

Burnand, P. Tombsen, A. Tompelli as representatives of
the textual archives of Oxford, Louvain-la-Neuve, Pisa,
agreed on the following points:

To create a Union Catalogue of existing texts

(1) ~~To exchange the catalogues~~ in Machine Readable Form,

~~of the texts, available available stored in the~~
by combining in one database the following
~~as soon as they contain the~~ minimal information
about texts or collections of texts:
~~required for each item (text or collection of texts):~~

author, title, date of the original, language, date and phase of
the last process, mode of availability (see point 2),

map
tail?

(2) To adopt (or continue), for the exchange of texts in MRF,
the policy which is based on the fact that the texts
may be divided in 3 classes, from the point
of view of their availability; to individual researchers:

- texts immediately available for distribution

- texts available for distribution only with the
written permission of the "copyright owner"

depositor [i.e. "copyright owner" (e.g., the person or the
Institution which was responsible for the registration
in machine readable form).]

- texts mis, principle, are not available for distribution by the host architect
(It seems useful to inform also on their existence)

(3) To investigate, as far as possible, the juridical problems (copyrights, etc.) connected with the reproduction, distribution, ^{and} ~~access to~~ ^{to machine readable texts, in} ~~in these~~ ^{a scholarly context,} ~~in the legal~~ ^{according to the laws of their own} countries, and to communicate ^{with} each other, the results of such investigations.

(4) To work ~~also~~ towards the definition of ^{construction} ~~definition~~ of a conceptual-terminological system for the description of the textual data in MRF₁, ~~that~~ of their ^{its} logical structure, and of ^{its} the encoding conventions. The fact, the past experience has shown that the ~~difference~~ difficulties in the exchanges are ^{often caused by} ~~caused by~~ ^{less differences} ~~not by the differences~~ in the physical ^{its} format and/or in the encoding conventions, but ^{their} by the present ~~lack~~ lack of a clear conceptual/terminological framework allowing an unambiguous ~~a universal~~ description of the materials.

Commission de la Science et de la Technologie

This agreement ~~may~~ ^{"Encadrement des textes encadrés"} will be presented ~~for sponsorship~~

~~by~~ to the ad-hoc group of the Council of Europe

~~for texts~~ by Zampelli (chairman) and

Tombereu (vice-chairman) next November

~~They will present also, the text produced~~

~~with the same aims, also the text produced~~

~~by the historical subgroups~~

together with the text ^{concerning} ~~concerning~~ ^{concerning} the historical subgroup

^{finally} The aim is to obtain ~~the~~ sponsorship (trays this group) of the Council of Europe for a 'network'.

