

# Finding Optimal f-Functions

Caleb Leedy

8 February 2024

## Summary

This document takes the case of observing three variables  $(X_1, X_2, Y)$  under nonmonotone missingness and discusses the optimal function  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , and  $f_3$  under a simple random sampling design.

## Notation and Problem Setup

Consider the case where  $Z = (X_1, X_2, Y)$  and we want to estimate the parameter  $\theta = E[Y]$ . Suppose that we have a finite population of size  $N$ . Instead of observing the entire data set we observe the segments in Table 1. Each of the segments is a simple random sample of size  $n$  and independent from the other segments. Hence this means that the first order selection probability  $\pi_i = \pi = n/N$  for every  $i$ .

Table 1: This table identifies which variables are observed in each segment. Since  $X_1$  is always observed, the subscript for each segment identifies which of variables  $X_2$  and  $Y$  are in the segment based on the position of a 1.

Segment	Variables Observed
$A_{00}$	$X_1$
$A_{10}$	$X_1, X_2$
$A_{01}$	$X_1, Y$
$A_{11}$	$X_1, X_2, Y$

As an analyst, we can choose functions  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  such that  $g = Zf + e$  where

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1^{(11)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{11}}{\pi_{11}} f_1(x_{1i}) \\
g_2^{(11)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{11}}{\pi_{11}} f_2(x_{2i}) \\
g_3^{(11)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{11}}{\pi_{11}} f_3(y_i) \\
g_1^{(10)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{10}}{\pi_{10}} f_1(x_{1i}) \\
g_2^{(10)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{10}}{\pi_{10}} f_2(x_{2i}) \\
g_1^{(01)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{01}}{\pi_{01}} f_1(x_{1i}) \\
g_3^{(01)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{01}}{\pi_{01}} f_2(y_i) \\
g_1^{(00)} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{00}}{\pi_{00}} f_1(x_{1i})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{g} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(11)} \\ g_2^{(11)} \\ g_3^{(11)} \\ g_1^{(10)} \\ g_2^{(10)} \\ g_1^{(01)} \\ g_3^{(01)} \\ g_1^{(00)} \end{bmatrix}, Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, E[e] = 0, \text{ and } \text{Var}(e) = V.$$

For now assume that  $V$  is known. Due to the construction of  $g$ , we know that

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & V_{00} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$V_{11} = N^{-1}\pi^{-1}\text{Cov}(f, f), V_{10} = N^{-1}\pi^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cov}(f_1, f_1) & \text{Cov}(f_1, f_2) \\ \text{Cov}(f_1, f_2) & \text{Cov}(f_2, f_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V_{01} = N^{-1}\pi^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cov}(f_1, f_1) & \text{Cov}(f_1, f_3) \\ \text{Cov}(f_1, f_3) & \text{Cov}(f_3, f_3) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } V_{00} = N^{-1}\pi^{-1}\text{Cov}(f_3, f_3).$$

Previously, we have shown that the optimal estimator is the GLS estimator  $\hat{f} = (Z'V^{-1}Z)^{-1}Z'V^{-1}g$  and by linear model theory  $\text{Var}(\hat{f}) = (Z'V^{-1}Z)^{-1}$  since  $\text{Var}(g) = V$  by construction.