HOMEWORK 2

<u>P7</u>

The total amount of time to get the IP address is the sum of all the round-trip times (RTT) it takes on its way to finding the destination IP.

$$RTT_1 + RTT_2 + ... + RTT_n$$

Once the IP is known, $2RTT_0$ elapses to establish the server-client TCP connection and to GET the requested object. The total time elapsed (assuming $d_{trans} = 0$) is:

$$RTT_1 + RTT_2 + ... + RTT_n + 2RTT_0$$

P8,

a. Non-persistent HTTP with no parallel TCP connections:

$$RTT_1 + ... + RTT_n + 2RTT_0 + 8.2RTT_0 = 18RTT_0 + RTT_1 + ... RTT_n$$

This includes the time it takes to locate the destination IP address, the time to establish the TCP connection and time for the client and server to GET and SEND each of the 8 objects, respectively.

b. Non-persistent HTTP with the browser configured for 5 parallel connections:

$$RTT_1 + ... + RTT_n + 2RTT_0 + 2 \cdot 2RTT_0 = 6RTT_0 + RTT_1 + ... + RTT_n$$

The 8*2 is reduced to 2*2 since a 5-parallel connection only requires 2 GETs to get 8 objects if each connection sends only 1 GET request.

c. Persistent HTTP:

$$RTT_1 + ... + RTT_n + 2RTT_0 + RTT_0 = 3RTT_0 + RTT_1 + ... + RTT_n$$

No new TCP connections must be established after each GET request. Since $d_{trans} = 0$, a single GET request should get you the 8 objects virtually instantaneously (i.e. RTT_0 time).

P11

- a. Yes, parallel connections help because he can reserve more instances of non-persistent HTTP than the other 4 users.
- b. No, because every user including Bob would have the same # of parallel connections, meaning that Bob would get 1/5 of the non-persistent HTTP instances, same as the other 4 users.

<u>P15</u>

MTA stands for "Mail Transfer Agents". The originator of this spam email is: tennis5@pp33head.com

P18

- a. A whois database is a collection of information made publicly available on registered domain names and contact information for the registrant of that domain name.
- b. \$whois fordham.edu

IT-DNS02.FORDHAM.EDU

150.108.28.20

IT-DNS01.FORDHAM.EDU

150.108.27.20

\$whois nytimes.com

DNS.EWR1.NYTIMES.COM DNS.SEA1.NYTIMES.COM

DNS.SEAT.NITIMES.(

c. \$nslookup

> set type=A

> fordham.edu

Name: fordham.edu

Address: 150.108.28.79

> nytimes.com

Name: nytimes.com

Address: 170.149.168.130

Name: nytimes.com

Address: 170.149.172.130

> data.gov

Name: data.gov

Address: 216.128.241.41

Name: data.gov

Address: 173.252.148.98

> data.gov	Authoritative:
Non-authoritative:	159.142.148.200
dns.gsa.gov.	2620:0:150:300c::11
dns2.gsa.gov.	159.142.148.210
dns3.gsa.gov.	209.225.2.109
dns4.gsa.gov.	159.142.152.60
dns5.gsa.gov.	2620:0:150:140d::11
dns6.gsa.gov.	159.142.119.252
	159.142.90.245

> set type=MX > fordham.edu 200 fordham.edu.s8a2.psmtp.com. 100 fordham.edu.s8a1.psmtp.com. 400 fordham.edu.s8b2.psmtp.com. 300 fordham.edu.s8b1.psmtp.com. 5 ALT1.ASPMX.L.GOOGLE.com. 5 ALT1.ASPMX.L.GOOGLE.com.

> data.gov

- 10 phx-smt-03.data.gov
 30 phl-smt-04.data.gov
- d. All 3 of the Web servers I performed nslookup on returned multiple IP addresses. Yes.
- e. Search WhoisRWS: "fordham"

NetRange: 150.108.0.0 - 150.108.255.255

- f. whois databases can provide the owner of a domain name and the nslookup can give you the IP address of the domain name, thereby enabling you to target a specific company/person for a DDoS attack.
- g. This provides accountability for the content posted on sites. Otherwise, it would be much easier for a domain owner to evade responsibility for illicit or generally bad content.

P19,

a. \$dig www.fordham.edu

;; QUESTION SECTION: ;www.fordham.edu.	IN	А
;; ANSWER SECTION:		
www.fordham.edu. 600	IN	CNAME www.fordham.edu.edgesuite.net.
www.fordham.edu.edgesuit	e.net. 17120 IN	CNAME all66.g.akamai.net.
all66.g.akamai.net.	18 IN	A 168.143.242.242
a1166.g.akamai.net.	18 IN	A 168.143.242.209

```
;; QUESTION SECTION:
;google.com.
                                IN
                                      Α
;; ANSWER SECTION:
google.com.
                                      74.125.226.232
                   285
                         IN
                                Α
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                                Α
                                      74.125.226.225
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                                      74.125.226.229
                                Α
google.com.
                   285
                                      74.125.226.228
                         IN
                               Α
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                                Α
                                      74.125.226.226
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                               Α
                                      74.125.226.224
google.com.
                                      74.125.226.227
                   285
                         IN
                               Α
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                               Α
                                      74.125.226.238
google.com.
                   285
                                      74.125.226.231
                         IN
                               Α
                                      74.125.226.230
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                               Α
                                      74.125.226.233
google.com.
                   285
                         IN
                                Α
$dig yahoo.com
;; QUESTION SECTION:
;yahoo.com.
                         IN
                                Α
;; ANSWER SECTION:
yahoo.com.
                   1343
                         IN
                                Α
                                      206.190.36.45
yahoo.com.
                   1343
                         IN
                                Α
                                      98.138.253.109
                   1343
                                      98.139.183.24
yahoo.com.
                         IN
                               Α
$dig amazon.com
;; QUESTION SECTION:
;amazon.com.
                                IN
                                      Α
```

<u>P32</u>

;; ANSWER SECTION:

26

26

26

26

IN

IN

IN

IN

Α

Α

Α

Α

amazon.com.

amazon.com.

amazon.com.

amazon.com.

No. The client-side port is 5432 and the serverside port is 12000. Before adding this line, the client-side port number was unspecified (i.e. left up to the OS to decide). The server-side port was always 12000.

72.21.194.212

176.32.98.166

72.21.215.232

205.251.242.54

P33

Yes. The disadvantage of more simultaneous TCP connections is that for each additional user, HTTP response time increases and congestion is exacerbated. The only time multiple simultaneous TCP connections can be advantageous is on a shared network connection, where each connection is assigned a time-share.