EWAS-fusion

Epigenomewide association study (EWAS) and Functional Summary-based Imputation (FUSION) association analyses

INTRODUCTION


Transcriptomewide association statistic (z_{TWAS}) was originally proposed for gene expression data. For a given Trait of interest T for which GWAS summary statistics z_T is available, the corresponding Wald statistic for TWAS is defined such that

$$z_{\text{TWAS}} = \frac{w'_{\text{ge}} z_T}{\sqrt{w'_{\text{ge}} V w_{\text{ge}}}}$$

where $w_{\rm ge}$ is a weight associated with gene expression and V covariance matrix for z_T , respectively. By analogy, an epigenomewide association statistic ($z_{\rm EWAS}$) is defined through methylation data so that

$$z_{\text{EWAS}} = \frac{w'_{\text{me}} z_T}{\sqrt{w'_{\text{me}} V w_{\text{me}}}}$$

where $w_{\rm me}$ is the weight associated with methylation. Both approaches allow for imputation using GWAS summary statistics. The derivation of these weights and imputation were done using methods as described in Gusev et al. (2016) called TWAS as well as in Mancuso et al. (2016) called Functional Summary-based Imputation (FUSION). The TWAS statistics from both approaches agreed very well.



EWAS-fusion


Methylation reference panel, LD reference panel and GWAS summary statistics

A total of 442,920 CpG sites based on Illumina humanmethylation450 chips on 1,.146 individuals in EPIC-Norfolk study were available. Among these, 1,117 individuals also had genotype data from Affymetrix BioBank Axiom chips. HapMap2 SNPs from genetic data of these individuals were extracted via PLINK2 according to cis-positions of each probe and

subsequently used to build weight analogous to gene expression data as implemented in computer software TWAS. We filtered probes according to their heritabilities estimated from software GCTA at significant level of 0.01. We then performed EWAS for given GWAS summary statistics. The weight generation and methylation imputation was implemented in software called TWAS-pipeline, which allows for whole epigenome computation. After filtering, 78,133 probes reached significant level 0.01.

The FUSION framework has several advantages: First, it integrates heritability estimation and covariate adjustment for whole-chromosomes with additional models such as LASSO, elastic net, BLUP. Second, it offers cross-validation, joint/conditional analyses with the output also informing top hit SNPs and inferred methylation quantitative trait locus (meQTL). Besides, the new software uses modified GCTA software (gcta_nr_robust) leading to higher yield of probes with heritabilities reaching statistical significance, GEMMA giving BSLMM estimates and ability to align strands with reference panels. As both the increased number of models and cross-validation led to excessive computing time, we dropped BSLMM models and conducted five cross-validations. As a result our reference panel for EWAS imputation contains 77,372 probes reaching the heritability p value threshold of 0.01. The association as well as joint/conditional analysis using our weights and LD panel is implemented in software called EWAS-fusion. Like the original TWAS, our implementation will enable a range of GWAS summary statistics to be used coupled with downstream analysis.

EWAS-fusion is reminiscent of Mendelian Randomisation as shown below,



Mendelian Randomisation

Methylation reference panel and GWAS summary statistics

INSTALLATION

- To begin, the software FUSION including dependencies such as plink2R and reshape is required. The latest version also requires jlimR. Other facilities to be required are Sun grid engine (sge) or GNU parallel for Linux clusters.
- Install the repository on your system, you will need weights based on epigenetic data
 or to generate them as described in Weight generation below.

FILE Description

EWAS-weights/ directory for EWAS weights

glist-hg19 Probe list

LDREF/ Reference for LD EWAS-weights.pos Definition of regions

EWAS-weights.profile* Probe profiles

USAGE

The syntax is as follows,

ewas-fusion.sh input-file

These will send jobs to the Linux clusters. The sge error and output, if any, should be called EWAS.e and EWAS.o in your HOME directory.

Input

The input file contains GWAS summary statistics similar to .sumstats as in LDSC with the following columns.

| Column | Name | Description |
|--------|------|---|
| 1 | SNP | RS id of SNPs |
| 2 | A1 | Effect allele (first allele) |
| 3 | A2 | Other allele (second allele) |
| 4 | Z | Z-scores, taking sign with repect to A1 |

Output

The results will be in input-file.tmp/ directory.

Annotation

This is furnished with contribution from Dr Alexia Cardona, alexia.cardona@mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk, as follows,

```
ewas-annotate.R input-file.tmp
```

It is assumed that HumanMethylation450_15017482_v1-2.csv is available from the directory containing ewas-annotate. Rbut this can be at different location

```
ewas-annotate.R input-file.tmp manifest location=/at/different/location
```

Q-Q and Manhattan plots using R/gap can be obtained from

```
ewas-plot.R input-file.tmp
```

^{*} It contains information about the probes but not directly involved in the association analysis. Earlier version of EWAS-fusion used EWAS/, RDat.pos, and RDat.profile.

