## "A First Course in Modular Forms" by Schur and Diamond Chapter 1.1 Exercises

3. (a) Show that the set  $\mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  of modular forms of weight k forms a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Note that a function  $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a modular form by definition if

- f is homolorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$
- f is weakly modular of weight k, and
- f is holomorphic at  $\infty$ .

In order to show that  $\mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is a vector space we must show

- i. that addition is associative and commutative,
- ii. the existence of an additive identity denoted 0 and an additive inverse for each element,
- iii. that scalar multiplication is associative,
- iv. that scalar multiplication distributes over vector addition and vice versa,
- v. and that  $\mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

## Indeed

- i. Addition is associative and commutative since for  $f, g : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  one has that f + g is defined by (f + g)(z) = f(z) + g(z) and thus the claim follows from the fact that addition in  $\mathbb{C}$  is associative and commutative.
- ii. Also, one has that the zero map  $0 \in \mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is a modular form of weight k due to quick verification of the thRee necessary properties. Also, it is the additive identity due to the definition of function addition as above
- iii. FurthermoRe, scalar multiplication is associative once again due to definition of scalar multiplication of functions meaning that a(bf) is defined by (a(bf))(z) = a((bf)(z)) = ab(f(z)) = ((ab)(f))(z).
- iv. Also scalar multiplication distributes over vector addition since (a(f+g))(z) = a((f+g)(z)) = af(z) + ag(z) = (af + ag)(z).
- v. Finally,  $\mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.
  - Namely, if  $f, g : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  are holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  then so is  $(f+g) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ .
  - Likewise I claim that if  $f, g : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  are weakly modular of weight k then so is  $(f + g) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ . In particular, we know by definition that

$$f(\gamma(\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau) \text{ and } g(\gamma(\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^k g(\tau) \text{ for all } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and all } \tau \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then, of course

$$(f+g)(\gamma(\tau)) = (c\tau+d)^k f(\tau) + (c\tau+d)^k g(\tau) = (c\tau+d)^k ((f+g)(\tau)) \text{ for all } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and all } \tau \in \mathcal{H}.$$

• Finally, I claim that the fact that f, g are holomorphic at  $\infty$  implies that f + g is also holomorphic at  $\infty$ . Namely, f, g holomorphic at  $\infty$  means by definition that there exist  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the functions

$$\hat{f}(z) = \begin{cases} z_1 & \text{if } z = 0 \\ f\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \text{ and } \hat{g}(z) = \begin{cases} z_2 & \text{if } z = 0 \\ g\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \text{ are holomorphic on } D,$$

where  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le 1\}$  denotes the unit disk.

Then, we see that

$$\widehat{f+g}(z) = \begin{cases} z_1 + z_2 & \text{if } z = 0\\ f\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) + g\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases}$$
 is holomorphic on  $D$ ,

since it is the sum of two holomorphic functions, and thus f + g is holomorphic at infinity, concluding the proof of closuRe under addition.

- Likewise if  $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic, then so is  $af: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- FurthermoRe, if

$$f(\gamma(\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$$
 for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

then

$$(af)(\gamma(\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^k (af)(\tau)$$
 for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ .

since  $(af)(\gamma(\tau)) = a(f(\gamma(\tau))) = a(c\tau + d)^k f(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k (af)(\tau)$ .

• Finally, if  $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic at infinity, that means by definition that there exists  $z' \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the function

$$\hat{f}(z) = \begin{cases} z' & \text{if } z = 0\\ f\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic on D. Thus, the function

$$\widehat{(af)}(z) = \begin{cases} az' & \text{if } z = 0 \\ (af) \Big(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\Big) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} = a\widehat{f}(z)$$

is holomorphic on D, proving closuRe under scalar multiplication.

(b) If  $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a modular form of weight k and  $g: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a modular form of weight  $\ell$ , show that  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a modular form of weight  $k + \ell$ .

We need to prove that

- i.  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic,
- ii.  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is weakly modular of weight  $k + \ell$ ,
- iii. and  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic at infinity.

Indeed.

