## **Dedekind Domain Homework Questions**

## Caitlin Beecham

1. Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $F = \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Show that R[y] is an integrally closed domain that is not of height one. Show that  $S = R[y]/(y^2 - x^3)$  is an integral domain that has height one but is not integrally closed. What is the integral closure of S in its fraction field  $F[y]/(y^2 - x^3)$ ?

Nota Bene: Throughout my proofs in this problem I am heavily using the fact that all irreducible elements are prime in any unique factorization domain.

• We first show that R[y] is integrally closed.

Assume not. Assume that there is some element in the fraction field but not R[y] which satisfies some monic polynomial with coefficients in R[y]. Very important: one notes that  $\mathbb C$  a unique factorization domain implies that  $\mathbb C[x]$  is a unique factorization domain as is  $\mathbb C[x][y]$ . So, the notion of a greatest common divisor makes sense in R[y]. Now, take some element  $\frac{p}{q} \in \operatorname{Frac}(R[y])$  where  $p, q \in R[y]$  such that  $\gcd(p,q) \in R[y]^{\times}$  (akin to saying the greatest common divisor is 1, we're saying it's a unit). In particular if  $\gcd(p,q) \notin R[y]^{\times}$  then say  $r := \gcd(p,q)$ . Now, R[y] a unique factorization domain implies that we have factorizations  $p = u \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{e_i}$  and  $q = v \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{d_i}$  into irreducibles  $p_i$  where  $e_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$  (but to be clear some  $d_i, e_i$  may be zero) and  $u, v \in R[y]^{\times}$  are some units. Also, none of the  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are associated for  $i \neq j$ . Then,  $r = w \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{e_i}$  where  $e_i \leq \min\{e_i, d_i\}$  and  $u \in R[y]^{\times}$  is some unit. Then, let  $p' := uw^{-1} \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{e_i - c_i}$  and  $q' := vw^{-1} \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{d_i - c_i}$ .

Now, I claim every common divisor of p' and q' is a unit.

Why? Assume not, assume there exists some  $r' \in R \setminus R^{\times}$  so that  $r' \mid p'$  and  $r' \mid q'$ . By definition a greatest common divisor is a common divisor of p,q such that every other common divisor divides it. Also, we need to use factorization into irreducible elements. So, namely, say that  $r' = y \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{b_i}$  where y is some unit. So, in particular  $b_i \leq min\{e_i - c_i, d_i - c_i\}$  and  $b_i > 0$  for some i. Say  $b_j > 0$ . Then, note that  $p_j^{c_j + b_j} \mid p$  and  $p_j^{c_j + b_j} \mid q$ . However, this provides a contradiction as it says  $p_j^{c_j + b_j}$  is a common divisor of p and q. However,  $p_j^{c_j + b_j} \nmid r$  does not divide our chosen greatest common divisor, a contradiction. Thus, such an r' does not exist (namely all the  $b_i$ 's must be zero is what we formally proved). So, now any greatest common divisor of p', q' is a unit. We can always use such a procedure to get p', q' whose greatest common divisor is a unit. Call those p,q.

Now, we assume for contradiction that  $\frac{p}{q} \in \operatorname{Frac}(R[y])$  satisfies some monic polynomial, f, with coefficients in R[y]. Say

$$f(z) = z^{N} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_{i}z^{i}$$

where  $g_i \in R[y]$ . So, in particular, we have that

$$f(\frac{p}{q}) = \frac{p}{q}^N + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i(\frac{p}{q})^i$$
$$= 0$$

so that

$$-(\frac{p^{N}}{q^{N}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_{i} \frac{p^{i}}{q^{i}}$$

which implies

$$-p^{N} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_{i} \frac{p^{i} q^{N}}{q^{i}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_{i} p^{i} q^{N-i}.$$

So, namely one sees that q divides the right-hand side, which implies that q divides the left-hand side. However,  $\frac{p}{q} \notin R[y]$  means that the denominator  $q \notin R[y]^{\times}$  is not a unit. So, now one has  $q \mid -p^N$ . We have factorizations

$$q = u \prod_{i \in I} q_i^{a_i}$$

$$-p^N = w \prod_{i \in I} q_i^{s_i}$$

$$= w' (\prod_{i \in I} q_i^{h_i})^N$$

$$= w \prod_{i \in I} q_i^{Nh_i}$$

where we have chosen  $q_i$  such that  $q_j \not\sim q_k$  for all  $k \neq j$  (where  $\sim$  means associated) and u, w units and some  $a_i, s_i, h_i$  may be zero.

