

# Scan Report

March 22, 2025

## Summary

This document reports on the results of an automatic security scan. All dates are displayed using the timezone “Coordinated Universal Time”, which is abbreviated “UTC”. The task was “Immediate scan of IP 10.0.0.112”. The scan started at Fri Mar 21 21:05:51 2025 UTC and ended at Fri Mar 21 21:16:10 2025 UTC. The report first summarises the results found. Then, for each host, the report describes every issue found. Please consider the advice given in each description, in order to rectify the issue.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Result Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Host Authentications . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Results per Host</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	10.0.0.112 . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Medium 21/tcp . . . . .	2
2.1.2	Medium 25/tcp . . . . .	3
2.1.3	Low 22/tcp . . . . .	4
2.1.4	Low general/icmp . . . . .	5
2.1.5	Low general/tcp . . . . .	6

## 1 Result Overview

Host	High	Medium	Low	Log	False Positive
<a href="#">10.0.0.112</a>	0	2	3	0	0
Total: 1	0	2	3	0	0

Vendor security updates are not trusted.

Overrides are off. Even when a result has an override, this report uses the actual threat of the result.

Information on overrides is included in the report.

Notes are included in the report.

This report might not show details of all issues that were found.

Issues with the threat level “Log” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “Debug” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “False Positive” are not shown.

Only results with a minimum QoD of 70 are shown.

This report contains all 5 results selected by the filtering described above. Before filtering there were 38 results.

### 1.1 Host Authentications

Host	Protocol	Result	Port/User
10.0.0.112	SMB	Success	Protocol SMB, Port 445, User

## 2 Results per Host

### 2.1 10.0.0.112

Host scan start Fri Mar 21 21:07:12 2025 UTC

Host scan end Fri Mar 21 21:16:05 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">21/tcp</a>	Medium
<a href="#">25/tcp</a>	Medium
<a href="#">22/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low

#### 2.1.1 Medium 21/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 4.8) NVT: FTP Unencrypted Cleartext Login
<b>Summary</b> The remote host is running a FTP service that allows cleartext logins over unencrypted connections.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 70%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The remote FTP service accepts logins without a previous sent 'AUTH TLS' command ↔. Response(s): Non-anonymous sessions: 331 Please specify the password. Anonymous sessions: 331 Please specify the password.
<b>Impact</b> An attacker can uncover login names and passwords by sniffing traffic to the FTP service.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Enable FTPS or enforce the connection via the 'AUTH TLS' command. Please see the manual of the FTP service for more information.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Tries to login to a non FTPS enabled FTP service without sending a 'AUTH TLS' command first and checks if the service is accepting the login without enforcing the use of the 'AUTH TLS' command. Details: FTP Unencrypted Cleartext Login OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108528 Version used: 2023-12-20T05:05:58Z

[\[ return to 10.0.0.112 \]](#)

### 2.1.2 Medium 25/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0) NVT: Check if Mailserver answer to VRFY and EXPN requests
<b>Summary</b> The Mailserver on this host answers to VRFY and/or EXPN requests.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 99%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> 'VRFY root' produces the following answer: 252 2.0.0 root ... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

**Solution:****Solution type:** Workaround

Disable VRFY and/or EXPN on your Mailserver.

For postfix add 'disable\_vrfy\_command=yes' in 'main.cf'.

For Sendmail add the option 'O PrivacyOptions=goaway'.

It is suggested that, if you really want to publish this type of information, you use a mechanism that legitimate users actually know about, such as Finger or HTTP.

**Vulnerability Insight**

VRFY and EXPN ask the server for information about an address. They are inherently unusable through firewalls, gateways, mail exchangers for part-time hosts, etc.

**Vulnerability Detection Method**

Details: Check if Mailserver answer to VRFY and EXPN requests

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.100072

Version used: 2023-10-31T05:06:37Z

**References**

url: <http://cr.yp.to/smtp/vrfy.html>

[\[ return to 10.0.0.112 \]](#)

**2.1.3 Low 22/tcp**

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)

**Product detection result**

cpe:/a:ietf:secure\_shell\_protocol

Detected by SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565  
↔)

**Summary**

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak MAC algorithm(s).

**Quality of Detection (QoD):** 80%

**Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server MAC algorithm  
↔(s):

umac-64-etm@openssh.com

umac-64@openssh.com

The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client MAC algorithm

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...	
↔(s):	umac-64-etm@openssh.com umac-64@openssh.com
<b>Solution:</b>	<b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Disable the reported weak MAC algorithm(s).
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>	Checks the supported MAC algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server. Currently weak MAC algorithms are defined as the following: - MD5 based algorithms - 96-bit based algorithms - 64-bit based algorithms - 'none' algorithm Details: Weak MAC Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105610 Version used: 2024-06-14T05:05:48Z
<b>Product Detection Result</b>	Product: cpe:/a:ietf:secure_shell_protocol Method: SSH Protocol Algorithms Supported OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105565)
<b>References</b>	url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6668</a> url: <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4">https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.4</a>

[\[ return to 10.0.0.112 \]](#)

#### 2.1.4 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure	
<b>Summary</b>	The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b>	80%
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>	The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14
... continues on next page ...	

...continued from previous page ...
- ICMP Code: 0
<b>Impact</b> This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z
<b>References</b> cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792</a> url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 10.0.0.112](#) ]

### 2.1.5 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Quality of Detection (QoD):</b> 80%
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

**Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 1076362411

Packet 2: 1076363471

**Impact**

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

**Solution:**

**Solution type:** Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.

The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.

See the references for more information.

**Affected Software/OS**

TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

**Vulnerability Insight**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

**Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.

Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091

Version used: 2023-12-15T16:10:08Z

**References**

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323>

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323>

url: <https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152>

url: <https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090>

[\[ return to 10.0.0.112 \]](#)

---

This file was automatically generated.