- i. we see that  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic since the product of holomorphic functions is holomorphic.
- ii. Likewise,  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is weakly modular of weight  $k + \ell$  since

$$(fg)(\gamma(\tau)) = f(\gamma(\tau)g(\gamma(\tau)) = ((c\tau + d)^k f(\tau))((c\tau + d)^\ell g(\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^{k+\ell} f(\tau)g(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{k+\ell} (fg)(\tau)$$

for all 
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$
 and all  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ .

iii. Finally note that  $(fg): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic at infinity since  $f, g: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic at infinity implies existence of  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\hat{f}(z) = \begin{cases} z_1 & \text{if } z = 0 \\ f\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \text{ and } \hat{g}(z) = \begin{cases} z_2 & \text{if } z = 0 \\ g\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \text{ are holomorphic on } D,$$

which implies that the function

$$\hat{fg}(z) = \begin{cases} z_1 z_2 & \text{if } z = 0\\ f\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) g\left(\frac{\log(z)}{2\pi i}\right) & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} = (\hat{f}\hat{g})(z) \text{ is holomorphic on } D,$$

since it is product of holomorphic functions, and thus (fg) is holomorphic at infinity as claimed.

- (c) Show that  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and that  $S(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ .
  - Since  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  one need only show that  $0 \in S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  (where 0 denotes the zero function  $0 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ ), and closuRe under addition and scalar multiplication to show that  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ .
    - Indeed,  $0 \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  since the  $0 \in \mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and constant term in the Fourier expansion for 0 is clearly 0.
    - Also, if  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  then I claim that  $(f+g) \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  since
      - \*  $(f+g) \in \mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  by our earlier arguments
      - \* and since the Fourier expansion of (f+g) is the sum of those for each of f and g meaning that the constant term in the Fourier expansion of (f+g) is zero.
    - Likewise, if  $f \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  then  $(af) \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  since
      - \*  $(af) \in \mathcal{M}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  by our earlier arguments
      - \* and since the 0th coefficients of the Fourier expansion of (af) is  $ac_0$  where  $c_0$  is the leading coefficient of the Fourier series for f which is zero since  $f \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_1(\mathbb{Z}))$

- Finally, I want to show that  $S(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ , meaning we need to show that
  - i.  $f + g \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ ,
  - ii. and  $hf \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and  $fh \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and all  $h \in \mathcal{M}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Indeed.
  - i. if one has

$$f = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$$
 and  $g = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g_k \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ 

then we note that

$$f + g = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_k + g_k) \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$$

since for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have as shown earlier that  $f_k + g_k \in \mathcal{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ .

ii. FurthermoRe, given any function  $h = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k \in \mathcal{M}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and any  $f = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  one has that  $fh \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  due to the following argument. Namely, we note that

$$fh = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{n-k} h_k)$$

and now what Remains to show is that

$$(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{n-k} h_k) \in \mathcal{S}_n(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Well, we know that

$$f_{n-k}(\tau) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j q^j$$

and

$$h_k(\tau) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b_r q^r.$$

So, we have that

$$(f_{n-k}h_k)(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_j b_r q^{j+r} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{m=0}^{s-1} b_s a_{s-m}) q^s.$$

Thus,

$$f_{n-k}h_k \in \mathcal{S}_n(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$$

for all  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  which gives

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{n-k} h_k \in \mathcal{S}_n(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  concluding the proof that  $fh \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  as desired. Finally, since fh = hf for all  $f, h : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  we see that  $hf \in \mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  as well, proving that  $\mathcal{S}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{M}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ .

- 4. Let  $k \geq 3$  be an integer and let  $L' = \mathbb{Z}^2 \{(0,0)\}.$ 
  - (a) Show that the series  $S = \sum_{(c,d) \in L'} (\sup\{|c|,|d|\})^{-k}$  converges by considering the partial sums over expanding squares.

We decompose the sum as

$$S_{n} = \sum_{(c,d)\in[-n,n]^{2}\setminus\{(0,0)\}} (\sup\{|c|,|d|\})^{-k}$$

$$= \sum_{c=0} \sum_{d=-n}^{n} |d|^{-k} + \sum_{c=-n}^{n} \sum_{d=0}^{n} |c|^{-k} + \sum_{\substack{(c,d)\in[-n,n]^{2} \\ :c\neq 0\neq d,|d|>|c|}} |d|^{-k} + \sum_{\substack{(c,d)\in[-n,n]^{2} \\ :c\neq 0\neq d,|c|>|d|}} |c|^{-k} + \sum_{\substack{(c,d)\in[-n,n]^{2} \\ :c\neq 0\neq d,|c|=|d|}} |c|^{-k}.$$

