

Indirect measurement of cosmic-ray proton spectrum using Earth's γ -ray data from *Fermi* Large Area Telescope

Patomporn Payoungkhamdee[†]

Supervised by

Asst. Prof. Warit Mitthumsiri[†] and Prof. David Ruffolo[†]

[†]Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University

patomporn.pay@gmail.com

Master thesis defend

14 June 2021

Overview

- 1 Objectives
- 2 Background
- 3 Flux extraction
 - Data set
 - Flux calculation
 - Exposure calculation
- 4 Analysis
 - Power-law spectrum
 - Proton-proton $\rightarrow \gamma$ model
 - Optimization
- 5 Results and Discussion
 - γ -ray flux
 - Optimized results
- 6 Summary

Objective

- To measure CR proton spectrum between 60 GV - 2 TV using Earth's γ -ray data from *Fermi*-LAT through the interaction model by Kachelriess and Ostapchenko [2012]
- To test if the *Fermi*-LAT data to confirm the spectral break at around 340 GV as observed by some experiments

What are CRs

- High energy particles in space
- **Feature** : CR rigidity spectrum can be described well by power law ($\text{Flux} \propto \text{Rigidity}^{-\text{index}}$)
- Changes of power-law indices may involve the superposition of different acceleration or propagation mechanisms




Figure: CR spectrum (figure from Taylor [2016])

Previous study

- In 2011, PAMELA claimed to discover a break in CR proton spectrum at around 300 GV. [Adriani et al., 2011]
- In 2014, *Fermi* LAT found some hint of this break though the results were inconclusive. [Ackermann et al., 2014]
- In 2015, the AMS-02 confirmed this break.





Figure: CR proton flux from Aguilar et al. [2015]

Earth's limb γ -ray production



Data selection

- P8R2_ULTRACLEANVETO_V6 data from 07/08/2008 to 17/10/2017 (~ 9 years)
- Photon energy range from 10 GeV up to 1 TeV
- $\theta_{\text{NADIR}} \in 68.4^\circ - 70^\circ$ (Thin-target γ -ray emission from the Earth's limb)
- $\theta_{\text{LAT}} < 70^\circ$


Flux calculation method

- 1 Analyze 50 bins in energy with equal logarithmic spacing between 10 GeV - 1 TeV
- 2 Create 2D histogram count maps from photon data for each energy bin
- 3 Create 2D histogram exposure maps (effective area \times livetime) from spacecraft data for each energy bin
- 4 Calculate the Earth's γ -ray flux using the count and exposure maps by

$$\mathbf{Flux}(E_i) = \frac{dN}{dE}(E_i) = \left(\sum_{\text{pixel}} \frac{\text{Count}_i}{\text{Exposure}_i} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta\Omega\Delta E}$$

where $\Delta\Omega$ is the solid angle size of the Earth's limb region, ΔE is the energy bin width, and i is the i^{th} energy bin.

Coordinate Transformations




where

- **Local zenith (zn):** x-axis points to LAT's zenith, z-axis to Earth's North
- **Equatorial (eq):** z-axis points along Earth's rotation axis, x-axis towards the vernal equinox
- **Detector plane bore sight (p):** z-axis points along LAT's boresight, x-axis along one solar panel

Figure: Three reference frames

Coordinate Transformation: zn-eq



Transformation matrix could be extracted from the relation

$$\hat{r}_{zn} \equiv T_{eq \rightarrow zn}(\delta_{zn}, \alpha_{zn}) \hat{r}_{eq}$$


Write a unit vector of orbiting spacecraft on the basis of equatorial coordinate

$$\hat{x}_{zn} = \cos \delta_{zn} \cos \alpha_{zn} \hat{x}_{eq} + \cos \delta_{zn} \sin \alpha_{zn} \hat{y}_{eq} + \sin \delta_{zn} \hat{z}_{eq}$$

$$\hat{z}_{zn} = -\sin \delta_{zn} \cos \alpha_{zn} \hat{x}_{eq} - \sin \delta_{zn} \sin \alpha_{zn} \hat{y}_{eq} + \cos \delta_{zn} \hat{z}_{eq}$$

$$\hat{y}_{zn} = \hat{z}_{zn} \times \hat{x}_{zn}.$$

Coordinate Transformation: p-eq



Transformation matrix is defined as

$$\hat{r}_p \equiv T_{eq \rightarrow p}(\delta_p^x, \alpha_p^x, \delta_p^z, \alpha_p^z) \hat{r}_{eq}$$

Then


$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_{zn}(\theta_{NADIR}, \phi_{NADIR}) &\equiv -\cos \theta_{NADIR} \hat{x}_{zn} \\ &+ \sin \theta_{NADIR} \cos \phi_{NADIR} \hat{z}_{zn} \\ &+ \sin \theta_{NADIR} \sin \phi_{NADIR} \hat{y}_{zn} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{x}_p = \cos \delta_p^x \cos \alpha_p^x \hat{x}_{eq} + \cos \delta_p^x \sin \alpha_p^x \hat{y}_{eq} + \sin \delta_{zn}^x \hat{z}_{eq}$$

$$\hat{z}_p = \cos \delta_p^z \cos \alpha_p^z \hat{x}_{eq} + \cos \delta_p^z \sin \alpha_p^z \hat{y}_{eq} + \sin \delta_{zn}^z \hat{z}_{eq}$$

$$\hat{y}_p = \hat{z}_p \times \hat{x}_p$$

Coordinate Transformation: Compact formula



$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_p(\theta_{NADIR}, \phi_{NADIR}) &= T_{eq \rightarrow p}(\delta_p^x, \alpha_p^x, \delta_p^z, \alpha_p^z) \\ &\times [T_{eq \rightarrow zn}(\delta_{zn}, \alpha_{zn})]^{-1} \hat{r}_{zn}(\theta_{NADIR}, \phi_{NADIR}) \end{aligned}$$

Exposure calculation: procedures

Given a spacecraft log file (FT2) where it contains a row-like of the telescope status. The calculation steps are

- Pick a row in FT2
- Compute transformation matrices
- Mapping each nadir cell to the plane of detector
- Computes exposure time \times effective area

Then iterate this process for all records from a selected timeframe.

Exposure calculation: parallel computing




Figure: Demonstrations of Master-Slave technique. WIP stands for working in progress.

Power-law models (in rigidity)

We use 2 models of CR proton to fit the Earth's γ -ray data:

Single power law (SPL)

$$\frac{dN}{dR} = R_0 R^{-\Gamma}$$

Broken power law (BPL)

$$\frac{dN}{dR} = \begin{cases} R_0 R^{-\Gamma_1} & : E < E_{\text{Break}} \\ R_0 [R(E_{\text{Break}})]^{\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1} R^{-\Gamma_2} & : E \geq E_{\text{Break}} \end{cases}$$

Rigidity is defined by $R \equiv P/q$ where P is the momentum and q is the absolute value of the charge (in unit of proton charge) of a particle

Kachelrieβ and Ostapchenko model

This model can compute the γ -ray spectrum from a broad and smooth power-law spectrum of CR protons

$$\frac{dN_\gamma}{dE_\gamma} \propto \sum_{E'_i} \left[\frac{E'_i}{E_\gamma} \Delta(\ln E'_i) \right] \left[f_{pp} \frac{dN_p}{dE'_i} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sigma_{\text{HeN}}}{\sigma_{pN}} \left(\frac{dN_p}{dR} \right)^{-1} \frac{dN_{\text{He}}}{dR} \frac{dR_{\text{He}}}{dR_p} \right\} \right]$$

- Red color terms are for **incident proton spectrum**
- Blue color term is the He spectrum from AMS-02 (2015)
- $f_{pp} \equiv E_\gamma (d\sigma^{pp \rightarrow \gamma} / dE_\gamma)$ is the interaction cross section table in the K&O model [Kachelriess and Ostapchenko, 2012]
- The cross-section ratio $\sigma_{\text{HeN}} / \sigma_{pN}$ at high energy ($> 10\text{GeV}$) is roughly constant (≈ 1.6) [Atwater and Freier, 1986]

Poisson likelihood function

We determine the incident proton spectrum that best fits the γ -ray measurement using the maximum likelihood (or minimum log likelihood) method

$$\log \mathcal{L} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N -\log P_{\text{pois}}(n_{i,\text{model}}, n_{i,\text{measurement}})$$

where P_{pois} is the Poisson probability of measuring $n_{i,\text{measurement}}$ counts when the model predicts $n_{i,\text{model}}$ counts for N energy bins

Fitting algorithm: Particle Swarm Optimization

- Randomly initiate many particles in a given range of the parameter space
- Check global and local best particle from a defined profit function
- The rest of them move toward the global and local particles
- Iterate the process until most of them yield nearly the same profit






Figure: Example of particles in parameter space of Ackley potential

Particle Swarm Optimization

For every iteration k , particle i move with velocity v_k^i where

$$v_{k+1}^i = \omega v_k^i + c^b r_k^b [b_k^i - x_k^i] + c^B r_k^B [B_k^i - x_k^i]$$

Update the new state of particle i with

$$x_{k+1}^i = x_k^i + v_{k+1}^i$$


where

- x_k^i represent variable that particle i hold
- b and B are best local and global parameter sets along the optimization process
- Set $\omega = 0.2$, $c^b = 0.2$ and $c^B = 0.3$


The iteration process would stop when standard deviation of fitness over any particle less than 0.1

Flux maps


Flux map 10.45 GeV




Flux map 41.61 GeV




Flux map 165.66 GeV




Flux map 953.31 GeV



Flux maps



Earth's limb γ -ray spectrum from measurement



Error bars show statistical uncertainties and red bands show total (statistical + systematic) uncertainties. Systematic error is 5% from 10 GeV to 100 GeV and $5\% + 10\% \times (\log_{10}(E/\text{MeV}) - 5)$ above 100 GeV.


