- 1. Prove the following for $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$:
 - (a) $||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_1 \le n||x||_{\infty}$. *Proof:*

For the first inequality, we have

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|$$

$$\le \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$= ||x||_1.$$

For the second half of our inequality chain, we have

$$||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j| \right)$$

$$= n \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|$$

$$= n||x||_{\infty}$$

Thus, $||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_1 \le n||x||_{\infty}$.

(b)
$$||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2 \le \sqrt{n} ||x||_{\infty}$$
.
Proof:

For the first inequality, we have

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|$$

$$= \sqrt{\left(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|\right)^2}$$

$$\le \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2}$$

$$= ||x||_2.$$

For the second half of our inequality chain, we have

$$||x||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\right)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|\right)^2$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j|$$

$$= n||x||_{\infty}.$$

Thus, $||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2 \le \sqrt{n} ||x||_{\infty}$.

(c) $||x||_2 \le ||x||_1 \le \sqrt{n} ||x||_2$. Proof:

Let's work on the first half of the inequality:

$$||x||_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{2} + \sum_{i,j,i\neq j}^{n} |x_{i}| |x_{j}|}$$

$$= \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|\right)}$$

$$= \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|\right)^{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|$$

$$= ||x||_{1}.$$

Now, let's show the second half of the inequality

$$||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |1| |x_i|$$

$$\leq ||\vec{1}||_2 ||x||_2 \quad \text{by Cauchy-Shwartz}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |1|^2\right) ||x||_2$$

$$= \sqrt{n} ||x||_2.$$

Thus,
$$||x||_2 \le ||x||_1 \le \sqrt{n} ||x||_2$$
.

- 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be a non-zero matrix with rank r.
 - (a) Write down the singular value decomposition of A. List the properties of the matrices you use in your decomposition.

We can write the SVD of A as

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

Where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is made up of the left singular vectors, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is a semi-diagonal matrix with positive singular values along the main diagonal, and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is made up of the right singular vectors.

Some properties of U

- it is orthonormal
- the columns of U are made up of the normalized eigenvectors of AA^T (i.e. $U = [u_1|u_2|\dots|u_n]$).

Some properties of V

- it is orthonormal
- the columns of V are made up of the normalized eigenvectors of A^TA (i.e. $V = [v_1|v_2|\dots|v_n]$).

Finally, some properties of Σ

- the diagonal entries of Σ are given by the singular values σ_i for i = 1, 2, ..., r. The rest of the entries of Σ are zero.
- by convention, we organize the singular values from the left diagonal to right diagonal as $\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$.
- (b) Show that \mathbb{R}^m has an orthonormal basis v_1, \ldots, v_m , \mathbb{R}^n has an orthonormal basis u_1, \ldots, u_n and there exists $\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$ such that

$$Av_{i} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{i}u_{i}, & i = 1, \dots, r \\ 0, & i = r + 1, \dots, m \end{cases},$$
$$A^{T}u_{i} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{i}v_{i}, & i = 1, \dots, r \\ 0, & i = r + 1, \dots, m \end{cases}.$$

First off, because we are guaranteed a SVD for all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, we can get our decomposition given in part (a). Using our SVD from part (a), we know the columns of V form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^m given by v_1, \ldots, v_m , and the columns of U form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n given by u_1, \ldots, u_n . So we can get the two orthonormal bases that we desired. Now, using the SVD in part (a), we have $\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$ from the singular values matrix Σ . Putting all of this together,

$$Av_i = U\Sigma V^T v_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i u_i (v_i \cdot v_i), & i = 1, \dots, r \\ 0, & i = r+1, \dots, m \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \sigma_i u_i, & i = 1, \dots, r \\ 0, & i = r+1, \dots, m \end{cases}$$

because $v_i \cdot v_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $v_i \cdot v_j = 1$ if i = j by the orthonormalness of V. For the second equality, we have

$$A^{T}u_{i} = (U\Sigma V^{T})^{T}u_{i} = V\Sigma^{T}U^{T}u_{i} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{i}v_{i}(u_{i} \cdot u_{i}), & i = 1, \dots, r \\ 0, & i = r + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \sigma_{i}v_{i}, & i = 1, \dots, r \\ 0, & i = r + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

because $u_i \cdot u_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $u_i \cdot u_j = 1$ if i = j by the orthonormalness of U.

(c) Argue that

$$Range(A) = span\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$$
(1)

$$Null(A) = span\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m\}$$
(2)

$$Range(A^T) = span\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$$
(3)

$$Null(A^T) = span\{u_{r+1}, \dots, u_n\}.$$
(4)

• To understand (1), suppose we have any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, because we only have r singular values and the rest of Σ is either rows of zeros or columns of zeros, we have

$$Ax = U\Sigma V^{T}x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}u_{i}v_{i}^{T}x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\sigma_{i}v_{i}^{T}x)u_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}u_{i}$$

where $a_i = \sigma_i v_i^T x$ for i = 1, ..., r are constants. Thus, Ax can be written as a linear combination of $\{u_1, ..., u_r\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ which implies

Range(
$$A$$
) = span(u_1, \ldots, u_r).

