

## Random variable generation

In the resolution of the following problems **do not** use any of the **R** random variable generation built-in functions, nor any **R** function referring to the densities of random variables.

Fix your **R** random seed to 123 in all simulations.

1. Some random variables can be generated from the exponential distribution (**exponential-based** method), which we know how to obtain from the  $U(0, 1)$  distribution. Such is the case of the random variable  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$ :

$$\text{If } Y_i \underset{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1) \text{ then } X = \theta \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta), \alpha = 1, 2, \dots$$

- (a) Implement the **exponential-based** method in **R** for generating a sample from  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$ , which has probability density function (p.d.f.)

$$f(x) = \frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\theta x} x^{\alpha-1}, \quad \alpha, \theta > 0, \quad x \geq 0,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function.

Call your routine `sim.gam()` and let it receive as input a generic sample size  $m$  and the Gamma distribution parameters  $\alpha$  (note that here  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\theta$ . Provide both algorithm and **R** code.

- (b) Use routine `sim.gam()` to generate a sample of size  $m = 10000$  from  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(2, 1)$ .
  - (c) Plot the histogram referring to (b) with true p.d.f. superimposed.
2. Let  $X \sim \text{Weibull}(\alpha, \beta)$ , which has probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left( \frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta-1} e^{-(x/\alpha)^\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0 \quad x \geq 0.$$

- (a) Derive the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.)  $F$  of  $X$  and compute  $F^{-1}$ .
- (b) Describe and implement the **inverse-transform** method in **R** for generating a sample from  $f$ . Call your routine `sim.wei()` and let it receive as input a generic sample size  $m$  and the Weibull  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  parameters. Provide both algorithm and **R** code.
- (c) Use routine `sim.wei()` to generate a sample of size  $m = 10000$  of  $X \sim \text{Weibull}(3, 2)$ .
- (d) Plot the histogram referring to (c) with true p.d.f. superimposed.

## Monte Carlo integration

In the resolution of the problems in this section you may already use the R random variable generation built-in functions as well as any R function referring to the densities of random variables.

Fix your R random seed to 456 in all simulations.

3. Let  $\mathcal{I} = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-x}}{1+x^2} dx$ .

- (a) Use the R function `integrate()` to compute the value of  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- (b) Describe and implement in R the Monte Carlo method of size  $m = 10000$  for estimating  $\mathcal{I}$ . Report an estimate of the variance of the Monte Carlo estimator  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{MC}$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- (c) Describe and implement in R the Monte Carlo method of size  $m = 10000$  based on **importance sampling** for estimating  $\mathcal{I}$ . Take the importance function  $\phi$  as the *tilted density* and explain your criteria for the choice of the tilted density  $t$  parameter.

Report an estimate of the variance of the Monte Carlo estimator  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{IS}$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

- (d) What's the percentage of variance reduction that is achieved when using  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{IS}$  instead of  $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{MC}$ ?

## Hypothesis testing

In the resolution of the problems in this section you may already use the R random variable generation built-in functions as well as any R function referring to the densities of random variables.

Fix your R random seed to 789 in all simulations.

4. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the population  $X \sim N(-2, 1)$ .

- (a) Validate via a Monte Carlo simulation study that  $\chi = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ . Consider in your study the number of simulations  $m = 1000$  and a sample size of  $n = 15$ . For validation purposes provide:
  - the histogram of the simulated  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{1000}$  with the theoretical density superimposed;
  - the plot of the empirical cumulative distribution (ecdf) of  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{1000}$  with the theoretical cumulative probability function (pdf) superimposed (use the R built-in `ecdf()` function to create the sample ecdf);
  - perform a Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sided test to test your hypothesis (use the R built-in `ks.test()` function);
  - Compute the theoretical quantiles 0.90, 0.95, 0.975 with the empirical quantiles (use the R built-in `qchisq()` and `quantile()` functions, respectively).
- (b) Assume one wants to test the hypothesis

$$H_0 : \sigma^2 \geq 1 \qquad H_1 : \sigma^2 < 1$$

at the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Compute the empirical p-values for this test using the previous Monte Carlo simulation. Compute the empirical significance level  $\hat{\alpha}$  and perform the binomial test to assess if  $\hat{\alpha}$  departs significantly from  $\alpha$ .

- (c) For the hypothesis test above and the  $m$  simulations, construct a power plot for the alternative values  $\sigma^2 = 0.05, 0.1, \dots, 0.9, 0.95$ . In face of these results, how far from  $H_0$  does one need to be so that the power of the test gets higher than 75%?