In particular, now we have  $a_i \leq s_i = Nh_i$ . I would like to show for contradiction that p,q have some non-unit common divisor. Take  $j \in I$  so that  $a_j \neq 0$ . Now, if  $a_j \leq h_j$ , then  $p_j^{a_j}$  is a non-unit common divisor of p,q providing a contradiction and we are happy. Otherwise, one has that  $a_j > h_j$ . However, the fact that  $q \mid -p^N$  means that still  $a_j \leq s_j = Nh_j$ . So,  $h_j < a_j \leq Nh_j$ . Then, let  $m = max\{m \in \mathbb{N} | mh_j < a_j\}$ . Then, however, then that implies that  $p_j^{a_j - mh_j}$  is a common divisor of p,q (with  $a_j - mh_j \neq 0$  and  $a_j - mh_j \leq min\{a_j,h_j\}$ ), also a contradiction.

So, this completes the proof that such an element  $\frac{p}{q} \in \operatorname{Frac}(R[y]) \setminus R[y]$  does not exist. So, namely R[y] is integrally closed.

- Now, to show that R[y] is not of height 1, we need to give two non-zero prime ideals  $P_1, P_2$  such that  $P_1 \subsetneq P_2 \subsetneq R$ . Take  $P_1 = (y)$  and  $P_2 = (x,y)$ . Clearly  $P_1, P_2 \neq 0$ . Also,  $P_2 \neq R$  since  $1 \notin P_2$ . Also,  $P_2$  prime because elements of  $P_2$  are of the form p(x,y) with constant term zero. If I multiply any two polynomials in the complement of  $P_2$  the constant term of the product will remain non-zero since  $\mathbb C$  is an integral domain. So, the product will remain out of  $P_2$ , which means  $P_2$  is prime (prime iff complement closed under product). Also,  $P_1$  is prime since it is generated by p which is irreducible and in a unique factorization domain all irreducible elements are prime. Finally,  $P_1 \neq P_2$  since p0 and p1.
- To show  $R[y]/(y^2-x^3)$  is an integral domain it suffices to show that  $(y^2-x^3)$  is a prime ideal in R[y]. Now, the fact that  $\mathbb C$  is a field implies that  $R[y] \cong \mathbb C[x][y]$  is unique factorization domain. Since in a unique factorization domain any irreducible element is prime it suffices to show that  $y^2-x^3$  is irreducible. Assume not. Then there exist  $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb C[x]$  such that  $y^2-x^3=(y-p(x))(y-q(x))=y^2-y(p(x)+q(x))+p(x)q(x)$ . Now, that implies that p(x)=-q(x), so that  $(y-p(x))(y-q(x))=y^2-p^2(x)=y^2-x^3$ . However, then that implies that  $p(x)^2=x^3$  a contradiction. So,  $y^2-x^3$  is irreducible and therefore prime which means  $R[y]/(y^2-x^3)$  is an integral domain.
- To show that S has height one, first note that S is integral over R since we are adjoining root  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\alpha^2 x^3 = 0$  a monic polynomial with coefficients in R. Then, assume for contradiction S has height  $\geq 2$ . Then, there exist prime ideals  $0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq S$ . Then, consider  $Q_1 = P_1 \cap R$  and  $Q_2 = P_2 \cap R$ , which are both prime ideals in R. Also,  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$  implies that  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ . However, then recall that  $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$  is a principal ideal domain, and that all prime ideals are maximal. Thus,  $Q_1 = Q_2$ . I used this resource which showed that (Proposition 2.2.1) If I have a ring R and S integral over R and prime ideals  $0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq S$  that lie over the same prime (and maximal) ideal  $P = P_1 \cap R = P_2 \cap R$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ .

https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~r-ash/ComAlg/ComAlg2.pdf

