Caleb White

ECON423

Fixed and Random Effects

- 1. With panel data such as this we should prefer a fixed effects model over a pooled OLS model. We can improve the internal validity of our estimates with a fixed effects model by controlling for variation of usually unobserved, time invariant characteristics across countries. By divesting the error term of unobserved heterogeneity our model gives us better information.
- 2. The FE model seems to predict approximately 6% higher GDP per capita each year, whereas the RE model seems to predict a slowdown of that growth in the 4th year to just about 1%. The coefficient of the natural logarithm of initial GDP per capita, *lnini*, for the FE model is -7.01 and 0.46 for the RE model. Taken together with the constants of 43.29 for the FE model and -12.65 for the RE model, we can see that overall the FE model seems to predict higher growth in countries with lower initial GDP per capita and lower growth in countries with higher initial GDP per capita. On the other hand, the RE model predicts a straightforward positive effect of initial GDP per capita on growth, and with what seems to be a smaller marginal effect than in the FE model.
- 3. With $\gamma \neq 0$ in our CRE model it would seem to say that RE is a bad model in this case and that FE may be preferable.

```
capture log close
3
    cd G:/
4
5
    log using 423ex4log.log, text replace
7
    import excel "G:\EMFT_Data.xlsx", sheet("datastatafeb19") firstrow
8
9
    gen lnini = ln(initial)
10
11
    *1
12
13
    xtset ID year
14
    xtreg growth i.year lnini, fe
15
16
    *2
17
18
    xtset ID year
19
    xtreg growth i.year lnini, re
20
    summarize lnini
21
    *3
22
23
    egen meanlnini=mean(lnini), by(ID)
24
25
    xtset ID year
26
    xtreg growth i.year lnini meanlnini, re
27
28
```

name: <unnamed>

log: G:\423ex4log.log

log type: text

opened on: 19 Apr 2022, 11:59:00

. import excel "G:\EMFT_Data.xlsx", sheet("datastatafeb19") firstrow
(23 vars, 104 obs)

. gen lnini = ln(initial)
(7 missing values generated)

. . *1

. xtset ID year

panel variable: ID (strongly balanced)

time variable: year, 1 to 4

delta: 1 unit

. xtreg growth i.year lnini, fe

Fixed-effects (within) regression	Number of obs	=	96
Group variable: ID	Number of groups	=	26

R-sq: Obs per group:

within = 0.7725 min = 1 between = 0.0676 avg = 3.7 overall = 0.2723 max = 4

F(4,66) = 56.03 $corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.6878$ Prob > F = 0.0000

. Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> t	t	Std. Err.	Coef.	growth
						year
9.933128	4.522356	0.000	5.33	1.355021	7.227742	2
15.94844	10.80265	0.000	10.38	1.288662	13.37554	3
22.31471	16.50001	0.000	13.33	1.456175	19.40736	4
-3.597089	-10.43224	0.000	-4.10	1.711729	-7.014666	lnini
68.98707	17.60047	0.001	3.36	12.86876	43.29377	_cons

sigma_u | 7.3421277 sigma_e | 4.1758635

rho | .75558322 (fraction of variance due to u_i)

F test that all u_i=0: F(25, 66) = 1.22

Prob > F = 0.2602

. *2

. xtset ID year

panel variable: ID (strongly balanced)

time variable: year, 1 to 4 delta: 1 unit

. xtreg growth i.year lnini, re

Random-effects	GLS regress:	ion		Number	of obs	=	96
Group variable					of groups	=	26
R-sq:				Obs per	group:		
within =	0.7068				min	=	1
between =	0.5964				avg	=	3.7
overall =	0.6899				max	=	4
				Wald ch	i2(4)	=	202.42
<pre>corr(u_i, X)</pre>	= 0 (assumed	d)		Prob >	54(mm.) 57 (58) 50	=	0.0000
growth	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Co	nf.	Interval]
year							
2	9.504826	1.287212	7.38	0.000	6.98193	7	12.02771
3	14.7689	1.25861	11.73	0.000	12.3020	7	17.23573
4	15.91202	1.266631	12.56	0.000	13.4294	7	18.39457
 lnini	.4569526	.4650111	0.98	0.326	454452	3	1.368358
_cons	-12.65386		-3.53		-19.68		
sigma u l	0						
sigma_u	and the second second second second						
sigma_e	4.1758635	(foneties	of words	dua +	: \		
rho	0	(fraction o	or varia	ice aue t	o u_1)		

. summarize lnini

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
lnini	97	7.476652	1.002892	5.182626	9.73432

. egen meanlnini=mean(lnini), by(ID)

. xtset ID year

panel variable: ID (strongly balanced)

time variable: year, 1 to 4

delta: 1 unit

. xtreg growth i.year lnini meanlnini, re

(BETTER BETTER PER CONTROL OF SECTION OF SECTION OF CONTROL OF CO					of obs of groups	= 96 = 26
R-sq:				Obs per	group:	
within =	0.7721				min :	= 1
between =	0.6070				avg :	= 3.7
overall =	0.7505				max :	
				Wald ch	i2(5) :	= 270.67
corr(u_i, X)	= 0 (assumer	47		Prob >		= 0.0000
co (u_1, x)	- o (assume	S. Z		11,00		0.0000
growth	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Con	f. Interval]
year						
2	7.398212	1.24539	5.94	0.000	4.957292	9.839132
3	13.3977	1.172494	11.43	0.000	11.09966	15.69575
4	18.88823	1.307845	14.44	0.000	16.3249	21.45156
 lnini	-6.360357	1.517314	-4.19	0.000	-9.334238	-3.386477
meanlnini			4.68		4.247927	
_cons		3.326208			The participants of person visited and the	
 sigma_u	0					
sigma_e	4.1758635					
rho	4.1738033	(fraction	of variar	nce due t	o u i)	
i no 1	U	(11 accion (Ji vai Lai	ice due ti	o u_1)	

end of do-file