


A publication of the
National Wildfire
Coordinating Group



Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games

PMS 468-1

AUGUST 2018



Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games

August 2018
PMS 468-1

The *Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games* is intended to be a workbook to assist facilitators in the design and delivery of tactical decision games (TDGS) through sand table exercises (STEX). This workbook covers the basics of facilitation and game design and was developed to be utilized in conjunction with the DVD *Delivering Tactical Decision Games Using Sand Table Exercises*, PMS 468 / NFES 002699, <https://www.nwcg.gov/publications/468>. The DVD provides examples and demonstrations of the concepts covered in the workbook. As you progress through the workbook, video segments are listed in the order in which they should be viewed.

Properly designed and delivered TDGS will allow firefighters to practice assessing situations, selecting courses of action, and communicating decisions as they would on an incident.

The National Wildfire Coordinating Group (NWCG) provides national leadership to enable interoperable wildland fire operations among federal, state, tribal, territorial, and local partners. NWCG operations standards are interagency by design; they are developed with the intent of universal adoption by the member agencies. However, the decision to adopt and utilize them is made independently by the individual member agencies and communicated through their respective directives systems.

Table of Contents

Lesson 1: How We Decide	1
Observations About Decision-Making.....	1
The Decision-Making Process	1
TDGS and Decision-Making	1
Online Module	2
Lesson 2: How TDGS Work.....	2
TDGS are Simple...Keep Them Simple.....	2
The Primary Objectives of TDGS.....	2
Additional Benefits	3
TDGS Formats	3
Delivery Platforms for TDGS	3
The Rules of TDGS.....	3
Role Player Basics.....	4
Online Module	4
Lesson 3: Facilitation.....	4
The Facilitator's Responsibilities.....	4
TDGS Setup	4
Let the Games Begin.....	5
The Traits of a Good Facilitator.....	5
TDGS Facilitation Steps	6
Online Module	6
Lesson 4: After Action Reviews	6
AAR Formats	6
Question the Thought Process.....	6
Keep It Interesting.....	7
Don't Dominate the Discussion	7
Review Without Being Critical.....	7
Manage the Group.....	7
Draw Out Lessons	7
Online Module	7
Lesson 5: Game Design.....	7
How to Design TDGS	7
Determine Your Target Audience	7
Create Your Training Objective.....	8
Create Your Scenario	8
Review and Evaluate.....	8
Appendix A: Example TDGS.....	9
Example #1.....	9
Example #2.....	13
Example #3.....	17
Example #4.....	21
Example #5.....	25

Appendix B: TDGS/STEX Facilitator Guide.....	29
Prior to Exercise.....	29
Beginning an Exercise.....	29
Post Exercise	30
Appendix C: STEX Props and Accessories	31
Appendix D: TDGS Template.....	32
Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students	32
Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students	33
Additional Information for Facilitator Only	33
Map	35

Lesson 1: How We Decide

Observations About Decision-Making

- Observation #1: Decision-making skills are developed through practice.
- Observation #2: The lower the level of command, the simpler, faster, more direct, and accelerated the decision process (Plans Chief vs. Squad Leader).
- Observation #3: The ability to rapidly develop solutions to new problems is based on pattern recognition (Slides in the slide tray).

The Decision-Making Process

- Analytical decision-making: Calculated selection of alternatives (e.g., chess player)
- Intuitive decision-making: Pattern recognition based on previous experience (e.g., boxer)

Analytical Decision-Making

The classical model of decision-making is a rational and systematic process of analysis based on a comparison of multiple options. If all information is accurate and all pertinent information is known, the analytical decision-making process guarantees the best possible decision is made.

This analytical decision-making model should work well if the facts are not variable, the decision-making environment is held constant, there are no time constraints, and human factors are limited to our personal values. In the fire environment, this perfect analytical decision-making process is challenged by a constantly changing environment where not all the information is available, fatigue and other stress is normal, and time is always limited.

Intuitive Decision-Making

The essential factor in intuitive decision-making is experience. Experience allows recognition of similarities to previous situations. A pattern of typical cause and effect develops to allow a decision that does not require analysis or reason. The more experience gained in applying a variety of patterns, the more likely you'll know what to do.

TDGS and Decision-Making

TDGS provide a simple, adaptable, and effective method of repeatedly challenging a firefighter with tactical situations that include limitations of time and information. By requiring a decision regarding the situation and communication in the form of clear instructions, the firefighter will gain precious experience and skill in actual tactical decision-making.

There is no substitute for experience of the real thing, but it can be hard to come by and tragically unforgiving. Fortunately, there exists a supplement to the school of hard knocks. Pattern recognition skills can be improved, and tactical decision-making can be practiced and refined. TDGS are role-playing exercises designed to place individuals in some sort of decision space.

The reason for doing TDGS is to:

1. practice the decision-making process
2. practice communicating decisions to others

Because the purpose of TDGS is to build breadth of experience in decision-making and communication, it is important to employ this process frequently at the crew level. In addition to developing individual decision-making skills, the practice will allow crewmembers to learn from each other and gain an understanding of how each crew member makes decisions. Each game played, like every fire experienced, will add to the collective reservoir of experience in the wildland fire community.

Online Module

“Module 1 - Introduction” of the DVD *Delivering Tactical Decision Games Using Sand Table Exercises* is available online at <https://youtu.be/462DjNn1Fag>.

