

# Math 6122: HW 5

Padmavathi Srinivasan

Due: Thursday, Feb 21st, start of class

In the following exercises, we will define and derive some properties of analogues of the ‘norm’ and ‘trace’ maps  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (i.e.,  $a + ib \mapsto a^2 + b^2$  and  $a + ib \mapsto 2a$ ) for an arbitrary finite extension of fields.

Let  $E/F$  be a finite extension of fields and let  $\alpha \in E$ . Let  $M_\alpha: E \rightarrow E$  be the  $F$ -linear map induced by multiplication by  $\alpha$ , i.e., the map  $e \rightarrow \alpha e$ . Let  $f_\alpha = \det(xI - M_\alpha) \in F[x]$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $M_\alpha$  (we have changed the usual sign for the characteristic polynomial to make  $f_\alpha$  monic). Let  $m_\alpha \in F[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ .

1. If  $E = F(\alpha)$ , show that  $f_\alpha$  equals the minimal polynomial  $m_\alpha$  of  $\alpha$ . (Hint: Choose a nice basis for  $F(\alpha)/F$  and write down the matrix for  $M_\alpha$  in this basis.)
2. Show that in general  $f_\alpha = m_\alpha^{[E:F(\alpha)]}$ . (Hint: Write down a matrix for  $M_\alpha$  by using a nice ‘product basis’, that is by taking the product of the standard basis  $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots\}$  for  $F(\alpha)/F$  and any basis for  $E/F(\alpha)$ .) [Remark: The polynomial  $m_\alpha$  can also be identified with the minimal polynomial of the linear transformation  $M_\alpha$ .]

The **norm** of  $\alpha$  denoted  $N_{E/F}(\alpha)$  is the determinant of  $M_\alpha$ , and the **trace** of  $\alpha$  denoted  $\text{Tr}_{E/F}(\alpha)$  is the trace of  $M_\alpha$ .

3. Verify that  $N_{E/F}(\alpha\beta) = N_{E/F}(\alpha)N_{E/F}(\beta)$  and  $\text{Tr}_{E/F}(\alpha + \beta) = \text{Tr}_{E/F}(\alpha) + \text{Tr}_{E/F}(\beta)$ .
4. Let  $\{\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  be the roots of the minimal polynomial  $m_\alpha$  (counted with multiplicity, so  $d = \deg m_\alpha$ ) in a splitting field for  $\alpha$ . Show that  $\text{Tr}_{E/F}(\alpha) = [E : F(\alpha)](\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_d)$  and  $N_{E/F}(\alpha) = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_d)^{[E:F(\alpha)]}$ .
5. Let  $E = F(\sqrt{D})$  for some  $D \notin F^{\times 2}$  (i.e. a quadratic extension). Verify that  $N_{E/F}(a + b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - Db^2$  and  $\text{Tr}_{E/F}(a + b\sqrt{D}) = 2a$ .
6. Let  $E' \supset E \supset F$  be a tower of extensions such that  $E'/F$  is Galois. Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(E'/F)$  corresponding to  $E$ , and let  $S$  be a set of coset representatives for  $G/H$ . Show that  $f_\alpha(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in S} (x - \sigma\alpha)$ . (Hint: First verify this for  $E = F(\alpha)$  using the previous problem, and then prove this for general  $E$  using the relation between  $f_\alpha$  and  $m_\alpha$ .)
7. (Transitivity of trace) Use the previous problem to show that if  $E' \supset E_1 \supset E_2 \supset F$  is a tower of extensions such that  $E'/F$  is Galois, then  $\text{Tr}_{E_1/F} = \text{Tr}_{E_2/F} \cdot \text{Tr}_{E_1/E_2}$ . [Remark: Transitivity of trace in fact holds in any tower of field extensions.]

The trace map can be used to detect inseparability of extensions.

8. Show that if  $m_\alpha$  is an inseparable polynomial, then  $\text{Tr}_{F(\alpha)/F} \equiv 0$ .
9. Using the fact that every inseparable extension  $E/F$  can be factored as  $E \supset E' \supset F$ , where  $E = E'(\alpha)$  for an inseparable element  $\alpha$  (that is, the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $E'$  is inseparable) and the transitivity of trace, show that  $\text{Tr}_{E/F} \equiv 0$  for any inseparable extension  $E/F$ .
10. Using Problem 6 and Dedekind’s lemma on linear independence of characters to show that  $\text{Tr}_{E'/F}$  does not identically vanish for a Galois extension  $E'/F$  (i.e., there exists  $\alpha \in E'$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{E'/F}(\alpha) \neq 0$ ). Use transitivity of trace and the existence of Galois closures of separable extensions to conclude that  $\text{Tr}_{E/F}$  does not identically vanish for any separable extension.

# Math 6122 - Homework 5

Caitlin Beecham (Discussed with Skye)

February 21, 2019

## 1

We let our basis for  $E/F$  be  $\{\alpha^i | i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\}$ . Then, the matrix  $M_\alpha$  can be written as

$$M_\alpha = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \dots & \alpha^{n-2} & \alpha^{n-1} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-2} \\ \alpha^{n-1} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

which gives us that

$$XI - M_\alpha = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \dots & \alpha^{n-2} & \alpha^{n-1} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-2} \\ \alpha^{n-1} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_0 \\ -1 & X & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & -1 & X & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & X & \dots & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & X & a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & X + a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

We can then use the cofactor expansion of the determinant along the last row to obtain that (in the case where  $n$  is odd)

$$\begin{aligned} \det(XI - M_\alpha) &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^i a_i \det(M_{n-1}^i) \right) + (X + a_{n-1}) \det(M_{n-1}^{n-1}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^i a_i X^i (-1)^{n-1-i} \right) + (X + a_{n-1}) X^{n-1} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n-1} a_i X^i \right) + X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \\ &= X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i = m_\alpha(X) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(XI - M_\alpha) &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{i+1} a_i \det(M_{n-1}^i) \right) + (X + a_{n-1}) \det(M_{n-1}^{n-1}) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{i+1} a_i X^i (-1)^{n-1-i} \right) + (X + a_{n-1}) X^{n-1} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (-1)^n a_i X^i \right) + X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} \\
&= X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i = m_\alpha(X)
\end{aligned}$$

if  $n$  is even (where  $M_j^i$  is the matrix obtained from  $M_\alpha$  by deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$  where our indexing starts at 0) since all cofactor matrices are upper triangular which means that their determinants are the product of the elements on the diagonal. More precisely,  $\det(M_{n-1}^i) = \prod_{l=0}^{n-2} (M_{n-1}^i)_l^l = (\prod_{l < i} (M_\alpha)_l^l) (\prod_{l > i} (M_\alpha)_l^{l+1}) = (\prod_{l < i} X) (\prod_{l > i} -1) = X^i (-1)^{n-1-i}$ .

## 2

Used the following source on computing determinants via blocks: <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/148532/general-expression-for-determinant-of-a-block-diagonal-matrix>  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1112.4379.pdf>

Now, say that  $B := \{1 = b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\}$  is a basis for  $E/F(\alpha)$ . Then, a basis for  $E/F$  is  $\{\alpha^i b_j | i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, r\}\}$ . Then, we note that we can write the matrix induced by the linear transformation of  $E$  which is multiplication by  $\alpha$ . Call it  $M_E$ . See attached figure. I'm sorry but this was waaay to hard to TeX—I tried.

## 3

We construct a basis using a tower of intermediate extensions. We note that  $F \subseteq F(\alpha) \subseteq F(\alpha)(\beta) \subseteq E$ . Let  $m_\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $F$ . Then, let  $m_\beta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r b_i x^i$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F(\alpha)$ . Then, let  $1 =: c_0, \dots, c_{s-1}$  be a basis for  $E$  over  $F(\alpha)(\beta)$  (so here  $[E : F(\alpha)(\beta)] = s$ ). Then, using the basis  $\{c_i \alpha^j \beta^k | i \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, k \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}\}$  we note that we can write down a matrix which represents the linear transformation induced by multiplication by  $\alpha\beta$  in this basis. (For future convenience, we impose an order on the basis given by  $c_{i_1} \beta^{k_1} \alpha^{j_1} < c_{i_2} \beta^{k_2} \alpha^{j_2}$  if and only if  $i_1 < i_2$  or  $(i_1 = i_2 \text{ and } k_1 < k_2)$  or  $(i_1 = i_2 \text{ and } k_1 = k_2 \text{ and } j_1 < j_2)$ ). We say  $c_{i_1} \beta^{k_1} \alpha^{j_1} = c_{i_2} \beta^{k_2} \alpha^{j_2}$  if and only if  $i_1 = i_2$  and  $j_1 = j_2$  and  $k_1 = k_2$ . This gives us an index for each basis element if we say that  $c_0 \alpha^0 \beta^0 = c_0$  has index 0). Call such a matrix  $M := M_{\alpha\beta}^E$ . Then, we define the block  $C_j^i$  for  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$  of  $M$  as follows. Let  $C_j^i := M_{[rnj:rn(j+1)-1]}^{[rni:rn(i+1)-1]}$  where  $M_{[c:d]}^{[a:b]}$  denotes the submatrix of  $M$  using the  $a$ th through  $b$ th rows (inclusive) and  $c$ th through  $d$ th columns of  $M$  (inclusive). (Note: that these are indices of rows, NOT the corresponding basis elements and also note that row and column indexing starts at 0 according to my setup). Now, we note that  $C_j^i$  is the zero matrix for all  $i \neq j$  which means that  $M$  is a block diagonal matrix. We now examine a non zero block, say  $C_1^1$ , noting that all of the diagonal blocks  $C_i^i$  are pairwise identical for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$ . Now, what does  $C_1^1$  look like? We can further break  $C := C_1^1$  into blocks.

Define  $B_j^i$  for  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$  by  $B_j^i := C_{[nj:n(j+1)-1]}^{[ni:n(i+1)-1]}$ . We then note that  $B_i^i$  is the zero matrix for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, r-2\}$  and that  $B_{i-1}^i = M_\alpha$  for all  $i \in [r-1]$ . That defines all blocks except those in the last column. Namely, we still have not determined  $B_{r-1}^i$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ .

## 4

We note that  $M_E$  (which represents multiplication by  $\alpha$  in  $E$  using the basis outlined in the figure in problem 2) is a block diagonal matrix in which each block is  $M_\alpha$ . Thus, the trace of  $M_E$  is

$$\text{Tr}(M_E) = [E : F(\alpha)](-a_{d-1})$$

where  $a_{d-1}$  is a coefficient of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $F$  given by  $m_\alpha(x) = x^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i$ . We then note that if  $\alpha_1 := \alpha, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_d$  are the roots of  $m_\alpha$  (not necessarily distinct), then we have that

$$\sum_{j=0}^d a_j x^j = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i)$$

Then, note that

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i) = \sum_{i=0}^d \left( (-1)^{d-i} \left( \sum_{S \in \binom{[d]}{d-i}} \left( \prod_{s \in S} \alpha_s \right) \right) (x^i) \right),$$

which in particular means that

$$\begin{aligned} -a_{d-1} &= (-1)(-1)^{d-(d-1)} \left( \sum_{S \in \binom{[d]}{d-1}} \left( \prod_{s \in S} \alpha_s \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get that  $-a_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_d$  which means that

$$\text{Tr}(M_E) = [E : F(\alpha)] \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_d \right)$$

and we are done.

Now it remains to show that  $N_{E/F}(\alpha) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right)^{[E:F(\alpha)]}$ . We recall that the determinant of  $M_E$  can be expressed as

$$\det(M_E) = \prod_{i=1}^{[E:F(\alpha)]} \det(M_\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{[E:F(\alpha)]} N_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha).$$

We then recall that

$$M_\alpha = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \dots & \alpha^{n-2} & \alpha^{n-1} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{n-2} \\ \alpha^{n-1} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

and notice recall that  $m_\alpha(x) = \det(XI - M_\alpha)$  which implies that  $m_\alpha(0) = a_0 = \det(-M_\alpha) = (-1)^n \det(M_\alpha)$  (here I am using  $n$  and  $d$  interchangeably as the degree of  $m_\alpha$ ) which gives us that  $N_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha) = \det(M_\alpha) \in \{a_0, -a_0\}$ . Namely, if  $n$  is odd then  $N_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha) = \det(M_\alpha) = -a_0$ . Otherwise,  $N_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha) = \det(M_\alpha) = a_0$ . Now, we return to the formula,

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i) = \sum_{i=0}^d \left( (-1)^{d-i} \left( \sum_{S \in \binom{[d]}{d-i}} \left( \prod_{s \in S} \alpha_s \right) \right) (x^i) \right),$$

which tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= (-1)^d \left( \sum_{S \in \binom{[d]}{0}} \left( \prod_{s \in S} \alpha_s \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^d \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right) \\ &= (-1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $n$  is odd then,

$$N_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha) = \det(M_\alpha) = -a_0 = (-1)(-1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i.$$

Similarly, if  $n$  is even, we get that (still using  $n$  and  $d$  interchangeably)

$$N_{F(\alpha)/F}(\alpha) = \det(M_\alpha) = a_0 = (-1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i.$$

Namely, we have that

$$\det(M_E) = \prod_{i=1}^{[E:F(\alpha)]} \det(M_\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{[E:F(\alpha)]} \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right)^{[E:F(\alpha)]}.$$

## 5

We note that

$$M_{a+b\sqrt{D}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{D} \\ a & bD \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

which tells us that  $N_{E/F}(a + b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - b^2D$  and  $Tr_{E/F}(a + b\sqrt{D}) = 2a$ .

## 6

First say  $E = F(\alpha)$ . Now,  $H := \text{Gal}(E'/E) = \text{Gal}(E'/F(\alpha))$ . When are two automorphisms  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  in the same coset of  $G/H$ ? When  $\sigma_1(\alpha) = \sigma_2(\alpha)$ . Why? These automorphisms are in the same coset, more precisely  $\sigma_1 \in \sigma_2 H$ , exactly when  $(\sigma_2)^{-1}(\sigma_1) \in H$ , which means that  $(\sigma_2)^{-1}\sigma_1(\alpha) = \alpha$  or  $\sigma_2(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha)$ . Now,  $\sigma(\alpha) \in \{\alpha_1 := \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  where  $\{\alpha_1 := \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  are the (not necessarily distinct) roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Now,  $E'/F$  Galois means that any polynomial with a root in  $E'$  splits completely in  $E'$ . So, all roots  $\{\alpha_1 := \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  are contained in  $E'$ , which means that for all  $i \in [k]$  there exists some  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(E'/F)$  such that  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ , each corresponding to a different coset of  $G/H$  (because we note that  $E'/F$  Galois implies  $E'/F$  separable which implies that the minimal polynomial of any element of  $E'/F$  is separable. Thus, all roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  actually are distinct meaning that  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $j \neq i$ ). Thus, a valid set of coset representatives for  $G/H$  is such a set  $S := \{\sigma_i | i \in [k]\}$ . Thus, if we denote the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  by  $m_\alpha(x)$ , we have  $m_\alpha(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i) = \prod_{\sigma_i \in S} (x - \sigma_i(\alpha))$ . Finally, by noting that  $f_\alpha(x) = m_\alpha(x)^{[E:F(\alpha)]}$  we see that in this case  $[E : F(\alpha)] = 1$  which gives us  $f_\alpha(x) = m_\alpha(x)$  in this case. Now, say that  $E \supsetneq F(\alpha)$  and denote  $H := \text{Gal}(E'/E)$  while  $K := \text{Gal}(E'/F(\alpha))$ . Now, once again we have that if  $S := \{\sigma_i | i \in [k]\}$  where  $\sigma_i$  is a field automorphism fixing  $F$  such that  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ , then  $S$  is a set of coset representatives of  $G/K$  and  $m_\alpha(x) = \prod_{\sigma_i \in S} (x - \sigma_i(\alpha))$ . Finally, we note that if we have  $S$  a set of coset representatives for  $G/K$  and  $T$  a set of coset representatives for  $K/H$  (none of  $G/K$  or  $K/H$  are claimed to be groups), then  $R := \{st | s \in S, t \in T\}$  is a set of coset representatives for  $G/H$ . Finally, one notes that  $t(\alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $t \in T$  since  $t \in K := \text{Gal}(E'/F(\alpha))$ . So,  $\prod_{st \in R} (x - st(\alpha)) = \prod_{st \in R} (x - s(\alpha)) = \prod_{s \in S} (x - s(\alpha))^{|T|} = \prod_{s \in S} (x - s(\alpha))^{[E:F(\alpha)]} = (\prod_{s \in S} (x - s(\alpha)))^{[E:F(\alpha)]} = m_\alpha(x)^{[E:F(\alpha)]} = f_\alpha(x)$  and we are done.

## 7

Let  $G := \text{Gal}(E'/F)$ . Then, let  $K := \text{Gal}(E'/E_1)$ ,  $H := \text{Gal}(E'/E_2)$ . Now, let  $S$  be a set of coset representatives for  $G/H$  and let  $T$  be a set of coset representatives for  $H/K$ . Then,  $R := \{st | s \in S, t \in T\}$  is a set of coset representatives for  $G/K$ . Finally, note that  $\text{Tr}_{E_1/F}(\alpha) = \sum_{q \in Q} (q(\alpha))$  where  $Q$  is a set of coset representatives for  $G/K$ . Recalling that  $R$  is such a set, we get that  $\text{Tr}_{E_1/F}(\alpha) = \sum_{r \in R} (r(\alpha)) = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{t \in T} st(\alpha) = \sum_{s \in S} s(\sum_{t \in T} t(\alpha)) = \sum_{s \in S} s(\text{Tr}_{E_1/E_2}(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}_{E_2/F}(\text{Tr}_{E_1/E_2}(\alpha))$  and we are done.

