

starvation) [Starvation] Scheduled execution (no unsheduled) → Swapped outWaiting(No enough memory), Running → Terminated, Blocked(Blocking IO) → Waiting(IO finish) Blocked ↔ Swapped out andBlocked(No enough memory) [API: public class WorkThread extends Thread { public ConnectionHandleThread(Parameter params) { @Override public void run() { Computation(); } } } //usage: Thread t = new WorkThread(params); t.start(); Thread.sleep(1000); } //current thread */ t.getState(); } //NEW, RUNNABLE, BLOCKED, WAITING, TERMINATED, TIMED_WAITING } /t.setPriority(para); t.yield(); } //give current use of processor to other th.*/ [Amdahl's law: maxium theoretical speedup using

multiple processors $T(n) = T(1) * (B + \frac{1}{n}(1 - B))$
n# processor, $B \in [0,1]$ serial, nonparallelizable,
speedup: $S(n) = \frac{T(1)}{T(n)} = \frac{1}{T(n)}$ [Overload: 1.Transient

ov.(Short spikes in requests which ov. the S temporarily)
2.Continuous ov.(Incomming rate exceeds the capacity of the S)
3.Methods to tackle ov.(Refuse requests after certain queue length,Add capacity) [Concurrency Models

1.Thread-based conc. (One request is completely handled by a single th. time of request, same th. is responsible until the response is returned If request is blocked (due to a DB access), the th. is blocked 2.Event-based conc.not th. based Request in Network/HDD→EventHandler→FSM = # Steps(th.s)→Response (-: Only non-blocking(async) I/O operations used, Code less modular,nonreusable, Complex control flow(FSM, flags), debugging OS support like async I/O libraries) (A th. processes a request until th. blocked. When th. coked, the th. continue with the next requests, Once a th. becomes unblocked, it gets enqueued in the list of ready tasks. Scalar code separated in micro tasks. Finate State MachinesFSM(Java NIO)to track state) C socket created → th1 accept connection register 'C socket event' |requests from C socket → th2 lookupDB register 'query done event' |QueryDone→th3 send 1MB to client register 'data sent event' |data sent → th4 Cancel connection |Single-threaded S = Iterative S: S only single worker th.(do all also I/O), Thread-based conc. Waits till a new request from Network is in input queue, if empty ,Dequeues the next request ,Computes the result of the request ,Generates response to Network |When blocked due to I/O, thread also blocks(I/O read disk to memory) ++Simple programming, cache locality(one request in cache) -Insufficient use of resources, Limited scalability(reject requests if too many) [Multi-threaded S: S with multiple worker th.(same way as single th. wait ...block OS can schedule non-blocked threads(get nonblocked th. from pool), more threads than cores since a certain percentage of I/O is assumed ++: Programming abstraction(Dividing up work and assigning to a th.), Parallelism(better throughput), Responsiveness(Doing heavy operations in background, while UI stays responsive), Blocking I/O(When blocking I/O, other th. continue to work), Context switching(Switching costs from one thread to another within the same process is cheaper than process-to-process context switching), Memory savings(Th. to share memory, yet utilize multiple units of execution) [One th. per request/Connection:scheduled by operations, Request in Network→InputQueue→Dispatcher (starts one th. per request,when response sent, th. ends)→Response to Network ++Simplified programming -: Too many th. → thread-starvation(too little CPU-time) , cost of Starting/Removing th. , Not ideal for highly parallel S ServerSocket ss = new ServerSocket(); ss.bind(new InetSocketAddress("127.0.0.1", port)); while (true) Socket ss = ServerSocket.accept(); Thread t= new ConnectionHandleThread(kv, ss); t.start(); + public class ConnectionHandleThread extends Thread { public ConnectionHandleThread(KVStore store, Socket clientSocket){... @Override public void run() {BufferedReader in = ... clientSocket.getInputStream(); PrintWriter out = ... clientSocket.getOutputStream(); String firstLine; while ((firstLine = in.readLine()) != null { (String res = kv.process(firstLine)); out.write(res); out.flush(); } } } [Abstracting Th: deadlock free, starvation free Abstractions: Thread pool, Event based concurrency, SEDA, Actor model of concurrency, Reactive programming [Th. poolmanaged collection of available ths, size as maximumeg number of CPU cores), Completes tasks in pieties tasks in parallel, Reuses th. for multiple tasks Multi-threading with th. pool optimal size depends on # cores, # blocking of I/O operations [Request in Network→InputQueue→Scheduler(Thread pool with size)→Response to Network ExecutorService es= Executors.newFixedThreadPool(4);while (true) {Socket serverSocket= ServerSocket.accept();es.submit(new ConnectionHandlerRunnable(kv, clientSocket)); ++ RUNNABLE above in One th. per request/Connection [Scheduling Algorithm:Most cache efficient to assign tasks to ths in pool, Two main paradigms:Actor Work SharingWork Sharing Algo:When th. created, scheduler moves work of other th. to new th. -Comm. between cores, Cache misses [Work stealing algo. Under-utilized processors steal work from

busy processors(The migration of threads occurs less frequently with work stealing than with work sharing) ++:Maintain th. on same CPU(data locality → better cache usage, Minimize comm. between th.[Futures:result of asyn. computation in thread pool /+result in future obj */FutureTask<LinearRegressionResult> future = new FutureTask<Integer>(new Callable<String>() {public Integer call() {List<Double> lr = getDailyTurnover(...); return calcLinearRegression(lr); } }); threadpool.execute(future); } [SEDAStaged event-driven architecture, a network of stages connected by event queues ++: Support massive concurrency, Simplify the construction of services(Provide abstractions), Enable inspection(Adapt behavior to changing load conditions), Support self-tuning resource management, Server is created out of many SEDA stages |Actor:No access to shared memory(No locks,no deadlock), Scheduled on top of threads |Reactive Programming: oriented around data flows and propagation of change(Dependency graph) || [App S: a component-based product that resides in the middle-tier of a server-centric architecture. It provides middleware services for security and state maintenance, along with data access and persistence. Java:WildFly, Websphere |EJB: Standard component architecture, Distributed business applications(Support development, deployment and use of web services), Write once, run in all application containers ++:Developer not to care about Fail-over,Clustering(Distributed) Transaction handling,Databases,Security,Deployment

10 Publish/Subscribe

Many-to-many: For communication, For coordination, M: data sources (publishing C) n: data sinks (subscribing C) C decoupled and do not know each other ↔ tightly coupled Observer Design Pattern: Removes explicit dependencies, Reduces coordination, Increases scalability of dis. SY, Creates highly dynamic(frequent add/remove) decentralized SY, Decoupling in three dimensions(Space Dec. No need for C (publishers & subscribers) to hold references or know each other, C physically distributed, Time Dec.C not to be available same time(Event in Buffer) Synchroni-zation Dec.Control flow not blocked by the interaction)

Comparison: Decoupling in Different Interaction Schemes

Abstraction	Space decoupling	Time decoupling	Synchronization decoupling
Message Passing	No	No	Producer-side
RPC/RMI	No	No	Producer-side
Asynchronous RPC/RMI	No	No	Yes
Future RPC/RMI	No	No	Yes
Notifications (Observer D. Pattern)	No	No	Yes
Tuple Spaces	Yes	Yes	Producer-side
Message Queuing (Pull)	Yes	Yes	Producer-side
Publish/Subscribe	Yes	Yes	Yes

Pub/Sub Models: Content-based, Channel-based(non-hierarchical), Topic-based(hierarchical, sport new USA) Matching&Filtering in Content-based: event(Publication) e, set of subscriptions S, find all subscription s ∈ S matching e. Subscription: Boolean function over predicates Publication(event): Sets of attribute-value pairs Two-phased Matching Algo. 1.Match all predicates (Predicate Matching Phase) 2.Match subscriptions from results of Phase 1 (Subscriptions Matching Phase) 1.Predicate Mat.P: set P of predicates and event e, identify all satisfied predicates p of P → Predicate bit vector, Hash key = attribute name General Purpose Data Structure: for single attribute: 4 ordered linked list(b tree) for =,<,>,! = operators, O(n) all events & lists Finite Predicate Value Domain Types: huge matrix eg 1000 * 4 forPrice ∈ [1,1000], 1000 for price, 4 for operators, entry lookup m[i][j] = O(1) 2.Subscription Mat.P: Counting Algo |Content-based Routing 1.Advertisements (schema or types,data sources) Boradcast, 2.Publications & events (data sources) 根据上一步Broker's Subscription走 , 3.Subscriptions (query, data sinks)

