

# Discrete Mathematics Homework #4

2017-18570 이성찬

## 5.1.12

**(Proof by Induction)** When  $n = 0$ , LHS = 1 and RHS = 1. True.

Suppose the given statement holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^j &= \sum_{j=0}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^j + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} \stackrel{I.H.}{=} \frac{2^{n+1} + (-1)^n}{3 \cdot 2^n} + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{2^{n+2} + 2 \cdot (-1)^n}{3 \cdot 2^{n+1}} + \frac{3 \cdot (-1)^{n+1}}{3 \cdot 2^{n+1}} = \frac{2^{n+2} + 2 \cdot (-1)^n}{3 \cdot 2^{n+1}} + \frac{-3 \cdot (-1)^n}{3 \cdot 2^{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{2^{n+2} - (-1)^n}{3 \cdot 2^{n+1}} = \frac{2^{n+2} + (-1)^{n+1}}{3 \cdot 2^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the given statement also holds for  $n+1$ . Thus the given statement holds for all non-negative integers  $n$ .  $\square$

## 5.1.18

- (a)  $P(2) : 2! < 2^2$
- (b)  $2 = 2! < 2^2 = 4$ . Thus  $P(2)$  is true.
- (c) Inductive Hypothesis:  $P(k) : k! < k^k$  is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1$ .
- (d) I need to prove that  $P(k+1) : (k+1)! < (k+1)^{k+1}$  is true when  $P(k)$  is true.
- (e) Given the inductive hypothesis is true,

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1)! &= (k+1) \cdot k! < (k+1) \cdot k^k \quad (\because \text{Inductive Hypothesis}) \\ &< (k+1) \cdot (k+1)^k = (k+1)^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P(k+1)$  is also true.

- (f) We first showed that  $P(2)$  is true, and the inductive step shows that  $P(3)$  is also true. Then the inductive step also implies that  $P(4)$  is also true. Continuing on,  $P(n)$  is true for integers greater than 1.  $\square$

## 5.1.60

Let  $P(n) : \neg(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n)$  is equivalent to  $\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg p_n$ .

It is trivial that  $P(1)$  is true.  $P(2)$  is true by De Morgan's Law.

Now suppose  $P(n)$  is true. We will show that  $P(n+1)$  is also true.

Let  $q : p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n \vee p_{n+1}) &= \neg(q \vee p_{n+1}) \stackrel{P(2)}{=} \neg q \wedge \neg p_{n+1} \\ &= (\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg p_n) \wedge \neg p_{n+1} \\ &= \neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg p_n \wedge \neg p_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\neg q = \neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg p_n$  by the Inductive Hypothesis, and the last line follows directly from the associativity of  $\wedge$  operators.

Thus  $P(n+1)$  is true, proving the statement for all  $n$ .  $\square$

### 5.2.8

Let  $P(n)$ : We can form total amounts of the form  $5n$  for all  $n \geq 28$ , using the given certificates. Define  $(x, y)$  as amount of money when we have  $x$  \$25 certificates,  $y$  \$40 certificates. Then  $(x, y) = 25x + 40y$ . Now we know that if there exists non-negative integers such that  $(x, y) = 5n$ ,  $P(n)$  is true.

$P(n)$  is true for  $n = 28, 29, 30, 31, 32$  because setting  $(x, y)$  to  $(4, 1), (1, 3), (6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)$  will let us pay the amount we want.

Now to use strong induction, assume that  $P(j)$  is true for all  $j$  ( $28 \leq j \leq k$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 32$ ). We will now show that  $P(k+1)$  is also true.

Since  $k-4 \geq 28$ , we know that  $P(k-4)$  is true. Then we can form  $\$5(k-4)$ . We add one more \$25 certificate here to form  $\$5(k+1)$ , which was what we wanted.  $\square$

### 5.2.28

We use strong induction here. We already know that  $P(n)$  is true for  $b \leq n \leq b+j$ . Now set the inductive hypothesis as “ $P(n)$  is true for all  $n$  such that  $b \leq n \leq k$ , where  $k$  is an integer with  $k \geq b+j$ ”. Since it is already given that under the assumption of the inductive hypothesis,  $P(k+1)$  is true. Thus by strong induction,  $P(n)$  is true for all integers  $n$  with  $n \geq b$ .  $\square$

### 5.3.10

Define  $S_m(n) = S_m(n-1) + 1$  and  $S_m(0) = m$ . Then it follows directly that  $S_m(n) = m + n$ .  $\square$

### 5.3.24

- (a) Let the wanted set be  $S$ . Set  $1 \in S$  as the base case, and the recursive case as: If  $a \in S, a+2 \in S$ . Then this will generate the set of all odd positive integers.
- (b) Let the wanted set be  $P$ . Set  $3 \in P$  as the base case, and the recursive case as: If  $a \in P, 3a \in P$ . Then this will generate the set of all positive integer powers of 3.
- (c) Let the wanted set be  $P[t]$ . Set  $1 \in P[t]$  as the base case, and the recursive cases as the following.
  1. If  $p(t) \in P[t]$ ,  $tp(t) \in P[t]$ .
  2. If  $p(t), q(t) \in P[t]$ ,  $np(t) + q(t) \in P[t]$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then this will generate the set of polynomials with integer coefficients, since  $P[t]$  is a vector space.  $\square$

### 5.4.8

Let  $S_n$  denote the sum of the first  $n$  positive integers. Then

$$S_n = S_{n-1} + n, \quad S_1 = 1$$

Changing the recurrence relation to an algorithm gives us

**sum**( $n$ )

if( $n == 1$ ) // *base case*

**return** 1

else // *recursive case*

**return**  $n + \mathbf{sum}(n - 1)$

□

### 5.4.16

**(Proof by Induction)** When  $n = 1$ , the algorithm returns 1, so it is correct.

Suppose the algorithm returns the correct answer for some positive integer  $n$ . Now we have to show that the algorithm is also correct for  $n + 1$ .

**sum**( $n + 1$ ) will return  $n + 1 + \mathbf{sum}(n)$ . Since **sum**( $n$ ) will correctly return the answer (by I.H.), when  $n + 1$  is added to it, it will represent the sum of the first  $n + 1$  positive integers. Therefore **sum**( $n + 1$ ) will return the correct answer.

By the principle of mathematical induction, the algorithm is correct.

□