## 8

By definition  $m_\alpha$  is irreducible. One can only have an inseparable irreducible polynomial in an infinite field of finite characteristic (or perhaps non-zero characteristic is a better way to say it). Now, for any field, its characteristic is either 0 or a prime number  $p$ . So, say  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ . We wish to show that  $p$  divides  $\text{Tr}_{F(\alpha)/F}$ . Well, first note that  $\text{Tr}_{F(\alpha)/F} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$  where  $\{\alpha_i | i \in [n]\}$  are the not necessarily distinct roots of  $m_\alpha$ . Now, we also note that as computed in problem 4  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = \pm a_{n-1}$  where  $a_{n-1}$  is the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $m_\alpha$ . Next, one notes that  $m_\alpha$  inseparable means that  $\gcd(m_\alpha, m'_\alpha) \neq 1$ . So, say  $m_\alpha(x) = g(x)f(x)$  and  $m'_\alpha(x) = g(x)h(x)$  where  $g(x) =: \sum_{i=0}^k d_i x^i$ ,  $f(x) =: \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} b_i x^i$ , and  $h(x) =: \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} c_i x^i$ . Next, note that  $m_\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} d_i b_j x^{i+j}$  and  $m'_\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} d_i c_j x^{i+j}$ . As noted before  $\text{Tr}_{F(\alpha)/F} = \pm a_{n-1} = \pm(d_{k-1}b_{n-k} + d_k b_{n-k-1})$ . However,  $m_\alpha$  monic implies that  $b_{n-k} = d_k = 1$ . So,  $\text{Tr}_{F(\alpha)/F} = \pm a_{n-1} = \pm(d_{k-1} + b_{n-k-1})$ . Next, one notes that the coefficient of  $x^{n-2}$  in  $m'_\alpha$  is  $a'_{n-2} = (n -$

$1)a_{n-1} = \pm(n-1)(Tr_{F(\alpha)/F}) = \pm(n-1)(d_{k-1} + b_{n-k-1}) = (d_{k-1}c_{n-k-1} + d_k c_{n-k-2})$ , but  $a'_{n-1} = n = d_k c_{n-k-1} = c_{n-k-1}$  implies that  $c_{n-k-1} = n$ . So,  $a'_{n-2} = (n-1)a_{n-1} = \pm(n-1)(Tr_{F(\alpha)/F}) = \pm(n-1)(d_{k-1} + b_{n-k-1}) = (nd_{k-1} + c_{n-k-2})$ .

## 9

Say  $E/F$  is an inseparable extension with  $E' \supset E \supset F$  and  $E' = E(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in E'$  whose minimal polynomial over  $E$  is inseparable. Now, as shown in problem 7,  $Tr_{E'/F} = Tr_{E/F} Tr_{E'/E}$ . Now, since  $Tr_{E'/E} = Tr_{E(\alpha)/E} \equiv 0$ , we get that  $Tr_{E'/F} = Tr_{E/F} * 0 \equiv 0$  and we are done.

## 10

We note that  $\sigma : F^\times \rightarrow F^\times$  is a group homomorphism for any field automorphism  $\sigma$  (regardless of what is fixed by  $\sigma$ , I'm just saying it's an automorphism). Now  $Tr_{E'/F}(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in Gal(E'/F)} \sigma(\alpha)$ . Say  $Tr_{E'/F}(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in E'$ . Then, Dedekind's lemma says that  $\sum_{\sigma \in Gal(E'/F)} a_i \sigma_i = \sum_{\sigma \in Gal(E'/F)} \sigma_i$  must satisfy  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i \in |Gal(E'/F)|$ , a contradiction. Now, say we have a separable extension  $E/F$ . We know that there exists field  $E' \supset E$  such that  $E'/F$  is Galois. Now,  $Tr_{E'/F} = Tr_{E/F} Tr_{E'/E}$ . Since  $E'/F$  is Galois, we just showed that  $Tr_{E'/F}$  is not the zero function. Assume for contradiction that  $Tr_{E/F}$  were the zero function. Then, one would have  $Tr_{E'/F} = 0(Tr_{E'/E}) \equiv 0$ , a contradiction and we are done.