

Lecture 16

Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

Songting Luo

Department of Mathematics
Iowa State University

MATH 562 Numerical Analysis II

Outline

① Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

Outline

① Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

Solving Eigenvalue Problems

- All eigenvalue solvers must be iterative
- Iterative algorithms have multiple facets:
 - Basic idea behind the algorithms
 - Convergence and techniques to speed-up convergence
 - Efficiency of implementation
 - Termination criteria
- We will focus on first two aspects

Simplification: Real Symmetric Matrices

- We will consider eigenvalue problems for real symmetric matrices, i.e., $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
 - Note: $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}^T$, and $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}$
- \mathbf{A} has real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ and orthonormal eigenvectors $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m$, where $\|\mathbf{q}_j\| = 1$.
- Eigenvalues are often also ordered in a particular way (e.g., ordered from large to small in magnitude)
- In addition, we focus on symmetric tridiagonal form
 - Why? Because phase 1 of two-phase algorithm reduces matrix into tridiagonal form

Rayleigh Quotient

- The Rayleigh quotient of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the scalar

$$r(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}$$

- For an eigenvector \mathbf{x} , its Rayleigh quotient is $r(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \lambda \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda$ the corresponding eigenvalue of \mathbf{x}
- For general \mathbf{x} , $r(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha$ that minimizes $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \alpha\mathbf{x}\|_2$.
- \mathbf{x} is eigenvector of $\mathbf{A} \Leftrightarrow \nabla r(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - r(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x}) = 0$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$
- $r(\mathbf{x})$ is smooth and $\nabla r(\mathbf{q}_j) = 0$ for any j , and therefore is quadratically accurate:

$$r(\mathbf{x}) - r(\mathbf{q}_J) = O(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_J\|^2) \text{ as } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_J \text{ for some } J$$

Power Iteration

- Simple power iteration for largest eigenvalue

Algorithm: Power Iteration

$\mathbf{v}^{(0)}$ = some unit-length vector

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}^{(k-1)}$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{(k)} = \mathbf{w} / \|\mathbf{w}\|$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = r(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}) = (\mathbf{v}^{(k)})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^{(k)}$$

- Termination condition is omitted for simplicity

Convergence of Power Iteration

- Expand initial $\mathbf{v}^{(0)}$ in orthonormal eigenvectors \mathbf{q}_i , and apply \mathbf{A}^k :

$$\mathbf{v}^{(0)} = a_1 \mathbf{q}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{q}_2 + \cdots + a_m \mathbf{q}_m$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{(k)} = c_k \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{v}^{(0)}$$

$$= c_k (a_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{q}_1 + a_2 \lambda_2^k \mathbf{q}_2 + \cdots + a_m \lambda_m^k \mathbf{q}_m)$$

$$= c_k \lambda_1^k (a_1 \mathbf{q}_1 + a_2 (\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^k \mathbf{q}_2 + \cdots + a_m (\lambda_m/\lambda_1)^k \mathbf{q}_m)$$

- If $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_m| \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{v}^{(0)} \neq 0$, this gives

$$\|\mathbf{v}^{(k)} - (\pm \mathbf{q}_1)\| = O(|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|^k), \quad |\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| = O(|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|^{2k})$$

where \pm sign is chosen to be sign of $\mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{v}^{(k)}$

- It finds the largest eigenvalue (unless eigenvector is orthogonal to $\mathbf{v}^{(0)}$)
- Error reduces by only a constant factor ($\approx |\lambda_2/\lambda_1|$) each step, and very slowly especially when $|\lambda_2| \approx |\lambda_1|$

Inverse Iteration

- Apply power iteration on $(\mathbf{A} - \mu\mathbf{I})^{-1}$, with eigenvalues $\{(\lambda_j - \mu)^{-1}\}$
- If $\mu \approx \lambda_J$ for some J , then $(\lambda_J - \mu)^{-1}$ may be far larger than $(\lambda_j - \mu)^{-1}$, $j \neq J$, so power iteration may converge rapidly

Algorithm: Inverse Iteration

$\mathbf{v}^{(0)}$ = some unit-length vector

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Solve $(\mathbf{A} - \mu\mathbf{I})\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)}$ for \mathbf{w}

$\mathbf{v}^{(k)} = \mathbf{w} / \|\mathbf{w}\|$

$\lambda^{(k)} = r(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}) = (\mathbf{v}^{(k)})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^{(k)}$

- Converges to eigenvector \mathbf{q}_J if parameter μ is close to λ_J

$$\|\mathbf{v}^{(k)} - (\pm \mathbf{q}_J)\| = O\left(\left|\frac{\mu - \lambda_J}{\mu - \lambda_K}\right|^k\right), \quad \|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J\| = O\left(\left|\frac{\mu - \lambda_J}{\mu - \lambda_K}\right|^{2k}\right)$$

where λ_J and λ_K are closest and second closest eigenvalues to μ

- Standard method for determining eigenvector given eigenvalue

Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

- Parameter μ is constant in inverse iteration, but convergence is better for μ close to the eigenvalue
- Improvement: At each iteration, set μ to last computed Rayleigh quotient

Algorithm: Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

$\mathbf{v}^{(0)}$ = some unit-length vector

$$\lambda^{(0)} = r(\mathbf{v}^{(0)}) = (\mathbf{v}^{(0)})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^{(0)}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Solve $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda^{(k-1)} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)}$ for \mathbf{w}

$$\mathbf{v}^{(k)} = \mathbf{w} / \|\mathbf{w}\|$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = r(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}) = (\mathbf{v}^{(k)})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^{(k)}$$

- Cost per iteration is linear for tridiagonal matrix

Convergence of Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

- Cubic convergence in Rayleigh quotient iteration

$$\|\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)} - (\pm \mathbf{q}_J)\| = O(\|\mathbf{v}^{(k)} - (\pm \mathbf{q}_J)\|^3)$$

and

$$|\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda_J| = O(|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J|^3)$$

- In other words, each iteration triples number of digits of accuracy
- Proof idea: If $\mathbf{v}^{(k)}$ is close to an eigenvector, $\|\mathbf{v}^{(k)} - (\pm \mathbf{q}_J)\| \leq \epsilon$, then accuracy of Rayleigh quotient estimate $\lambda^{(k)}$ is $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J| = O(\epsilon^2)$. One step of inverse iteration then gives

$$\|\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{q}_J\| = O(|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J| \|\mathbf{v}^{(k)} - \mathbf{q}_J\|) = O(\epsilon^3)$$

- Rayleigh quotient is great in finding largest (or smallest) eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenvector. What if we want to find all eigenvalues?

Operation Counts

- In Rayleigh quotient iteration,
 - if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is full matrix, then solving $(\mathbf{A} - \mu \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)}$ may take $O(m^3)$ flops per step
 - if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is upper Hessenberg, then each step takes $O(m^2)$ flops
 - if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is tridiagonal, then each step takes $O(m)$ flops