

1 fakedata.out contains 200 observations of three random variables: X , Y , and Z (each variable in its own column, listed in that order). Calculate the following for this data:

1. The mean values of X , Y , and Z .

From the code, $\bar{X} = 49.85$, $\bar{Y} = -1.56$, $\bar{Z} = -19.38$.

2. The standard deviations for all three variables.

From the code, $\sigma_X = 12.75$, $\sigma_Y = 13.63$, $\sigma_Z = 11.06$.

3. The three correlation coefficients between the three variables.

From the code, $C_{X,Y} = 0.30$, $C_{X,Z} = 0.72$, $C_{Y,Z} = -0.30$.

4. The skew for X , Y , and Z .

From the code, $\text{Skew}(X) = -0.10$, $\text{Skew}(Y) = 0.05$, $\text{Skew}(Z) = -0.31$.

2 Show that if (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14) are true, we have (3.15) and (3.16).

(3.12) and (3.13):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{ab}\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) &= (x_a\nabla_b - x_b\nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\ \delta_{ab}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}(\vec{x}, t) &= (x_a\nabla_b - x_b\nabla_a)\psi^{\sigma\dagger}(\vec{x}, t)\end{aligned}$$

(3.14):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{ab}\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, t) &= (x_a\nabla_b - x_b\nabla_a)\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, t) \\ \implies \delta_{ab}\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(0) + (\nabla_b x_a \mathcal{L} - x_b \nabla_a \mathcal{L}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(0) + \nabla \cdot (\hat{b}x_a \mathcal{L} - \hat{a}x_b \mathcal{L}) \\ \implies R = 0, J &= (\hat{b}x_a \mathcal{L} - \hat{a}x_b \mathcal{L})\end{aligned}$$

(where \hat{a}, \hat{b} are the unit vectors in the ab plane.)

Start with general definition of $\mathcal{M}_{ab}, \mathcal{M}_{cab}$ (Noether charge density and current density):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{ab} = \mathcal{R} &= \delta_{ab}\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma} + \delta_{ab}\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - R \\ &= (x_a\nabla_b - x_b\nabla_a)\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma} + (x_a\nabla_b - x_b\nabla_a)\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - 0 \\ &= x_a\nabla_b\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma} - x_b\nabla_a\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma} + x_a\nabla_b\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - x_b\nabla_a\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}} \\ &= x_a \left(\nabla_b\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma} + \nabla_b\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}} \right) - x_b \left(\nabla_a\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma} + \nabla_a\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}} \right) \\ &= x_a T_{tb} - x_b T_{ta}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly (here it's pretty awkward to use δ as a variation and a Kronecker delta, but bear

with me),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{cab} &= \mathcal{J}^c = \delta_{ab}\psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi_\sigma} + \delta_{ab}\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - J^c \\
&= (x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi_\sigma} + (x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - (\hat{b}x_a \mathcal{L} - \hat{a}x_b \mathcal{L})^c \\
&= x_a \nabla_b \psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi_\sigma} - x_b \nabla_a \psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi_\sigma} + x_a \nabla_b \psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - x_b \nabla_a \psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - (\hat{b}x_a \mathcal{L} - \hat{a}x_b \mathcal{L})^c \\
&= x_a \left(\nabla_b \psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi_\sigma} + \nabla_b \psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - \delta_{cb} \mathcal{L} \right) - x_b \left(\nabla_a \psi_\sigma \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi_\sigma} + \nabla_a \psi^{\sigma\dagger} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_c \psi^{\sigma\dagger}} - \delta_{ca} \mathcal{L} \right) \\
&= x_a T_{cb} - x_b T_{ca}
\end{aligned}$$

So we have shown (3.15) and (3.16):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{ab} &= x_a T_{tb} - x_b T_{ta} \\
\mathcal{M}_{cab} &= x_a T_{cb} - x_b T_{ca}
\end{aligned}$$

3 Use (3.15), (3.16) to show $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) + \nabla^c \mathcal{M}_{cab}(\vec{x}, t) = 0$ is obeyed when $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{tt}(\vec{x}, t) + \nabla^b T_{bt}(\vec{x}, t) = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t) + \nabla^b T_{ba}(\vec{x}, t) = 0$, and $T_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) = T_{ba}(\vec{x}, t)$.

