## Misc. Durrett Problems

## Jacobian

## October 2023

**1.3.2.** (NPR) We will prove that when  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are random variables, then it is also true that  $X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n$  is a random variable. To do so, it is enough to verify that  $X_1 + X_2$  is a random variable, and the general case will follow by induction.

By **Theorem 1.3.1**, it is enough to show that  $(X_1 + X_2)^{-1}((-\infty, a)) \in \mathcal{F}$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , since we have seen that these sets generate the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ .

We claim

$$(X_1 + X_2)^{-1}((-\infty, a)) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{O}} \left[ X_2^{-1}((-\infty, p)) \cap X_1^{-1}((-\infty, a - p)) \right]. \tag{1}$$

Indeed, one direction is immediate. If  $X_2(\omega) < p$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $X_1(\omega) < a - p$ , then  $X_1 + X_2 < a$ .

Conversely, if  $X_1(\omega) + X_2(\omega) < a$ , then by the density of the rational numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we may pick  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  between  $X_1(\omega) + X_2(\omega)$  and a. Since a - q > 0 by construction, we may again use the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  to pick  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $X_2(\omega) . Rearranging the right-side inequality yields <math>p + q - a < X_2(\omega)$ . Hence,

$$X_1(\omega) < q - X_2(\omega) < q - (p + q - a) = a - p,$$

as desired. Recall that  $X_2(\omega) < p$  by construction. Hence, the sets are equal, and the right-hand side of (1) is a countable union of intersections of sets that are in  $\mathcal{F}$ , as  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are assumed to be random variables. Hence,  $(X_1 + X_2)^{-1}((-\infty, a)) \in \mathcal{F}$  by the axioms of a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**1.3.4** (i) Show that a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable map from  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{R}^d)$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{R})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Recall that  $\mathcal{R} = \sigma(\mathcal{T})$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  represents the topology of open sets on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We have seen that in order to show f is measurable, it suffices to prove that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is measurable for any  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Of course, since f is continuous,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open by definition. Hence,  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , meaning that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{R}^d$ . Thus, since  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{R}^d$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , it follows from **Theorem 1.3.1** that  $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{R}^d$  for any  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{T})$ . That is, f is measurable.  $\square$ 

(ii) Show that  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field that makes all the continuous functions measurable.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any  $\sigma$ -algebra such that any continuous function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable as a function from  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{A})$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{R})$ . The goal is to show that  $\mathcal{R}^d \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . One way to prove this is to show that any closed set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is contained in this  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Indeed, since  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is also generated by the closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it is hence the *smallest*  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the closed sets. This will prove that  $\mathcal{R}^d \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

To prove this goal, we let V be an arbitrary closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We may define a function  $\delta_V : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\delta_V(x) := d(x, V) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid y \in V\}$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\delta_V$  is a continuous function; and since V is closed, it will also have the convenient property that  $\delta_V(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in V$ . Thus, we see that  $\delta_V^{-1}(\{0\}) = V$ .

Now, we have assumed that every continuous function must also be measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $V = \delta_V^{-1}(\{0\}) \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $\{0\} \in \mathcal{R}$ . This completes the proof, as we have shown that any closed set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  must also be contained in the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .