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**Objectives:** Rapid, cost-effective and objective methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* would greatly enhance surveillance of antimicrobial resistance. Etest, disc diffusion or agar dilution methods are subjective, mostly laborious for large-scale testing, and take ~24 hours. We aimed to develop a rapid broth microdilution assay using resazurin (blue), which is converted to resorufin (pink fluorescence) in the presence of viable bacteria.

**Methods:** The resazurin-based broth microdilution assay was established using 132 *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strains and the antimicrobials ceftriaxone, cefixime, azithromycin, spectinomycin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, and penicillin G. A regression model was used to estimate the MIC, results were obtained in approximately 7.5 hours.

**Results:** The *EC50* of the dose-response curves correlated well with the Etest MIC values (pearsons’r 0.93). Minor errors resulting from misclassifications of intermediary resistant strains were found for 9% of the samples. Major errors (susceptible strains misclassified as resistant) occurred for ceftriaxone (4.6%), cefixime (3.3%), azithromycin (0.6%) and tetracycline (0.2%). Only one very major error was found (a ceftriaxone resistant strain misclassified as susceptible). Overall the sensitivity of the assay was 97.1% (CI: 95.2-98.4) and the specificity 78.5 % (CI: 74.5-82.9).

**Conclusions:** A rapid, objective, high-throughput, quantitative and cost-effective broth microdilution assay was established for gonococci.For use in routine diagnostics without confirmatory testing, the specificity might remain suboptimal for ceftriaxone and cefixime. However, the assay can be an effective low-cost method to evaluate novel antimicrobials, for high throughput screenings, and expands the currently available methodologies for surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in gonococci.
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**Introduction**

*Neisseria gonorrhoeae* is a very fastidious bacterium that causes the sexually transmitted infection gonorrhoea. Gonorrhoea is a public health concern globally,1,2 and *N. gonorrhoeae* has developed resistance to all antimicrobials introduced for treatment.3 Accordingly, enhanced surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility in *N. gonorrhoeae* is imperative globally.1 Ideally, this surveillance should be performed using methods determining the MICs of relevant antimicrobials. MIC-based (Tapsall, 2009 #201;Unemo, 2012 #172;Unemo, 2011 #173)methods are also valuable to directly inform treatment after laboratory results are available and evaluate *in vitro* efficacy of novel antimicrobials.

Due to the lack of any appropriate broth medium, MIC-based susceptibility testing of *N. gonorrhoeae* has been limited to disk diffusion, Etest and agar dilution method (gold standard). Essential agreement with the agar dilution method is defined as ±1 doubling dilution and should ideally be above 90% for diagnostic purposes where the same resistance breakpoints are applied.4 Etest has shown excellent agreement with the agar dilution method in many settings.4–7 However, discordant results have been found particularly when different growth media were used.8 A multicentre international study revealed that the categorical agreement between Etest and agar dilution was ≥88% but was very poor for disk diffusion.9 Unfortunately, all these methods are relatively slow (~24 hours), subjective, require expertise, and/or are expensive. Faster methods that allow results to be obtained on the same day have been developed in the past for other bacteria,10,11 but are not available for *N. gonorrhoeae*.

For many bacterial species, broth microdilution is the reference method due to accuracy, low costs and high versatility.12,13 Several attempts have been made to develop a broth microdilution method also for *N. gonorrhoeae* but none of these have been particularly accurate and suitable for routine use.14–16 It is difficult to synchronize the growth of different *N. gonorrhoeae* strains and effects such as autolysis occur when the bacteria enter the stationary phase.17–19 Chemically defined Graver-Wade (GW) broth20 supports the growth of phylogenetically diverse auxotypes and clinical isolates, and might be a suitable medium for susceptibility testing.21,22

Unfortunately, MIC values based on doubling dilution series are left, interval, or right censored discrete data which makes error statistics challenging.23 The potency of drugs in pharmacology is frequently measured with dose-response curves (Hill models), as this allows the estimation of the effective concentration (EC) at a specified response level24. Furthermore, EC values on a continuous scale take the variability of the data into account by calculating confidence intervals (CIs). In the field of toxicology the lower confidence interval is defined as non-toxic concentration. This so called benchmark dose approach (BMD) has largely replaced methods that rely on dense dose spacing because of its statistical superiority and reduction of animal use.25–28 Furthermore, the shape of the dose response curve can provide additional valuable information on the compounds being tested.24 The Hill coefficient, can provide information about the pharmacodynamic properties of an antimicrobial and has been used in modelling studies of single and dual antimicrobial effects.21,22,29–31 However, the interpretation and significance of the Hill coefficient has been unclear in previous studies and laborious colony counting limited these studies to few strains.

The biological response to a compound can be measured using different readouts. Traditionally the MIC is defined as the concentration of an antimicrobial that inhibits visual growth but methods to quantify the number of bacterial cells more objectively are available. Measuring the optical density (at e.g. OD600 or OD450), resazurin (Alamar blue), 3-(4,5-dimethyethiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), luciferase (ATP levels) and lactate dehydrogenase are widespread methods where readouts correlate with the number of cells.32 Resazurin is a blue dye that is converted to pink fluorescent resorufin in the presence of metabolically active cells.33,34 Unlike optical density, a measure of growth inhibition, it reflects the viability of cells and is potentially suitable for time-kill assays. Resazurin has an excellent signal to noise ratio and has been used previously in screenings for toxicity testing,35 high throughput applications,36biofilm screening37 and MIC testing.33,38–40

The aim of the present study was to develop a resazurin-based broth microdilution assay for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *N. gonorrhoeae* that is rapid, objective, scalable, quantitative and inexpensive. Three datasets were generated in this study. The 2008 WHO *N. gonorrhoeae* reference strains (n=8)41,42 were studied to ensure the reproducibility of the assay and to compare multiple measurement endpoints between 0-15 hours. Training data consisting of 84 *N. gonorrhoeae* strains were used to develop a regression model for estimating the MIC from dose-response curves. Finally, a panel of 40 strains with blinded MICs was used for validation.

**Material and methods**

***Bacterial strains, culture and broth microdilution assay***

The variability and reproducibility of the assay was validated in 8 WHO reference strains41,42(three replicates). Additionally 84 gonococcal strains were used as training data to develop a regression model for estimating the MIC after six hours incubation time (one replicate). The assay was finally validated with 40 gonococcal strains with blinded MICs (one replicate). The blinded strains were selected to represent a wide variety of antibiograms. The strains were preserved in glycerol stocks at -80°C. All strains were subsequently cultured on Chocolate agar PolyViteX (Biomerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) at 37°C in a humid 5% CO2-enrichedatmosphere for 16-18 hours and then sub-cultured once for 16 hours. A McFarland standard of 0.5 was prepared for each strain and 1 mL of bacterial suspension further diluted to approximately 1×107 CFU/mL in 15 mL heated (37°C) GW broth.20 A volume of 90 µL of this suspension was added to 96-well round bottom microtiter plates (360 μL wells) with each well containing 10 µL of a previously prepared dilution series. Dilution series of the antimicrobials were prepared in GW medium. Positive control (GW medium containing 1% TritonX-100) and negative control (10 µL GW medium) were added to the first and last well, respectively. The plates were incubated for 6 hours at 37°C, humid 5% CO2-enrichedatmosphere.

***Resazurin readouts***

Resazurin powder (Sigma Aldrich, China) was diluted in PBS (pH 7.4) to a final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL. It was ensured that the pH of the highest antimicrobial concentration was neutral in all samples to avoid artefacts. After incubation of the broth microdilution plates, 50 µL of the dye was added to each well and mixed using an electronic multichannel dispenser. The plates were incubated for 75 minutes at 37°C. Fluorescence was then measured at 560 and 590 nm excitation in a plate reader (Varioskan Flash, Thermo Scientific).

***Etest MIC***

The Etest MICs (bioMérieux) were determined in accordance with the manufacturer´s instructions, on GCRAP agar plates (3.6% Difco GC Medium Base agar [BD, Diagnostics, Sparks, MD, USA] supplemented with 1% haemoglobin [BD, Diagnostics] and 1% IsoVitalex [BD, Diagnostics]).