• To show that S is not integrally closed, we simply exhibit an element  $f \in \operatorname{Frac}(S) \setminus S$  that is integral over S. Namely, take  $f = \frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)$ . Then, f satisfies the following monic polynomial  $g \in S[x]$ . Take  $g(t) = t^3 - (y + (y^2 - x^3))$ . (I know I'm writing things in a weird way, but I mean we're in a quotient ring so every element of S is of the form  $w + (y^2 - x^3)$  so I'm just being pedantic) So, f is integral. However,  $f \notin S$ . The integral closure is  $S[\frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)]$ . Call  $t := \frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)$ . Also, I claim  $\mathbb{C}[t] \cong S[\frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)]$ . Why? Well,  $S[\frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y, \frac{y}{x}]/(y^2 - x^3) \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y, t]/(y^2 - x^3, xt - y)$ . We construct a map  $\phi : \mathbb{C}[x, y, t] \to \mathbb{C}[t]$ . Namely,  $\phi(x) = t^2$ ,  $\phi(y) = t^3$ ,  $\phi(t) = t$  and  $\phi(c) = c$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $\ker(\phi) = (y^2 - x^3, xt - y)$ , which implies that  $\mathbb{C}[t] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y, t]/(y^2 - x^3, xt - y)$ . Finally, note that  $\operatorname{Frac}(S) \cong \mathbb{C}(t)$  and  $S[\frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)] \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$ . Noting that  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{C}(t)$  (since any principal ideal domain is integrally closed) one has that  $S[\frac{y}{x} + (y^2 - x^3)]$  is the integral closure of S in its fraction field.

http://mathworld.wolfram.com/IntegrallyClosed.html https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1346738/find-the-integral-closure-of-an-integral-domain-in-its-field-of-fractions?noredirect=1&lq=1 https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/744356/show-ker-phi-is-a-principal-ideal

## 2. (a) Show that a Dedekind ring R with only finitely many prime ideals is a principal ideal domain.

It suffices to show that all prime ideals are principal. Why? Well, in a Dedekind domain any ideal can be factored as a product of powers of prime ideals. So, assuming all prime ideals (of which there are finitely many) are principal, one then takes an arbitrary ideal I and notes

$$I = \prod_{i=1}^{r} P_i^{e_i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r} (x_i)^{e_i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r} (x_i^{e_i})$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{e_i})$$

and we see that I is principal with generator  $\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{e_i}$ .

So, now we aim to show that all prime ideals are principal. The fact that there are only finitely many prime ideals allows for a useful application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Namely, consider the natural projection map

$$\pi: R \to (R/P_1^2) \times (R/P_2) \times \cdots \times (R/P_r).$$

It is surjective meaning that in particular there exists  $s \in R$  such that

$$\pi(s) =: (\pi_1(s), \pi_2(s), \dots, \pi_r(s))$$
  $= (p_1^* + P_1^2, 1 + P_2, \dots, 1 + P_r).$ 

where  $p_1^* \in P_1 \setminus P_1^2$  (obviously the Chinese Remainder Theorem only guarantees that  $\pi_1(s) = p_1^* + P_1^2$  and as a small point note that there may exist  $p_1^{**} \in P_1 \setminus P_1^2$  with  $p_1^{**} \neq p_1^*$  such that  $p_1^{**} + P_1^2 = p_1^* + P_1^2$ ). Anyhow, one then considers the principal ideal (s). It has some prime factorization

$$(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} P_i^{e_i}.$$

Then, recall  $p_1^* \notin P_1^2$  but  $p_1^* \in P_1$ , which implies that  $s \in P_1$  but  $s \notin P_1^2$ . Also,  $\pi_k(s) = 1 + P_k \neq P_k$  for all  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  implies that  $s \notin P_k$  for all  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ . Then, recall that there is some relation between containment and division. Namely, one has that  $(s) \subseteq P_1$ ,  $(s) \not\subseteq P_1^2$ , and  $(s) \not\subseteq P_k$  for all  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ . In particular, this means that  $P_1|s$ , but  $P_1^2 \nmid (s)$  and  $P_k \nmid (s)$  for all  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ . So,  $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_k = 0$  for all  $k \neq 1$ . So, finally

$$(s) = P_1$$

and we have shown  $P_1$  is principal. By renaming any other prime ideal  $P_j$  as  $P_1$ , we have shown that all prime ideals are principal, which concludes the proof that all ideals in this domain are principal.

