Scientific Computing - Homework 4

蔡子若 018071910011

1 Page 208-211, 4.13, 4.19, 4.20, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.31, 4.32 (Scientific Computing: An Introductory Survey)

1.1 Page 208, 4.13

Suppose λ_0 is the eigenvalue of A with the biggest absolute value and x is the corresponding eigenvector, then $Ax = \lambda_0 x$ and $||A|| \geqslant \frac{||Ax||}{||x||} = |\lambda_0| \cdot \frac{||x||}{||x||} = |\lambda_0| = \rho(A)$

1.2 Page 209, 4.19

Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of A and $x \neq 0$ is the eigenvector of λ , then $Ax = \lambda x$, $A^2x = \lambda^2 x = Ax = \lambda x$, then $(\lambda^2 - \lambda)x = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0, 1$.

Hence A only has eigenvalues 0 and 1.

1.3 Page 209, 4.20

(a) A is Hermitian matrix, hence λ and μ are real.

$$Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow y^H Ax = \lambda y^H x,$$

$$Ay = \mu y \Rightarrow y^H A^H = \mu y^H \Rightarrow y^H A^H x = \mu y^H x.$$

Since A is Hermitian matrix, then $A = A^H \Rightarrow \lambda y^H x = \mu y^H x \Rightarrow (\lambda - \mu) y^H x = 0$.

$$\lambda \neq \mu \Rightarrow y^H x = 0.$$

(b)
$$Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow y^H Ax = \lambda y^H x$$
.

$$y^H A = \mu y^H \Rightarrow y^H A x = \mu y^H x = \lambda y^H x \Rightarrow (\lambda - \mu) y^H x = 0.$$

Since $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $y^H x = 0$.

(c) A is unitarily similar to upper triangular matrix. Then there exists unitary matrix U s.t.

$$U^H A U = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$$

here λ is a simple eigenvalue of A and λ is not the eigenvalue of B. Then

$$U^{H}AUe_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} e_{1} = \lambda e_{1}$$

Meanwhile,

$$U^H A^H U = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda} & 0 \\ * & B^H \end{bmatrix}$$

1

Suppose z is the eigenvector of U^HA^HU corresponding to eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda}$ and $z=\begin{bmatrix}z_1\\z_2\end{bmatrix},\ z_1\in\mathbb{R}$ and $z_2\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

If $z_1 = 0$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda} & 0 \\ * & B^H \end{bmatrix} z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B^H z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \overline{\lambda} z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \overline{\lambda} z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

hence $B^H z_2 = \overline{\lambda} z_2, z_2 \neq 0, \overline{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of B^H , which means λ is an eigenvalue of B, contradiction.

So $z_1 \neq 0$ and $(Ue_1)^H Uz = e_1^H z = z_1 \neq 0$.

Since $A(Ue_1) = \lambda(Ue_1)$, $A^H(Uz) = \overline{\lambda}(Uz)$ and λ is a simple eigenvalue, then $\exists \ a \neq 0, b \neq 0$,

s.t. $x = aUe_1$ and y = bUz. Then $y^H x = a\bar{b}e_1^H z \neq 0$.

1.4 Page 209, 4.24

(a) By rank factorization A = BC, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$, r is the rank of A,

Hence $B, C^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, A = B(C^T)^T = uv^T$, where $u = B, v = C^T$ are nonzero real vectors.

- (b) $Au = uv^T u = (v^T u)u = (u^T v)u$, hence $u^T v$ is an eigenvalue of A.
- (c) Since A is of rank one, then the kernel of matrix A is of rank n-1.

The kernel of A is $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n, Av = 0 = 0v\}$, then the other n-1 eigenvalues of A are 0.

(d) Only 1 iteration is needed since A has only 1 nonzero eigenvalue.

1.5 Page 210, 4.25

From 4.24, $1 + u^T v$ is an eigenvalue of $I + uv^T$ and the other eigenvalues of $I + uv^T$ are all 1.

Hence $\det(I + uv^T) = 1 + u^Tv$.