Thus,

$$S_n = 2\sum_{d=2}^n (d-1)(d^{-k}) + 8\sum_{d=1}^n d^{-k} = 2\sum_{d=2}^n (d-1)(d^{-k}) + 8 + 8\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k}$$

$$= 2\sum_{d=2}^n d(d^{-k}) - 2\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k} + 8 + 8\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k}$$

$$= 2\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k+1} + 8 + 6\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k}$$

$$= 8 + 2\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k+1} + 6\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k}.$$

We now want to show that as  $n \to \infty$  we have that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n < \infty$ . So, we note that

$$0 \le S_n \le 8 + 2\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k+1} + 6\sum_{d=2}^n d^{-k}$$

Of course, that means

$$0 \le S_n \le 8 + 8 \sum_{d=2}^{n} d^{-k+1} \le 8 + 8 \sum_{d=2}^{n} d^{-2}$$

and since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

we have that

$$0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n \le 8 + \frac{8\pi^2}{6} < \infty,$$

concluding the proof.

(b) Fix positive numbers A, B and let  $\Omega = \{ \tau \in \mathcal{H} : |Re(\tau)| \leq A, Im(\tau) \geq B \}$ . Prove that there is a constant C > 0 such that  $|\tau + \delta| > C \sup\{1, |\delta|\}$  for all  $\tau \in \Omega$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

There are two cases: either  $\sup\{1, |\delta|\} = 1$  or  $\sup\{1, |\delta|\} = |\delta|$ .

If  $\sup\{1, |\delta|\} = 1$  then simply let C = B and note that  $|\tau + \delta| > Im(\tau + \delta) \ge B = B\sup\{1, |\delta|\}$ .

If  $\sup\{1, |\delta|\} = |\delta|$  then we have two natural subcases, either  $|\delta| > 2A$  or  $1 \le |\delta| \le 2A$ . If  $|\delta| > 2A$  then  $A < \frac{|\delta|}{2}$  meaning that

$$|\tau + \delta| \ge |Re(\tau - \delta)| = |Re(\tau) - \delta| \ge ||Re(\tau)| - |\delta|| = \max(|Re(\tau)| - |\delta|, |\delta| - |Re(\tau)|) \ge \max(|Re(\tau)| - |\delta|, |\delta| - A)$$

$$\ge |\delta| - A > |\delta| - \frac{|\delta|}{2} = \frac{|\delta|}{2}$$

and thus

$$|\tau + \delta| > \frac{1}{2} \sup\{1, |\delta|\}.$$

Finally if  $\sup\{1, |\delta|\} = |\delta|$  and  $1 \le |\delta| \le 2A$  then one has  $Im(\tau) > A$  or  $B \le Im(\tau) \le A$ .

If  $B \leq Im(\tau) \leq A$  then note that  $-A + \delta \leq Re(\tau + \delta) \leq A + |\delta|$  and  $B \leq Im(\tau + \delta) \leq A$  proving that  $B \leq |\tau + \delta| \leq 2A + |\delta|$ . Then, since  $1 \leq |\delta| \leq 2A$  one has that  $F(\tau, \delta) = \frac{|\tau + \delta|}{|\delta|}$  is a continuous function  $F: (\Omega' \times [-2A, -1]) \cup (\Omega' \times [1, 2A]) \to \mathbb{C}$  where  $\Omega' = \Omega \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Im(z) \leq A\}$  and since  $(\Omega' \times [-2A, -1]) \cup (\Omega' \times [1, 2A])$  is a compact set, that implies that  $M:=min(\frac{|\tau + \delta|}{|\delta|})$  is attained by some  $(\tau, \delta) \in (\Omega' \times [-2A, -1]) \cup (\Omega' \times [1, 2A])$  and thus

$$|\tau + \delta| \ge M|\delta| = M \sup\{1, |\delta|\}.$$

If  $Im(\tau) > A$  then note that

$$|\tau + \delta| > |Im(\tau + \delta)| = Im(\tau) > A$$

and since  $1 \leq |\delta| \leq 2A$  that implies that  $A \geq \frac{|\delta|}{2}$  implying that

$$|\tau + \delta| > \frac{1}{2}|\delta| = \frac{1}{2}\sup\{1, |\delta|\}.$$

So, we let

$$C = min(B, \frac{1}{2}, M).$$

- (c) Use parts (a) and (b) to prove that the series defining  $G_k$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $\tau \in \Omega$ . Conclude that  $G_k$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}^2' = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0\}.$ 
  - We first note that  $|c\tau + d| = |c||\tau + \frac{d}{c}|$ .
  - Then, by part (b),  $|c\tau + d| \ge |c|C\sup\{1, |\frac{d}{c}|\} = C\sup\{|c|, |d|\}$  for all  $\tau \in \Omega$ .
  - Then.

