

Prime, Composite, Formula, and Goldbach Conjecture

Caleb Princewill Nwokocha

Observations:

- (1) Let c be a composite number. By definition, there exist integers a, b such that $1 < a < c$, $1 < b < c$, and $c = a \times b$. At least one of a, b must be greater than 1 and less than c , hence we can express c as a sum of two positive integers.
- (2) Let p be a prime number and assume for contradiction that $p = h + g$ where $1 \leq h, g < p$.
- (3) Since $h, g < p$ and p is prime, neither h nor g can equal 1 without the other being $p - 1$, which would imply a non-prime nature for p .
- (4) Therefore, a prime p cannot be rigorously formulated as $p = h + g$.
- (5) A composite numbers c , expressed as $c = h \times g$, inherently possess this “multiplicative form”. In other words, c possess a multiplicative arithmetic formula $h \times g$ where $h, g \neq 1$.
- (6) Therefore, c have divisors h or g .
- (7) In contrast, a prime number p is an integers greater than 1 that is divisible only by 1 and p .
- (8) Consequently, p are not divisible by some h or g like a composite number and thus p lack “multiplicative form”. If p has a “multiplicative form”, then p is divisible by some h or g .
- (9) Generally, composite numbers have “addictive and multiplicative forms”, thus composite numbers have “form”. Prime numbers have no “addictive or multiplicative form”, thus prime numbers are “formless”.
- (10) Consider any even number $2n$ which inherently has “form” due to its composite structure.
- (11) For the Goldbach Conjecture, this even number $2n$ is the sum of two primes, p_1 and p_2 .
- (12) Following the concept of “form”, the integer n is the mean of the “formless” primes p_1 and p_2 ; $\frac{p_1+p_2}{2} = n$. Probabilistically, n is the uniform distribution of two “formless” entities p_1 and p_2 ; $n = p_1 \frac{1}{2} + p_2 \frac{1}{2}$.
- (13) $p_1 \frac{1}{2} + p_2 \frac{1}{2}$ is the simplest and most unbiased distribution of two formless entities to form a new entity n . Hence, $2n = p_1 + p_2$ is true.
- (14) This insight from observation (13) confirm the Goldbach Conjecture. It showcases how numbers with “form” is composed of numbers lacking “form”.