

# 해석개론 및 연습 2 과제 #6

2017-18570 컴퓨터공학부 이성찬

1. Let  $A = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_p \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  where  $I_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $I_i$  has endpoints  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_i \leq b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .  $I_i$  can be any type of intervals -  $[a, b], (a, b), (a, b], [a, b)$ . Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.

Suppose that  $m(A) < \epsilon$ . We can take  $F = \emptyset$ , and then  $F$  is closed and satisfies  $m(A) \leq m(F) + \epsilon$ . Now assume that  $m(A) \geq \epsilon$ . Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f(x) = m\left(\prod_{i=1}^p [a_i + x, b_i - x]\right) = \prod_{i=1}^p (b_i - a_i - 2x),$$

where  $0 \leq x \leq M = \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} \frac{|b_i - a_i|}{2}$ . Note that  $a_i \neq b_i$  for all  $i$  since  $m(A) \geq \epsilon \neq 0$ . It is trivial that  $f$  is continuous and decreasing. Since  $f(0) = m(A)$ ,  $f(M) = 0$ , there exists  $c \in (0, M)$  such that  $f(c) = m(A) - \epsilon$ . (Intermediate Value Theorem) With this  $c$ , construct a closed box  $F = \prod_{i=1}^p [a_i + c, b_i - c]$ . Then  $F \subseteq A$  and  $m(A) \leq m(F) + \epsilon$  holds.

To find an open set  $G$ , consider a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$g(x) = m\left(\prod_{i=1}^p (a_i - x, b_i + x)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^p (b_i - a_i + 2x),$$

where  $x \geq 0$ . In a similar manner,  $g$  is continuous and increasing, diverges to  $\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus there exists  $d \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $g(d) = m(A) + \epsilon$ . With this  $d$ , construct an open box  $G = \prod_{i=1}^p (a_i - d, b_i + d)$ . Then  $A \subseteq G$  and  $m(G) - \epsilon \leq m(A)$  holds.

Since any elementary set is a finite union of disjoint intervals, take open sets/closed sets for each interval as above, and union open sets/closed sets respectively. Since  $m$  is additive on  $\Sigma$ , it can be concluded that  $m$  is regular on  $\Sigma$ .

2. It is enough to show the following claim, since intervals are  $m$ -measurable.

**Claim.**  $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \{x : f(x) > a\}$  is an interval.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $t \in f^{-1}((a, \infty])$ . Then for all  $u \geq t$ ,  $a < f(t) \leq f(u) < \infty$ . So  $u \in f^{-1}((a, \infty])$ . Thus this interval is one of  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $(z, \infty)$ ,  $[z, \infty)$ . ( $z$  is some constant)

3. Define  $N = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ . Then  $m(N) = 0$ , and by the completeness of the Lebesgue measure, any subset of  $N$  is measurable and has measure 0. Now we show that  $g$  is measurable. Take any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) > a\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N : g(x) > a\} \cup \{x \in N : g(x) > a\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N : f(x) > a\} \cup \{x \in N : g(x) > a\} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{x \in N : g(x) > a\} \subseteq N$ , the set  $\{x \in N : g(x) > a\}$  is measurable and has measure zero. Also,  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N : f(x) > a\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > a\} \setminus \{x \in N : f(x) > a\}$  is measurable. ( $\sigma$ -algebra) Thus  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) > a\}$  is measurable for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

4.  $f_n(x)$  converges  $\iff \forall M > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m, n \geq N \implies |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{M}$ .

The set  $C$  which  $f_n(x)$  converges can be written as

$$C = \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=N}^{\infty} \left\{ x : |f_n - f_m| < \frac{1}{M} \right\}.$$

We take intersections for  $M, n, m$  since  $|f_n - f_m| < \frac{1}{M}$  has to hold for all values of  $M, n, m$ . However, we take unions for  $N$  since we have to include all  $N$  if such  $N$  can make  $|f_n - f_m| < \frac{1}{M}$  hold.

Since  $f_n$  are measurable,  $f_n - f_m$  is measurable and  $|f_n - f_m|$  is also measurable. Thus the set  $\{x : |f_n - f_m| < \frac{1}{M}\}$  is measurable, and its countable union  $C$  is measurable.

5. Define  $E_n = \{x \in E : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Since  $\mu(E_n) \leq \mu(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$ , we show that  $\mu(A) = 0$  by showing that  $\mu(E_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\mu(E_n) > 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_{E \setminus E_n} f d\mu + \int_{E_n} f d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} f d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{n} d\mu = \frac{\mu(E_n)}{n} > 0,$$

leading to a contradiction. Thus  $\mu(E_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which leads to  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Therefore  $\{x \in E : f(x) > 0\}$  is a measure zero set.

6. Since  $\int_0^1 g(1-x) dx = \int_0^1 g(x) dx$ ,  $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = \int_0^1 g(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}$  regardless of the parity of  $n$ . Check that

$$\{f_n(x)\} = \begin{cases} 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots & (x \in [0, 1/2]) \\ 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots & (x \in (1/2, 1]) \end{cases}$$

Therefore for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $\inf_{k \geq n} f_k(x) = 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we can conclude that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$ .

7. We show that  $N = \{x \in E : f(x) \neq 0\}$  has measure zero. Let  $S_1 = \{x \in E : f(x) > 0\}$ , then  $S_1$  is measurable. So  $\int_{S_1} f d\mu = 0$ . Since  $f \geq 0$  on  $S_1$ , we use **Problem 5** and conclude that  $\mu(S_1) = 0$ . Similarly, define  $S_2 = \{x \in E : f(x) < 0\}$ . Then  $S_2$  is measurable, so  $\int_{S_2} f d\mu = 0$ . Since  $-f \geq 0$  on  $S_2$ , we use **Problem 5** again and conclude that  $\mu(S_2) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\mu(N) = \mu(S_1) + \mu(S_2) = 0$ , and  $f(x) = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $E$ .

**8.** (  $\implies$  ) For any  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ , set  $N_A = \{x \in A : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ . Since  $\mu(N_A) = 0$ ,  $\int_{N_A} f d\mu = \int_{N_A} g d\mu = 0$ . Now we have

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_{A \setminus N_A} f d\mu + \int_{N_A} f d\mu = \int_{A \setminus N_A} g d\mu + \int_{N_A} g d\mu = \int_A g d\mu.$$

(  $\impliedby$  ) Since  $\int_A f d\mu, \int_B f d\mu$  are finite,  $(f, g \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu))$  we have  $\int_A (f - g) d\mu = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ . By Problem 7,  $f - g = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere since  $A$  is any measurable subset of  $X$ . Therefore  $f = g$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere.