

## GENERATING HOMOGENEOUS POISSON PROCESSES

RAGHU PASUPATHY  
Department of Industrial and Systems  
Engineering, Virginia Tech,  
Blacksburg, Virginia

Recall that a *counting process*  $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$  is a stochastic process defined on a sample space  $\Omega$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the function  $N_t(\omega)$  is a “realization” of the number of “events” happening in the interval  $(0, t]$ , with  $N_0(\omega) = 0$ . By this definition,  $N_t(\omega)$  is automatically integer valued, nondecreasing, and right-continuous for each  $\omega$ . A nonhomogeneous Poisson process is a type of counting process that is characterized as follows.

**Definition 1.** A counting process  $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$  is called a nonhomogeneous Poisson process if:

- (i)  $\forall t, s \geq 0$ , and  $0 \leq u \leq t$ ,  $N_{t+s} - N_t$  is independent of  $N_u$ ;
- (ii)  $\forall t, s \geq 0$ ,  $\Pr\{N_{t+s} - N_t \geq 2\} = o(s)$ ; and
- (iii)  $\forall t, s \geq 0$ ,  $\Pr\{N_{t+s} - N_t = 1\} = \lambda(t)s + o(s)$ , where  $\lambda(t)$  is some positive-valued function.

Definition 1 has been adopted from Billingsley [1, p. 297], with the notation  $o(s)$  used in the usual sense—to denote a function  $v(s)$  that satisfies  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} v(s)/s = 0$  [2, p. 1]. The function  $\lambda(t)$  appearing in Definition 1, called the *rate function*, completely characterizes the Poisson process. Various other definitions of a Poisson process are available and quite prevalent. See, for instance, Cox and Lewis [3], Ross [4], Gnedenko and Kovalenko [5], and Çinlar [6] (Section 23 in Ref. 1 discusses the equivalence of various definitions of the Poisson process.) For example, Çinlar [6] provides the following definition based on the sample-paths of  $N_t$  that is particularly relevant to the current context.

**Definition 2.** A counting process  $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$  is called a nonhomogeneous Poisson process if:

- (i)  $\forall t, s \geq 0$ , and  $0 \leq u \leq t$ ,  $N_{t+s} - N_t$  is independent of  $N_u$ ;
- (ii)  $\forall t \geq 0$  and for almost all  $\omega$ , the mapping  $t \rightarrow N_t(\omega)$  has jumps of unit magnitude only, that is,  $N_t(\omega) - \lim_{s \uparrow t} N_s(\omega) = 0$  or  $1 \forall t \geq 0$  and for almost all  $\omega$ .

It is worth noting that Definitions 1 and 2 are not equivalent—the latter definition allows (unit) jumps of positive probability in the sample-paths, in which case, the rate function  $\lambda(t)$  appearing in Definition 1 simply does not exist.

In what follows, we discuss existing methods to generate pseudorandom numbers from a nonhomogeneous Poisson process. By this, we mean generating, on a digital computer, a realization of event times  $\{t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  from a Poisson process. The Poisson process is specified either through its rate function  $\lambda(t)$  (when it exists), or more generally through its expectation function  $\Lambda(t) \equiv E[N_t]$ . When the rate function  $\lambda(t)$  exists,  $\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(y) dy$ .

## GENERATING NONHOMOGENEOUS POISSON PROCESSES

As discussed in (see **Generating Nonhomogeneous Poisson Processes**) homogeneous Poisson processes can be generated very efficiently and in a fairly straightforward fashion. This is in some contrast with nonhomogeneous Poisson processes, where generation methods tend to be much less straightforward. We categorize the available methods for generating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes into three broad groups: (i) inversion methods, (ii) order statistics methods, and (iii) acceptance–rejection methods. We discuss each of these in what follows.

### Inversion

The earliest known inversion method seems to be the technique devised by Çinlar [6, p. 96]. It is based on an interesting property of nonhomogeneous Poisson processes with a continuous expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$ .

**Theorem 1 [Çinlar, 1975].** *Let  $\Lambda(t), t \geq 0$  be a positive-valued, continuous, nondecreasing function. Then the random variables  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  are event times corresponding to a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$  if and only if  $\Lambda(T_1), \Lambda(T_2), \dots$  are the event times corresponding to a homogeneous Poisson process with rate one.*

Theorem 1 provides a method to generate event times from a nonhomogeneous Poisson process that is straightforward in principle. First, generate event times from a homogeneous Poisson process with rate one, and then invert  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  to obtain the event times of the required process.

### Algorithm 1 (Çinlar's Method).

- (0) Initialize  $s = 0$ .
- (1) Generate  $u \sim U(0, 1)$ .
- (2) Set  $s \leftarrow s - \log(u)$ .
- (3) Set  $t \leftarrow \inf\{v : \Lambda(v) \geq s\}$ .
- (4) Deliver  $t$ .
- (5) Go to Step (1).

When the expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$  falls in a particular family of functions that facilitates efficient analytic inversion in Step (3), Algorithm 1 tends to be very fast. This happens only infrequently, however, and implementation often involves employing expensive numerical quadrature for inversion [7] in Step (3). Adoption of Çinlar's method should thus be considered accordingly. Code for a rudimentary version of Çinlar's method can be found through the website <https://filebox.vt.edu/users/pasupath/pasupath.htm>.

Theorem 1 assumes the continuity of the expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$ . When  $\Lambda(t)$  has discontinuities, Algorithm 1 can be adapted in

a somewhat straightforward fashion. See, Çinlar [6, p. 100] and Resnick [8] for different treatments.

Another inversion approach to generating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes stems from the distribution of inter-event times. Specifically, consider the  $i$ th inter-event time  $X_i = T_{i+1} - T_i$  conditional on the first  $i$  event times  $T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2, \dots, T_i = t_i$ . We can derive the cdf of  $X_i$  (conditional on  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_i$ ) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{t_i}(x) &= \Pr\{X_i \leq x | T_j = t_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, i\} \\
 &= \Pr\{N_{t_i+x} - N_{t_i} \geq 1 | T_j = t_j, \\
 &\quad j = 1, 2, \dots, i\} \\
 &= \Pr\{N_{t_i+x} - N_{t_i} \geq 1\} \\
 &= 1 - \Pr\{N_{t_i+x} - N_{t_i} = 0\} \\
 &= 1 - \exp(-\Lambda(t_i + x) + \Lambda(t_i)), \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is from the independent increments property of the Poisson process. (We again note here that Equation (1) is not true in general if  $\Lambda(t)$  has jumps. It can, however, be shown that Equation (1) will still hold if the location of the jumps has a Poisson number of events with mean equal to the value of the jump [8].)

The cdf appearing in Equation (1) provides a natural method of generating a nonhomogeneous Poisson process—given the previous  $i$  event times, generate the  $(i+1)$ th event time as the sum of the  $i$ th event time and the  $i$ th inter-event time distributed according to  $F_{t_i}$ .

### Algorithm 2.

- (0) Initialize  $t = 0$ .
- (1) Generate  $x \sim F_t$  given by Equation (1).
- (2) Set  $t \leftarrow t + x$ .
- (3) Deliver  $t$ .
- (4) Go to Step (1).

Like Çinlar's method, Algorithm 2 is direct, exact, and elegant. However, and again like Çinlar's method, Algorithm 2 is efficient only when the form of the expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$  renders easy generation from

$F_t$ . Specifically, suppose the cdf-inverse method is used to generate from  $F_t$  in Step (1). If the nonhomogeneous Poisson process is specified through the rate function  $\lambda(t)$ , this amounts to finding  $x$  satisfying

$$\int_t^{t+x} \lambda(y) dy = -\ln(1-u), \text{ where } u \sim U(0,1). \quad (2)$$

In general, the problem appearing in Equation (2) can be solved only using numerical quadrature [7], and can hence be computationally expensive. (Public domain software is available for this purpose [9].)

By contrast, if enough structure is present and known, efficient tailor-made techniques can be devised to solve Equation (2). One such example is the piecewise-linear rate function considered by Klein and Roberts [10], and by Lee *et al.* [11], with success. Specifically, Klein and Roberts [10] assume that  $\lambda(t)$  is a continuous, piecewise-linear function connecting the points  $(0, \lambda_0), (t_1, \lambda_1), (t_2, \lambda_2), \dots$ . Therefore, generating from the distribution  $F_t$  in Step (1) of Algorithm 2 amounts to identifying  $x$  such that  $-\log(1-u) = \Lambda(t_i, x)$  where  $\Lambda(t_i, x) \equiv \Lambda(t_i + x) - \Lambda(t_i)$ . Through straightforward integration of the piecewise-linear function, Klein and Roberts [10] come up with an expression for the function  $\Lambda(t_i, x)$  and the corresponding root  $x$  that satisfies  $-\log(1-u) = \Lambda(t_i, x)$ .

One important point needs to be noted in implementing the inversion methods that we have discussed. Depending on the nature of the expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$ , the distribution of the time until the next event may not be well defined. In particular, there may be a positive probability of observing no events past a particular point in time, that is, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\Pr\{X_i > x\} > \delta$  for all  $x > 0$ . In such a case, Step (3) in Çinlar's method may have no solution, and  $F_t$  in Equation (1) may not be a proper cdf.

### Order Statistics

Let us now state a general result on the distribution of the event times of a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$ .

**Theorem 2 [Cox and Lewis, 1962].** *Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  be random variables representing the event times of a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with continuous expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$ , and let  $N_t$  represent the total number of events occurring before time  $t$  in the process. Then, conditional on the number of events  $N_{t_0} = n$ , the event times  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  are distributed as order statistics from a sample with distribution function  $F(t) = \Lambda(t)/\Lambda(t_0)$  for  $t \in [0, t_0]$ .*

Theorem 2 is a generalization of the result for homogeneous Poisson processes. It naturally gives rise to Algorithm 3 for generating random variates from a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with expectation function  $\Lambda(t)$  in a fixed interval  $[0, t_0]$ .

### Algorithm 3.

- (1) Generate  $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\Lambda(t_0))$ .
- (2) Independently generate  $n$  random variates  $t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_n$  from the cdf  $F(t) = \Lambda(t)/\Lambda(t_0)$ .
- (3) Order  $t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_n$  to obtain  $t_1 = t'_{(1)}, t_2 = t'_{(2)}, \dots, t_n = t'_{(n)}$ .
- (4) Deliver  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ .

The efficiency of Algorithm 3 depends critically on Step (2), where  $n$  random variates are to be generated from the cdf  $F(t)$ . If  $\lambda(t)$  is assumed to be log-linear ( $\lambda(t) = \lambda e^{\alpha_1 t}$ ) as in Ref. 12, Algorithm 3 becomes very efficient since  $F(t) = (e^{\alpha_1 t} - 1)/(e^{\alpha_1 t_0} - 1), t \in [0, t_0], \alpha_1 \neq 0$  is easily invertible. Lewis and Shedler, in the same article [12], provide an even faster variant based on gap statistics. This is extended to the case of second degree exponential polynomial rate functions (i.e.,  $\lambda(t) = \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2)$ ) in Ref. 13.

### Acceptance-Rejection

Currently the most popular method for generating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes is the “process analogue” of acceptance-rejection called *thinning* [14]. The intuitive idea behind thinning is to first find a constant rate function  $\lambda_u(t) = \lambda_u$ , which dominates the desired rate function  $\lambda(t)$ , next generate from

the implied homogeneous Poisson process with rate  $\lambda_u(t) = \lambda_u$ , and then reject an appropriate fraction of the generated events so that the desired rate  $\lambda(t)$  is achieved. The following theorem is the basis for this procedure.

**Theorem 3 [Lewis and Shedler, 1979].** *Consider a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\lambda_u(t), t \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $T_1^*, T_2^*, \dots, T_n^*$  are random variables representing event times from the nonhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\lambda_u(t)$ , and lying in the fixed interval  $(0, t_0]$ . Let  $\lambda(t)$  be a rate function such that  $0 \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda_u(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . If the  $i$ th event time  $T_i^*$  is independently deleted with probability  $1 - \lambda(t)/\lambda_u(t)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the remaining event times form a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\lambda(t)$  in the interval  $(0, t_0]$ .*

A thinning algorithm for generating random variates from a nonhomogeneous Poisson process follows immediately.

**Algorithm 4.**

- (0) Initialize  $t = 0$ .
- (1) Generate  $u_1 \sim U(0, 1)$ .
- (2) Set  $t \leftarrow t - \frac{1}{\lambda_u} \log u_1$ .
- (3) Generate  $u_2 \sim U(0, 1)$  independent of  $u_1$ .
- (4) If  $u_2 \leq \lambda(t)/\lambda_u$  then deliver  $t$ .
- (5) Go to Step (1).

In the above thinning algorithm, at any time  $t$ , a variate generated from the majorizing rate function  $\lambda_u(t) = \lambda_u$  is accepted with probability  $\lambda(t)/\lambda_u$ . The efficiency thus depends critically on how “snugly”  $\lambda_u$  approximates  $\lambda(t)$ —rate functions  $\lambda(t)$  that exhibit heavy fluctuations in time will render the thinning algorithm inefficient. A proof that the thinning algorithm in fact produces the desired stochastic process can be found in Ref. 14.

Ross [15] provides a straightforward modification to the thinning method with the objective of mitigating excessive rejection (Also see Ref. 16, p. 179.) The intuitive idea behind this extension is *piecewise*

*thinning*—majorize the desired rate function using an appropriately chosen piecewise constant function having  $k$  pieces, and then perform regular thinning within each piece. Specifically, first divide the horizon of interest  $[0, t_0]$  into  $k$  intervals  $[s_{j-1}, s_j], j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and choose constants  $\lambda_j$  satisfying  $\lambda_j \geq \sup_{t \in [s_{j-1}, s_j]} \{\lambda(t)\}$ . Random variates from a homogeneous Poisson process are then generated in the interval  $[s_{j-1}, s_j], j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , by generating exponential random variates with mean  $1/\lambda_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . The resulting event times are each accepted independently with probability  $\lambda(t)/\lambda_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Certain simple edge corrections are required when a generated exponential inter-event time straddles two pieces of the majorizing function. A complete algorithm listing can be found in Ref. 15 (p. 85).

While piecewise thinning alleviates excessive rejection, it can still be inefficient depending on how well the majorizing step function approximates the rate function. Modern methods, thus, go one step further than piecewise thinning and construct a majorizing function  $\lambda_u(t)$  that is both a good approximation of the rate function and has a form that lends itself to easy generation. This results in a method that is a hybrid of two methods—usually an inversion method such as Algorithm 2 used to generate random variates from the constructed majorizing function  $\lambda_u(t)$ , and a thinning method to reject a correct fraction of the generated random variates. We now state this in the form of an algorithm.

**Algorithm 5.**

- (0) Initialize  $t = 0$ .
- (1) Generate  $x \sim F_t$  where  $F_t(x)$  is given in Equation (1) with  $\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_u(t) dt$ .
- (2) Set  $t \leftarrow t + x$ .
- (3) Generate  $u \sim U(0, 1)$  independent of  $x$ .
- (4) If  $u \leq \lambda(t+x)/\lambda_u(t+x)$  then deliver  $t$ .
- (5) Go to Step (1).