$$\sum_{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)'} \frac{1}{|c\tau + d|^k} \le \sum_{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)'} \frac{1}{(C\sup\{|c|,|d|\})^k} = \frac{1}{C^k} \sum_{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)'} \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,|d|\}^k},$$

which means by part (a) that

$$\sum_{(c,d)\in(\mathbb{Z}^2)'}\frac{1}{|c\tau+d|^k}<\infty \text{ for all } \tau\in\Omega,$$

proving that the series representing  $G_k$  converges absolutely for  $z \in \Omega$ .

Now, to show that the series representing  $G_k$  converges uniformly we note that the fact that the series representing  $G_k$  converges absolutely implies that the series converges unconditionally meaning that any reordering of the series converges. We have

$$G_k(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)'}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big( \sum_{\substack{\{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)': \\ |c| + |d| = n\}}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^k} \Big).$$

We denote

$$T_N(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Big( \sum_{\substack{\{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)': \\ |c|+|d|=n\}}} \frac{1}{(c\tau+d)^k} \Big).$$

I claim that for fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|G_k(\tau) - T_M(\tau)|$  for all  $M \geq N$ . Namely, note that for arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and arbitrary  $\tau \in \Omega$  one has that

$$|G_{k}(\tau) - T_{m}(\tau)| = \left| \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{\{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2})': \\ |c|+|d|=n\}}} \frac{1}{(c\tau+d)^{k}} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{\{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2})': \\ |c|+|d|=n\}}} \frac{1}{(C\sup\{|c|,|d|\})^{k}} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{\{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2})': \\ |c|+|d|=n\}}} \frac{1}{C\sup\{|c|,|d|\}^{k}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C^{k}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{\{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2})': \\ |c|+|d|=n\}}} \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,|d|\}^{k}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C^{k}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,n-|c|\}^{k}} + \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,n-|c|\}^{k}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{C^{k}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,n-|c|\}^{k}} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\sup\{|c|,n-|c|\}^{k}} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} &=\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{\sup\{|n|,|0|\}^k}+\frac{2}{\sup\{0,n\}^k}\right)\\ &+\sum_{c\in[1,n-1]}\frac{4}{\sup\{|c|,n-|c|\}^k}\right)\\ &=\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{4}{\sup\{|n|,|0|\}^k}+\sum_{c\in[1,\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor]}\frac{4}{\sup\{|c|,n-|c|\}^k}\right)\\ &=\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{4}{\sup\{|n|,|0|\}^k}+\sum_{c\in[1,\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor]}\frac{4}{(n-|c|)^k}\right)\\ &+\sum_{c\in[\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1,n-1]}\frac{4}{|c|^k}\right)\\ &=\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{4}{n^k}\right)+\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{c\in[1,\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor]}\frac{4}{(n-|c|)^k}\right)\\ &+\sum_{c\in[\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1,n-1]}\frac{4}{|c|^k}\right)\\ &=\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{4}{n^k}\right)+\frac{1}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{c\in[n-\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,n-1]}\frac{4}{c^k}\right)\\ &+\sum_{c\in[\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1,n-1]}\frac{4}{c^k}\right)\\ &=\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{c\in[n-\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,n-1]}\frac{1}{c^k}\right)\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\frac{1}{(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1)^k}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1)^k}+\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1)^k}\right]\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor+1)^2}\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}=\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\leq\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}=\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\leq\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}=\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\leq\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}=\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\leq\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}+\frac{8}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}=\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}=\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}+\frac{12}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor^2}\\ &\leq\frac{4}{C^k}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lfloor\frac{n}{$$

Now, note that

$$\frac{1}{l^2} \le \frac{1}{l(l-1)}$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{l=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^2} \leq \sum_{l=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l(l-1)} = \sum_{l=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l(l-1)} = \sum_{l=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{l-1} - \frac{1}{l}) = \frac{1}{(\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor - 1)}.$$

