

# Lecture 15

## Reduction to Hessenberg Form

Songting Luo

Department of Mathematics  
Iowa State University

MATH 562 Numerical Analysis II

# Outline

## ① Reduction to Hessenberg Form

# Outline

## ① Reduction to Hessenberg Form

# Eigenvalue Revealing Factorization

- Eigenvalue-revealing factorization of square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ 
  - Diagonalization  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{X}^{-1}$  (nondefective  $\mathbf{A}$ )
  - Unitary Diagonalization  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}^*$  (normal  $\mathbf{A}$ )
  - Unitary triangularization (Schur factorization)  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q}^*$  (any  $\mathbf{A}$ )
  - Jordan normal form  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X}^{-1}$  where  $\mathbf{J}$  block diagonal with

$$\mathbf{J}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

- **In general, Schur factorization is used**, because
  - Unitary matrices are involved, so algorithm tends to be more stable
  - If  $\mathbf{A}$  is normal, then Schur form is diagonal

## “Obvious” Algorithms

- Most obvious method is to find roots of characteristic polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$ , but it is very ill-conditioned.
- Another idea is power iteration, using fact that

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{Ax}}{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{x}\|}, \dots$$

converge to an eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  in absolute value, but it may converge very slowly

## “Obvious” Algorithms

- Most obvious method is to find roots of characteristic polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$ , but it is very ill-conditioned.
- Another idea is power iteration, using fact that

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{Ax}}{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{x}\|}, \dots$$

converge to an eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  in absolute value, but it may converge very slowly

- Instead, compute a eigenvalue-revealing factorization, such as Schur factorization

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QTQ}^*$$

by introducing zeros, using algorithms similar to QR factorization

# A Fundamental Difficulty

- However, eigenvalue-revealing factorization cannot be done in finite number of steps:

**Any eigenvalue solver must be iterative**

- To see this, consider a general polynomial of degree  $m$

$$p(z) = z^m + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1z + a_0$$

There is no closed-form expression for the roots of  $p$ : (Abel, 1842) In general, the roots of polynomial equations higher than fourth degree cannot be written in terms of a finite number of operations

# A Fundamental Difficulty Cont'd

- However, the roots of  $p_{\mathbf{A}}$  are the eigenvalues of the companion matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & -a_1 \\ & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & 0 & -a_{m-2} \\ & & & 1 & -a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Therefore, in general, we cannot find the eigenvalues of a matrix in a finite number of steps
- In practice, however, there are algorithms that converge to desired precision in a few iterations



# Schur Factorization and Diagonalization

- Most eigenvalue algorithms compute Schur factorization  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{T} \mathbf{Q}^*$  by transforming  $\mathbf{A}$  with similarity transformations

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_j^* \cdots \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{Q}_1^*}_{\mathbf{Q}^*} \mathbf{A} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \cdots \mathbf{Q}_j}_{\mathbf{Q}},$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}_i$  are unitary matrices, which converge to  $\mathbf{T}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

- Note: Real matrices might need complex Schur forms and eigenvalues
- Question: For hermitian  $\mathbf{A}$ , what matrix will the sequence converge to?

# Two Phases of Eigenvalue Computations

- General **A**: First convert to upper-Hessenberg form, then to upper triangular

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\text{Phase 1}} & \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 \mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{A}^* & \text{upper-Hessenberg} & \xrightarrow{\text{Phase 2}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times \\ & & & & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 & & \text{triangular}
 \end{array}$$

- Hermitian **A**: First convert to tridiagonal form, then to diagonal

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\text{Phase 1}} & \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & & & \\ \times & \times & \times & & \\ & \times & \times & \times & \\ & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^* & \text{tridiagonal} & \xrightarrow{\text{Phase 2}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & & & & \\ & \times & & & \\ & & \times & & \\ & & & \times & \\ & & & & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 & & \text{diagonal}
 \end{array}$$

- In general, phase 1 is direct and requires  $O(m^3)$  flops, and phase 2 is iterative and requires  $O(m)$  iterations, and  $O(m^3)$  flops for non-Hermitian matrices and  $O(m^2)$  flops for Hermitian matrices

# Introducing Zeros by Similarity Transformations

- First attempt: Compute Schur factorization  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q}^*$  by applying Householder reflectors from both left and right

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Q}_1^*} & \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 \mathbf{A} & & \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \\
 & & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Q}_1} \\
 & & \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 & & \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1
 \end{array}$$

- Unfortunately, the right multiplication destroys the zeros introduced by  $\mathbf{Q}_1^*$ .
- This would not work because of Abel's theorem
- However, the subdiagonal entries typically decrease in magnitude (Later with QR)

# The Hessenberg Form

- Second attempt: try to compute upper Hessenberg matrix **H** similar to **A**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{Q_1^*} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{Q_1} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \times & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

**A**                       **$Q_1^* \mathbf{A}$**                        **$Q_1^* \mathbf{A} Q_1$**

- The zeros introduced by  **$Q_1^* \mathbf{A}$**  were not destroyed this time!
- Continue with remaining columns would result in Hessenberg form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{Q_2^*} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \times & \times & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \times & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ & & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ & & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

**$Q_2^* Q_1^* \mathbf{A} Q_1$**                        **$Q_2^* Q_1^* \mathbf{A} Q_1 Q_2$**

# The Hessenberg Form

- After  $m - 2$  steps, we obtain the Hessenberg form:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{m-2}^* \cdots \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{m-2} = \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times \\ & & & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

- For hermitian matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$  is hermitian and hence is tridiagonal

$$\mathbf{Q}_{m-2}^* \cdots \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{m-2} = \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & & & \\ \times & \times & \times & & \\ & \times & \times & \times & \\ & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

# Householder Reduction to Hessenberg

## Householder Reduction to Hessenberg Form

for  $k = 1$  to  $m - 2$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}_{k+1:m,k}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \text{sign}(x_1) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{v}_k / \|\mathbf{v}_k\|_2$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{k+1:m,k:m} = \mathbf{A}_{k+1:m,k:m} - 2\mathbf{v}_k(\mathbf{v}_k^* \mathbf{A}_{k+1:m,k:m})$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{1:m,k+1:m} = \mathbf{A}_{1:m,k+1:m} - 2(\mathbf{A}_{1:m,k+1:m} \mathbf{v}_k) \mathbf{v}_k^*$$

- Note:  $\mathbf{Q}$  is never formed explicitly.
- Operation count

$$\sim \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} 4(m-k)^2 + 4m(m-k) \sim 4m^3/3 + 4m^3 - 4m^3/2 = 10m^3/2$$

# Reduction to Tridiagonal Form

- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is hermitian, then

$$\mathbf{Q}_{m-2}^* \cdots \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{m-2} = \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & & & \\ & \times & \times & & \\ & & \times & \times & \\ & & & \times & \times \\ & & & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

- For Hermitian  $\mathbf{A}$ , operation count would be same as Householder QR:  
 $4m^3/3$ 
  - First, taking advantage of sparsity, cost of applying right reflectors is also  $4(m-k)^2$  instead of  $4m(m-k)$ , so cost is

$$\sim \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} 8(m-k)^2 \sim 8m^3/3$$

- Second, taking advantage of symmetry, cost is reduced by 50% to  $4m^3/3$

# Stability of Hessenberg Reduction

## Theorem

*Householder reduction to Hessenberg form is backward stable, in that*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^* = \mathbf{A} + \delta\mathbf{A}, \quad \frac{\|\delta\mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|} = O(\epsilon_{\text{machine}})$$

*for some  $\delta\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$*

Note: Similar to Householder QR,  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$  is exactly unitary based on some  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k$ .