• To argue (2), let's find the nullspace previous part:

$$Ax = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i u_i$$

where $a_i = \sigma_i v_i^T x$ for $i = 1, \dots r$. From this expression, we can see that nullspace is the set of all x such that $a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. In other words, we need x to satisfy

$$\sigma_i v_i^T x = 0$$

for each $i=1,\ldots,r$. We know each $\{v_1,\ldots,v_m\}$ is an orthogonal set and so the only x that make $a_i=0$ are $x=b_{r+1}v_{r+1}+\cdots+b_mv_m$ which implies that

$$Null(A) = span(v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m).$$

• Similar to (1), we can show (3). Suppose we have any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$A^{T}x = V\Sigma^{T}U^{T}x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}v_{i}u_{i}^{T}x = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\sigma_{i}u_{i}^{T}x)v_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}v_{i}$$

where $a_i = \sigma_i u_i^T x$ for i = 1, ..., r constants. Thus, $A^T x$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{v_1, ..., v_r\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which implies

Range
$$(A^T)$$
 = span (v_1, \ldots, v_r) .

- We can argue (4) by applying the argument for (2) to (3) with the roles of u_i and v_i switched.
- (d) Now show that $Range(A^T)$ is orthogonal to Null(A).

Suppose we have any $x \in \text{Range}(A^T)$ and any $y \in \text{Null}(A)$. Then, from part (c), we know that

$$x = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_r v_r$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and that

$$y = b_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \cdots + b_mv_m$$

for some $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=r+1}^{m} x \cdot (b_i v_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=r+1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (a_j v_j) \cdot (b_i v_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=r+1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j b_i (v_j \cdot v_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=r+1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j b_i (0) \quad \text{because } i \neq j \text{ and } v_j \cdot v_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

$$= 0.$$

Therefore, Range(A^T) is orthogonal to Null(A).

- 3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - (a) Prove the following matrix identity (Sherman-Morrison)

$$(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1 + v^TA^{-1}u}.$$

Proof:

To prove the Sherman-Morrison formula, we just need to show the RHS is the inverse of $A + uv^T$.

• First direction

$$\begin{split} \left(A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^{T}A^{-1}}{1 + v^{T}A^{-1}u}\right)(A + uv^{T}) &= A^{-1}A + A^{-1}uv^{T} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^{T}A^{-1}A + A^{-1}uv^{T}A^{-1}uv^{T}}{1 + v^{T}A^{-1}u} \\ &= I + A^{-1}uv^{T} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^{T} + A^{-1}uv^{T}A^{-1}uv^{T}}{1 + v^{T}A^{-1}u} \\ &= I + A^{-1}uv^{T} - \frac{A^{-1}u(1 + v^{T}A^{-1}u)v^{T}}{1 + v^{T}A^{-1}u} \\ &= I + A^{-1}uv^{T} - A^{-1}uv^{T} \\ &= I. \end{split}$$

• The second direction can be shown as

$$\begin{split} (A+uv^T)\left(A^{-1}-\frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1+v^TA^{-1}u}\right) &= AA^{-1}+uv^TA^{-1}-\frac{AA^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}+uv^TA^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1+v^TA^{-1}u}\\ &= I+uv^TA^{-1}-\frac{uv^TA^{-1}+uv^TA^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1+v^TA^{-1}u}\\ &= I+uv^TA^{-1}-\frac{u(1+v^TA^{-1}u)v^TA^{-1}}{1+v^TA^{-1}u}\\ &= I+uv^TA^{-1}-uv^TA^{-1}\\ &= I. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1 + v^TA^{-1}u}$$

(b) Suppose that the LU factorization of A is available. Explain how the Sherman-Morrison identity can be used to solve the system $(A + uv^T)x = b$. Firstly, let's solve for x directly to get

$$x = (A + uv^T)^{-1}b.$$

Now using the Sherman-Morrison identity, we have

$$x = A^{-1}b - \frac{A^{-1}uv^TA^{-1}}{1 + v^TA^{-1}u}b.$$

With this expanded expression for x, let's break the expression up into chunks that we can use the LU factorization of A on:

$$x = y - \frac{zv^Ty}{1 + v^Tz} = y - \frac{z(v^Ty)}{1 + v^Tz}$$

where $y = A^{-1}b$ and $z = A^{-1}u$. Thus, to solve for x, I would use the LU of A to solve for y and z and then plug those into the rest of the expression to finish up the computation of x according to the parenthesis.

(c) What is the cost of the proposed method for solving for x in part (b)? To count the number of FLOPs to compute x in part (b), we need to first compute the cost of computing y and z using the LU factorization of A. The cost for y and z is each $2n^2 - n$. Then, we need to use this calculation to compute the rest of x. To do so, we need n subtractions for the main difference, 2(2n-1) for the two dot products, n for the vector scalar multiplication, n for the division, and finally, n flop for the addition in the denominator. Adding all of these up yields a total cost of

$$cost = 4n^2 + 5n - 1 = O(n^2)FLOPs.$$