

1. Let  $x_0, x_1$  be two successive points from a secant method applied to solving  $f(x) = 0$  with  $f_0 = f(x_0), f_1 = f(x_1)$ . Show that regardless of which point  $x_0$  or  $x_1$  is regarded as the most recent point, the new point derived from the secant step will be the same.

Suppose  $x_1$  is the most recent point. Then the next point  $x_2$  produced by the secant method would be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_2 &= x_1 - f_1 \frac{x_1 - x_0}{f_1 - f_0} \\
 &= \frac{x_1(f_1 - f_0) - f_1(x_1 - x_0)}{f_1 - f_0} \\
 &= \frac{x_1 f_1 - x_1 f_0 - x_1 f_1 + x_0 f_1}{f_1 - f_0} \\
 &= \frac{x_0 f_1 - x_1 f_0}{f_1 - f_0} \\
 &= \frac{x_0 f_1 - x_1 f_0 + x_0 f_0 - x_0 f_0}{f_1 - f_0} \\
 &= \frac{x_0(f_1 - f_0) + f_0(x_0 - x_1)}{f_1 - f_0} \\
 &= x_0 - f_0 \frac{x_0 - x_1}{f_0 - f_1}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the secant iteration if  $x_0$  was the most recent point. Thus, regardless of which point is the most recent, the secant iteration will produce the same point  $x_2$ .

2. Determine whether the following sets of vectors are dependent or linearly independent:

- (a)  $(1, 2, -1, 3), (3, -1, 1, 1), (1, 9, -5, 11)$ .

We can determine if this set of vectors is linearly independent by forming the matrix and row reducing as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 9 \\ -1 & 1 & -5 \\ 3 & 1 & 11 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This row reduction shows that our third vector can be written as a linear combination of the first two vectors and so our set is *linearly dependent*.

- (b)  $(1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1)$ .

Just as in part (a), we can test linear dependence by forming a matrix and row reducing as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The row reduction shows that each vector can not be written as a linear combination of the other two vectors and so our set is *linearly independent*.

3. Let  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_k$  be linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $A$  be a non-singular  $n \times n$  matrix. Define  $\vec{y}_i = A\vec{x}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Show that  $\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2, \dots, \vec{y}_k$  are linearly independent.

*Proof:*

Let  $A, x_i$ , and  $y_i$  be defined as above for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then, because  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$  forms a linearly independent set,  $x_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Furthermore, because  $A$  is non-singular and  $x_i \neq 0$ ,

$$y_i = Ax_i \neq 0$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . With this information in mind, let's find constants  $a_i$  such that  $a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 + \dots + a_ky_k = 0$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 + \dots + a_ky_k &= a_1Ax_1 + a_2Ax_2 + \dots + a_kAx_k \\ &= A(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_kx_k). \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Then, because  $A$  is non-singular, setting  $(*)$  equal to zero yields

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0. \quad (1)$$

Finally, because  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is linearly independent, the only solution to (1) is  $a_i = 0$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus, the only solution to  $a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 + \dots + a_ky_k = 0$  is when  $a_i = 0$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore,  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^k$  forms a linearly independent set.  $\square$

4. Given the orthogonal vectors

$$\vec{u}_1 = (1, 2, -1) \quad \vec{u}_2 = (1, 1, 3)$$

produce a third vector  $\vec{u}_3$  such that  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Normalize the vectors to create an orthonormal basis.

For any number of dimensions, we could use the Gram-Schmidt process to generate orthogonal vectors to our given vectors but we are in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and so we can simply use the cross product to get a third orthogonal vector  $\vec{u}_3$ . We can produce  $\vec{u}_3$  as

$$\vec{u}_3 = \vec{u}_1 \times \vec{u}_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = (6+1)\hat{i} - (3+1)\hat{j} + (1-2)\hat{k} = (7, -4, -1)$$

Thus,  $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\} = \{(1, 2, -1), (1, 1, 3), (7, -4, -1)\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Going further, we can normalize each vector to get the orthonormal basis

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{11}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{66}} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

5. Prove that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues and that there is a one-to-one correspondence of the eigenvectors.

*Proof:*

Suppose we have similar square  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ . Then, by the definition of similar matrices, there exists an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $P$  such that

$$A = P^{-1}BP. \quad (2)$$

Now, suppose  $A$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\vec{\lambda}$ . Then,  $A\vec{\lambda} = \lambda\vec{\lambda}$ . Furthermore,

$$P^{-1}BP\vec{\lambda} = A\vec{\lambda} = \lambda\vec{\lambda}.$$

Rearranging yields

$$B(P\vec{\lambda}) = \lambda(P\vec{\lambda}) \quad (3)$$

which implies  $P\vec{\lambda}$  is an eigenvector of  $B$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Thus,  $A$  and  $B$  both have the same eigenvalue and because we picked any eigenvalue of  $A$  and  $A$  and  $B$  are the same size,  $A$  and  $B$  must have the same eigenvalues. Furthermore, from (3), we can form a one-to-one correspondence between the eigenvectors  $\vec{\lambda}_A$  of  $A$  to the eigenvectors  $\vec{\lambda}_B$  of  $B$  as

$$\vec{\lambda}_B = P\vec{\lambda}_A.$$

□

6. A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is *positive definite* if and only if  $\langle A\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle > 0$  for all  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n; x \neq 0$ ,

Prove that if  $A$  is positive definite, then  $A$  is non-singular.

*Proof:* Proof by contradiction:

Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a positive definite matrix. Then,  $\langle A\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle > 0$  for all non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now, suppose there exists some  $\vec{x} \neq 0$  such that  $A\vec{x} = 0$ . Then

$$\langle A\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = \langle 0, \vec{x} \rangle = 0.$$

But, because  $A$  is positive definite, we know if  $\vec{x} \neq 0$ , then  $\langle A\vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle > 0$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $A\vec{x} = 0$  only if  $\vec{x} = 0$  which shows that  $A$  is non-singular. □

7. Let  $M$  be any real  $n \times n$  non-singular matrix and let  $A = M^T M$ . Prove that  $A$  is positive definite.

*Proof:*

Let  $M$  and  $A$  be defined as above and let any non-zero  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x}^T A \vec{x} &= \vec{x}^T M^T M \vec{x} \\ &= (M\vec{x})^T (M\vec{x}) \\ &= (M\vec{x}) \cdot (M\vec{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the dot product between two identical vectors. Furthermore, because  $M$  is non-singular and  $\vec{x} \neq 0$ , we must have  $M\vec{x} \neq 0$ . Finally, because the dot product is an inner product, the dot product between two identical non-zero vectors must be strictly positive. So, putting everything together, we must have

$$\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} = (M\vec{x}) \cdot (M\vec{x}) > 0. \quad (4)$$

Then, because the choice of non-zero  $\vec{x}$  was arbitrary, (4) must hold for all non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  which by definition means  $A$  is positive definite. □