Caleb Logemann MATH 520 Methods of Applied Math II Homework 12

Section 16.8

#20 Show that the Fréchet derivative, if it exists, must be unique.

Proof. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and let $F: D(F) \subset X \to Y$. Now suppose that $A_1, A_2 \in B(X, Y)$ exist such that $A_1 \neq A_2$ and they both are the Fréchet derivative of F at some $x_0 \in D(F)$. This means that

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{\|F(x) - F(x_0) - A_1(x - x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{\|F(x) - F(x_0) - A_2(x - x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} = 0$$

#21 If $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$F(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy^2}{x^2 + y^4} & (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

show that F is Gâteaux differentiable but not Fréchet differentiable at the origin.

The Gâteaux derivative of F at the origin can be computed as follows.

$$DF(0,0)(u,v) = \frac{d}{dt} (F(0+tu,0+tv)) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{tu(tv)^2}{(tu)^2 + (tv)^4} \right) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{tuv^2}{u^2 + t^2v^4} \right) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{(u^2 + t^2v^4)uv^2 + tuv^2(2v^4t)}{(u^2 + t^2v^4)^2} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{u^3v^2}{u^4}$$

$$= \frac{v^2}{u}$$

This shows that the Gâteaux derivative of F is $A(u,v) = \frac{v^2}{u}$

Now we will consider the Fréchet derivative of F at (0,0). If the Fréchet derivative exists, then $A \in B(X,Y)$ will exist such that

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{\|F(x) - F(x_0) - A(x - x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} = 0.$$

This can be simplified by noting that $x_0 = (0,0)$ and using the definition of F.

$$\lim_{(u,v)\to(0,0)} \frac{\left|\frac{uv^2}{u^2+v^4} - A(u,v)\right|}{\sqrt{u^2+v^2}} = 0.$$

#27 Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $F: D(F) \subset X \to Y$, and let $x, x_0 \in D(F)$ be such that $tx + (1-t)x_0 \in D(F)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. If

$$M := \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} \|DF(tx + (1-t)x_0)\|$$

show that

$$||F(x) - F(x_0)|| \le M||x - x_0||$$

(Suggestion: justify and use a suitable version of the fundamental theorem of calculus.)

Section 17.5

#2 Let λ_1 be the smallest Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in Ω , assume that $c \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $c(x) > -\lambda_1$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. If $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ prove the existence of a solution of

$$-\Delta u + c(x)u = f$$
 $x \in \Omega$ $u = 0$ $\forall x \in \partial \Omega$

Proof. Even though it isn't stated I will operate in the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as this is the natural Hilbert space for the Dirichlet Laplacian. First I will rewrite this PDE in weak form as

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v + c(x) uv \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Next I will define the following function

$$A[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v + c(x) u v \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

To see that this function is bilinear, note that

$$A[u_1 + u_2, v] = \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u_1 + u_2) \nabla v + c(x)(u_1 + u_2) v \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_1 \nabla v + \nabla u_2 \nabla v + c(x)u_1 v + c(x)u_2 v \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_1 \nabla v + c(x)u_1 v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_2 \nabla v + c(x)u_2 v \, dx$$

$$= A[u_1, v] + A[u_2, v]$$

and that

$$A[u, v_1 + v_2] = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla (v_1 + v_2) + c(x)u(v_1 + v_2) dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v_1 + \nabla u \nabla v_2 + c(x)uv_1 + c(x)uv_2 dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v_1 + c(x)uv_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v_2 + c(x)uv_2 dx$$

$$= A[u, v_1] + A[u, v_2].$$

This shows that A is bilinear.

Next I will show that A is bounded.

$$A[u,v] =$$

Finally I will show that A is coercive.

$$A[u, u] =$$

Now Lax-Milgram's Theorem states that there exists a unique solution to

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v + c(x) u v \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} f v \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. This also implies that there is a weak solution to

$$-\Delta u + c(x)u = f \quad x \in \Omega \qquad u = 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega$$

#3 Let $\lambda > 0$ and define

$$A[u,v] = \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x) u_{x_k}(x) v_{x_j}(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} uv dx$$

for all $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$. Assume the ellipticity property (17.1.3) and that $a_{jk} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. If $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ show that there exists a unique solution of

$$u \in H^1(\Omega)$$
 $A[u,v] = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega).$

Justify that u may be regarded as the weak solution of

$$-(a_{jk}u_{x_k})_{x_j} + \lambda u = f(x) \quad x \in \Omega \qquad a_{jk}u_{x_k}n_j = 0 \quad x \in \partial\Omega$$

The above boundary condition is said to be of conormal type.

Proof. Lax-Milgram's Theorem can be used to show that there exists a unique solution to

$$u \in H^1(\Omega)$$
 $A[u,v] = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega).$

First I will show that A is bilinear.

$$A[u_1 + u_2, v] = \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x)(u_1 + u_2)_{x_k}(x)v_{x_j}(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u_1 + u_2)v dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x)(u_1)_{x_k}(x)v_{x_j}(x) + a_{jk}(x)(u_2)_{x_k}(x)v_{x_j}(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_1v + u_2v dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x)(u_1)_{x_k}(x)v_{x_j}(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x)(u_2)_{x_k}(x)v_{x_j}(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_1v dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_2v dx$$

$$= A[u_1, v] + A[u_2, v]$$

$$A[u, v_1 + v_2] = \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x) u_{x_k}(x) (v_1 + v_2)_{x_j}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(v_1 + v_2) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x) u_{x_k}(x) (v_1)_{x_j}(x) + a_{jk}(x) u_{x_k}(x) (v_2)_{x_j}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u v_1 + u v_2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x) u_{x_k}(x) (v_1)_{x_j}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} a_{jk}(x) u_{x_k}(x) (v_2)_{x_j}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u v_1 \, \mathrm{d}x + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u v_2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= A[u, v_1] + A[u, v_2]$$

This shows that A is bilinear.

Next I will show that A is bounded.

$$A[u, v] =$$

Lastly I will show that A is coercive.

$$A[u, u] =$$

Now Lax-Milgram's Theorem states that there exists a unique $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$A[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega).$$

#6 Let f and g be in $L^2(0,1)$. Use the Lax-Milgram Theorem to prove there is a unique weak solution $\{u,v\} \in H^1_0(0,1)$ to

$$-u'' + u + v' = f$$

-v'' + v + u' = g,

where u(0) = v(0) = 0 and u(1) = v(1) = 0. (Hint: Start by defining the bilinear form

$$A[(u,v),(\phi,\psi)] = \int_0^1 u'\phi' + u\phi + v'\phi + v'\psi' + v\psi + u'\psi \,dx$$

on $H_0^1(0,1) \times H_0^1(0,1)$.

Proof. First I will rewrite this system of PDEs in weak form.

$$\int_0^1 -u''\phi + u\phi + v'\phi - v''\psi + v\psi + u'\psi \,dx = \int_0^1 f\phi + g\psi \,dx$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in H_0^1(0,1)$. Integrating by parts were necessary gives

$$\int_0^1 u'\phi' + u\phi + v'\phi + v'\psi' + v\psi + u'\psi \, dx = \int_0^1 f\phi + g\psi \, dx.$$

Now I will define the following bilinear function

$$A[(u,v),(\phi,\psi)] = \int_0^1 u'\phi' + u\phi + v'\phi + v'\psi' + v\psi + u'\psi \,dx.$$

This function is bilinear because differentiation and integration are both linear operations. To verify this note that

$$A[(u_1 + u_2, v_1 + v_2), (\phi, \psi)] = \int_0^1 (u_1 + u_2)' \phi' + (u_1 + u_2) \phi + (v_1 + v_2)' \phi + (v_1 + v_2)' \psi' + (v_1 + v_2) \psi + (u_1 + u_2)' \psi' + (v_1 + v_2) \psi' + (v_1 + v_2)$$

and the same can be shown for the second argument.

Next I will show that A is bounded.

$$A[(u,v),(\phi,\psi)]$$

Lastly I will show that A is coercive. Let $u, v \in H_0^1(0, 1)$, then

$$A[(u,v),(u,v)] = \int_0^1 (u')^2 + u^2 + uv' + (v')^2 + v^2 + u'v \,dx$$

=
$$\int_0^1 (u')^2 \,dx + \int_0^1 u^2 \,dx + \int_0^1 uv' \,dx + \int_0^1 (v')^2 \,dx + \int_0^1 v^2 \,dx + \int_0^1 u'v \,dx$$

Integrating by parts

$$\begin{split} &= \int_0^1 (u')^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_0^1 u'v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 (v')^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 v^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 u'v \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_0^1 (u')^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 (v')^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 v^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \end{split}$$