## (b) Show that every non-zero ideal I in a Dedekind ring can be generated by two elements.

Take any  $\alpha \in I \setminus \{0\}$ . Now, say I has prime factorization

$$I = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{e_i}$$

Now,  $\alpha \in I$  implies that  $(\alpha) \subseteq I$  which also implies that  $I \mid (\alpha)$ . So, namely

$$(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{d_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} Q_j^{c_j}$$

where  $d_i \geq e_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, intuitively (this is not a proof, just a little intuition before I jump into the details) if some element  $\beta$  is in I but not in  $(\alpha)$  that is because  $(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_i^{l_i} \prod_{j=1}^m Q_j^{h_j} \prod_{k=1}^t M_k^{b_k}$  where there exists some  $i \in [n]$  such that  $l_i < d_i$  or there exists some  $j \in [m]$  such that  $h_j < c_j$ .

3

Now, we apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to the following projection map

$$\pi: R \to R/P_1^{e_1+1} \times R/P_2^{e_2+1} \times \cdots \times R/P_n^{e_n+1} \times R/Q_1 \times \cdots \times R/Q_m.$$

First, for all  $i \in [n]$ , pick  $p_i^* \in P_i^{e_i} \setminus P_i^{e_i+1}$ . The Chinese Remainder Theorem guarantees the existence of  $s \in R$  such that  $\pi_{P_i^{e_i^1}}(s) = p_i^* + P_i^{e_i+1}$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and such that  $\pi_{Q_j} = 1 + Q_j$  for all  $j \in [m]$ . So, this implies that  $s \in P_i^{e_i} \setminus P_i^{e_i+1}$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $s \notin Q_j$  for all  $j \in [m]$ .

So, we have some prime factorization

$$(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{e_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} Q_j^0 \prod_{k=1}^{t} M_k^{b_k}.$$

Now, take arbitrary  $i \in I$ , we wish to show that there exist  $j, k \in R$  such that  $i = j\alpha + ks$ . So, consider the projection map

$$\phi: R \to R/P_1^{d_1} \times R/P_2^{d_2} \times \cdots \times R/P_n^{d_n} \times R/Q_1^{c_1} \times \cdots \times R/Q_m^{c_m}$$

Then, compute  $\phi(i)$ . If  $\phi(i) = 0$ , then  $i \in (\alpha)$ . Otherwise, some work remains.

We actually reduce via another projection map which will guarantee an element w such that  $w \equiv i \mod (\alpha)$  and  $w \equiv 0 \mod (s)$ .

$$\phi^*: R \to \to R/P_1^{d_1} \times R/P_2^{d_2} \times \cdots \times R/P_n^{d_n} \times R/Q_1^{c_1} \times \cdots \times R/Q_m^{c_m} \times R/M_1^{b_1} \times \cdots \times R/M_t^{b_t}.$$

Then, for all  $i\in[n]$  let  $\tilde{p}_i\in P_i^{e_i}\cap(\pi_{P_i^{d_i}}(i)+P_i^{d_i})$  and let  $\tilde{q}_j\in\pi_{Q_j^{c_j}}+Q_j^{c_j}$ . Then, the Chinese Remainder Theorem guarantees the existence of an element  $w\in R$  such that  $\phi^*(w)=(\tilde{p}_1+P_1^{d_1},\tilde{p}_2+P_2^{d_2},\ldots,\tilde{p}_n+P_n^{d_n},\tilde{q}_1+Q_1^{c_1},\tilde{q}_2+Q_2^{c_2},\ldots,\tilde{q}_m+Q_m^{c_m},0,0,\ldots,0).$  So, namely, one has that  $w\equiv i \bmod (\alpha)$  and  $w\equiv 0 \bmod (s)$ . So, there exist  $j,k\in R$  such that  $w=i+j\alpha$  and w=ks. So,  $i=ks-j\alpha$  and we are done.