Such a hybrid algorithm has been used with much success to generate a nonhomogeneous Poisson process on a fixed interval  $[0, t_0]$  in

Ref. 11. The majorizing function  $\lambda_u(t)$  used in Ref. 11 is a continuous piecewise-linear function that is optimal in a certain precise sense.

## GENERATING TWO-DIMENSIONAL POISSON PROCESSES

A counting process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is said to constitute a two-dimensional nonhomogeneous Poisson process on  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  with rate function  $\lambda(x, y) > 0$  if

- (i) The number of events in a region  $R \subseteq C$  is Poisson distributed with parameter  $\Lambda(R) = \iint_R \lambda(x, y) dx dy$ .
- (ii) The number of events occurring in any finite set of nonoverlapping regions are mutually independent.

(We do not go into details about the complete characterization of the nature of  $C$  or the subsets  $R$ , for which (1) and (2) should be satisfied. See Ref. 8 (p. 303) for a more rigorous treatment.) The Poisson process is said to be a homogeneous Poisson process if  $\lambda(x, y)$  in the above definition is constant on  $C$ , that is,  $\lambda(x, y) = \lambda$  for  $(x, y) \in C$  [17]. For generation from a two-dimensional homogeneous Poisson process, consult the section titled “Generation of Homogeneous Poisson Processes.”

For generating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes in a bounded region  $C$ , a simple first algorithm is based on the idea that the location  $(X, Y)$  of an event in  $C$ , conditional on the number of events  $N = n$  that occur in  $C$ , is distributed over the region  $C$  according to the probability density function

$$f(x, y) = \frac{\lambda(x, y)}{\iint_C \lambda(x, y) dx dy}, \quad (x, y) \in C. \quad (3)$$

(See Chapter 4 of Ref. 8 for more on this. Particularly, the above idea extends seamlessly even into higher dimensions.) This, combined with the fact that the number of events  $N$  in  $C$  is Poisson distributed with mean  $\iint_C \lambda(x, y) dx dy$ , gives rise to a conceptually simple algorithm for generating events.

### Algorithm 6.

- (1) Generate  $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\iint_C \lambda(x, y) dx dy)$ .
- (2) If  $n = 0$  then exit. Otherwise independently generate  $n$  events  $(x_i, y_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  that are distributed in  $C$  according to the density  $f(x, y)$  given in Equation (3).
- (3) Deliver  $(x_i, y_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Of course, the ease with which the above algorithm is implemented will depend on the nature of the rate function  $\lambda(x, y)$ . Particularly, it will depend on how easily  $\lambda(x, y)$  is integrated over  $C$ , and whether  $f(x, y)$  lends itself to easy generation. A lot is known about generation from continuous densities with arbitrary form—see Ref. 18 for more on this.

Another popular method for generating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes is the multidimensional analogue of thinning, and stems from a theorem by Lewis and Shedler [14].

**Theorem 4 [Lewis and Shedler, 1979].** *Let  $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, 2, \dots$  be the Cartesian coordinates of a two-dimensional Poisson process on  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  with rate function  $\lambda^*(x, y) \geq 0$ . If the  $i$ th event is independently deleted with probability  $1 - \lambda(x, y)/\lambda^*(x, y)$  where  $0 \leq \lambda(x, y) \leq \lambda^*(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in C$ , the resulting process is a Poisson process on  $C$  with rate function  $\lambda(x, y)$ .*

A basic thinning algorithm would thus involve identifying  $\lambda_u$  such that  $\lambda_u \geq \lambda(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in C$ , generating random variates from a homogeneous Poisson process with rate  $\lambda_u$  (see the section titled “Generation of Homogeneous Poisson Processes”), and then deleting events with probability  $1 - \lambda(x, y)/\lambda_u$ . As in one-dimensional thinning, such an algorithm would be very inefficient in contexts where the rate function  $\lambda(x, y)$  exhibits major fluctuations. Methods that are analogous to piecewise thinning and the hybrid method for one-dimensional thinning, if devised, will potentially prove much more efficient.