(3.15) and (3.16):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) &= x_a T_{tb}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t) \\ \mathcal{M}_{cab}(\vec{x}, t) &= x_a T_{cb}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b T_{ca}(\vec{x}, t)\end{aligned}$$

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ of (3.15):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(x_a T_{tb}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t)) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}x_a T_{tb}(\vec{x}, t) + x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{tb}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}x_b T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t)\end{aligned}$$

Note that none of the x_i depend explicitly on t , so $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}x_i = 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) = x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{tb}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t)$$

Use $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T_{ta}(\vec{x}, t) + \nabla^b T_{ba}(\vec{x}, t) = 0$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) = -x_a \nabla^c T_{cb}(\vec{x}, t) + x_b \nabla^c T_{ca}(\vec{x}, t)$$

Now take ∇^c of (3.16):

$$\nabla^c \mathcal{M}_{cab}(\vec{x}, t) = \delta_a^c T_{cb}(\vec{x}, t) + x_a \nabla^c T_{cb}(\vec{x}, t) - \delta_b^c T_{ca}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b \nabla^c T_{ca}(\vec{x}, t)$$

Now sum the delta terms over c and use $T_{ab} = T_{ba}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^c \mathcal{M}_{cab}(\vec{x}, t) &= T_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) + x_a \nabla^c T_{cb}(\vec{x}, t) - T_{ba}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b \nabla^c T_{ca}(\vec{x}, t) \\ &= 0 + x_a \nabla^c T_{cb}(\vec{x}, t) - x_b \nabla^c T_{ca}(\vec{x}, t) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) \\ \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{ab}(\vec{x}, t) + \nabla^c \mathcal{M}_{cab}(\vec{x}, t) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

4 Confirm that for an infinitesimal rotation in the $a - b$ plane,

$$-(x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t), M_{ab}]$$

and

$$-(x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{x}, t), M_{ab}]$$

where M_{ab} is given in (3.25).

(3.25)

$$M_{ab} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \int d^3x [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{x}, t)(x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) - (x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{x}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t)]$$

Start with the commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t), M_{ab}] &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) M_{ab} - \frac{1}{i\hbar} M_{ab} \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \int d^3y [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)] \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y -\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\ &\quad + \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\ &\quad + [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a) \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)] \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Recall equal-time anti-commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\rho\dagger}(\vec{y}, t) + \psi^{\rho\dagger}(\vec{y}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) &= \delta_\sigma^\rho \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \\ \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi_\rho(\vec{y}, t) + \psi_\rho(\vec{y}, t) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Also note that the ∇_i s are w.r.t. y_i for now, so we can pass the \vec{x} field operators through the $(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)$ terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t), M_{ab}] &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y - [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) + \delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})](y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad + (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)[-\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) + \delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})]\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad + [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)]\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad - \delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\
&\quad + (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad + [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)]\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y - \psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\
&\quad - \delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad + (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\
&\quad - \delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad + [\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t) \\
&\quad - (y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi^{\dagger\sigma}(\vec{y}, t)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)]\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\
&= \int d^3y 0 + 0 - \delta_\sigma^\sigma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})(y_a \nabla_b - y_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{y}, t)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that σ is not summed, so $\delta_\sigma^\sigma = 1$. Integrate the $\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$ and we get the desired result:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t), M_{ab}] = -(x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a)\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t)$$

(where now ∇_a is w.r.t. x_a)

5 Assume that

$$P_a |\mathcal{O}\rangle = 0, \langle \mathcal{O} | P_a = 0, M_{ab} |\mathcal{O}\rangle = 0, \langle \mathcal{O} | M_{ab} = 0.$$

Prove that $\langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle$ can only be a function of the coordinates \vec{x} and \vec{y} through the combination $|\vec{x} - \vec{y}| = \sqrt{(\vec{x} - \vec{y})^2}$.

