

## HW5 Solution

1. Show that  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$  is an algebra on  $S$  if and only if  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$  is a ring on  $S$  with  $S \in \Sigma$ . Show that  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $S$  if and only if  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring on  $S$  with  $S \in \Sigma$ .

*Solution.*  $[\Rightarrow]$  First suppose that  $\Sigma$  is an algebra on  $S$ . By definition we have  $S \in \Sigma$ . For any  $A, B \in \Sigma$ ,  $A \cup B \in \Sigma$  follows directly from the definition of algebra. Finally,  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^C = (A \cup B^C)^C \in \Sigma$  for any  $A, B \in \Sigma$  since  $\Sigma$  is closed under complements and unions. This shows that  $\Sigma$  is a ring on  $S$  containing  $S$ . If, in addition, we assume that  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then we have the condition that  $A_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$  implies  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \Sigma$ , so  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring.

$[\Leftarrow]$  Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a ring on  $S$  and  $S \in \Sigma$ . Then for any  $A, B \in \Sigma$ ,  $A^C = S \setminus A \in \Sigma$  and  $A \cup B \in \Sigma$  by properties of ring. This shows that  $\Sigma$  is an algebra on  $S$ . If, in addition, we assume that  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, then we have the condition that  $A_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$  implies  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \Sigma$ , showing that  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.  $\square$

2.  $E, S$  are sets and  $f$  is a map from  $E$  to  $S$ . Suppose  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $S$  ( $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ ). Show that  $f^{-1}(\Sigma) := \{f^{-1}(A) : A \in \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ .

*Solution.* (1)  $E = f^{-1}(S) \in f^{-1}(\Sigma)$  since  $S \in \Sigma$ .  
 (2) Any element of  $f^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is of the form  $f^{-1}(A)$  with  $A \in \Sigma$ , and  $E \setminus f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(S \setminus A) \in f^{-1}(\Sigma)$  since  $S \setminus A \in \Sigma$ . The set identity is true since  $x \in E \setminus f^{-1}(A) \iff f(x) \notin A \iff f(x) \in S \setminus A$ .  
 (3) For any countable collection  $\{B_n\}_n \subset f^{-1}(\Sigma)$ , there are corresponding collection  $\{A_n\}_n \subset \Sigma$  such that  $B_n = f^{-1}(A_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\bigcup_n B_n = \bigcup_n f^{-1}(A_n) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_n A_n) \in f^{-1}(\Sigma)$  since  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \Sigma$ . The set identity is true since  $x \in f^{-1}(\bigcup_n A_n) \iff f(x) \in \bigcup_n A_n \iff \exists n, f(x) \in A_n \iff \exists n, x \in f^{-1}(A_n) \iff x \in \bigcup_n f^{-1}(A_n)$ .  $\square$

3. Show that an arbitrary intersection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $S$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $S$  and show that union of two  $\sigma$ -algebras may not be a  $\sigma$ -algebra by a counterexample.

*Solution.* Let  $\{\Sigma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $S$ , indexed by the set  $I$  (note that this may be uncountably infinite).

(1) For all  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $S \in \Sigma_\alpha$ . Hence  $S \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \Sigma_\alpha$ .

(2) Let  $A \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \Sigma_\alpha$ . Then for all  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $A \in \Sigma_\alpha \implies S \setminus A \in \Sigma_\alpha$  since each  $\Sigma_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Hence  $S \setminus A \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \Sigma_\alpha$ .

(3) Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \Sigma_\alpha$ . Then for all  $\alpha \in I$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_n \in \Sigma_\alpha \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \Sigma_\alpha$  since each  $\Sigma_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Hence  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \Sigma_\alpha$ .

By (1), (2), (3),  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \Sigma_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Union of two  $\sigma$ -algebras may not be a  $\sigma$ -algebra (not even an algebra). Consider  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\Sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$  and  $\Sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are both  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $S$ . However,  $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$  is not an algebra since  $\{1\}, \{2\} \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$  but  $\{1, 2\} \notin \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ .  $\square$

4. For a finite set  $A$ ,  $S$  is a set,  $x \in S$  and  $\Sigma = \mathcal{P}(S)$ . Show that following are measures.

$$\mu_1(A) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_2(A) := \begin{cases} \text{Cardinality (number of elements) of } A & \text{if } A \text{ is finite} \\ \infty & \text{if } A \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

*Solution.* Clearly  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are nonnegative set functions on  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ . We only need to show countable additivity over disjoint sets.