***Dose-response modelling***

The antimicrobial effect on the different bacterial strains was quantified with dose-response curves. We first subtracted the background fluorescence resulting from dead bacteria in the positive control wells from the resazurin readout. We then fitted a sigmoidal dose-response curve to the fluorescence data of each antimicrobial-strain combination:43,44

(Equation 1),

where *f*(*x*) is the fluorescence and *x* is the natural logarithm of the antibiotic concentration. *u* and *l* describe the upper and lower asymptote, respectively. The EC50 is the antibiotic concentration at which the effect is half-maximal, and *H* denotes the slope of the sigmoidal function, i.e., the Hill coefficient. Next, the data were divided by *u* to normalize all dose response curves to 100% viability. Hill coefficient differences across antimicrobials were tested with pairwise *t*-tests. Hierarchical complete linkage clustering was used to compare antimicrobial similarity.45

Samples were considered to be above the limit of detection, and therefore categorized as resistant, if the antibiotic, at its highest concentration, reduced viability by less than 50%. This was the case for 6 samples in the training data (n=588) and 9 samples in the validation data (n=280). Excluding samples that were above or below limit of detection (including Etest MIC) resulted in 571 evaluable samples in the training data and 266 samples in the validation data. Reference strain data were not included to avoid bias from replicate testing of these samples. The relationship between EC50 and Etest was analysed for the training data by log-transforming both values and fitting a linear regression:

(Equation 2).

Slope and intercept of this regression were then used to predict the MIC from the EC50values of the blinded strains. 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each predicted MIC were calculated using 105 bootstrap samples taking into account the uncertainty from the sigmoidal and linear regression model. The analysis pipeline, descriptive statistics and raw data are available from GitHub (<https://github.com/sunnivas/ResazurinMIC>).

***Essential agreement with Etest***

Essential agreement was defined as the percentage of strains with predicted MICs that did not deviate more than ±1 doubling dilution from Etest MICs. Deviations from the Etest MICs were calculated as log2 differences from the predicted MIC.

***Categorical agreement with Etest***

The strains were categorized as S (susceptible), I (intermediate resistant), and R (resistant) to each antimicrobial in accordance with the EUCAST 2016 guidelines.46 As previously described,47 minor errors were defined as misclassifications of intermediate strains as susceptible or resistant. Major errors were susceptible strains misclassified as resistant. Very major errors were resistant strains that were misclassified as susceptible. The EC50 values are read on a continuous scale, therefore nearly identical values around a resistance breakpoint (e.g. 0.125 and 0.126) can result in categorical errors. Sensitivity and specificity of the assay were calculated as previously described,48 for the resistant strains (true positive samples), intermediate strains (true positive samples) and susceptible strains (true negative samples).

**Results**

***Dose-response modelling***

The 2008 WHO reference strains (n=8) were exposed to ceftriaxone, cefixime, azithromycin, spectinomycin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, and penicillin G for a time course from 0 to 15 hours (Figure S1). After six hours, the difference between dead and viable gonococcal cells was sufficiently pronounced to fit dose-response curves to the data. For this endpoint of six hours, the coefficient of variation was calculated for the EC50 of three independent experiments. The coefficient of variation (CV) ranged from 1.7% to 87%, the intra-assay CV was 29% (n=56) (Figure S2). Dose-response curves were gradually shifted towards higher concentrations, indicating increased potency of the antimicrobials in the intermediate resistant and resistant strains compared to susceptible strains (Figure 1). There was a clear separation of susceptible and resistant strains for ciprofloxacin and spectinomycin. For the β-lactam antimicrobials ceftriaxone, cefixime and penicillin G the Hill coefficients (slopes) were more heterogeneous than for the other samples. The mean (± SD) of this parameter gradually increased from ceftriaxone (1.6 ± 1.3) to cefixime (1.9 ± 1.5), tetracycline (2.1 ± 0.9), penicillin G (2.5 ± 1.7), azithromycin (2.6 ± 1.5), ciprofloxacin (2.7 ± 1.2), spectinomycin (2.9 ± 1.7). A pairwise *t*-test showed that the differences between the antimicrobials were significant (p-value < 0.005) when the distance between the means was larger than 0.5 (Figure S3A). Furthermore, hierarchical clustering showed a high similarity of the Hill coefficient for the β-lactam antimicrobials ceftriaxone, cefixime and penicillin G compared to the other antimicrobials (Figure S3B).