1.6 Page 210, 4.27

(a) $\rho(A) < 1$, then I - A has no zero eigenvalue, $\det(I - A) \neq 0$, I - A is nonsingular.

(b) Suppose $\sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are the eigenvalues of A, x_i are the corresponding eigenvectors, $-1 < \sigma_i < 1$,

Then
$$Ax_i = \sigma_i x_i \Rightarrow (I - A)^{-1} x_i = \frac{1}{1 - \sigma_i} x_i$$
.

Since
$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^{k}\right) x_{i} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^{k} x_{i} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{i}^{k} x_{i} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{i}^{k}\right) x_{i} = \frac{1}{1 - \sigma_{i}} x_{i}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

Then
$$(I - A)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$$
.

1.7 Page 210, 4.31

(a) Suppose x is an eigenvector of λ , then $Qx = \lambda x$, $x^T Q^T = \lambda x^T$.

$$Q^TQ = I \Rightarrow x^Tx = x^TQ^TQx = \lambda^2x^Tx$$
, hence $\lambda^2 = 1$, $|\lambda| = 1$.

(b) The singular values of an orthogonal matrix are 1.

1.8 Page 210, 4.32

(a) From 4.24, 1 is a nonzero eigenvalue of $\frac{vv^T}{v^Tv}$ and the other eigenvalues are all 0.

Hence the eigenvalues of $H = I - 2\frac{vv^T}{v^Tv}$ are -1 of multiplicity 1 and 1 of multiplicity n-1.

(b) $c \pm si$.

2 If pivot should be used in the QR decomposition, how would you do in the QR iteration?

Suppose the QR factorization using pivoting of A_{k-1} is $A_{k-1}P_k = Q_kR_k$, then $A_{k-1} = Q_kR_kP_k^T$, $A_k = R_kP_k^TQ_k$.

3 If a Martix is large and sparse, how would you find its largest 10 eigenvalues and eigenvectors?

Suppose the biggest 10 eigenvalues of matrix A are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{10}$.

Use power iteration to compute the biggest eigenvalue λ_1 and corresponding eigenvector x_1 .

Find vector u_1 s.t. $u_1^T x_1 = \lambda_1$, then $A - x_1 u_1^T$ has eigenvalues $0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{10}$.

Use power iteration on $A - x_1 u_1^T$ to compute λ_2 and the corresponding eigenvector x_2 .

Find vector u_2 s.t. $u_2^T x_2 = \lambda_2$, then $A - x_1 u_1^T - x_2 u_2^T$ has eigenvalues $0, 0, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \dots, \lambda_{10}$.

Repeat this procedure and finally we get $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{10}$.

4 Computer problem (in C or C++): Write the functions to achieve (1) Arnoldi iteration and Lanczos iteration; (2) QR iteration for

Hessenburg matrix to find the eigenvalue decomposition. Test these algorithms for a few matrix.

4.1 Arnoldi iteration

First we generate a random matrix A:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 7.82637 \times 10^{-6} & 0.131538 & 0.75605 & 0.45865 & 0.532767 \\ 0.218959 & 0.0470446 & 678865 & 0.679296 & 0.934693 \\ 0.383502 & 0.519416 & 0.830965 & 0.0345721 & 0.0534616 \\ 0.5297 & 0.671149 & 00769819 & 0.383416 & 0.0668422 \\ 0.417486 & 0.686773 & 0.588977 & 0.930436 & 0.846167 \end{bmatrix}$$

We use Arnoldi iteration on A and we get Q, H s.t. $Q^TAQ = H$, where Q is a orthonormal matrix and H is a Hessenburg matrix:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.448804 & 0.0424156 & -0.64389 & 0.386592 & 0.482418 \\ 0.0783299 & 0.91907 & 0.0124376 & -0.356336 & 0.148476 \\ 0.556967 & -0.282615 & -0.229148 & -0.710305 & -0.229944 \\ 0.354322 & -0.169652 & 0.66466 & -0.0734495 & 0.631274 \\ 0.59723 & 0.211798 & 0.301611 & 0.462215 & -0.542077 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1.91564 & 0.625495 & 0.212651 & -0.625938 & 0.332493 \\ 1.22833 & -0.121908 & 0.636661 & 0.0940421 & -0.168139 \\ 0 & 0.517447 & 0.0106548 & 0.298476 & 0.543828 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.461565 & 0.217729 & 0.0345409 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0288847 & 0.0854853 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we use QR iteration on H and finally we get the eigenvalues: 2.2688, -0.713998, 0.704934, -0.228789, 0.0766534 and the eigenvector matrix:

0.348176	0.460235	-0.301638	0.538806	0.534472
0.500202	0.422534	0.0693567	-0.745785	0.101279
0.305592	-0.384406	-0.834774	-0.120082	-0.218125
0.30077	-0.6753	0.271603	-0.0725091	0.611949
0.666875	-0.0764973	0.365497	0.365808	-0.531058

4.2 Lanczos iteration

First we generate a symmetric matrix A:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.649894 & 0.851725 & 0.717294 & 0.75354 & 0.613836 \\ 0.851725 & 1.21141 & 0.972604 & 1.00657 & 0.767806 \\ 0.717294 & 0.972604 & 2.06925 & 1.38739 & 1.5804 \\ 0.75354 & 1.00657 & 1.38739 & 1.68572 & 1.69407 \\ 0.613836 & 0.767806 & 1.5804 & 1.69407 & 1.88082 \end{bmatrix}$$

We use Lanczos iteration on A and we get Q, H s.t. $Q^TAQ = H$, where Q is a orthonormal matrix and H is a tridiaginal matrix:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.640091 & -0.30058 & -0.148201 & 0.369508 & -0.584325 \\ 0.535938 & -0.00908986 & -0.567368 & -0.386954 & 0.490966 \\ 0.184543 & 0.572412 & 0.136456 & -0.601791 & -0.507459 \\ 0.0333746 & 0.751921 & -0.29672 & 0.580494 & 0.09211 \\ 0.517575 & 0.128561 & 0.74126 & 0.120847 & 0.389253 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 3.41504 & 2.78875 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.78875 & 2.8195 & 0.570511 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.570511 & 0.674893 & 0.0575025 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.0575025 & 0.397974 & 0.253327 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.253327 & 0.189688 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we use QR iteration on H and finally we get the eigenvalues: 5.94962, 0.93993, 0.564872, 0.0394495, 0.0032184 and the eigenvector matrix:

0.260309	-0.483333	0.0544787	-0.801079	-0.232234
0.34802	-0.716685	0.0262144	0.422135	0.431694
0.529616	0.16742	-0.813526	0.0622987	-0.160536
0.507936	0.0847219	0.495219	0.326951	-0.618615
0.52214	0.466418	0.298795	-0.26324	0.592664

5 Page 248-250, 5.5, 5.10;

5.1 Page 248 5.5

(a) In secant method, $x_{k+1} = x_k - f(x_k) \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} = \frac{x_{k-1}f(x_k) - x_kf(x_{k-1})}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}$. (b) The advantage of the formula in (a) is that it has smaller computational cost and it can avoid the rounding

(b) The advantage of the formula in (a) is that it has smaller computational cost and it can avoid the rounding error when $|x_k - x_{k-1}| < \epsilon_{mach}$.

5.2 Page 249 5.10

$$f(t_1, t_2) = \begin{bmatrix} t_1^2 - t_2^2 \\ 2t_1t_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}, J(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2t_1, & -2t_2 \\ 2t_2, & 2t_1 \end{bmatrix}, x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

After one iteration,

$$x_1 = x_0 - J(x_0)^{-1} f(x_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 6 Computer problem (in C or C++): Write the functions to achieve Newton's method and Broyden's method. Test these methods for a few equation systems.
- (1) We test Newton's method and Broyden's method on $f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ 2x_1x_2 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and we get the solution $x_1 = x_2 = 0.707107$.

(2) We solve
$$f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 - 2 \\ x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 - 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

For Newton's method, we get $x_1 = -8.08053e - 17$, $x_2 = 1$.

For Broyden's method, we get $x_1 = -2.12844e - 13, x_2 = 1.$