

## Notation

We sometimes write  $A \in \aleph_0$  to mean “ $A$  is countable”. and  $A \in \aleph_1$  to mean “ $A$  is uncountable”.

$\chi \sim N(0, 1)$  means  $\Xi$  has a normal distribution with mean 0 and std 1.

### 1.1.1

We show that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space, where  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A \in \aleph_0 \text{ or } A^c \in \aleph_0\}$  and  $P(A) = 0$  if  $A \in \aleph_0$  and 1 if  $A^c \in \aleph_0$ . We must first show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $A \in \aleph_0$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $(A^c)^c = A \in \aleph_0$ . If  $A^c \in \aleph_0$ , then  $(A^c)^c = A \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $A^c \in \aleph_0$ .
- Let  $(A_i) \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a countable sequence of sets. Then either  $A = \bigcup A_i$  is countable or not. If  $A$  is countable, then  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $A$  is uncountable, then at least one  $A_k$  must be uncountable. But  $A_k \in \mathcal{F}$ , so  $A_k^c \in \aleph_0$ . But then  $A^c = \bigcap A_i^c \subset A_k^c \in \aleph_0$ . Hence  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

It remains to check that  $P$  is a probability measure.

- Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $P(A) = 0$  or 1, so  $P(A) \geq P(\emptyset) = 0$  since  $\emptyset \in \aleph_0$ .
- $P(\mathbb{R}) = 1$  since  $\mathbb{R}^c = \emptyset \in \aleph_0$ .
- Let  $(A_i) \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a countable sequence of disjoint sets. We must show  $P(\bigcup A_i) = \sum_i P(A_i)$ . Observe that if each  $A_i$  is countable, then  $\bigcup A_i$  is countable and so both sides of the equality are zero. We claim that in fact at most one  $A_i$  can be uncountable. In that case,  $\bigcup A_i$  is uncountable and  $\sum_i P(A_i) = 1$ , so the equality holds. Suppose  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are two uncountable disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{R} = \emptyset^c = (A_i \cap A_j)^c = A_i^c \cup A_j^c.$$

This is a contradiction, since  $A_i^c \cup A_j^c$  is countable, but  $\mathbb{R}$  is not.

### 1.1.2 (TBD)

Let  $\mathcal{S}_d$  be the collection of sets of the form

$$(a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_d, b_d] \subset \mathbb{R}^d, -\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty$$

and in addition the empty set. We claim that  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_d) = \mathcal{R}^d$ , the Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

### 1.1.3

We show that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}^d$  of Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is countably generated. It suffices to provide a countable collection of sets in  $\mathcal{R}^d$  that generate any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $Q$  be the following countable collection:

$$Q = \{B(x, 1/n) : x \in \mathbb{Q}^d, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where  $B(x, 1/n) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| < 1/n\}$ . Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be any open set. Let  $Q' = Q \cap 2^U$  (i.e. the subcollection of  $Q$  consisting of subsets of  $U$ ). We claim that

$$U = \bigcup_{V \in Q'} V$$

Clearly  $\bigcup_{V \in Q'} V \subset U$ . For the other inclusion, let  $x \in U$ . Then, since  $U$  is open, there exists a number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(x, 1/N) \subset U$ . By the density of  $\mathbb{Q}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a point  $p \in \mathbb{Q}^d$  such that  $d(x, p) < \frac{1}{2N}$ . But then  $x \in B(p, \frac{1}{2N})$  and this ball is a member of  $Q'$  since if  $z \in U^c$  is arbitrary then

$$\frac{1}{N} < d(x, z) \leq d(x, p) + d(p, z) < \frac{1}{2N} + d(p, z)$$

and hence  $d(p, z) > \frac{1}{2N}$ , implying  $d(p, U^c) = \inf_{z \in U^c} d(p, z) > \frac{1}{2N}$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcup_{V \in Q'} V$ .

#### 1.1.4 (TBD)

- (i) Suppose  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$  are  $\sigma$ -algebras. We show  $F := \bigcup F_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $A \in F$ . Then  $A \in F_k$  for some  $k$ . Hence  $A^c \in F_k \subset F$ , since  $F_k$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. If also  $B \in F$  then  $B \in F_j$  for some  $j$ . and we have  $A \in F_N$  for all  $N \geq k$  and  $B \in F_M$  for all  $M \geq j$ . In particular  $A \in F_s$  and  $B \in F_s$ , where  $s = \max\{j, k\}$  so  $A \cup B \in F_s$  since  $F_s$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Hence  $A \cup B \in F$ , and we are done.

(ii)

#### 1.1.5 (TBD)

#### 1.2.1 (NPR)

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are random variables on  $(\Omega, F, P)$  and let  $A \in F$ . We show that if  $Z(\omega) := X(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in A$  and  $Z(\omega) := Y(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in A^c$ , then  $Z$  is a random variable. Clearly  $Z$  maps  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . It remains to show that  $Z$  is  $F$ -measurable. Let  $B$  be a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{-1}(B) &= \{\omega \in \Omega : Z(\omega) \in B\} \\ &= \{\omega \in A : Z(\omega) \in B\} \cup \{\omega \in A^c : Z(\omega) \in B\} \\ &= \{\omega \in A : X(\omega) \in B\} \cup \{\omega \in A^c : Y(\omega) \in B\} \\ &\subset \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \cup \{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \in B\} \\ &= X^{-1}(B) \cup Y^{-1}(B) \\ &\in F, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

#### 1.2.2 (NPR)

Suppose  $\chi \sim N(0, 1)$ . Using Theorem 1.2.6, we have the estimate:

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4^3}\right) e^{-\frac{4^2}{2}} \leq \int_4^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \leq \frac{1}{4} e^{-\frac{4^2}{2}}.$$

Hence:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4^3}\right) e^{-\frac{4^2}{2}} \leq P(\chi \geq 4) \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{4^2}{2}}.$$

i.e.

$$3.13664 \cdot 10^{-5} \leq P(\chi \geq 4) \leq 3.34575 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

Note that the true value is  $P(\chi \geq 4) \approx 3.16712 \cdot 10^{-5}$ .

### 1.2.3

We show that a distribution function has only countably many discontinuities. Suppose  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  is the set of discontinuities of a distribution function  $F$ . Observe that, for each  $a \in J$ , we have  $F(a) - F(a-) = F(a) - \lim_{y \uparrow a} F(y) > 0$  since  $F$  is discontinuous at  $a$  and nondecreasing. Hence the open intervals  $(F(a-), F(a)) \subset \mathbb{R}$  have nonzero measure. The intervals are also disjoint, since  $F$  is nondecreasing. But then,

$$\{(F(a-), F(a)) : a \in J\}$$

is a collection of nonempty disjoint intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We may (by the Axiom of Choice) define a function  $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  via  $\phi(a) = q_a$  where  $q_a$  is an arbitrary rational number in the interval  $(F(a-), F(a))$ . This function is injective because the intervals are disjoint. Hence the cardinality of  $J$  must be at most the cardinality of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular  $J$  cannot be uncountable.

### 1.2.4 (NPR)

We show that if  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$  is continuous, then  $Y := F(X)$  has a uniform distribution on  $(0, 1)$ . Since  $F$  is continuous, for each  $y \in (0, 1)$  there exists by IVT an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(x) = y$ . Define  $G : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  via  $G(y) = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) = y\}$ . Observe that:

- (a)  $G$  is strictly increasing,
- (b)  $G(F(x)) \geq x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (c)  $F(G(y)) = y$  for all  $y \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $y \in (0, 1)$  and consider the sets:

$$A = \{\omega \in \Omega : G(F(X(\omega))) \leq G(y)\}$$

$$B = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \leq G(y)\}.$$

We claim  $A = B$  as follows. Let  $\omega \in A$ . Then  $X(\omega) \leq G(F(X(\omega))) \leq G(y)$  by (b). Hence  $\omega \in B$ . On the other hand, if  $\omega \in B$ , then  $G(F(X(\omega))) \leq G(F(G(y)))$  since both  $F$  and  $G$  are nondecreasing. But  $G(F(G(y))) = G(y)$  by (c). Hence  $\omega \in A$ . Therefore

$$(*) \quad P(G(F(X)) \leq G(y)) = P(X \leq G(y)).$$

Hence, we have for any  $y \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &= P(F(X) \leq y) \\ &= P(G(F(X)) \leq G(y)) \quad \text{by (a)} \\ &= P(X \leq G(y)) \quad \text{by (*)} \\ &= F(G(y)) \\ &= y \quad \text{by (c)} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

### 1.2.5 (TBD)

do I really want to latex this

**1.2.6 (TBD)****1.2.7 (TBD)****1.3.1 (TBD)****1.3.2 (TBD)****1.3.3**

We show that if  $X_n \rightarrow X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  almost surely and  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $f(X_n) \rightarrow f(X)$  almost surely. Assume that Recall that  $X_n \rightarrow X$  a.s means  $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ , where

$$\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) \text{ exists}\}.$$

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(X_n(\omega)) \text{ exists}\}.$$

If  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ , then the limit  $X(\omega) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega)$  exists and so, by continuity, the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(X_n(\omega)) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega)) = f(X(\omega))$$

exists. Hence  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1$ . But then  $1 \geq P(\Omega_1) \geq P(\Omega_0) = 1$ , hence  $f(X_n) \rightarrow f(X)$  a.s.

1.3.4 (TBD)

1.3.5 (TBD)

1.3.6 (TBD)

1.3.7 (TBD)

1.3.8 (TBD)

1.3.9 (TBD)

1.4.1 (TBD)

1.4.2 (TBD)

1.4.3 (TBD)

1.4.4 (TBD)

1.5.1 (TBD)

1.5.2 (TBD)

1.5.3 (TBD)

1.5.4 (TBD)

1.5.5 (TBD)

1.5.6 (TBD)

1.5.7 (TBD)

1.5.8 (TBD)

1.5.9 (TBD)

1.5.10 (TBD)