Results

Best fits	Γ_1	Γ_2	E_{Break} (GeV)
SPL	2.70 ± 0.05	-	-
BPL	2.86 ± 0.09	2.63 ± 0.04	333.1 ± 5.5


Table: Optimization results with a statistical error.

From the hypothesis testing of BPL versus SPL, it yields a confidence level at 1.38σ (92%).

Earth's limb γ -ray spectra from best-fit models



Proton spectrum



Summary

- Our best BPL fit indicates the spectral hardening of CR proton at $\sim 333 \pm 6$ GV
- This breaking point is consistent with the direct measurement by AMS-02 at $\sim 336^{+66}_{-28}$ GV and the previous indirect measurement by Fermi LAT at $\sim 302 \pm 62$ GV
- The BPL model fits the measured Earth's γ -ray spectrum better than the SPL model does at the significance level of 1.37σ (compared to 1.0σ in previous LAT analysis)
- Though with more than 2x increase in the amount of data, the spectral break cannot be concluded exclusively by this work
- This indirect detection method may reach its limitation due to the systematic uncertainties

References

- M. Ackermann et al. Inferred Cosmic-Ray Spectrum from Fermi Large Area Telescope γ -Ray Observations of Earth's Limb. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(15):151103, Apr. 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.151103.
- O. Adriani, G. Barbarino, G. Bazilevskaya, R. Bellotti, M. Boezio, E. Bogomolov, L. Bonechi, M. Bongi, V. Bonvicini, S. Borisov, et al. Pamela measurements of cosmic-ray proton and helium spectra. *Science*, 332(6025):69–72, 2011.
- M. Aguilar, D. Aisa, B. Alpat, A. Alvino, G. Ambrosi, K. Andeen, L. Arruda, N. Attig, P. Azzarello, A. Bachlechner, and et al. Precision Measurement of the Proton Flux in Primary Cosmic Rays from Rigidity 1 GV to 1.8 TV with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station. *Physical Review Letters*, 114(17):171103, May 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.171103.
- T. W. Atwater and P. S. Freier. Meson multiplicity versus energy in relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 56:1350–1353, Mar 1986. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1350.
- M. Kachelriess and S. Ostapchenko. Deriving the cosmic ray spectrum from gamma-ray observations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:043004, Aug 2012. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.043004. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.043004>.
- A. M. Taylor. Cosmic rays beyond the knees. *Nature*, 531(7592):43–44, Mar. 2016. doi: 10.1038/531043a. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/531043a>.

©

- Asst. Prof. Warit Mitthumsiri, Prof. David Ruffolo
Mahidol University, Thailand
- Dr. Francesca Spada
University of Pisa, Italy
- People in the Space Physics Laboratory at Mahidol University
and the *Fermi*-LAT research group at the University of Pisa
- Development and Promotion of Science and Technology
Talents Project (DPST)
- Partially supported by the Thailand Science Research and
Innovation (RTA6280002)

Backup slide

Power law in energy

Converting the power law in rigidity to energy, we obtain **Single power law (SPL)**


$$\frac{dN}{dE} = N_0 [E_k (E_k + 2m_p)]^{-\gamma/2} \left(\frac{E_k + m_p}{\sqrt{E_k (E_k + 2m_p)}} \right)$$

Broken power law (BPL)


$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \begin{cases} N_0 [E_k (E_k + 2m_p)]^{-\gamma_1/2} \left(\frac{E_k + m_p}{\sqrt{E_k (E_k + 2m_p)}} \right) & : E < E_{\text{Break}} \\ N_0 [E_b (E_b + 2m_p)]^{(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)/2} [E_k (E_k + 2m_p)]^{-\gamma_2/2} \left(\frac{E_k + m_p}{\sqrt{E_k (E_k + 2m_p)}} \right) & : E \geq E_{\text{Break}} \end{cases}$$

Count map


Count map 10.45 GeV




Count map 41.61 GeV




Count map 165.66 GeV




Count map 953.31 GeV




Count maps



Exposure maps




Exposure maps




MC Simulation (Sys. Error): SPL with 200 samplings

SPL: Γ_1 (Systematic Error, N=200)




MC Simulation (Tot. Error): SPL with 200 samplings

SPL: Γ_1 (Total Error, N=200)




MC Simulation (Sys. Error): BPL with 200 samplings


BPL: Γ_1 (Systematic Error, N=200)



BPL: Γ_2 (Systematic Error, N=200)




BPL: E_{break} (Systematic Error, N=200)




MC Simulation (Tot. Error): BPL with 200 samplings

BPL: Γ_1 (Total Error, N=200)



BPL: Γ_2 (Total Error, N=200)



BPL: E_{break} (Total Error, N=200)