For the training data (84 strains), the pearson’s correlation between the Etest MICs and EC50 values for all antimicrobials was 0.93 (Figure 2A). Compared to the Etest values, the EC50 values were systematically lower with a median deviation of -1.63 doubling dilutions (Figure 2B). The regression parameter *α* () and *β* () of the linear log-log regression were used to predict the 837 MICs of training and validation data. The deviation of the predicted MIC from Etest followed a normal distribution with a median of -0.015, 95% of the deviations ranged between -4.45 and 9.22. Outliers can be attributed to the β-lactam antimicrobials penicillin G (overestimation in β-lactamase producing strains), cefixime and ceftriaxone (potentially biphasic or triphasic curves with large confidence intervals). One example of a biphasic curve was studied in detail (Figure S4).49 The 75% percent quartiles for the deviations were larger for azithromycin, cefixime and ceftriaxone compared to ciprofloxacin, penicillin G, spectinomycin and tetracycline (Figure 2C). The essential agreement between the Etest MICs and the predicted MICs was 53% for all antimicrobials, being lowest for cefixime (30%) and highest for penicillin G (61%).

***Categorical agreement***

Essential agreement was defined as the percentage of strains with predicted MICs that did not deviate more than ±1 doubling dilution from Etest MICs. Deviations from the Etest MICs were calculated as log2 differences from the predicted MIC (840 evaluable samples for training and validation data). Reference strain data were not included to avoid bias from replicate testing of these samples.

***Categorical agreement***

The Etest and predicted MICs (n=868) were classified as susceptible, intermediate resistant and resistant according to the EUCAST 2016 resistance breakpoints46 (Figure 3). The sensitivity of the assay was 97.1% (95% CI: 95.2-98.4). Minor errors resulting from misclassifications of intermediate resistant strains were found for 9% of the data. False positive misclassifications (S to R), i.e. major errors, occurred for tetracycline (0.2%), azithromycin (0.6%), cefixime (3.5%) and ceftriaxone (4.8%) for a total of 9% of the data. For penicillin G, spectinomycin and ciprofloxacin no major errors were identified. One very major error (R to S), occurred for ceftriaxone (Etest MIC 0.19 mg/L vs. 0.053 mg/L). A high number of predicted MIC values (20%) had 95% CIs spanning two categories. The overall specificity of the assay was 79.3% (95% CI: 74.8-83.2).

**Discussion**

The developed resazurin-based broth microdilution assay was able to discriminate between resistant and susceptible strains relatively reliably, is faster (approximately 7.5 hours) than currently available MIC methods for *N. gonorrhoeae* and had an excellent sensitivity of 97.1% (95% CI: 95.2-98.4). The gold standard MIC methods agar dilution and Etest are both based on subjective, visual readouts and are therefore limited to a relatively low throughput. Dose-response modelling allows the precise estimation of the EC50 of antimicrobials from a continuous scale and provides confidence intervals rather than having the precision limited by doubling dilutions. It is inherently difficult to apply resistance breakpoints that were designed for doubling dilution-based methods to dose-response curve based MICs. This was reflected by many categorical errors resulting from estimates that had CIs overlapping two SIR categories. The performance of the assay was excellent for ciprofloxacin, penicillin G and spectinomycin (no major errors) and acceptable for azithromycin (0.6% major errors) and tetracycline (0.2% major errors). For cefixime and ceftriaxone, many false positive results and consequently an overestimation of resistance was measured. The complex mechanism of action and evolution of resistance to these antimicrobials is not fully understood and involves several resistance determinants (*penA*, *penB*, *mtrR*, *ponA,* factorX).50 The correlation of EC50 and MIC has been previously shown to be largely influenced by different penicillin binding proteins in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.51 This might explain the strain dependent heterogeneity of Hill coefficients (Figure S3) and dose response curves that are biphasic (Figure S4).22,49 To address such complex effects with the simple four parameter Hill model employed in this study is inaccurate and therefore contributed to the poor specificity of only 79.3% (95% CI: 74.8-83.2). The deviation from Etest follows a normal distribution, outliers can be attributed to the β-lactam antimicrobials penicillin G (large overestimation in β-lactamase producing strains), cefixime and ceftriaxone (potentially biphasic or triphasic curves with large confidence intervals). The overall essential agreement was suboptimal, largely due to the examined β-lactam antimicrobials. An endpoint of six hours provided only a snapshot of the antimicrobial properties and examining much more time-points, starting inocula, and very large number of strains might provide valuable data for improvements. Furthermore, obtaining significantly more data, possibly by scaling the assay to a robotic platform, would enable the regression analysis to be performed for the different antimicrobials separately and also allow fitting a biphasic model.49