(1) Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of mutually disjoint subsets of  $S$ , and put  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in A_m$  for some  $m$ , and  $x \notin A_n$  for any  $n \neq m$  since  $A_m \cap A_n = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mu_1(A_m) = 1$  while  $\mu_1(A_n) = 0$  for all  $n \neq m$ . Hence

$$\mu_1\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = 1 = \mu_1(A_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(A_n).$$

Otherwise,  $x \notin A_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\mu_1(A) = 0 = \sum_n \mu_1(A_n)$ .

(2) Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of mutually disjoint subsets of  $S$ . We

divide into three cases.

(i) When all but finitely many  $A_n$ 's are empty, and all  $A_n$ 's are finite: Say  $A_{n_1}, \dots, A_{n_r}$  are the nonempty ones among  $A_n$ 's. Note that  $\mu_2(A_n) = 0$  for all  $A_n$  that are not one of  $A_{n_i}$ 's. Then  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_{n_i}$  and thus  $\mu_2(A) = |A_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{n_r}| = |A_{n_1}| + \dots + |A_{n_r}| = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_2(A_{n_i}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(A_n)$ .

(ii) When all but finitely many  $A_n$ 's are empty, but one of  $A_n$ 's is infinite: Say  $A_m$  is the infinite one. Then  $A_m \subset A$ , so  $A$  is infinite and thus  $\mu_2(A) = \infty$ . This coincides with  $\sum_n \mu_2(A_n)$  since  $\sum_n \mu_2(A_n) \geq \mu_2(A_m) = \infty$ .

(iii) When there are infinitely many nonempty  $A_n$ 's: Let  $A_{n_1}, A_{n_2}, \dots$  be any subsequence of nonempty elements of  $A_n$ . Choose  $x_i \in A_{n_i}$ , so that  $x_i$  are all distinct (by disjointness of  $A_n$ ) and  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subset A$ . Hence  $A$  is infinite and  $\mu_2(A) = \infty$ . On the other hand, since  $\mu_2(A_{n_i}) \geq 1$  for each  $i$ , we have  $\sum_n \mu_2(A_n) \geq \sum_i \mu_2(A_{n_i}) = \infty$ .  $\square$

5. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_{i+1} \subset A_i$ . Show that  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i) = \mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i).$$

*Solution.* For each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $B_i = A_1 \setminus A_i$ . If  $i < j$ , then  $B_i \subset B_j$  since  $A_j \subset A_i$ . That is,  $\{B_i\}$  is an ascending sequence of sets and thus

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_1 \setminus A_i) = \mu(A_1) - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i). \quad (1)$$

Note that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$  exists since  $\{\mu(A_i)\}$  is a decreasing sequence bounded below by 0. Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcup_i B_i &\iff x \in A_1 \text{ and } \exists i, x \in B_i \\ &\iff x \in A_1 \text{ and } \exists i, x \notin A_i \\ &\iff x \in A_1 \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\mu(\bigcup_i B_i) = \mu(A_1 \setminus \bigcap_i A_i) = \mu(A_1) - \mu(\bigcap_i A_i)$ . Comparing this with (1) we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

6. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Show that if  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\mu(\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n).$$

*Solution.* Let  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_n = A_n \setminus \cup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k$  for each  $n > 1$ . Then  $B_n$ 's are mutually disjoint since  $m < n$  implies  $B_m \subset A_m \subset \cup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k$  and  $B_n \cap \cup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k = \emptyset$ . Next, it is clear that  $\cup_n B_n \subset \cup_n A_n$ , and the reverse inclusion holds since for any  $x \in \cup_n A_n$  one can choose the smallest  $k$  for which  $x \in A_k$  and then  $x \in B_k \subset \cup_n B_n$ . Thus we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(A_n),$$

by countable additivity and monotonicity of measures.  $\square$