A more recent idea for generating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes is provided



by Saltzman *et al.* [19], and is based on the idea of conditioning. (See also Section 4.10 of Ref. 8 for a deeper treatment of this idea.) Specifically, they note the following two facts about a two-dimensional nonhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\lambda(x, y) > 0$  on  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (i) If  $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}$  are events corresponding to the two-dimensional process, then the abscissae  $\{X_i\}$  correspond to a one-dimensional nonhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\lambda_X(x) = \int_{C(x)} \lambda(x, y) dy$ , where  $C(x) = \{y : (x, y) \in C\}$ .
- (ii) If  $(X, Y)$  denotes the location of an event from the two-dimensional process, the conditional random variable  $Y|X = x$  has the probability density function  $\lambda(x, y)/\lambda_X(x)$ .

The above two facts give rise to a generation algorithm that first generates the abscissa of an event and then generates its ordinate through the conditional density function provided in (2). Like Algorithm 6, the efficiency of the resulting algorithm depends on the tractability of the rate functions  $\lambda(x, y)$  and  $\lambda_X(x)$ . We summarize this as Algorithm 7 below.

#### Algorithm 7.

- (1) Initialize  $i = 0$ .
- (2) Generate  $x_i$  according to the one-dimensional nonhomogeneous Poisson process with rate function  $\lambda_X(x)$ .
- (3) Generate  $y_i$  according to the probability density function  $\lambda(x_i, y)/\lambda_X(x_i)$ .
- (4) Deliver  $(x_i, y_i)$ .
- (5) Set  $i = i + 1$  and go to Step (2).

#### REFERENCES

1. Billingsley P. Probability and measure. New York: Wiley; 1995.
2. Serfling RJ. Approximation theorems of mathematical statistics. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 1980.
3. Cox DR, Lewis PAW. The statistical analysis of series of events. London: Methuen; 1966.
4. Ross S. Stochastic processes. New York: Wiley; 1995.
5. Gnedenko BV, Kovalenko I. Introduction to queueing theory. Jerusalem: Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem; 1968.
6. Çinlar E. Introduction to stochastic processes. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall; 1975.
7. Ortega JM, Rheinboldt WC. Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables. New York: Academic Press; 1970.
8. Resnick S. Adventures in stochastic processes. Boston (MA): Birkhäuser; 1992.
9. Kuhl ME, Wilson JR. User's manual for mp3mle and mp3sim: software for estimating and simulating nonhomogeneous Poisson processes. Technical report. North Carolina State University, Department of Industrial Engineering; Raleigh, North Carolina; 1996.
10. Klein RW, Roberts SD. A time-varying Poisson arrival process generator. Simulation 1984;43(4):193–195.
11. Lee SH, Crawford MM, Wilson JR. Modeling and simulation of a nonhomogeneous Poisson process having cyclic behavior. Commun Stat Simul 1991;20(2 & 3):777–809.
12. Lewis PAW, Shedler GS. Simulation of nonhomogeneous Poisson processes with log-linear rate function. Biometrika 1976;63:501–505.
13. Lewis PAW, Shedler GS. Simulation of nonhomogeneous Poisson processes with degree-two exponential polynomial rate function. Oper Res 1979;27(5):1026–1039.
14. Lewis PAW, Shedler GS. Simulation of nonhomogeneous Poisson processes by thinning. Nav Res Log Q 1979;26(3):403–413.
15. Ross S. Simulation. Burlington (MA): Elsevier; 2006.
16. Bratley P, Fox BL, Schrage LE. A guide to simulation. New York: Springer; 1987.
17. Karlin S, Taylor HM. A first course in stochastic processes. New York: Academic Press; 1975.
18. Devroye L. Non-uniform random variate generation. New York: Springer; 1986.
19. Saltzman E, Drew J, Leemis L. Simulation of multivariate nonhomogeneous poisson processes by inversion and composition. ACM Trans Model Comput Simulation. In press.