For more information on decision-making, the following articles are available on the National Wildfire Coordinating Group (NWCG) website:

- “How We Decide” by Major John F. Schmitt, USMCR (1995):
<https://www.nwcg.gov/sites/default/files/wfldp/docs/tdg-how-we-decide.pdf>
- “High Tech versus Low Tech Training” by Larry Sutton (2004):
<https://www.nwcg.gov/sites/default/files/wfldp/docs/tdg-high-tech-vs-low-tech.pdf>

Lesson 2: How TDGS Work

TDGS are Simple...Keep Them Simple

- **Role-Playing** – The players are put in the role of a leader of a given unit, in a given situation, with given resources, and in a given scenario.
- **Limited Information** – The players will not have as much information about the scenario as they might like. This is an important feature of TDGS; uncertainty, confusion, and complications are basic characteristics of tactical decision-making.
- **Limited Time** – The players will have limited time to make a decision, since this is also a feature of making tactical decisions.
- **Face a Dilemma** – The scenario puts the players in a situation requiring some sort of decision—a problem requiring a solution. Despite the above limitations, the players must come up with a workable solution.
- **After Action Review (AAR)** – The players analyze or discuss their solution(s) as a means of drawing out lessons.

The Primary Objectives of TDGS

- **Exercise Decision-Making Skills in a Tactical Context** – This is the fundamental objective!
- **Practice Communicating Decisions** – Players must communicate decisions by giving clear text instructions to appropriate role players.
- **Provide Vicarious Experience to Develop Pattern Recognition Skills** – Experience is the only way to develop the pattern recognition skills that are essential for effective decision-making. Since actual fire experience may be limited and involves certain risk, TDGS provide a safe alternative.

Additional Benefits

In addition to the primary objectives, TDGS offer several secondary benefits:

- **Illustrate Tactical Concepts** – Effective use of fire tactics and techniques, resource capabilities, resource deployment, etc. can be explained as part of the post-scenario discussion.
- **Develop Implicit Understanding** – By building a sense of teamwork and a shared way of thinking among members of a unit, TDGS become a way of working out informal standard operating procedures or contingencies.

TDGS Formats

There is a spectrum of TDGS formats ranging from a simple, guided discussion on a specific topic (seminar) to a full-scale, dynamic simulation. In between the seminar and the dynamic simulation is a myriad of adaptations and format hybrids developed to better fit experience, comfort, and specific learning needs. An example of one of these hybrids is the snapshot in time. All formats use a pre-determined training objective and are set up and briefed in the same manner.

- **Seminar** – A group of players are briefed on a situation and then discuss and compare concerns, decisions, and solutions.
- **Snapshot in Time** – The snapshot in time format requires only one decision. It does not require multiple players. Generally, there is only one teaching point, and the TDGS can focus on the application of that point. The snapshot in time format is good as an introduction to TDGS and preparation for a dynamic simulation.
- **Simulations** – A more advanced version where a fire situation evolves along a timeline. Players represent various resources and must respond to changing situations. The facilitator uses their judgment to assess outcomes of individual solutions and coordination of solutions. The facilitator controls progression with the purpose of generating new tactical challenges (inputs).

Delivery Platforms for TDGS

TDGS can be delivered using various platforms such as sand tables (STEX), solid terrain models, computer-generated terrain animations, terrain photographs, topographical maps, and sketch maps.

The Rules of TDGS

There are three rules:

1. **Time Limit** – Since fire operations are usually a time-compressed activity, a time limit is essential. Players should feel as though they have less time than they need to make a decision.
2. **Decisions as Instructions** – Briefings and clear text instructions are the correct way to express tactical decisions, so TDGS solutions should take the same form. Players must communicate decisions by giving clear text instructions. There is a difference between a briefing and tactical instructions. Tactical instructions may be given as an element of a briefing or as a supplement to a previous briefing. Players will be expected to explain their decisions afterward, but the rule is decide first, then discuss. The objective is to encourage decisiveness and have the decision-maker communicate the decision using real-life communication methods.
3. **No Textbook or Facilitator Solutions** – There are a number of ways to solve any tactical problem, so there should not be any textbook answers. The decision a player makes is less

important than why they made it. In fact, since creativity is a prized trait in tacticians, unusual solutions should be encouraged and recognized. Note: Having a textbook answer in mind is a very common mistake made by inexperienced facilitators.

Role Player Basics

- **Stay in Character** – To be a good role player, one must simply play the role they are assigned. In most cases, the role assigned is a tactical role familiar to those participating (Engine Boss, Crew Boss, Division Supervisor, etc.). Simply react to the situation and communicate as you would in real-life.

Online Module

“Module 2 - Engaging the Participants” of the DVD *Delivering Tactical Decision Games Using Sand Table Exercises* is available online at <https://youtu.be/kUIW1-Qgdjg>.

Lesson 3: Facilitation

The Facilitator’s Responsibilities

- **Prepare for the Exercise** – The facilitator must have a thorough knowledge of the scenario being presented and be prepared to address a variety of possible decisions made by the players.
- **Select Delivery Platform** – Examples include, but are not limited to, a sand table (STEX), solid terrain model, computer-generated terrain animation, terrain photograph, topographical map, or sketch map.
- **Explain Rules** – Introduce the players to the simple rules of the exercise, such as communicate decisions as instructions (no “well, I guess I would...”).
- **Orient the Players** – Orient the group to the map or sand table and explain the meaning of all props. The facilitator should answer questions about the scenario layout, which the players would reasonably have knowledge of, but the facilitator should not eliminate all uncertainties. “Sorry, that is unknown” is a reasonable answer to many questions.

