spiegelhalter. 2000. "WinBUGS-a Bayesian Modelling Framework: Concepts, Structure, and Extensibility." *Statistics and Computing* 10 (4): 325–37.

Lunn, David, David Spiegelhalter, Andrew Thomas, and Nicky Best. 2009. "The Bugs Project: Evolution, Critique and Future Directions." *Statistics in Medicine* 28 (25): 3049–67. MacLean, Alistair W, David RT Davies, and Kris Thiele. 2003. "The Hazards and

Prevention of Driving While Sleepy." Sleep Medicine Reviews 7 (6): 507–21.

Martins, Thiago G., Daniel Simpson, Finn Lindgren, and Håvard Rue. 2013. "Bayesian Computing with INLA: New Features." *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 67: 68–83.

Metropolis, Nicholas, Arianna W Rosenbluth, Marshall N Rosenbluth, Augusta H Teller, and Edward Teller. 1953. "Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines." The Journal of Chemical Physics 21 (6): 1087–92.

Meuleners, Lynn, Michelle L Fraser, Matthew H Govorko, and Mark R Stevenson. 2015. "Obstructive Sleep Apnea, Health-Related Factors, and Long Distance Heavy Vehicle Crashes in Western Australia: A Case Control Study." *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 11 (04): 413–18.

Mitler, Merrill M, James C Miller, Jeffrey J Lipsitz, James K Walsh, and C Dennis Wylie. 1997. "The Sleep of Long-Haul Truck Drivers." *New England Journal of Medicine* 337 (11): 755–62.

Moneta, Giovanni B, Annette Leclerc, Jean-François Chastang, Patrick Dang Tran, and Marcel Goldberg. 1996. "Time-Trend of Sleep Disorder in Relation to Night Work: A Study of Sequential 1-Year Prevalences Within the Gazel Cohort." *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 49 (10): 1133–41.

Naik, Bhaven, Li-Wei Tung, Shanshan Zhao, and Aemal J Khattak. 2016. "Weather Impacts on Single-Vehicle Truck Crash Injury Severity." *Journal of Safety Research* 58: 57–65.

Nantulya, Vinand M, and Michael R Reich. 2002. "The Neglected Epidemic: Road Traffic Injuries in Developing Countries." *Bmj* 324 (7346): 1139–41.

National Crime Records Bureau, Government of India. 2015. "NCRB 2016 Report, Chapter 1A: Traffic Accidents." http://ncrb.gov.in/StatPublications/ADSI/ADSI2015/chapter-1A%20traffic%20accidents.pdf.

National Sleep Foundation. 2008. "2008 State of the States Report on Drowsy Driving."

http://drowsydriving.org/resources/2008-state-of-the-states-report-on-drowsydriving/.

National Transportation Safety Board. 1990. "Safety Study: Fatigue, Alcohol, Other Drugs, and Medical Factors in Fatal-to-the-Driver Heavy Truck Crashes." National Transportation Safety Board.

Neal, Radford M, and others. 2011. "MCMC Using Hamiltonian Dynamics." *Handbook of Markov Chain Monte Carlo* 2 (11): 2.

Neeley, Grant W, and Lilliard E Richardson Jr. 2009. "The Effect of State Regulations on Truck-Crash Fatalities." *American Journal of Public Health* 99 (3): 408–15.

Née, Mélanie, Benjamin Contrand, Ludivine Orriols, Cédric Gil-Jardiné, Cedric Galéra, and Emmanuel Lagarde. 2019. "Road Safety and Distraction, Results from a Responsibility Case-Control Study Among a Sample of Road Users Interviewed at the Emergency Room." Accident Analysis & Prevention 122: 19–24.

Odero, Wilson, Meleckidzedeck Khayesi, and PM Heda. 2003. "Road Traffic Injuries in Kenya: Magnitude, Causes and Status of Intervention." *Injury Control and Safety Promotion* 10 (1-2): 53–61.

Olson, Ryan, Brad Wipfli, Sharon V Thompson, Diane L Elliot, W Kent Anger, Todd Bodner, Leslie B Hammer, and Nancy A Perrin. 2016. "Weight Control Intervention for Truck Drivers: The Shift Randomized Controlled Trial, United States." *American Journal of Public Health* 106 (9): 1698–1706.

Pack, Allan I, Andrew M Pack, Eric Rodgman, Andrew Cucchiara, David F Dinges, and C William Schwab. 1995. "Characteristics of Crashes Attributed to the Driver Having Fallen Asleep." Accident Analysis & Prevention 27 (6): 769–75.

Peden, Margie, Richard Scurfield, David Sleet, Dinesh Mohan, Adnan A Hyder, Eva Jarawan, Colin D Mathers, and others. 2004. "World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention." World Health Organization Geneva.

Pérez-Chada, Daniel, Alejandro J Videla, Martin E O'flaherty, Patricia Palermo, Jorgelina

Meoni, Maria I Sarchi, Marina Khoury, and Joaqun Durán-Cantolla. 2005. "Sleep Habits and Accident Risk Among Truck Drivers: A Cross-Sectional Study in Argentina." Sleep 28 (9): 1103–8.

Popkin, Stephen M, Stephanie L Morrow, Tara E Di Domenico, and Heidi D Howarth. 2008. "Age Is More Than Just a Number: Implications for an Aging Workforce in the Us Transportation Sector." *Applied Ergonomics* 39 (5): 542–49.

Pylkkönen, M, M Sihvola, HK Hyvärinen, S Puttonen, C Hublin, and M Sallinen. 2015. "Sleepiness, Sleep, and Use of Sleepiness Countermeasures in Shift-Working Long-Haul Truck Drivers." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 80: 201–10.

Quiroz, Matias. 2015. "Bayesian Inference in Large Data Problems." PhD thesis, Department of Statistics, Stockholm University.

Quiroz, Matias, Robert Kohn, Mattias Villani, and Minh-Ngoc Tran. 2018. "Speeding up Mcmc by Efficient Data Subsampling." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1–13.

Quiroz, Matias, Minh-Ngoc Tran, Mattias Villani, and Robert Kohn. 2018. "Speeding up Mcmc by Delayed Acceptance and Data Subsampling." *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 27 (1): 12–22.

Quiroz, Matias, Minh-Ngoc Tran, Mattias Villani, Robert Kohn, and Khue-Dung Dang. 2016. "The Block-Poisson Estimator for Optimally Tuned Exact Subsampling Mcmc." arXiv Preprint arXiv:1603.08232.

Quiroz, Matias, Mattias Villani, Robert Kohn, Minh-Ngoc Tran, and Khue-Dung Dang. n.d. "Subsampling Mcmc-an Introduction for the Survey Statistician." Sankhya A, 1–37.

Rome, Liz de, Julie Brown, Matthew Baldock, and Michael Fitzharris. 2018. "Near-Miss Crashes and Other Predictors of Motorcycle Crashes: Findings from a Population-Based Survey." *Traffic Injury Prevention* 19 (sup2): S20–S26.

Roshandel, Saman, Zuduo Zheng, and Simon Washington. 2015. "Impact of Real-Time Traffic Characteristics on Freeway Crash Occurrence: Systematic Review and Meta-

Analysis." Accident Analysis & Prevention 79: 198–211.

Rotenberg, Lúcia, Luciana Fernandes Portela, Bahby Banks, Rosane Harter Griep, Frida Marina Fischer, and Paul Landsbergis. 2008. "A Gender Approach to Work Ability and Its Relationship to Professional and Domestic Work Hours Among Nursing Personnel." *Applied Ergonomics* 39 (5): 646–52.

Rue, Håvard, Sara Martino, and Nicholas Chopin. 2009. "Approximate Bayesian Inference for Latent Gaussian Models Using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximations (with Discussion)." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B 71: 319–92.

Rue, Håvard, Andrea I. Riebler, Sigrunn H. Sørbye, Janine B. Illian, Daniel P. Simpson, and Finn K. Lindgren. 2017. "Bayesian Computing with INLA: A Review." *Annual Reviews of Statistics and Its Applications* 4 (March): 395–421. http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.00860.

Saleh, Joseph H, Elizabeth A Saltmarsh, Francesca M Favaro, and Loic Brevault. 2013. "Accident Precursors, Near Misses, and Warning Signs: Critical Review and Formal Definitions Within the Framework of Discrete Event Systems." *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 114: 148–54.