Despite these limitations, the developed rapid resazurin-based broth microdilution assay was highly objective (avoids visual subjective readout) and employs a standardized algorithm reducing operator bias, which can be especially valuable in multicentre studies. These properties, and the low price of resazurin, are especially valuable when screening large libraries of new compounds, antimicrobials or antimicrobial combinations. Frequently, the question that needs to be answered is the potency of antimicrobials relative to each other rather than absolute numbers. The β-lactam antimicrobials cefixime, ceftriaxone and penicillin G displayed significantly lower Hill coefficients than the other antimicrobials. Information about this parameter is useful for research questions beyond susceptibility testing, such as combination therapy and pharmacodynamic modelling.

In summary, the developed resazurin-based broth microdilution assay is a rapid, objective, high-throughput, quantitative and cost-effective new tool for studying *N. gonorrhoeae* in liquid culture. The Hill coefficient could be compared for a large number of strains highlighting differences between antimicrobials. The new assay opens up avenues for high-throughput synergy testing, evaluation of novel antimicrobials and surveillance of resistance.
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**Figure 1. Potency shift of antimicrobials across different strains of N. gonorrhoeae.** Dose response curves for all strains and antimicrobials are shown (except samples above limit of detection). Strains that were classified as susceptible according to EUCAST 2016 MIC breakpoints46 were coloured in green, intermediate resistant strains in blue and resistant strains in red. The gradual shift of the potencies (EC50) towards higher concentrations can be observed for all antimicrobials.

**Figure 2. Correlation and deviations between the Etest MICs and predicted MICs.** (A) Linear regression between EC50 and Etest MIC for the training data (84 strains with blinded MICs). The Pearson's correlation coefficient for the linear regression (blue line) was 0.93 and the confidence interval highlighted in grey. Slope and intercept for a perfect correlation was drawn as dashed black line for comparison. (B) The kernel density function of the EC50 values for the training data (n=269) is shown in red (median -1.68). The kernel density of the predicted MICs for training and validation data (n=840) is shown in purple (median -0.004). (C) Deviations of predicted MICs from Etest MIC per antimicrobial (n=840). The boxplots show the median and 25%-75% quartiles. The whiskers span the range from the bottom 5% to the highest 95% of the data. The essential agreement (EA) is written below the boxplots.

**Figure 3. Contingency table with categorical errors of model predicted MICs**. Etest MIC data were classified into the categories resistant (R), susceptible (S) and intermediate resistant (I) according to the EUCAST 2016 criteria.46 The cutoff values (mg/L) are shown as dashed black lines. Predicted MIC values (n=868) are shown as point estimates (black dots) with 95% confidence interval (colored dashes). For some estimates no confidence interval could be calculated (limit of detection), those were drawn as triangles. Correctly classified strains are drawn in green. Minor errors resulting from misclassifications of intermediate strains are drawn in blue. Major errors (S to R) were found for ceftriaxone (n=42), cefixime (n=30), azithromycin (n=5) and tetracycline (n=2). One very major error (R to S) was found for ceftriaxone (red). A high number of estimates (n=140) has confidence intervals spanning two categories.