Example

The script test.sh uses height data from GIANT. It downloads and generates an input file called height to ewas-fusion.sh.

```
ewas-fusion.sh height
```

The results will be in height.tmp/ once it is done.

The annotation is done with

```
ewas-annotate.R height.tmp
```

The Q-Q and Manhattan plots are generated with

```
ewas-plot.R height.tmp
```

Weight generation

This is a revised and much simplified implementation of codes available from TWASpipeline. Under our sge it is furnished with

```
qsub get_weight.qsub
```

or

qsub get_weight.qsub 22

for chromosome 22.

Inputs to these are summarised as follows,

File Description

FUSION.pheno PLINK phenotype file containing data for all probes FUSION.covar PLINK covariate file containing covariates such as PCs

CpG.txt CpG ID, chromosome and position

In addition, PLINK binary pedigree file for each CpG also requires to be prepared, as in files. Although it was not done, it is possible to use code as in 1KG.sh to get around gerneration of these individual files by using a combined one. Note the setup takes advantage of the compact storage of non-genetic data.

The results will be available from the EWAS-fusion directory to be profiled and used for association analysis above. As the number of files is fairly large, cp_weight.qsub is written to put weights from their temporary directories in place while ewas-profile.sh profiles these weights as well as prepares for LDREF.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank colleagues and collaborators for their invaluable contributions to make this work possible.

REFERENCES

Gusev A, et al. (2016). Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nature Genetics, 48, 245-252

Mancuso N, et al. (2017). Integrating gene expression with summary association statistics to identify susceptibility genes for 30 complex traits. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2017, 100, 473-487, http://www.cell.com/ajhg/fulltext/S0002-9297(17)30032-0.

Turner SD (2014). qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and manhattan plots. biorXiv DOI: 10.1101/005165

Wood AR, et al. (2014). Defining the role of common variation in the genomic and biological architecture of adult human height (2014). Nature Genetics 46, 1173-1186.

Zhao JH (2007). gap: Genetic Analysis Package. Journal of Statistical Software 23(8):1-18, http://www.jstatsoft.org/v23/i08 (version at CRAN).

APPENDIX

Additional information for Illumina infinium humanmethylation450 beadchip as in Illumina website

| Column Name | Description |
|----------------------|---|
| Index | Probe Index |
| TargetID | Identifies the probe name. Also used as a key column for data import. |
| ProbeID_A | Illumina identifier for probe sequence A |
| ProbeID_B | Illumina identifier for probe sequence B |
| IlmnID | Unique CpG locus identifier from the Illumina CG database |
| Name | Unique CpG locus identifier from the Illumina CG database |
| AddressA_ID | Address of probe A |
| AlleleA_ProbeSeq | Sequence for probe A |
| AddressB_ID | Address of probe B |
| AlleleB_ProbeSeq | Sequence for probe B |
| Infinium_Design_Type | Defines Assay type - Infinium I or Infinium II |
| Next_Base | Base added at SBE step - Infinium I assays only |
| Color_Channel | Color of the incorporated baseá (Red or Green) - Infinium I assays only |
| Forward_Sequence | Sequence (in 5'-3' orientation) flanking query site |
| Genome_Build | Genome build on which forward sequence is based |
| CHR | Chromosome - genome build 37 |
| MAPINFO | Coordinates - genome build 37 |
| SourceSeq | Unconverted design sequence |

Chromosome - genome build 36 Coordinate_36 Coordinates - genome build 36

Strand Design strand

Probe_SNPs Assays with SNPs present within probe >10bp from query

site

Probe_SNPs_10 Assays with SNPs present within probe ?10bp from query

site (HM27 carryover or recently discovered)

Random_Loci Loci which were chosen randomly in the design proccess

Methyl27_Loci Present or absent on HumanMethylation27 array

UCSC_RefGene_Name Gene name (UCSC)

UCSC RefGene Accession Accession number (UCSC)

UCSC_RefGene_Group Gene region feature category (UCSC)

UCSC_CpG_Islands_Name CpG island name (UCSC)

Relation_to_UCSC_CpG_Island Relationship to Canonical CpG Island: Shores - 0-2 kb from

CpG island; Shelves - 2-4 kb from CpG island.

Phantom FANTOM-derived promoter

DMR Differentially methylated region (experimentally

determined)

Enhancer Enhancer element (informatically-determined)

HMM_Island Hidden Markov Model Island

Regulatory_Feature_Name Regulatory feature (informatically determined)

Regulatory_Feature_Group Regulatory feature category

DHS DNAse hypersensitive site (experimentally determined)