TDGS Setup

- **Describe the Scenario** – Provide information on terrain, weather, fuels, fire behavior (intensity, rate, and direction of spread), and overall strategy set by the Incident Commander or other management guidance. Go from general to specific. It is important to model good briefing procedures. For example, if the TDGS is a squad leader level problem, describe the division situation, then the crew situation, and then the squad's situation. Put the players in the decision-maker’s role. Do not pre-identify who will be in the hot seat. Tell the players what resources they have available. Give the players enough information to make the necessary decisions for the given situation, but don’t make their decision for them.
- **Cue the Dilemma** – The last event described should be the event that puts the finishing touches on the dilemma; it should be clear that it is time for a decision to be made.
- **Choose Player(s) to Present Solutions** – Generally, it is better to select a player to present solutions than to ask for volunteers. Players should not feel like they can escape the challenge by

simply not volunteering. They should feel like they have as much chance as anybody else does, since this adds to the stress.

Let the Games Begin

- **Enforce the Time Limit Rule** – Time compression creates stress.
- **Enforce the Decisions as Instructions Rule** – Assign other players roles as the recipients of instructions or communications. Players must simulate giving their instructions either face-to-face or over the radio. Do not allow “I would have done this...” statements. Encourage the use of the briefing checklist format found in the Incident Response Pocket Guide (IRPG) as a guide when communicating their decisions and giving instructions to new incoming resources.

The Traits of a Good Facilitator

- **Enthusiastic Delivery** – This is perhaps the most important trait. If the facilitator is enthusiastic about the subject, enjoys TDGS, and believes in the value of TDGS as a learning tool, his/her feelings will be contagious to the players.
- **Prepared and Tactically Knowledgeable** – In order to lead the discussion and provide a useful review, the facilitator must know the subject matter. This skill is especially important since there is no single correct textbook solution to these problems. The facilitator should be familiar with the particular scenario and be able to discuss it intelligently. Usually, the best way to gain that familiarity is to have designed the scenario or to have played it. The facilitator should not have a single, correct tactical answer to the problem in mind. There should, in fact, be no real right answer. If the facilitator endorses one tactic over another, we run the risk of inadvertently giving the players a textbook solution to a problem. This may lead the player into thinking that, given a similar situation, certain tactics are the only possible solution for that particular scenario. The facilitator needs to remember that we are not teaching tactics, but rather a decision-making process. The facilitator needs to reinforce timely decision-making, not tactics, so the players will gain ownership in the process. While recognizing that there may be several right answers that could actually work on the ground, facilitators must be able to identify plans that would obviously fail or are not safe or tactically sound. Preparing discussion points for common solutions and for obviously unsound or unsafe solutions is recommended.
- **Adapt to the Unexpected** – Since there are no textbook solutions to TDGS, the facilitator must be able to maintain his/her own situational awareness in order to adapt to unexpected changes. Players will invariably come up with unexpected questions or solutions. The discussion will present unexpected opportunities to provide impromptu lessons about key tactical concepts. The facilitator must be able to adapt quickly to unforeseen circumstances resulting from player developed plans or contingencies. For example, in an initial attack scenario, the participant playing incident commander resolves the scenario by calling for retardant instead of calling for additional engines identified in the scenario briefing. The facilitator must be prepared to provide additional inputs (either constraints or additional objectives) if necessary to involve these additional resources.
 - TDGS are time-compressed scenarios. Provide frequent time tags to keep the clock ticking. It is a good practice to tell the players how much time you want to have elapsed after any significant movement of resources.

- Timeouts may be essential, at times, to keep the group focused on the learning objectives. This technique should not be used frequently. The facilitator should clarify the situation and resume action as quickly as possible.
- Control the movement of resources on the table. This is your training event, if you let the players start moving resources at their discretion you can easily lose the ability to achieve your training objective(s).

Be prepared to mitigate ad libs from role players that divert the game from its intended training objective. Some players get very involved in their subordinate roles and may provide unsolicited inputs.

TDGS Facilitation Steps

Facilitating a TDGS is not a simple task. It is a dynamic learning tool and can be very demanding on the facilitator. Keeping track of the steps to managing a TDGS can be challenging.

Listed below are the six steps to beginning a TDGS.

1. Introduction, table rules (briefing, radio communications, etc.)
2. Table setup (scale, piece representations)
3. Paint the picture/tell the story (weather, situation, lay of the land)
4. Questions?
5. Assign roles, leave at decision point
6. Game on!

Online Module

“Module 3 – Facilitators Role” of the DVD *Delivering Tactical Decision Games Using Sand Table Exercises* is available online at <https://youtu.be/3mSFRMLXUeg>.

Lesson 4: After Action Reviews

AAR Formats

The intent of the AAR is discussion and learning about decision-making. There are multiple formats and methods to achieve this result.

Question the Thought Process

One of the most important things the facilitator does is probe the player's thought process in order to get the player to explain their rationale. Useful questions include:

- Describe your overall assessment of the situation?
- What were your assumptions about the situation?
- What is your biggest concern about your plan?
- What information was critical to you and why?
- What would you have done if...?

Keep It Interesting

The facilitator keeps the session interesting by keeping the discussion moving briskly, involving as many of the players as possible, and making relevant and useful points. Without trivializing the subject matter, it is generally a good idea to leave them wanting more. Don't beat each point to death; break off discussion before the saturation point. A good sign is when the players are still debating as they leave.

Don't Dominate the Discussion

A good facilitator does not lecture, but has the ability to help the players recognize the lessons themselves, facilitating learning rather than trying to impart it. In general, the less talking the facilitator must do, the better the session is going.

Review Without Being Critical

Offering constructive criticism is essential. While there may be no absolute right or wrong answers, some solutions have more merit than others, and the facilitator must be able to make those judgments. At the same time, the facilitator should offer reviews in ways that do not embarrass any player in front of the group. A blend of candor and tact is required.

Manage the Group

This means the ability to get as many people involved in the discussion as possible. The facilitator should prevent individuals from dominating the discussion. This is especially important when the group consists of widely different experience levels. It is important to set a tone of open candor, regardless of seniority.

Draw Out Lessons

Finally, the facilitator should summarize the lessons that the session has illustrated. Use the AAR format found in the IRPG to increase player familiarity with that reference.

Online Module

“Module 4 – After Action Review” of the DVD *Delivering Tactical Decision Games Using Sand Table Exercises* is available online at <https://youtu.be/HN483rIRaWU>.

Lesson 5: Game Design

How to Design TDGS

You are creating exercises that may be put on the shelf for other people to facilitate. A standard format can be found at the end of this section. Example STEX/TDGS can be found at the end of this workbook.

Existing TDGS can be found on the Tactical Decision Games page on NWCG's website at <https://www.nwcg.gov/wfldp/toolbox/tdfs>.

Determine Your Target Audience

Include general level for which STEX/TDGS is suitable (e.g., crew level supervisors, district duty officers, etc.). It could also be Incident Command System position-specific (e.g., Engine Boss, Division Supervisor, etc.).

Create Your Training Objective

It is essential that TDGS are set up with specific training objectives in mind. Remember the primary objective for TDGS is to allow players to practice making decisions and communicate their decisions in real-life instructional contexts.

- **Example Training Objective** – “Given the scenario below, the players will determine how the fire can be safely approached and then verbally communicate their decision to the appropriate individuals.”

Create Your Scenario

- **Start with a Problem in Mind** – It is better to start with a problem and allow the players to create solutions. The problem you start with must allow players space to attain the training objective you have identified.
- **Create Uncertainty**
 - Lack of Information – Some information is simply missing.
 - Ambiguity – Information is unclear, inconclusive, or even contradictory.
- **Create Friction** – Things don’t always go as planned or expected. Challenging TDGS incorporate a healthy dose of Murphy’s Law. Units get lost; communications break down; equipment fails; and/or fire behavior changes dramatically. Consider the experience level of players when designing complexities so you don’t set players up for failure.
- **Introduce the Problem in the Briefing Format** – Write down all the pieces of information needed to set the stage. Always leave the situation at some sort of decision point to jump-start the action on the table.

Review and Evaluate

In addition to the AAR players use to analyze their decision-making process and draw tactical decision-making lessons, consider an AAR of the TDGS process itself to derive lessons for designing and facilitating future games.

Appendix A: Example TDGS

Example #1

Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students

Title: EXAMPLE TDGS/STEX #1

Author(s): Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games & Sand Table Exercises development group

Target Audience: Squad Boss, Single Resource Boss

Training Objective: Given the scenario below, the players will decide how the fire can be safely approached. Players should verbally communicate their decisions to the appropriate individuals.

Resources Referenced:

- **1 - Crew level supervisor (Hot seat role)**
 - 1 - Second-season firefighter
 - 2 - First-season firefighters
 - 1 - Chainsaw
 - 2 - Backpack pumps
 - 1 - Two-way radio
 - Various hand tools
-

Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students

Facilitator Briefing to Student(s):

You are the leader of an initial attack module (Select: engine, IHC squad, helitack crew, or Smokejumper stick) being ordered for a dry lightning storm that has ignited several fires in your response area. The module has not worked together for very long, but you know they have been trained well...you did it yourself.

This is the module's first fire, and everyone is excited about getting out and fighting some fire. The module consists of four firefighters: yourself, one second-season firefighter, and two rookie firefighters. You are equipped with one chainsaw, two backpack pumps, a full complement of hand tools, and a two-way radio.

The Fire Management Officer (FMO) is swamped; several of the new fires appear to be growing larger. He calls you in and gives you the specific location information for the fire. His instructions are, "Keep this one small. I'll try to get you some help if you need it, but for now, you are on your own. Call dispatch with a size up and keep me posted. Hey! Let's be careful out there."

As you travel to the fire (Select: Mode of travel consistent with the module type), you note the weather and fuel conditions (Describe: Typical local conditions for mid-season and map distance scale). Also, during your travel out to the fire, you hear the aerial recon tell dispatch that your fire looks to be about $\frac{1}{2}$ acre in size with some flame showing. After walking about $\frac{1}{2}$ mile from your drop-off point traveling

south through a saddle, you and your module are finally able to see the smoke from the fire. It is below you and to your right. (Describe: How the smoke column looks.) The time is 1000. Now what? Take 2 minutes to assess the situation and prepare any communication contacts you think are necessary.

Additional Information for Facilitator Only

Facilitator Murphy's Law Suggestions:

The Murphy's Law suggestions listed below can be added as what ifs at any time during the scenario to raise the stress level of the leader. You can also use one of your own.

- The fuels make foot travel difficult or fuels are continuous cured grass.
- Time of day is late in the burning period.
- Cannot see any sign of the fire during the approach.
- Wind shifts or increases.
- Other crewmembers voice differing opinions.
- The facilitator plays a concerned dispatcher or FMO demanding feedback.

Facilitator's Notes:

This TDGS should focus on the functions of squad/crew level decision-making and communication. In this scenario, the student has been presented with mid-season fire conditions, multiple new fire starts in the area, and direction to keep this fire small. The student must weigh the unknown fire behavior and approaching a fire from above against the risk management process. The decision point comes when the student reaches the saddle and can see the smoke from the fire below the crew's position. There is additional pressure on the student from the FMO since he/she has been told to keep the fire small and that they are on their own for now.

If the student uses the risk management process found in the IRPG, the student will realize there are tactical watch outs involved with being above the fire and that these concerns can be mitigated using items from the fire orders, LCES, and Downhill Checklist. Even if the student doesn't use the IRPG, most will understand that standing in a saddle above a fire is a precarious situation.

In this TDGS, the student's actual plan (i.e. the exact route of approach) is of less importance than the reason why he/she is taking that route. Discussion in the AAR should focus on why the student chose that route and any supporting actions, such as posting a lookout and briefing the squad. Once the why has been confirmed, the discussion can move to the how.

Assuming that there are several options on how to approach this fire, the AAR may cover items such as:

- How comfortable were the crew members with the decided course of action?
- Did the crew members feel they had been adequately briefed on the situation and hazards of approaching the fire?

Whatever the decided course of action, ask other participants how they would have approached the fire and why. At some point, during the conversation, bring out the IRPG and ask the students what guidance they used, or could have used, from the IRPG.


After Action Review:

Conduct an AAR with focus on the training objective. Use the AAR format found in the IRPG to facilitate the AAR. There are four basic questions in the AAR.

1. What was planned?
2. What actually happened?
3. Why did it happen?
4. What can we do next time?

Remember, TDGS shouldn't have a single solution. Keep the focus of the AAR on what was done and why.

Figure 1: TDGS/STEX Example #1 Map



**TDGS/STEX
#1**

 **Fire Perimeter**
—+— **Wet Drainage**

Example #2

Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students

Title: EXAMPLE TDGS/STEX #2

Author(s): Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games & Sand Table Exercises development group

Target Audience: Task Force Leader, Incident Commander (IC) Type 4, IC Type 3

Training Objective: Given the scenario below, the players will decide between an offensive strategy or a defensive strategy. Players should verbally communicate their decisions to the appropriate individuals.

Resources Referenced:

- **1 - Task Force Leader (Hot seat role)**
- 3 - Type 3 engines
- 1 - Water tender
- 1 - Interagency Hotshot Crew (IHC)
- 1 - Type 2 hand crew

Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students

Facilitator Briefing to Student(s):

You are a Task Force Leader in charge of severity Task Force Alpha. The task force was formed yesterday and is a real mixed bag of experience. These resources have never worked together before and you think this may be a tough assignment. The task force is made up of three Type 3 engines; one Type 2 water tender; one hotshot crew; and one Type 2 hand crew.

The ordering unit has been experiencing new fire starts from lightning over the previous two days. The local FMO meets you first thing in the morning—giving you travel and communication instructions and the run down on the fire situation: “My initial attack (IA) forces are shot. I had to pull my folks off the Fish Creek Fire due to fatigue. I want to turn it over to you as the IC with Task Force Alpha as your resources. We caught several of the new starts last night, but this Fish Creek Fire worries me. I’m getting concerned about those structures. I’ve ordered airtankers, but who knows when we’ll see them. I’ll try to get out to the fire this afternoon. Keep me informed on your progress and good luck.”

As you travel to the fire, you note the weather and fuel conditions (Describe: Typical local conditions for mid-season and map distance scale). As you get near the location given to you by the FMO, you see smoke at the top of a hill south of the road (Describe: How the smoke column looks). An individual alongside the road flags you down. It is the IA IC. She tells you the following: the fire is about 30 acres with a couple of small spot fires; the fire settled down real well after midnight; they got line around about 25% of the fire’s edge; the fuels in front of the fire provide good spread potential; and there is a small community north of the fire. Your task force has followed you out to the fire, and they are impatiently awaiting your orders. The time is 1000 hours. Now what? Take 5 minutes to assess the situation and prepare any communication contacts you think are necessary.

Additional Information for Facilitator Only

Facilitator Murphy's Law Suggestions:

The Murphy's Law suggestions listed below can be added as what ifs at any time during the scenario to raise the stress level of the leader. You can also use one of your own.

- An engine breaks down.
- Time of day is later in the burning period.
- A new fire start is discovered nearby.
- Wind shifts or increases.
- Two of the engine crews are very inexperienced.
- The Hotshot Superintendent is adamant about burning out the road immediately.

Facilitator's Notes:

This TDGS should focus on the functions of Task Force Leader/Incident Commander level decision-making and communication. In this scenario, the student has been presented with mid-season fire conditions, multiple new fire starts in the area, and a potential wildland urban interface situation. There is further pressure as the Task Force Leader (TFLD) is being asked to assume the role of IC, and he/she is not familiar with the local area. The new IC must decide between an offensive strategy, a defensive strategy, or some combination thereof before assigning resources.

The FMO expressed concern about the structures so there is some implied pressure for a defensive strategy with structure protection as the number one priority. If the IC follows the implied direction, they will decide to use a defensive strategy and deploy resources accordingly.

However, there was no explicit direction that a defensive strategy be assumed; the FMO just expressed concern over the structures. The information from the IA IC is that 25% of the fire has been lined. Not knowing the exact composition of the IA forces the new IC may assume that he/she has more resources than the IA IC did and therefore employ an offensive strategy and continue to line the fire.

In this TDGS, the student's actual plan (i.e. assuming an offensive vs. defensive strategy) is of less importance than the reason why he/she made that choice and the direction given to their resources. Discussion in the AAR should focus on why the student chose a particular option. Once the why has been confirmed, the discussion can move to the how, at which point resource deployment decisions can be discussed.

During the AAR, items for discussion may also include:

- Ask the new IC how he/she felt about changing roles from TFLD to IC?
- How did the FMO's briefing influence his/her strategy decision?
- How did their unfamiliarity with the local area influence their decision?
- How well was commander's intent communicated to the assigned resources?

Whatever the decided course of action, ask other participants how they would have approached the situation and why. This may be a good time to discuss rules of engagement or to use the IRPG to discuss risk management from the perspective of choosing an offensive vs. defensive strategy.


After Action Review:

Conduct an AAR with focus on the training objective. Use the AAR format found in the IRPG to facilitate the AAR. There are four basic questions in the AAR.

1. What was planned?
2. What actually happened?
3. Why did it happen?
4. What can we do next time?

Remember, TDGS shouldn't have a single solution. Keep the focus of the AAR on what was done and why.

Figure 2: TDGS/STEX Example #2 Map



TDGS/STEX
#2

- Fire Perimeter
- ♂ Spot Fire
- Structure
- Road
- - - Wet Drainage

Example #3

Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students

Title: EXAMPLE TDGS/STEX #3

Author(s): Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games & Sand Table Exercises development group

Target Audience: Division/Group Supervisor

Training Objective: Given the scenario below, the player will determine the appropriate level of engagement for the assigned resources. Players should verbally communicate their decisions to the appropriate individuals.

Resources Referenced:

- **1 - Division/Group Supervisor (Hot seat role)**
 - 1 - Strike Team Leader Engine
 - 1 - Strike team of Type 3 engines
 - 2 - Type 2 water tenders
 - 1 - Interagency Hotshot Crew (IHC)
 - 1 - Type 2 hand crew (without chainsaws)
 - 1 - Type 2 dozer
 - 1 - Safety Officer
 - 1 - Field Observer
 - 1 - Type 1 helicopter
-

Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students

Facilitator Briefing to Student(s):

You are a Division/Group Supervisor assigned to Division A of the Fish Creek Fire. Your division has the following resources assigned: one strike team of Type 3 engines with a Strike Team Leader, two Type 2 water tenders, one hotshot crew, one Type 2 hand crew without chainsaws, one Type 2 dozer, a Safety Officer, a Field Observer, and your division has been given top priority for a Type 1 helicopter.

Several large fires have resulted from last week's lightning storm. The Fish Creek Fire is one of those fires. The fire is threatening a small community, and resources are stretched thin throughout the region. The Operations Section Chief (OSC) picked you up for a recon flight. It was quick, and the OSC seemed very concerned about your division. "You've got to hold Division A! We've got some strong south winds predicted today. If we lose that road...well, I don't think I need to say anything more. I'm really counting on you to hold that road and protect those structures and the community farther to the north. I can get you a little time with the airtankers, but we have to release them to IA at 1200. That Type 1 helicopter is yours to use." The OSC looked you in the eye and said, "You and your folks are the only chance we have to hold this thing. I will try to get you whatever resources you need."

As you travel to the fire in your vehicle, you note the weather and fuel conditions (Describe: Typical local condition for mid-season and map distance scale.) From the transfer of command meeting, you know the fire is 3,000 acres. It is 10% contained with good spread potential as Red Flag conditions are forecast for that afternoon for winds exceeding 25 mph out of the south. The fire is threatening the small community just north of your division and another large and affluent community two miles north of the fire (Indicate location: Off table/map). You have just arrived in the vicinity of the small community just north of the fire about 10 minutes ahead of the rest of your division resources. You can see the fire edge south and upslope from your location (Describe: How the smoke column looks). As far as you can tell, you are the only one around. The time is 1000 hours. Now what? Take 5 minutes to assess the situation and prepare any communication contacts you think are necessary.

Additional Information for Facilitator Only

Facilitator Murphy's Law Suggestions:

The Murphy's Law suggestions listed below can be added as what ifs at any time during the scenario to raise the stress level of the leader. You can also use one of your own.

- You get a flat tire and cannot drive around.
- The Red Flag Warning is cancelled.
- The water tender operators have no personal protective equipment (PPE).
- A nervous homeowner arrives.

Facilitator's Notes:

This TDGS should focus on the functions of Division/Group Supervisor level decision-making and communication. In this scenario, the student has been presented with mid-season fire conditions, several large fires in the area, and a wildland urban interface situation. The OSC has also created pressure for this Division/Group Supervisor (DIVS) by emphasizing that Division A must be held and that he is willing to try and send more resources if necessary. The DIVS must decide if the situation is defensible and assign resources accordingly.

There is very real pressure from the OSC to take actions to protect structures. It will be easy for the DIVS to go straight into a tactical mode without gaining thorough situation awareness via the risk management process. Remember, the first objective of this exercise is to decide if the situation is defensible. The second objective is to assign resources. If the student immediately begins to assign resources, he/she may assign someone to scout escape routes and safety zones. Other things to consider are whether structure triage is conducted and if the Type 1 helicopter and airtankers are actually ordered for use on the division. The student may choose to hold all of the ground resources in their current location while scouting is done, or he/she may order aerial resources to begin operations while a size up is completed. Different students are going to take different actions, and some may choose that the situation is not defensible and move their resources to a safety zone.

In this TDGS, the student's actual decision (i.e. defensible vs. non-defensible) is of less importance than the reason why he/she made that choice and the direction given to their resources. During the AAR, items for discussion may also include:

- In this scenario, the DIVS is a member of the overhead team assigned to the fire since he/she was at the in-briefing. How would the situation be different if the DIVS was not a regular member of the team and didn't know the Ops Section Chief personally?
- How can the DIVS relay the importance of the situation to their resources without applying the same type of pressure the OSC did to the DIVS?

Whatever the decided course of action, ask other participants if they felt the situation was defensible and why. This may be a good time to discuss rules of engagement, wildland urban interface watch out situations, structure assessment, and structure protection.


After Action Review:

Conduct an AAR with focus on the training objective. Use the AAR format found in the IRPG to facilitate the AAR. There are four basic questions in the AAR.

1. What was planned?
2. What actually happened?
3. Why did it happen?
4. What can we do next time?

Remember, TDGS shouldn't have a single solution. Keep the focus of the AAR on what was done and why.

Figure 3: TDGS/STEX Example #3 Map



TDGS/STEX
#3

- ████ Fire Perimeter
- ♂ Spot Fire
- Structure
- Road
- - - Wet Drainage

Example #4

Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students

Title: EXAMPLE TDGS/STEX #4

Author(s): Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games & Sand Table Exercises development group

Target Audience: IC Type 3, IC Type 4, Helicopter Boss

Training Objective: Given the scenario below, the player will decide how they should manage the entire incident. Players should verbally communicate their decisions to the appropriate individuals.

Resources Referenced:

- **1 - IC Type 3 (Hot seat role)**
 - 1 - Agency contract helicopter
 - 2 - Helitack firefighters
 - 1 - Strike team of Type 4 engines
 - 1 - Rural fire department engine
 - 1 - Military officer (Captain) and assorted military vehicles
-

Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students

Facilitator Briefing to Student(s):

You are an IC Type 3 responding to the Round Mountain Fire. This is a new fire start in your local area. The fire is 7 miles southwest of a small town. You are en route in an agency contract helicopter and have two other helitack firefighters on board. You fly toward the fire along a dirt road that departs the main highway near the town and leads to the southwest into the bottom of a valley below where the fire is located. The road continues on past the fire. A strike team of Type 4 engines has been ordered and is on the way. Their estimated time of arrival is 15 minutes to the main highway turnoff. From the air, you see there is a narrow bridge on the dirt road between the main highway and the fire. You have questions about the bridge's load limit and its ability to support fire engines loaded with water.

As you approach the fire, you see there is a wide valley bottom with ample safe places to land the helicopter. Being a local firefighter, you are aware of the weather and fuel conditions (Describe: Typical local conditions for mid-season and map distance scale). In your recon over the fire, you estimate the size to be about 10 acres. You can see an active flame front on about half of the fire perimeter (Describe: How the smoke column looks and/or the observed fire behavior). You see there is a rural fire department engine company on scene; two of the individuals from the engine company are wearing short pants and no boots. They are fighting the fire. You also notice what appears to be soldiers attempting to put out the fire. Various military vehicles are parked near the fire. You are circling over the fire and have no radio communication with anyone currently on the fire. The time is 1000 hours. Now what? Take 5 minutes to assess the situation and prepare any communication contacts you think are necessary.

Additional Information for Facilitator Only

Facilitator Murphy's Law Suggestions:

The Murphy's Law suggestions listed below can be added as what ifs at any time during the scenario to raise the stress level of the leader. You can also use one of your own.

- You are advised by the locals that the bridge can barely support a pickup truck.
- Time of day is later in the burning period.
- An ambulance appears on scene with lights and sirens running.
- There are no airtankers available.
- A squad of soldiers is working directly upslope of an active spot fire.
- A civilian vehicle is seen driving rapidly away from the fire area.

Facilitator's Notes:

This TDGS should focus on IC Type 3 level decision-making and communication. In this scenario, the student has been presented with mid-season fire conditions, non-agency personnel taking suppression action on the fire, and the inability to communicate with those resources. The agency IC is faced with the dilemma of managing an entire incident vs. taking suppression action on the fire.

The IC may utilize the helicopter, the helitack crewmembers, and himself to join in the suppression efforts. This would be consistent with most firefighter's propensity toward tactical involvement.

If the IC decides to manage the entire incident, he/she has to establish contact with the rural fire department and the military personnel. He/she will face a challenge with communications, as the three entities have separate communications equipment. The use of non-agency personnel, PPE requirements, and qualifications are all issues the IC will have to deal with.

In this TDGS, the student's actual decision (i.e. take suppression action vs. manage the entire incident) is of less importance than the reason why he/she made that choice and how he/she communicated that decision. Discussion in the AAR should focus on why the student chose a particular option. Once the why has been confirmed, the discussion can move to the how of communicating the developing the situation.

During the AAR, items for discussion may also include:

- Establishing yourself as the IC and appropriate notifications.
- Establishing unified command and the appropriate notifications.
- What is meant by bias for action?

Whatever the decided course of action, ask other participants if their priority would have been suppressing the fire or managing the incident and why. This may be a good time to discuss local unit relationships with cooperators, non-agency personnel, non-agency PPE use, or other local issues.

After Action Review:


Conduct an AAR with focus on the training objective. Use the AAR format found in the IRPG to facilitate the AAR. There are four basic questions in the AAR.

1. What was planned?

2. What actually happened?
3. Why did it happen?
4. What can we do next time?

Remember, TDGS shouldn't have a single solution. Keep the focus of the AAR on what was done and why.

Figure 4: TDGS/STEX Example #4 Map



Example #5

Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students

Title: EXAMPLE TDGS/STEX #5

Author(s): Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games & Sand Table Exercises development group

Target Audience: Strike Team Leader, Task Force Leader

Training Objective: Given the scenario below, the player will decide how to handle a downhill line construction assignment. Players should verbally communicate their decisions to the appropriate individuals.

