

# Caleb Logemann

## MATH 520 Methods of Applied Math II

### Homework 4

#### Section 11.4

#6 Let  $\mathbf{H} = L^2(0, 1)$  and  $T_1 u = T_2 u = iu'$  with domains

$$D(T_1) = \left\{ u \in H^1(0, 1) : u(0) = u(1) \right\} D(T_2) = \left\{ u \in H^1(0, 1) : u(0) = u(1) = 0 \right\}$$

Show that  $T_1$  is self-adjoint, and that  $T_2$  is closed and symmetric but not self-adjoint. What is  $T_2^*$ ?

*Proof.* First I will show that  $T_1$  is self-adjoint. Let  $v \in D(T_1)$ , then  $(v, iv')$  is an admissible pair for  $T_1^*$ . To see this note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_1 u, v \rangle &= \int_0^1 iu'(x)\overline{v(x)} \, dx \\ &= iu(x)\overline{v(x)} \Big|_{x=0}^1 - \int_0^1 iu(x)\overline{v'(x)} \, dx \\ &= iu(x)\overline{v(x)} \Big|_{x=0}^1 + \int_0^1 u(x)\overline{iv'(x)} \, dx \\ &= iu(1)\overline{v(1)} - iu(0)\overline{v(0)} + \int_0^1 u(x)\overline{iv'(x)} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u(0) = u(1)$  and  $v(0) = v(1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^1 u(x)\overline{iv'(x)} \, dx \\ &= \langle u, T_1 v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $D(T_1) \subset D(T_1^*)$  and that  $T_1 u = T_1^* u = iu'$  for  $u \in D(T)$ .

Now let  $v \in D(T_1^*)$ , if we can show that  $v \in D(T)$  and  $T_1^* v = iv'$ , then we know that  $T_1 = T_1^*$ . Since  $v \in D(T_1^*)$  then there exists  $g \in L^2(0, 1)$  such that  $(v, g)$  is an admissible pair for  $T_1^*$  and  $\int_0^1 g(x) \, dx = 0$ . Now by definition  $T_1^* v = g$ . Also since  $(v, g)$  is an admissible pair,

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, g \rangle$$

for all  $u \in D(T)$ . Next I will define the following function

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(y) \, dy + \alpha$$

where

$$\alpha = i \int_0^1 v(s) \, ds - \int_0^1 \int_0^s g(y) \, dy \, ds.$$

Since  $v, g \in L^2(0, 1)$ , this function is well-defined. Note that by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for  $L^2$  functions,  $G'(x) = g(x)$ . Also note that since  $\int_0^1 g(x) \, dx = 0$ ,

$$G(0) = \int_0^0 g(y) \, dy + \alpha = \alpha = \int_0^1 g(y) \, dy + \alpha = G(1)$$

Now reconsider the inner product  $\langle u, g \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle u, g \rangle &= \int_0^1 u(x) \overline{g(x)} \, dx \\
 &= \int_0^1 u(x) \overline{G'(x)} \, dx \\
 &= u(x)G(x) \Big|_{x=0}^1 - \int_0^1 u'(x) \overline{G(x)} \, dx \\
 &= (u(1)G(1) - u(0)G(0)) - \int_0^1 u'(x) \overline{G(x)} \, dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u \in D(T)$ ,  $u(0) = u(1)$  and as shown before  $G(0) = G(1)$ , the first term is zero.

$$\langle u, g \rangle = - \int_0^1 u'(x) \overline{G(x)} \, dx = -\langle u', G \rangle$$

Now using the definition of admissible pair it is possible to see that

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, g \rangle = -\langle u', G \rangle$$

for all  $u \in D(T)$ . Equivalently this is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 iu'(x) \overline{v(x)} \, dx &= - \int_0^1 u'(x) \overline{G(x)} \, dx \\
 \int_0^1 u'(x) \overline{G(x)} - i\overline{v(x)} \, dx &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for any  $u \in D(T)$  this is true in particular for

$$u(x) = \int_0^x G(x) - i\overline{v(x)} \, dx$$

In order to verify that  $u \in D(T)$

□

#7 If  $T$  is symmetric with  $R(T) = \mathbf{H}$  show that  $T$  is self-adjoint.

*Proof.*

□

#16 We say that a linear operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathbf{H}$  is bounded below if there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle \geq -c_0 \|u\|^2 \quad \forall u \in D(T)$$

Show that Theorem 11.6 remains valid if the condition that  $T$  be positive is replaced by the assumption that  $T$  is bounded below.

*Proof.*

□

## Section 12.4

#3 Recall that the resolvent operator of  $T$  is defined to be  $R_\lambda = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ .

- (a) Prove the resolvent identity (12.1.3).

*Proof.*

□

- (b) Deduce from this that  $R_\lambda$  and  $R_\mu$  commute.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\lambda = \mu$ , then  $R_\lambda = R_\mu$  so

$$R_\lambda R_\mu = R_\lambda^2 = R_\mu R_\lambda.$$

In this case  $R_\lambda$  and  $R_\mu$  commute trivially. Now let  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , in this case the resolvent identity states that

$$R_\lambda R_\mu = \frac{R_\lambda - R_\mu}{\lambda - \mu}.$$

Now consider the following

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda R_\mu &= \frac{R_\lambda - R_\mu}{\lambda - \mu} \\ &= \frac{R_\mu - R_\lambda}{\mu - \lambda} \\ &= R_\mu R_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $R_\lambda$  and  $R_\mu$  commute.

□

- (c) Show also that  $T$  and  $R_\lambda$  commute for  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ .

*Proof.*

□

#4 Show that  $\lambda \rightarrow R_\lambda$  is continuously differentiable, regarded as a mapping from  $\rho(T) \subset \mathbb{C}$  into  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H})$ , with

$$\frac{dR_\lambda}{d\lambda} = -R_\lambda^2$$

*Proof.*

□