




site url: <https://cal-cs184-student.github.io/hw-webpages-sp24-EmmanuelCobian/hw4/index.html>

## Homework 4 - Clothsim


In this assignment I implemented a real-time simulation of cloth using a mass and spring based system. I built the data structures to discretely represent cloth and implemented the physical constraints on them. I also applied numerical integration to simulate the way cloth moves over time. Lastly, I also implemented a collision system that handles both self-collisions and collisions with other objects. One thing that I found really interesting about this project was how the cloth behaved when changing physical constants like spring constants, density, and damping. By changing these basic constants, one gets drastically different results in the cloth's behavior.

## Part 1: Masses and Springs


### Cloth wireframe examples




Cloth wireframe example 1




Cloth wireframe example 2



No shearing constraints



Only shearing constraints




With all constraints


## Part 2: Simulation Via Numerical Integration

What are the effects of changing the spring constant **ks**?  
 How does the cloth behave from start to rest with a very low **ks**? A high **ks**?

When using a very low **ks** value, I noticed that the cloth wouldn't wrinkle or fold in any way. It would just fall into steady state like a flat sheet of printer paper. On the other hand, when I used a very high **ks** value, I noticed that the cloth had well defined edges and wrinkles both as it fell into steady state and after it was in steady state.




**ks = 1**



**ks = 10000**

# What are the effects of changing the spring constant density? How does the cloth behave from start to rest with a very low density? A high density?

When using a very low density, I noticed that the cloth didn't look all that different to the normal parameters; however, I did notice that the top edge of the cloth was more defined and the cloth was more wrinkled. On the other hand, when I used a very high density, I noticed that the top edge of the cloth had multiple wrinkles when compared to the low density settings. The bottom edge is also curved while the low density settings had a straight bottom edge.




density = 1

density = 500

# What are the effects of changing the spring constant damping? How does the cloth behave from start to rest with a very low damping? A high damping?

When using a very low damping value, the cloth flutters about almost uncontrollably, like it's in a wind chamber of sorts. In the image, we see that the cloth folds both towards and away from the camera, something that wasn't seen when playing with other parameters. On the other hand, when using a high damping value, I noticed that the cloth falls down slowly and with no fluttering. The cloth is also stiff, no fluttering at all.




damping = 0.011

damping = 0.79

# How does pinned4.json look in its final resting state?

From the image, the cloth with four corners pinned looks like what one would expect. The cloth hangs and the middle of the cloth stoops down a bit.




pinned4.json

## Part 3: Handling Collisions with Other Objects

### How does the cloth over a sphere look like with different `ks` values?

When using small values of `ks`, I notice that the cloth droops down low; the cloth has more surface area contact with the sphere when compared to the default value of `ks`. On the other hand, when using a large value of `ks`, I notice that the cloth appears more bulky in a sense. When the cloth falls onto the sphere, the cloth folds and makes it seem like it's more stiff. Less of the cloth's surface touches the sphere when compared to a low value of `ks`.




ks = 500

ks = 5000

ks = 50000


### How does the shaded cloth look like at rest on the plane?



Cloth on plane at rest

## Part 4: Handling Self-Collisions

What does the cloth look like at different stages of falling?




Early state

Mid state


End state

How does the simulation change when altering **density** and **ks** values?


When density is low, the cloth falls like a silky material. The cloth also doesn't fold on itself as much when compared to when density is high. Furthermore, when the density is high, the cloth has many more folds on itself and takes longer to reach steady state. When the ks constant is low, I notice that, similar to when density is high, the cloth has many more folds and takes a bit longer to reach steady state because of the folds in the cloth. On the other hand, when ks is high, the cloth doesn't have as many folds and it falls more smoothly; the cloth doesn't take long to reach steady state.




density = 1



density = 50



ks = 1000



ks = 7500

## Part 5: Shaders

**What is a shader program and how do vertex and fragment shaders work together to create lighting and material effects?**

Shader programs are isolated programs that run in parallel on the GPU, meaning that we can accelerate computations and display lighting effects for real-time and interactive applications. Shader programs execute sections of the graphics pipeline by taking in some input and outputting a single 4D vector. Vector shaders transform and change geometric properties of vertices. These transformations become the input for


**What is the Blinn-Phong shading model?**

The Blinn-Phong shading model is a method used to calculate the illumination of surfaces. It combines three different types of lighting effects, ambient, specular, and diffuse, to produce one cohesive output. In the end, this model determines the color of each pixel based on its orientation relative to light sources and the viewer's position, helping create a realistic lighting effect.


fragment shaders that then compute a color using the transformations.



Ambient component only



Diffuse component only




Specular component only




Complete blinn-phong model

## Bump vs displacement mapping with different mesh coarseness


Bump mapping modifies normal vectors of an object such that the fragment shader gives the illusion of detail. On the other hand, displacement mapping is the modification of the vertices' positions to reflect the height map on top of also changing the vector normals. On the renderes, I notice that bump mapping does a good job of making the texture look like it's "popping out" in a sense. On the other hand, the displacement render does a good job of accentuating the bumps and making them stick out through the change in vertex positions. Finally, when the coarseness is set with `-o 16` and `-a 16`, the texture doesn't wrap around the sphere as smoothly; I notice jagged edges here and there. On the other hand, when the coarseness is set with `-o 128` and `-a 128`, the texture smoothly wraps around the sphere and differences in vector position come only from the implementation of displacement mapping.




Bump mapping -o 16 -a 16



Displacement mapping -o 16 -a 16




Bump mapping -o 128 -a 128




Displacement mapping -o 128 -a 128

## Screenshots of a mirror shader on the cloth and on the sphere



Mirror shader on cloth



Mirror shader on the sphere