

# Caleb Logemann

## MATH 520 Methods of Applied Math II

### Homework 11

#### Section 16.8

#6 Prove the version of the Poincaré inequality stated in Proposition 16.1. (Suggestions: If no such  $C$  exists show that we can find sequence  $u_k \in H_*^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$  such that  $\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \frac{1}{k}$ . Using Rellich's theorem obtain a convergent subsequence whose limit must have contradictory properties.

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that no such  $C$  exists, such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H_*^1(\Omega).$$

This implies that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some  $v_k \in H_*^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq k\|\nabla v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Note that this inequality still holds for  $u_k = v_k/\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\geq k\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \left\|v_k/\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\geq k\left\|\nabla v_k/\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \frac{1}{\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\geq \frac{1}{\|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}k\|\nabla v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \|v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\geq k\|\nabla v_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This now shows that

$$\|u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$$

and that

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

We can now compute the  $H^1(\Omega)$  norm of  $u_k$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &= \sqrt{\|u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{k^2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the set  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

Now assuming that  $\Omega$  has smooth enough boundaries, the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem states that  $\{u_k\}$  is precompact in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore there exists some convergent subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $u$  be the limit of the subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}$ , that is  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (u_{k_j}) = u$ . Note that since  $\|\nabla u_{k_j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k_j}$ , this implies that  $\|\nabla u_{k_j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ . Now since  $u_{k_j} \rightarrow u$  this implies that  $\nabla u_{k_j} \rightarrow \nabla u$ . This along with the fact that  $\|\nabla u_{k_j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ , shows that  $\nabla u_{k_j} \rightarrow 0 = \nabla u$ . This shows that  $u$  is a cons □

#8 Consider a Lagrangian of the form  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(u, p)$  (i.e. it happens not to depend on the space variable  $x$ ) when  $N = 1$ . Show that if  $u$  is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation then

$$\mathcal{L}(u, u') - u' \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}(u, u') = C$$

for some constant  $C$ . In this way we are able to achieve a reduction of order from a second order ODE to a first order ODE. Use this observation to redo the derivation of the solution of the hanging chain problem.

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation, where the Lagrangian is of the form  $\mathcal{L}(u, p)$ . □

#9 Find the function  $u(x)$  which minimizes

$$J(u) = \int_0^1 (u'(x) - u(x))^2 dx$$

among all functions  $u \in H^1(0, 1)$  satisfying  $u(0) = 0$ ,  $u(1) = 1$ .

The function  $u(x)$  which minimizes  $J(u)$  will be the function that satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation where the Lagrangian is  $L(x, u, p) = (p - u)^2$ . The Euler-Lagrange equation in this case is

$$-2(u' - u) - 2 \frac{d}{dx}(u' - u) = 0$$

This is a second order differential equation which can be solved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -2(u' - u) - 2 \frac{d}{dx}(u' - u) \\ 0 &= -2(u' - u) - 2(u'' - u') \\ 0 &= -2u' + 2u - 2u'' + 2u' \\ 0 &= 2u - 2u'' \\ 2u'' &= 2u \\ u'' &= u \end{aligned}$$

The solutions to this differential equation will be of the form

$$u(x) = c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{-x}$$

The constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  can be found by using the boundary conditions  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u(1) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= c_1 + c_2 = 0 \\ c_1 &= -c_2 \\ u(1) &= c_1 e + c_2 e^{-1} = 1 \\ 1 &= -c_2 e + c_2 e^{-1} \\ 1 &= c_2(-e + e^{-1}) \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{e^{-1} - e} \\ c_2 &= \frac{e}{1 - e^2} \\ c_1 &= \frac{e}{e^2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the solution to this minimization problem that satisfies  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u(1) = 1$  is

$$u(x) = \frac{e}{e^2 - 1} e^x + \frac{e}{1 - e^2} e^{-x}$$

#10 The area of a surface obtained by revolving the graph of  $y = u(x)$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ , about the  $x$  axis, is

$$J(u) = 2\pi \int_0^1 u(x) \sqrt{1 + u'(x)^2} dx$$

Assume that  $u$  is required to satisfy  $u(0) = a$ ,  $u(1) = b$  where  $0 < a < b$ .

- (a) Find the Euler-Lagrange equation for the problem of minimizing this surface area.

In this case the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(x, u, p) = 2\pi u \sqrt{1 + p^2}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation is then

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0$$

or more specifically

$$2\pi \sqrt{1 + p^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0$$

- (b) Show that

$$\frac{u(u')^2}{\sqrt{1 + (u')^2}} - u \sqrt{1 + (u')^2}$$

is a constant function for any such minimal surface (Hint: use Exercise 8).

- (c) Solve the first order ODE in part b) to find the minimal surface. Make sure to compute all constants of integration.

#18 Show that if  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then the problem of minimizing

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx$$

over  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies all of the conditions of Theorem 16.8. What goes wrong if we replace  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

#19 We say that  $J : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strictly convex if

$$J(tx + (1-t)y) < tJ(x) + (1-t)J(y) \quad x, y \in \chi \quad 0 < t < 1$$

If  $J$  is strictly convex show that the minimization problem (16.6.89) has at most one solution.

*Proof.* First note that in order for  $J$  to be strictly convex  $\chi$  must be a convex set as well. This means that if  $x, y \in \chi$ , then  $tx + (1-t)y \in \chi$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Suppose that there exists two distinct solutions to the minimization problem (16.6.89), that is there exists  $x, y \in \chi$  such that  $x \neq y$  and  $J(x) = J(y) = \min_{z \in \chi} J(z)$ . Now since  $J$  is strictly convex it is known that  $x/2 + y/2 \in \chi$  and

$$J(x/2 + y/2) < J(x)/2 + J(y)/2 = J(x)$$

This is a contradiction as  $J(x) = \min_{z \in \chi} J(z)$ . Therefore  $J$  has at most one solution to the minimization problem.  $\square$