

Caleb Logemann
MATH 561 Numerical Analysis I
Homework 4

- #1 (a) Determine the principle error function of the general explicit two-stage Runge-Kutta method. The general explicit two-stage Runge-Kutta method can be described as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}k_1 &= f(x, y) \\k_2 &= f(x + \mu h, y + \mu h k_1) \\ \Phi(x, y; h) &= \alpha_1 k_1 + \alpha_2 k_2\end{aligned}$$

To find the principle error function, first the local truncation error must be found. The local truncation error is defined as

$$T(x, y; h) = \Phi(x, y; h) - \frac{1}{h}(y(x+h) - y(x))$$

The principle error function is the functional coefficient of h^p in the local truncation error, when p is the order of the method. Two-stage Runge-Kutta methods have in general an order of $p = 2$, so the principle error function is the coefficient of h^2 . In order to find this the Taylor expansion of $\Phi(x, y; h)$ and $\frac{1}{h}(y(x+h) - y(x))$ must be found, at least to the h^2 term.

First I will find the Taylor expansion of $\Phi(x, y; h) = \alpha_1 k_1 + \alpha_2 k_2$. The Taylor expansion of $k_1 = f(x, y)$ is just $f(x, y)$. The Taylor expansion of k_2 can be found as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}k_2 &= f(x + \mu h, y + \mu h k_1) \\&= f(x + \mu h, y + \mu h f(x, y)) \\&= f(x, y) + f_x(x, y)(\mu h) + f_y(x, y)(\mu h f(x, y)) \\&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(f_{xx}(x, y)(\mu h)^2 + 2f_{xy}(x, y)(\mu^2 h^2 f(x, y)) + f_{yy}(x, y)(\mu^2 h^2 f(x, y)^2)) + O(h^3) \\&= f(x, y) + \mu(f_x(x, y) + f(x, y)f_y(x, y))h \\&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(f_{xx}(x, y) + 2f(x, y)f_{xy}(x, y) + f(x, y)^2 f_{yy}(x, y))h^2 + O(h^3)\end{aligned}$$

Now the Taylor expansion of $\Phi(x, y; h)$ can be expressed as follows. Note that moving forward all values or derivatives of f will be evaluated at (x, y) . Thus $f = f(x, y)$, $f_x = f_x(x, y)$, $f_y = f_y(x, y)$, and so on.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x, y; h) &= \alpha_1 k_1 + \alpha_2 k_2 \\&= \alpha_1 f + \alpha_2 \left(f + \mu(f_x + f f_y)h + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(f_{xx} + 2f f_{xy} + f^2 f_{yy})h^2 + O(h^3) \right) \\&= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)f + \mu\alpha_2(f_x + f f_y)h + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\mu^2(f_{xx} + 2f f_{xy} + f^2 f_{yy})h^2 + O(h^3)\end{aligned}$$

Now that the Taylor expansion of $\Phi(x, y; h)$ has been found the Taylor expansion of $\frac{1}{h}(y(x+h) - y(x))$ must be found and put in terms of f .

$$\begin{aligned}y(x+h) &= y(x) + hy'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}y'''(x) + O(h^4) \\ \frac{1}{h}(y(x+h) - y(x)) &= y'(x) + \frac{h}{2}y''(x) + \frac{h^2}{6}y'''(x) + O(h^3)\end{aligned}$$

Now note that $y'(x) = f(x, y)$, and the other derivatives of y can be put in terms of f as well.

$$\begin{aligned} y''(x) &= f_x(x, y)f_y(x, y)y'(x) = f_x(x, y) + f_y(x, y)f(x, y) = f_x + f_yf \\ y'''(x) &= f_{xx} + f_{xy}f + f_y(f_x + f_yf) + f(f_{yx} + f_{yy}f) \\ &= f_{xx} + 2ff_{xy} + f_xf_y + ff_y^2 + f^2f_{yy} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{h}(y(x+h) - y(x)) = f + \frac{h}{2}(f_x + f_yf) + \frac{h^2}{6}(f_{xx} + 2ff_{xy} + f_xf_y + ff_y^2 + f^2f_{yy}) + O(h^3)$$

Finally the Taylor expansion of the local truncation error can be examined.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, y; h) &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)f + \mu\alpha_2(f_x + ff_y)h + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\mu^2(f_{xx} + 2ff_{xy} + f^2f_{yy})h^2 \\ &\quad - \left(f + \frac{h}{2}(f_x + f_yf) + \frac{h^2}{6}(f_{xx} + 2ff_{xy} + f_xf_y + ff_y^2 + f^2f_{yy}) \right) + O(h^3) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1)f + \left(\mu\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} \right)(f_x + ff_y)h \\ &\quad + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\mu^2 - \frac{1}{6} \right)(f_{xx} + 2ff_{xy} + f^2f_{yy}) - \frac{1}{6}(f_xf_y + ff_y^2) \right)h^2 + O(h^3) \end{aligned}$$

For any general two-stage Runge-Kutta Method, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1) = 0$ and $(\mu\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$. This implies that $\mu = \frac{1}{2\alpha_2}$. Therefore the principle error function for any general two-stage Runge-Kutta method is

$$\tau(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{6} \right)(f_{xx} + 2ff_{xy} + f^2f_{yy}) - \frac{1}{6}(f_xf_y + ff_y^2)$$

- (b) Compare the local accuracy of the modified Euler method with that of Heun's method.

For this specific ordinary differential equation, $f(x, y) = y^\lambda$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= 0 \\ f_{xx} &= 0 \\ f_{xy} &= 0 \\ f_y &= \lambda y^{\lambda-1} \\ f_{yy} &= (\lambda^2 - \lambda)y^{\lambda-2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the principle error function becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x, y) &= \left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{6} \right)(y^{2\lambda}(\lambda^2 - \lambda)y^{\lambda-2}) - \frac{1}{6}(y^\lambda \lambda^2 y^{2\lambda-2}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{6} \right)((\lambda^2 - \lambda)y^{3\lambda-2}) - \frac{1}{6}(\lambda^2 y^{3\lambda-2}) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{6} \right)(\lambda^2 - \lambda) - \frac{1}{6}\lambda^2 \right)y^{3\lambda-2} \end{aligned}$$

For the improved Euler method, $\alpha_2 = 1$. Therefore the principle error function for the Euler method, τ_E is

$$\tau_E(x, y) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{6} \right)(\lambda^2 - \lambda) - \frac{1}{6}\lambda^2 \right)y^{3\lambda-2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{24}(5\lambda^2 - \lambda)y^{3\lambda-2}$$

For Heun's method, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore the principle error function for Heun's method, τ_H is

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_H(x, y) &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6} \right) (\lambda^2 - \lambda) - \frac{1}{6} \lambda^2 \right) y^{3\lambda-2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{12}(\lambda^2 + \lambda)y^{3\lambda-2}\end{aligned}$$

For what values of λ is the magnitude of the principle error function less Euler's method than Heun's method. For what values of λ is $|\tau_E| < |\tau_H|$

$$\begin{aligned}|\tau_E(x, y)| &< |\tau_H(x, y)| \\ \left| -\frac{1}{24}(5\lambda^2 - \lambda)y^{3\lambda-2} \right| &< \left| -\frac{1}{12}(\lambda^2 + \lambda)y^{3\lambda-2} \right| \\ \frac{1}{24}|5\lambda^2 - \lambda| &< \frac{1}{12}|\lambda^2 + \lambda| \\ |5\lambda^2 - \lambda| &< 2|\lambda^2 + \lambda| \\ |\lambda(5\lambda - 1)| &< |\lambda(2\lambda + 2)|\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $|\lambda(5\lambda - 1)| = |\lambda(2\lambda + 2)|$, when $\lambda = 0$. It is also equal when $(5\lambda - 1) = (2\lambda + 2)$, which implies that $\lambda = 1$. These are the only two points of intersection. When $\lambda = 2$, $|\lambda(5\lambda - 1)| > |\lambda(2\lambda + 2)|$ and when $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, $|\lambda(5\lambda - 1)| < |\lambda(2\lambda + 2)|$. Therefore $|\tau_E(x, y)| < |\tau_H(x, y)|$ on $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and $|\tau_H(x, y)| < |\tau_E(x, y)|$ on $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$.

- (c) Determine an interval of λ such that for each λ in this interval there exists a two-stage explicit Runge-Kutta method of order $p = 3$ having parameters $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$, $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$ and $0 < \mu < 1$. In order for a two stage explicit Runge-Kutta method to have order $p = 3$, the principle error function, $\tau(x, y)$, must be zero.

We have previously determined that $\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2\alpha_2}$. Therefore for $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$, then $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$. Also for $0 < \mu < 1$, then $0 < \frac{1}{2\alpha_2} < 1$ which implies that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha_2 < \infty$. Therefore if $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha_2 < 1$, all three conditions will be met.

In order for $\tau(x, y) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) (\lambda^2 - \lambda) - \frac{1}{6} \lambda^2 \\ 0 &= \left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \lambda^2 - \left(\frac{1}{8\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \lambda \\ 0 &= (3 - 8\alpha_2)\lambda - 3 + 4\alpha_2 \\ \frac{3 - 4\alpha_2}{3 - 8\alpha_2} &= \lambda\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha_2 < 1$, then $-1 < \lambda < \frac{1}{5}$. Since $\lambda > 0$, then for $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{5}$ there exists an explicit two-stage Runge-Kutta method with order $p = 3$ and with parameters between 0 and 1.

#2 Let $\mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y})$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition in \mathbf{y} on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, with Lipschitz constant L .

(a) Show that the increment function Φ of the second order Runge-Kutta method

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{k}_1 &= \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}) \\ \mathbf{k}_2 &= \mathbf{f}(x + h, \mathbf{y} + h\mathbf{k}_1) \\ \Phi(x, \mathbf{y}; h) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)\end{aligned}$$

also satisfies a Lipschitz condition whenever $x + h \in [a, b]$ and determine a respective Lipschitz constant M .

To show that $\Phi(x, \mathbf{y}; h)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition the value of $\|\Phi(x, \mathbf{y}; h) - \Phi(x, \mathbf{y}^*; h)\|$ must be shown to be bounded by a multiple of $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|$. For notational simplicity, I will define the following values

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{k}_1^* &= \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}^*) \\ \mathbf{k}_2^* &= \mathbf{f}(x + h, \mathbf{y}^* + h\mathbf{k}_1^*) \\ \Phi &= \Phi(x, \mathbf{y}; h) \\ \Phi^* &= \Phi(x, \mathbf{y}^*; h)\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi - \Phi^*\| &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1^* - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| + \|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\|)\end{aligned}$$

Now consider $\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\|$

$$\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| = \|\mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}^*)\|$$

Since f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| \leq L\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|$$

Next consider $\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\|$

$$\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| = \|\mathbf{f}(x + h, \mathbf{y} + h\mathbf{k}_1) - \mathbf{f}(x + h, \mathbf{y}^* + h\mathbf{k}_1^*)\|$$

Since f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| &\leq L\|\mathbf{y} + h\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{y}^* - h\mathbf{k}_1^*\| \\ \|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| &\leq L(\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| + h\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\|)\end{aligned}$$

We have already shown that $\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| \leq L\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|$

$$\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| \leq (L + hL^2)\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|$$

Therefore

$$\|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \leq \left(L + \frac{h}{2}L^2\right)\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|$$

Therefore Φ satisfies a Lipschitz condition and has Lipschitz constant, $M = L + \frac{h}{2}L^2$.

(b) Show that the classical fourth order Runge-Kutta method satisfies a Lipschitz condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}_1 &= \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}) \\
\mathbf{k}_2 &= \mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_1\right) \\
\mathbf{k}_3 &= \mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_2\right) \\
\mathbf{k}_4 &= \mathbf{f}(x + h, \mathbf{y} + h\mathbf{k}_3) \\
\Phi(x, \mathbf{y}; h) &= \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{k}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{k}_2 + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{k}_3 + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{k}_4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \leq \frac{1}{6}\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| + \frac{1}{3}\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| + \frac{1}{3}\|\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_3^*\| + \frac{1}{6}\|\mathbf{k}_4 - \mathbf{k}_4^*\|$$

Now consider each of these norms individually

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| &= \|\mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}^*)\| \\
&\leq L\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| \\
\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| &= \left\| \mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_1\right) - \mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y}^* + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_1^*\right) \right\| \\
&\leq L\left\| \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{y}^* - \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_1^* \right\| \\
&\leq L\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| + \frac{1}{2}hL\|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1^*\| \\
&\leq \left(L + \frac{1}{2}hL^2\right)\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| \\
\|\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_3^*\| &= \left\| \mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_2\right) - \mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y}^* + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_2^*\right) \right\| \\
&\leq L\left\| \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{y}^* - \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_2^* \right\| \\
&\leq L\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| + \frac{1}{2}hL\|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2^*\| \\
&\leq \left(L + \frac{1}{2}hL^2 + \frac{1}{4}h^2L^3\right)\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Show that Φ for a general implicit Runge-Kutta method satisfies a Lipschitz condition.

For a general implicit Runge-Kutta method, $\Phi(x, \mathbf{y}; h) = \sum_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s \mathbf{k}_s)$, where $\mathbf{k}_s = f(x + \mu_s h, \mathbf{y} + h \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j))$. I will continue to use the previously established notation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi - \Phi^*\| &= \left\| \sum_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s \mathbf{k}_s) - \sum_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s \mathbf{k}_s^*) \right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s \|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\|)
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider a single value of $\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\|$

$$\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\| = \left\| f\left(x + \mu_s h, \mathbf{y} + h \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j)\right) - f\left(x + \mu_s h, \mathbf{y}^* + h \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j^*)\right) \right\|$$

Since f satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\| &\leq L \left\| \mathbf{y} + h \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j) - \mathbf{y}^* - h \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j^*) \right\| \\ &\leq L \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| + hL \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j) - \sum_{j=1}^r (\lambda_{sj} \mathbf{k}_j^*) \right\|\end{aligned}$$

Let Γ be the max of λ_{sj} for $s, j = 0, \dots, r$

$$\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\| \leq L \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| + hL\Gamma \sum_{j=1}^r (\|\mathbf{k}_j - \mathbf{k}_j^*\|)$$

Summing both side from $s = 1$ to r results in

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=1}^r (\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\|) &\leq sL \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\| + shL\Gamma \sum_{j=1}^r (\|\mathbf{k}_j - \mathbf{k}_j^*\|) \\ \sum_{s=1}^r (\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\|) &\leq \frac{sL}{1 - shL\Gamma} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|\end{aligned}$$

Now consider $\|\Phi - \Phi^*\|$, and let A be the max of α_s for $s = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi - \Phi^*\| &\leq A \sum_{s=1}^r (\|\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_s^*\|) \\ &\leq \frac{AsL}{1 - shL\Gamma} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^*\|\end{aligned}$$

Therefore Φ does satisfy a Lipschitz condition and has a Lipschitz constant of $\frac{AsL}{1 - shL\Gamma}$.

#3

#4

#5