11 Service Orchestration

Business Process: set of linked activities which realise business goal Bus. Pro. vs Programs Granularity(Based on activities, Programming in the large), Control flow(Explicitly defined, Easy understand), Flexibility(change), Execution(call third-party webservices, Scheduled by process engine) VS Granularity(Based on instructions, Programming in the small) Control flow(Implicitly defined, Not easy understand) No Flexibility(Hard-coded) Execution(Invoke instructions locally, Scheduled by operating system) BPTEL, WS-BPEL:Web Services Business Process Execution Language(XML based), to orchestrate loosely coupled services(to model SOA's Business processe), using web services running at bus. proc. execution engine (ODE), Lacks possibility for formal verification? Compared to FSP? Components: Activities, State handling, Control structures, Exception handling, Partner links, Parallelism, Dead path elimination Related Standards SOAP Simple Object Access Protocol, Comm. platform in XML WSDL Web Service Definition Language, set of endpoints operating on messages, Operations and messages described abstractly and bound to concrete network protocol UDDI Universal Description, Discovery and Integration, Services registered, published and reused by other organizations, App store for webservices Service-Oriented ArchitectureSOA technique that involves

the interaction between loosely coupled services(to function independent Principles: Loose coupling, Service contract → WSDL, Abstraction of underlying logic, Autonomy, Reusability, Composability → WS-BPEL, Interoperability, Discoverability → UDDI |BPMN vs BPTEL Business friendly, intuitive, process oriented, Swimlanes that represent organizational units, User friendly data manipulations, include human tasks, expose web service UI VS technical, manipulated with XPath expressions, xpress orchestrations, error handling, compensating actions |Service composition conceptual model: Servers exchanged Msg via out-port, in-port, design methodology: |Bottom-up: Service providers develop and publish services Service consumers discover and select services Service consumers compose selected services |Top-down: Service consumer develop a global process Service consumers decompose the global process into subprocesses Service consumers select/develop services to implement subprocesses |Service orchestration Local perspective, Describe control from one party's behavior(perspective), WS-BPEL as Standard, Executable processes which interact(message level) with web services (Service internal / external), Business processes(business logic + task execution order, span multiple apps and organizations, Define a long-lived transactional multistep process model) |Service choreography Global perspective, Describe the global interactions among all the parties, WS-CDL as Standard Tracks the message sequences among multiple source and sinks, Each involved party describes its part of the interaction |Orchest. vs Choreo, Two Orchest.(Web Service) sending each other(Choreo.) Request, ACK, Accept, ACK |Formal Methods: Modeling approaches, State machine verification, (Finite state processes (FSP), BPTEL → LTS → FSP), to represent and reason about Complexity of Concurrency Sy, Performance optimization, Deadlock detection, dead path elimination |FSP Labeled Transition System/ Abstract machine to study computation Contains a set of states and transitions between states) |BPTEL → FSP Activity: <invoke partner='p1' operation='o1' /> → INVOKE = (invoke_p1_o1 → END), <receive partner='p2' operation='o2' /> → RECEIVE = (receive_p2_o2 → END), <reply partner='p1' operation='o1' /> → REPLY = (reply_p1_o1 → END), Sequence: <sequence> 见上面BPTEL三个 </sequence> → 见上面FSP三个 SEQUENCE = INVOKE; RECEIVE; REPLY; END, 0 → 1 → 2 → E Flow: Parallel Activity <flow> 见上面BPTEL三个 </flow> → 见上面FSP三个 || FLOW = (INVOKE | RECEIVE || REPLY), 0 → 1 → 2 → E ← 4 ← 5 ← 6 ← 7 而且每点出3种Activity |Deadlock Detection: when two or more competing activities are each waiting for the other to finish, in FSP: a non-final-state with no outgoing arcs, like A sends to B, B sends to A Assumption: Sync Comm !m1 : Send a Msg of type m, ?m1 : Receive a Msg of type m