

# Caleb Logemann

## MATH 520 Methods of Applied Math II

### Homework 3

#### Section 11.4

#2 Verify that  $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product given by (11.1.2), and prove Proposition 11.1.

*Proof.* First note that the inner product

$$\langle (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \rangle = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$$

satisfies all of the axioms of an inner product.

Next I will show that  $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$  is complete under this inner product. Let  $x_n = (u_n, v_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|(u_n, v_n) - (u_m, v_m)\| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > N$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n) - (u_m, v_m)\|^2 &\leq \epsilon^2 \\ \|(u_n - u_m, v_n - v_m)\|^2 &\leq \epsilon^2 \\ \langle (u_n - u_m, v_n - v_m), (u_n - u_m, v_n - v_m) \rangle &\leq \epsilon^2 \\ \langle u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m \rangle + \langle v_n - v_m, v_n - v_m \rangle &\leq \epsilon^2 \\ \|u_n - u_m\|^2 + \|v_n - v_m\|^2 &\leq \epsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side is the sum of nonnegative numbers each term must be less than  $\epsilon^2$

$$\|u_n - u_m\| \leq \epsilon \|v_n - v_m\| \leq \epsilon$$

This shows that the sequences  $u_n, v_n \in \mathbf{H}$  are both Cauchy. Since  $\mathbf{H}$  is complete this implies that these sequences converge. Let  $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n)$  and  $v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (v_n)$ . Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n) - (u, v)\|^2 &= \|(u_n - u, v_n - v)\|^2 \\ &= \langle (u_n - u, v_n - v), (u_n - u, v_n - v) \rangle \\ &= \langle u_n - u, u_n - u \rangle + \langle v_n - v, v_n - v \rangle \\ &= \|u_n - u\|^2 + \|v_n - v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|(u_n, v_n) - (u, v)\|^2) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_n - u\|^2 + \|v_n - v\|^2) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_n - u\|^2) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|v_n - v\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v$

$$= 0 + 0 = 0$$

Thus  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows that any Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$  converges. Thus  $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$  is complete and is also a Hilbert space.

Finally I will prove Proposition 11.1. Let  $T : D(T) \subset \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be a closed linear operator. Consider the graph of  $T$ . Obviously  $G(T)$  is a subspace because  $T$  is linear. Since  $T0 = 0$ ,

this implies that  $(0, 0) \in G(T)$ . Let  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in G(T)$ , then  $Tu_1 = v_1$ ,  $Tu_2 = v_2$ , and  $T(c_1u_1 + c_2u_2) = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$  for any scalars  $c_1, c_2$ . This shows that  $c_1(u_1, v_1) + c_2(u_2, v_2) \in G(T)$ , and therefore  $G(T)$  is a subspace. Now let  $(u_n, v_n) \in G(T)$  be a convergent sequence, that is  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ . Since  $(u_n, v_n) \in G(T)$  this implies that  $u_n \in D(T)$  and  $v_n = Tu_n$ . Also since  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$  this implies that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $v_n = Tu_n \rightarrow v$ . Therefore since  $T$  is closed this implies that  $u \in D(T)$  and  $Tu = v$ . This shows that  $(u, v) \in G(T)$ , and thus  $G(T)$  is closed.

Let  $T : D(T) \subset \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be a linear operator and let  $G(T)$ , the graph of  $T$ , be a closed subspace. Let  $u_n \in D(T)$ , such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $Tu_n \rightarrow v$ . This implies that  $(u_n, Tu_n) \in G(T)$  and that  $(u_n, Tu_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ . Since  $G(T)$  is closed this implies that  $(u, v) \in G(T)$ , and thus  $u \in D(T)$  and  $Tu = v$ . Therefore  $T$  is a closed operator.  $\square$

#5 If  $T : D(T) \subset \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is a densely defined linear operator,  $v \in \mathbf{H}$  and the map  $u \rightarrow \langle Tu, v \rangle$  is bounded on  $D(T)$ , show that there exists  $v^* \in \mathbf{H}$  such that  $(v, v^*)$  is an admissible pair for  $T^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T : D(T) \subset \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be a densely defined linear operator, let  $v \in \mathbf{H}$  and let  $\phi_v(u) = \langle Tu, v \rangle$  be a bounded functional on  $D(T)$ . Note that since  $\phi_v(u) = \langle Tu, v \rangle$  is bounded it is also continuous and there exists a continuous extension of  $\phi_v$  onto  $\overline{D(T)}$  by Proposition 10.1. Let  $S : \overline{D(T)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be this continuous extension. Since  $T$  is densely defined,  $\overline{D(T)} = \mathbf{H}$ . Therefore  $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$  so the Riesz Representation Theorem states that there exists  $v^* \in \mathbf{H}$  such that  $Su = \langle u, v^* \rangle$  for all  $u \in \mathbf{H}$ . Now note that for  $u \in D(T)$ ,  $Su = \langle Tu, v \rangle$  and  $Su = \langle u, v^* \rangle$ , therefore  $\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, v^* \rangle$ . This implies that  $(v, v^*)$  is an admissible pair for  $T^*$ .  $\square$

#8 Show that if  $T$  is self-adjoint and one-to-one then  $T^{-1}$  is also self-adjoint.

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be self-adjoint and one-to-one. This implies that  $T$  is linear and densely defined as the adjoint of  $T$  isn't defined for  $T$  not linear and densely defined. Also  $T = T^*$ , and  $N(T) = \{0\}$  because  $T$  is one-to-one. By Theorem 11.3

$$\overline{R(T)} = N(T^*)^\perp = N(T)^\perp = \{0\}^\perp = \mathbf{H}$$

This shows that the range of  $T$  is dense in  $\mathbf{H}$ . Since  $D(T^{-1}) = R(T)$  this also shows that  $T^{-1}$  is densely defined on  $\mathbf{H}$ . Now since the range of  $T$  is dense, Proposition 11.6 implies that  $T^*$  is one-to-one and  $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$ . However since  $T$  is self-adjoint this is equivalent to  $T^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$ , which states that  $T^{-1}$  is self-adjoint.  $\square$

#11 Assume that  $T$  is closed and  $S$  is bounded

(a) Show that  $S + T$  is closed

*Proof.* First note that since  $S$  is bounded there exists a continuous extension of  $S$  onto the closure of its domain, by Proposition 10.1. Also we can assume that  $S$  has been replaced by this extension, and therefore  $D(S)$  is closed. Now let  $u_n \in D(S + T)$  and let  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $(S + T)u_n \rightarrow v$ . This implies that  $u_n \in D(S) \cap D(T)$  and that  $Su_n + Tu_n \rightarrow v$ . Since  $u_n \in D(S)$  and  $D(S)$  is closed,  $u \in D(S)$ . Also since  $S$  is bounded it is continuous, therefore  $Su_n \rightarrow Su$ . Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Su_n + Tu_n) = Su + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Tu_n) = v$$

Therefore  $Tu_n \rightarrow v - Su$ . Also since  $u_n \in D(T)$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  by the closedness of  $T$  this implies that  $u \in D(T)$  and  $Tu = v - Su$ . Therefore  $u \in D(S + T)$  and  $Su + Tu = (S + T)u = v$ , so  $S + T$  is closed.  $\square$

(b) Show that  $TS$  is closed, but that  $ST$  is not closed, in general.

*Proof.* As in part (a) it can be assumed that  $S$  is replaced with its unique continuous extension to  $\overline{D(S)}$ . Let  $u_n \in D(TS)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $TSu_n \rightarrow v$ . Note that the domain of  $TS$  can be expressed as follows.

$$D(TS) = \{x \in D(S) : Sx \in D(T)\}$$

This implies that  $u_n \in D(S)$  and since  $D(S)$  is closed  $u \in D(S)$ . Therefore because  $S$  is bounded and continuous  $Su_n \rightarrow Su$ . Now note that since  $Su_n \in D(T)$  and  $Su_n \rightarrow Su$  and  $T(Su_n) \rightarrow v$ , by the closedness of  $T$ ,  $Su \in D(T)$  and  $TSu = v$ . Therefore  $u \in D(TS)$  and  $TSu = v$ , so  $TS$  is closed.

However the operator  $ST$  is not closed in general. Let  $\mathbf{H} = L^2(0, 1)$  and consider the closed operator  $Tu = u'$  on

$$D(T) = \{u \in H^1(0, 1) : u(0) = 0\}$$

and let  $S : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be the zero operator that is  $Su = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathbf{H}$ . Note that  $S$  is clearly bounded. Let  $u_n(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-n(x - 1/2)}}$  be the logistic function. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  this logistic function gets steeper and steeper. In  $L^2(0, 1)$  this sequence of function converges to the Heaviside function at  $x = 1/2$ , that is  $u_n(x) \rightarrow H(x - 1/2) \in L^2(0, 1)$ . All the assumptions of closedness are met  $u_n \in D(ST)$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u \in L^2(0, 1)$ , and  $STu_n \rightarrow 0$ , but  $u \notin D(T)$ , as  $u \notin H^1(0, 1)$ . This implies that  $u \notin D(ST)$  and therefore  $ST$  is not closed.  $\square$

#14 If  $T$  is closable, show that  $T$  and  $\overline{T}$  have the same adjoint.

*Proof.* Since  $T$  has an adjoint,  $T$  must be densely defined. By Theorem 11.5 this implies that  $T^*$  is densely defined and  $\overline{T} = T^{**}$ . Also since  $T^*$  is closed it is also closable so applying Theorem 11.5 to  $T^*$  implies that  $T^{**}$  is densely defined and  $\overline{T^*} = T^{***}$ . Since  $T^*$  is closed  $T^* = \overline{T^*}$ , so  $T^* = T^{***} = \overline{T^*}$ . This shows that  $T$  and  $\overline{T}$  have the same adjoint.  $\square$