Consider the expectation value of a commutator:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t'), P_a] | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') P_a | \mathcal{O} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O} | P_a \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') (0) - (0) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle \quad [\text{use } \langle \mathcal{O} | P_a = 0, P_a | \mathcal{O} \rangle = 0] \\ &= 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then consider $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \right) \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \right) \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{O} | \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t), \mathcal{Q}] \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) [\psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t'), \mathcal{Q}] | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') \mathcal{Q}] | \mathcal{O} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So in particular for P_a ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t'), P_a] | \mathcal{O} \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \right) \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

And if we let $\vec{r} = \vec{x} + \vec{y}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r^a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial}{\partial r^a} \langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle = 0$$

This implies $\langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle$ depends on \vec{x} and \vec{y} through the combination $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ rather than $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$.

Then, it is also true that

$$0 = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \mathcal{O} | [\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t'), M_{ab}] | \mathcal{O} \rangle$$

And finally to show this function only depends on the magnitude $|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|$, let's show that $(x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) f(\vec{x}) = 0$ for every pair $(a, b) \implies f(\vec{x})$ depends only on $|\vec{x}|$.

Consider just x^1, x^2 for now.

$$(x^1 \nabla_2 - x^2 \nabla_1) f(x^1, x^2, \dots) = 0 \implies f = f((x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2, \dots)$$

Then x^1, x^2, x^3 (or equivalently for f , $(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2, x^3$):

$$(x^2 \nabla_3 - x^3 \nabla_2) f((x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2, x^3, \dots) = 0 \implies f = f((x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2, \dots)$$

And if we continue in the same way for however many coordinates we have, we find:

$$f = f\left(\sum_i (x^i)^2\right)$$

Or equivalently,

$$f = f\left(\sqrt{\sum_i (x^i)^2}\right) = f(|\vec{x}|)$$

Since we have a function of this type above, which satisfies $(x_a \nabla_b - x_b \nabla_a) f(\vec{x}) = 0$ for every pair (a, b) , we can say $\langle \mathcal{O} | \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \psi^{\dagger\rho}(\vec{y}, t') | \mathcal{O} \rangle$ is only a function of $|\vec{x} - \vec{y}| = \sqrt{\sum_i (x_i - y_i)^2}$.

6 Show that the Galilean transformations defined above are a symetry of the theory defined by the action and Lagrangian density (3.5).

The Galilean transformations defined above:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) &= \left(-\vec{v}t \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{\hbar}m\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}\right) \psi_\sigma(\vec{x}, t) \\ \delta\psi^{\sigma\dagger}(\vec{x}, t) &= \left(-\vec{v}t \cdot \vec{\nabla} - \frac{i}{\hbar}m\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}\right) \psi^{\sigma\dagger}(\vec{x}, t)\end{aligned}$$

To show this is a symmetry, we want to show $\delta\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}R(\vec{x}, t) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{x}, t)$ for some R, \vec{J} . The Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_\sigma - \frac{i\hbar}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi^{\sigma\dagger}\psi_\sigma - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla\psi^{\sigma\dagger} \cdot \nabla\psi_\sigma - \frac{\lambda}{2}(\psi^{\sigma\dagger}\psi_\sigma)^2$$

This is does not explicitly depend on \vec{x} or t , so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L} &= \left(-\vec{v}t \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \frac{i}{\hbar}m\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}\right) \mathcal{L} \\ &= \left(-v_at\nabla_a\mathcal{L} + \frac{i}{\hbar}mv_ax_a\mathcal{L}\right) \\ &= 0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(t\frac{i}{\hbar}mv_ax_a\mathcal{L}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(t\frac{i}{\hbar}m\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}\mathcal{L}\right) + \nabla \cdot \vec{0}\end{aligned}$$

So these transformations are a symmetry, with $R = \frac{it}{\hbar}m\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}\mathcal{L}, \vec{J} = 0$.