TDDE31 Lab Exercise 3: Machine Learning

Results:

Results predicting the temperature for 2013-07-04 lon: 58.4274 14.826 using the additive kernel:

```
[(24, 9.311915042394283), (22, 8.985519087733714), (20, 8.651774872968065), (18, 9.476836490632897), (16, 8.89755746590985), (14, 8.31581338544655), (12, 7.892527698870256), (10, 6.448596082355736), (8, 4.729686944477248), (6, 4.2051858663890505), (4, 2.8034940997291113)]
```

Results predicting the temperature for 2013-07-04 Ion: 58.4274 14.826 using the multiplication kernel:

```
[(24, 13.7476287630208), (22, 15.534271875562615), (20, 17.704482780072652), (18, 19.00869220203396), (16, 19.337561936825885), (14, 19.203513458281712), (12, 18.242601721497163), (10, 16.837490225276994), (8, 14.746011214650395), (6, 12.777741581621438), (4, 11.401412494322047)]
```

Questions:

Show that your choice for the kernels' width is sensible, i.e. it gives more weight to closer points. Discuss why your definition of closeness is reasonable.

When determining the kernels' widths we plotted the kernel function and experimented with different values until we found widths that assigned, in our opinion, appropriate weights for the different data points, regarding the physical, date and time distance to the data point we aimed to predict. We decided to go with the following values:

```
h_distance = 150  # km
h_date = 7  # days
h_time = 150  # min
```

Repeat the exercise using a kernel that is the product of the three Gaussian kernels above. Compare the results with those obtained for the additive kernel. If they differ, explain why.

When using the additive kernel all of the three gaussian kernels contribute to the combined kernel by summing its values. This results in that when predicting the weather in July for a certain location, data from December for the same location can still significantly influence the final prediction, even though the temperature is significantly different in December compared to July for the same place.

Erik Nordström erino349 Cajsa Schöld cajsc235

When instead using a kernel that is the product of the three gaussian kernels it will address this problem, resulting in that a data point in december for a certain location will not influence the prediction for the temperature in July as much, even though they are from the same location. This will for most cases result in better predictions.

Code:

```
from future import division
from math import radians, cos, sin, asin, sqrt, exp
from pyspark import SparkContext
sc = SparkContext(appName="lab kernel")
stations = sc.textFile("BDA/input/stations.csv")
temps = sc.textFile("BDA/input/temperature-readings.csv")
date = "2013-07-04"
h distance = 150
h date = 7
h time = 150
lon = 58.4274
lat = 14.826
times = ["24:00:00", "22:00:00", "20:00:00", "18:00:00", "16:00:00",
"14:00:00",
days in month = 31
minutes per hour = 60
def haversine(lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2):
   on the earth (specified in decimal degrees)
   lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2 = map(radians, [lon1, lat1, lon2, lat2])
  dlat = lat2 - lat1
  a = \sin(dlat/2)**2 + \cos(lat1) * \cos(lat2) * \sin(dlon/2)**2
   c = 2 * asin(sqrt(a))
```

```
def compute dist kern(c lon, c lat):
        eukl dist km = haversine(lon, lat, c lon, c lat)
        kernel = exp(-(eukl dist km/h distance) **2)
        return kernel
def compute day kern(c month, c day):
       month = int(date[5:7])
       day = int(date[8:10])
       elapsed days in year = month * days in month + day
       c elapsed days in year = c month * days in month + c day
       day delta = abs(elapsed days in year - c elapsed days in year)
       kernel = exp(-(day delta/h date)**2)
def compute time kern(time, c time):
       elapsed minutes in day = hour * minutes per hour + minute
       c elapsed minutes in day = c hour * minutes per hour + c minute
       minute delta = abs(elapsed minutes in day - c elapsed minutes in day)
       kernel = exp(-(minute delta/h time)**2)
station lines = stations.map(lambda line: line.split(";"))
subset station lines = station_lines.map(lambda x: (int(x[0]), lambda x: (int(x[0]), l
(float(x[3]), float(x[4])))
station_data = subset_station_lines.collectAsMap()
b station data = sc.broadcast(station data)
temp lines = temps.map(lambda line: line.split(";"))
```

```
subset temp lines = temp lines.map(lambda x: ((int(x[1][0:4])),
int(x[1][5:7]), int(x[1][8:10]), x[2]),
                                                (float(x[3]),
b station data.value.get(int(x[0])))))
f temp lines = subset temp lines.filter(lambda x: x[0][0] <=
int(date[0:4]) and x[0][1] \le int(date[5:7]) and x[0][2] \le int(date[5:7])
int(date[8:10]))
# cache the temperature data so that it does not have to be read again
f temp lines.cache()
sum kernel predictions = []
mult kernel predictions = []
for timestamp in times:
   timestamp hour = int(timestamp[0:2])
   filtered temp lines = f temp lines.filter(lambda x: int(x[0][3][0:2]) < int(x[0][3][0:2])
timestamp hour)
hour kernel), temp))
   combined kernel = filtered temp lines.map(lambda x: (x[0],
((compute dist kern(x[1][1][0], x[1][1][1]), compute day kern(x[0][1],
x[0][2]), compute time kern(timestamp, x[0][3])), x[1][0])))
   kernels = combined kernel.map(lambda x: (1, ((x[1][0][0] + x[1][0][1] +
	imes [1][0][2]) * 	imes [1][1], 	imes [1][0][0] + 	imes [1][0][1] + 	imes [1][0][2], (	imes [1][0][0] *
x[1][0][1] * x[1][0][2]) * x[1][1], x[1][0][0] * x[1][0][1] *
x[1][0][2]))
   kernels = kernels.reduceByKey(lambda x, y: (x[0] + y[0], x[1] + y[1],
x[2] + y[2], x[3] + y[3])
```

Erik Nordström erino349 Cajsa Schöld cajsc235

```
# compute y(x), (agg_sum(u)*y / agg_sum(u), agg_mult(u)*y /
agg_mult(u))
kernel_values = kernels.mapValues(lambda x: (x[0]/x[1], x[2]/x[3]))
kernel_result = kernel_values.collectAsMap().get(1)

sum_kernel = kernel_result[0]
mult_kernel = kernel_result[1]
sum_kernel_predictions.append((timestamp_hour, sum_kernel))
mult_kernel_predictions.append((timestamp_hour, mult_kernel))

print(sum_kernel_predictions)
print(mult_kernel_predictions)
```