

# Computational Finance

## FIN-472

### Homework 9

November 17, 2017

**Exercise 1:** Consider the following PDE (steady Black & Scholes eq. in log-price on bounded domain).

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\partial_{xx}V(x) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\partial_xV(x) + rV(x) = f(x), & x \in (x_{min}, x_{max}) \\ V(x_{min}) = a, V(x_{max}) = b. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- a) Compute  $f(x), a, b$  such that (1) is solved by  $V(x) = 3x^2 + 1$ .
- b) Write a finite differences solver for (1), starting from the draft file `draft_bs_logform_steady.m`.
- c) Let  $\sigma = 1, r = 1, x_{min} = 0, x_{max} = 4$ . Use the finite differences solver to compute a numerical solution  $u_h$  for problem (1), and verify that the result is correct by comparing graphically the exact solution and the approximated one (use  $Nx = 251$  intervals). Moreover, verify that the finite differences error  $e = \max_i |V(x_i) - V_h(x_i)|$  is 0 (up to machine precision).

**Exercise 2:** Consider the following PDE (time-dependent Black & Scholes in log-prices on bounded domain).

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V(x, t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\partial_{xx}V(x, t) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\partial_xV(x, t) + rV(x, t) = f(x, t), & x \in (x_{min}, x_{max}), t \in (0, T] \\ V(x_{min}) = a(t), \quad V(x_{max}) = b(t) \\ V(x, 0) = G(x) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- a) Compute  $f(x, t), G(x), a(t), b(t)$  such that (2) is solved by  $V(x, t) = 4t(3x^2 + 1)$ .
- b) Write the Forward Euler finite differences solver for (2), starting from the draft file `draft_bs_logform_forward_euler.m`.
- c) Let  $\sigma = 1, r = 1, x_{min} = 0, x_{max} = 1, T = 1$ . Use the finite differences solver to compute a numerical solution  $u_h$  for problem (2), and verify that the result is correct by comparing graphically the real solution and the approximated one at final time (use  $Nx = 21$  intervals in space and  $N_t = 512$  intervals in time). Moreover, verify that the finite differences error  $e = \max_i |V(x_i) - V_h(x_i)|$  is 0 (up to machine precision).

- d) Repeat the previous point with  $N_t = 256$ . What happens? Explain the results obtained.

**Exercise 3:** Consider again the PDE of the previous exercise (2).

- a) Write a  $\theta$ -method time-stepping finite differences solver for (2), starting from the draft file `draft_bs_logform_timestepping.m`.
- b) Let  $f(x, t), a(t), b(t), G(x), \sigma, r, x_{min}, x_{max}, T$  as in the previous exercise. Use the  $\theta$ -method finite differences solver with  $\theta = 1$  and  $\theta = 0.5$  to compute a numerical solution  $u_h$  for problem (2), and verify that the result is correct by comparing graphically the real solution and the approximated one (use  $Nx = 21$  intervals in space and  $N_t = 100$  time-intervals). Moreover, verify that the finite differences error  $e = \max_i |V(x_i) - V_h(x_i)|$  is 0 up to machine precision.

**Exercise 4:** Consider again equation (2). Set  $S_{min} = 0.6$  and  $S_{max} = 1.65$ ,  $x_{min} = \log(S_{min})$ ,  $x_{max} = \log(S_{max})$ ,  $f(x, t) = 0$ ,  $a(t) = Ke^{-rt} - S_{min}$ ,  $b(t) = 0$ ,  $\sigma = 0.21$ ,  $r = 0.015$ ,  $T = 1$ , and  $G(x) = \max\{K - e^x, 0\}$ , in order to solve the Black & Scholes model in log-prices for an European Put option with strike price  $K = 1$ . Use the Crank-Nicholson time-stepping method with 500 intervals in time and 925 intervals in space ( $N_t = 500$ ,  $Nx = 925$ ).

- a) Plot the final value of the option versus the price of the underlying asset (*not* the log-price), and compare it with the Matlab built-in command `blsprice` (contained in the Matlab financial toolbox). Are the two solutions comparable?
- b) Compute the finite differences approximation of the value of the option for  $S = 0.9$ , using the Matlab command `interp1` with 'linear' option.
- c) Given any tolerance  $tol$ , use the result stated in Proposition 4 of the class slides to obtain  $S_{min}$  and  $S_{max}$  such that the truncation error committed when solving the Black & Scholes model in  $[S_{min}, S_{max}]$  instead of  $(0, \infty)$  is smaller than  $tol$ . *Hint: derive  $S_{min}, S_{max}$  s.t.*

$$\frac{tol}{K} = \phi(\alpha_1(S_{min})), \quad \frac{tol}{K} = \phi(\alpha_2(S_{max}))$$

*and implement it in Matlab (you will need the quantiles of the standard normal distribution, which can be computed by the Matlab command `norminv`, contained in the Statistics Matlab toolbox).*

- d) Consider a decreasing sequence of tolerances,  $tol = [10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}]$ . For each tolerance, compute the associated interval, solve numerically the equation, compute the error  $e = \max_i |V(x_i, T) - V_h(x_i, T)|$  and verify that such error is smaller than the required tolerance (use a log-log plot to this end). Note that for a fair comparison, the spatial discretization should be kept constant as  $S_{max}$  increases (i.e. same  $Nx$  and same  $N_t$ ).