2. 
$$\neg(\neg r \rightarrow \neg(p \land q))$$

- $\Leftrightarrow$  (a1)  $\land$  (a1  $\leftrightarrow$  ¬a2)  $\land$  (a2  $\leftrightarrow$  (a3  $\rightarrow$  a4))  $\land$  (a4  $\leftrightarrow$  ¬a5)  $\land$  (a5  $\leftrightarrow$  p  $\land$  q)
- $\Leftrightarrow$  (a1)  $\land$  (¬a1  $\lor$  ¬a2)  $\land$  (a2  $\lor$  a1)  $\land$  (¬a2  $\lor$  ¬a3  $\lor$  a4)  $\land$  (¬(¬a3  $\lor$  a4)  $\lor$  a2)  $\land$  (¬a4  $\lor$  ¬a5)  $\land$  (a4  $\lor$  a5)  $\land$  (¬a5  $\lor$  (p  $\land$  q))  $\land$  (a5  $\lor$  ¬(p  $\land$  q))
- $\Leftrightarrow$  (a1)  $\land$  (¬a1 V ¬a2)  $\land$  (a2 V a1)  $\land$  (¬a2 V ¬a3 V a4)  $\land$  (a3 V a2)  $\land$  (¬a4 V a2)  $\land$  (¬a4 V ¬a5)  $\land$  (a4 V a5)  $\land$  (¬a5 V p)  $\land$  (¬a5 V q)  $\land$  (a5 V ¬p V ¬q)
- 3. Proof by induction: Assume that  $pos(I, \phi) \subseteq pos(I', \phi)$

Basis step: literall. If  $I \models I \rightarrow I \in pos(I, \phi) \rightarrow I \in pos(I', \phi) \rightarrow I' \models I$ 

<u>Inductive hypothesis</u>: For some k, assume that if  $I \models F_k$  (formula at level k) then  $I' \models F_k$  <u>Inductive step</u>: At level k+1 there are two possibilities for formula  $F_{k+1}$ :

- $F_{k+1} = F_{k1} \land F_{k2}$ . If  $I \models F_{k+1} \rightarrow I \models F_{k1} \land I \models F_{k2} \rightarrow I' \models F_{k1} \land I' \models F_{k2}$  (inductive hypothesis)  $\rightarrow I' \models F_{k+1}$
- $F_{k+1} = F_{k1} \lor F_{k2}$ . If  $I \models F_{k+1} \to I \models F_{k1} \lor I \models F_{k2} \to I' \models F_{k1} \lor I' \models F_{k2}$  (inductive hypothesis)  $\to I' \models F_{k+1}$

By induction, we've shown that if  $I \models \phi$ , then  $I' \models \phi$ .