So, for fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $N(\epsilon)$  be defined so that

$$\frac{24}{C^k} \frac{1}{\left(\left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right)} < \epsilon$$

for all  $m \geq N$  since that will imply that

$$|G_k(\tau) - T_m(\tau)| < \epsilon$$

for all  $m \geq N$ . In particular, let N be so that

$$\frac{1}{\left(\left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right)} < \frac{\epsilon C^k}{24}$$

for all  $m \geq N$  or equivalently

$$(\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor - 1) > \frac{24}{\epsilon C^k}$$

meaning

$$\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor > \frac{24}{\epsilon C^k} + 1$$

for all  $m \geq N$ . So, let N be so that

$$\frac{m+1}{2} - 1 > \frac{24}{\epsilon C^k} + 1$$

for all  $m \geq N$  or more precisely so that

$$m+1 > \frac{48}{\epsilon C^k} + 4$$

for all  $m \geq N$  meaning we may let

$$N = \left| \frac{48}{\epsilon C^k} + 4 \right|,$$

proving uniform convergence.

(d) Show that for  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , right multiplication by  $\gamma$  defines a bijection from L' to L'.

Consider

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since  $det(\gamma) \neq 0$ , that implies that  $\gamma : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is injective which implies that  $\gamma|_{L'}$  is also injective. To show that  $\gamma|_{L'} : L' \to L'$  is surjective we note that

$$\gamma^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus, arbitrary  $(x,y)^T \in L'$  one can produce  $(u,w)^T \in L'$  such that  $\gamma((u,w)^T) = (x,y)^T$ . Namely, let

$$(u, w)^T = \gamma^{-1}((x, y)^T)$$

and note that since  $\gamma^{-1} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  we have that  $(u, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and since  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$  and  $\gamma^{-1}$  is injective we have that  $(u, w) \neq (0, 0)$  meaning  $(u, w)^T \in L'$  as desired.

(e) Use the calculation from (c) to show that  $G_k$  is bounded on  $\Omega$ .

Indeed, we see that as noted in part (c)

$$\sum_{(c,d)\in(\mathbb{Z}^2)'} \frac{1}{|c\tau + d|^k} \le \frac{1}{C^k} \sum_{(c,d)\in(\mathbb{Z}^2)'} \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,|d|\}^k},$$

and by part (a)

$$\sum_{\substack{(c,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2) \\ (c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}} \frac{1}{\sup\{|c|,|d|\}^k} \le 8 + \frac{8\pi^2}{6},$$

and thus

$$|G_k(\tau)| = \left| \sum_{\substack{(a,d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)/\ell \\ 0 \le d \le d}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^k} \right| \le \frac{1}{C^k} (8 + \frac{8\pi^2}{6})$$

for all  $\tau \in \Omega$ , proving boundedness.

(f) From the text and part (d),  $G_k$  is weakly modular so in particular  $G_k(\tau+1) = G_k(\tau)$ . Show that therefore  $G_k(\tau)$  is bounded as  $Im(\tau) \to \infty$ .

Indeed, fix  $A=1,\ B=1$  and consider the corresponding region  $\Omega(A,B)$  and constant C=C(A,B). Note that for all  $\tau\in\Omega$  one has that  $|G_k(\tau)|\leq \frac{1}{C^k}(8+\frac{8\pi^2}{6})$ . In particular, for arbitrary  $\tau\in\mathbb{C}$  with  $Im(\tau)\geq 2$  one has that

$$\tau = Re(\tau) + iIm(\tau) = |Re(\tau)| + (Re(\tau) - |Re(\tau)|) + iIm(\tau).$$

Since  $|(Re(\tau) - \lfloor Re(\tau) \rfloor)| < 1$  and  $Im(\tau) \ge 2 \ge B$  one has that  $(Re(\tau) - \lfloor Re(\tau) \rfloor) + iIm(\tau) \in \Omega$ . Also,  $\lfloor Re(\tau) \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies that  $G_k(\lfloor Re(\tau) \rfloor + s) = G_k(s)$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,

$$G_k(\tau) = G_k((Re(\tau) - |Re(\tau)|) + iIm(\tau))$$

and thus

$$|G_k(\tau)| \le \frac{1}{C^k} (8 + \frac{8\pi^2}{6})$$

for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $Im(\tau) \geq 2$ . Thus,

$$0 \le \lim_{Im(\tau) \to \infty} |G_k(\tau)| \le \lim_{Im(\tau) \to \infty} \frac{1}{C^k} (8 + \frac{8\pi^2}{6}) = \frac{1}{C^k} (8 + \frac{8\pi^2}{6})$$

since C depends only on A,B and A,B do not depend on  $\tau$ .