Sallinen, Mikael, Mikko HÄRMÄ, Pertti Mutanen, Riikka RANTA, Jussi Virkkala, and Kiti MÜLLER. 2005. "Sleepiness in Various Shift Combinations of Irregular Shift Systems." Industrial Health 43 (1): 114–22.

Sedgwick, Philip. 2014. "Case-Control Studies: Advantages and Disadvantages." *Bmj* 348: f7707.

Solomon, Andrew J, John T Doucette, Elizabeth Garland, and Thomas McGinn. 2004. "Healthcare and the Long Haul: Long Distance Truck Drivers—a Medically Underserved Population." *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 46 (5): 463–71.

Staton, Catherine, Joao Vissoci, Enying Gong, Nicole Toomey, Rebeccah Wafula, Jihad Abdelgadir, Yi Zhou, et al. 2016. "Road Traffic Injury Prevention Initiatives: A Systematic Review and Metasummary of Effectiveness in Low and Middle Income Countries." *PLoS One* 11 (1): e0144971.

Stern, Hal S, Daniel Blower, Michael L Cohen, Charles A Czeisler, David F Dinges, Joel B Greenhouse, Feng Guo, et al. 2018. "Data and Methods for Studying Commercial Motor Vehicle Driver Fatigue, Highway Safety and Long-Term Driver Health." Accident Analysis & Prevention.

The National Safety Council. 2018. "Vehicle Deaths Estimated at 40,000 for Third Straight Year." https://www.nsc.org/road-safety/safety-topics/fatality-estimates.

Theofilatos, Athanasios, George Yannis, Pantelis Kopelias, and Fanis Papadimitriou. 2016. "Predicting Road Accidents: A Rare-Events Modeling Approach." *Transportation Research Procedia* 14: 3399–3405.

——. 2018. "Impact of Real-Time Traffic Characteristics on Crash Occurrence: Preliminary Results of the Case of Rare Events." *Accident Analysis & Prevention*.

The United States, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017. "Fatal occupational injuries by event, 2017." https://www.bls.gov/charts/census-of-fatal-occupational-injuries/fatal-occupational-injuries-by-event-drilldown.htm.

Van Ravenzwaaij, Don, Pete Cassey, and Scott D Brown. 2018. "A Simple Introduction to Markov Chain Monte–Carlo Sampling." *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* 25 (1): 143–54.

Verbosio, Fabio, Arne De Coninck, Drosos Kourounis, and Olaf Schenk. 2017. "Enhancing the Scalability of Selected Inversion Factorization Algorithms in Genomic Prediction." Journal of Computational Science 22 (Supplement C): 99–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2017.08.013.

Wang, Ziqi, Marco Broccardo, and Junho Song. 2019. "Hamiltonian Monte Carlo Methods for Subset Simulation in Reliability Analysis." *Structural Safety* 76: 51–67.

WHO. 2018a. "Road traffic injuries." http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs358/en/.

——. 2018b. "The Top 10 Causes of Death." http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death.

Wikipedia contributors. 2019. "OpenStreetMap — Wikipedia, the Free Encyclopedia."

### https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=OpenStreetMap&oldid=900226891.

Zaloshnja, Eduard, Ted Miller, and others. 2008. "Unit Costs of Medium and Heavy Truck Crashes." The United States. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration.

Zhang, Guangnan, Kelvin KW Yau, Xun Zhang, and Yanyan Li. 2016. "Traffic Accidents Involving Fatigue Driving and Their Extent of Casualties." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 87: 34–42.

Zhang, Wei, Omer Tsimhoni, Michael Sivak, and Michael J Flannagan. 2010. "Road Safety in China: Analysis of Current Challenges." *Journal of Safety Research* 41 (1): 25–30.

Zhang, Xingjian, Xiaohua Zhao, Hongji Du, and Jian Rong. 2014. "A Study on the Effects of Fatigue Driving and Drunk Driving on Drivers' Physical Characteristics." *Traffic Injury Prevention* 15 (8): 801–8.

Zhu, Xiaoyu, and Sivaramakrishnan Srinivasan. 2011. "A Comprehensive Analysis of Factors Influencing the Injury Severity of Large-Truck Crashes." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 43 (1): 49–57.

### Vita Auctoris

Miao Cai was born and raised in Xinzhou district, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China.