Resources Referenced:

- 1 - Strike Team Leader Crew (Hot seat role)
 - 2 - Interagency Hotshot Crews (IHC)
 - 2 - Dozers
-

Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students

Facilitator Briefing to Student(s):

You are the Strike Team Leader for two Type 1 hand crews, made up of two crews that frequently work together and you are the crew superintendent of one of the crews. You have been dispatched to the Peak Fire. When your strike team arrived at the incident command post, you were sent directly out to the fireline with instructions to report to Division A at Drop Point 3. The fire escaped IA yesterday afternoon, and this is the first operational period on the incident for both your strike team and the incident management team that has taken over the fire. When you link up with the Division A supervisor, you find out that the fire is about 1,500 acres and broken into four divisions. At this time, you also get a briefing on the weather and fuel conditions (Describe: typical local conditions for mid-season and map distance scale). Your instructions from Division A are as follows: “Division A is the priority on the fire. By holding this side of the fire we think we can keep it out of some country where it would become very difficult to catch it. I need you to drive your crews up to the top of the division, tie into the end of the completed dozer line on the ridge top, and start punching handline down the ridge from the radio tower site. Only hand crews can work that upper section below the main ridge. There are two dozers due here anytime now at Drop Point 3. When they arrive I will have them start working up from the bottom since its good dozer ground there. There is plenty of parking at the radio tower site; it should be a good safety zone. I’d like to have this line tied together by the end of the shift; I think the fire will allow us that much time. Give me a call when you start in on your line construction.”

As you drive up toward the radio tower site, you see the fire has laid down (Describe: How the smoke column looks). Arriving at the radio tower site, you get the vehicles parked and size up the area as a safety zone. You walk over and locate the ridge, and looking down, you are unable to see any fire activity, but visibility is obscured by lots of drift smoke. The time is 1000 hours. Now what? Take 5 minutes to assess the situation and prepare any communication contacts you think are necessary.

Additional Information for Facilitator Only

Facilitator Murphy's Law Suggestions:

The Murphy's Law suggestions listed below can be added as what ifs at any time during the scenario to raise the stress level of the leader. You can also use one of your own.

- The parking area is small and marginal for a safety zone.
- The fire approaches Division A faster than expected.
- The dozers never arrive on Division A.
- Technicians for one of the radio stations are on-site and very anxious about the fire.
- Loss of radio communication with the Division A supervisor.

Facilitator's Notes:

This TDGS should focus on Strike Team Leader/Task Force Leader level decision-making and communication. In this scenario, the student has been presented with mid-season fire conditions and a downhill line construction assignment on the highest priority division of the incident. The Strike Team Leader must decide how to handle the downhill line construction and deploy his/her resources.

The Strike Team Leader has the advantage that they are working with their own crew and a crew that they are familiar with from past assignments. Therefore, they know what they can and cannot expect from the crew leadership and in terms of line production.

If the Strike Team Leader chooses to scout the proposed line themselves, he/she may choose to take the crew superintendents with them.

They may request to begin line construction from the bottom of the ridge and work to the top or split their crews and have line production from both directions.

In this TDGS, the student's actual decision (i.e. accepting the downhill line construction assignment vs. offering alternatives) is of less importance than the reason why he/she made that choice and the direction given to their resources. Discussion in the AAR should focus on why the student chose a particular option. Once the why has been confirmed the discussion can move to the how, at which point resource deployment decisions can be discussed.

During the AAR, items for discussion may also include:

- What qualities should a lookout possess?
- Did the student reference the IRPG? What sections?

Whatever the decided course of action, ask other participants for their perspective. This may be a good time to discuss the importance of an anchor point, potential fire behavior, how to offer alternatives to an assignment when the initial one seems questionable, and/or how to properly refuse risk.

After Action Review:


Conduct an AAR with focus on the training objective. Use the AAR format found in the IRPG to facilitate the AAR. There are four basic questions in the AAR.

1. What was planned?
2. What actually happened?

3. Why did it happen?
4. What can we do next time?

Remember, TDGS shouldn't have a single solution. Keep the focus of the AAR on what was done and why.

Figure 5: TDGS/STEX Example #5 Map



Appendix B: TDGS/STEX Facilitator Guide

(Print and laminate this guide)

Prior to Exercise

- Prepare the sand table with desired terrain features, oriented to actual compass points. Designate directions and the scale of the table width and length.
- Provide sufficient props to represent the scenario and allow players to demonstrate solutions through resource movement.
- On a white board or flipchart, provide fire information that cannot be observed in a classroom, i.e., relative humidity, wind speed and direction, temperature, date, and time.
- **Ensure players have note-taking materials and the Incident Response Pocket Guide.**

Beginning an Exercise

Tactical Decision Game Facilitation Steps

1. Introduction, table rules (briefing, radio communications, etc.)
2. Table setup (scale, piece representations)
3. Paint the picture/tell the story (weather, situation, lay of the land)
4. Questions?
5. Assign roles, leave at decision point
6. Game on!

During Exercise

- **Is the decision being delivered as instructions? No theoretical "would have," "should have," or "could have" discussions allowed!**
- Remember to provide time tags frequently, use timeouts when necessary, and control the movement of resources on the table.
- Select players for additional solutions, repeat process.
- Recognize when the learning objective(s) has been met and end the game.

After Action Review

- Question the players thought process:
 - Describe your overall assessment of the situation?
 - What were your assumptions about the situation?
 - What is your biggest concern about your plan?
 - What information was critical to you and why?
 - What would you have done if...?
- **Are you dominating the discussion? Stop it!**

- **Are you managing the entire group? Make sure all players are engaged!**
- Draw out lessons. Summarize and accentuate them. Facilitate and moderate constructive criticism and encourage debate.
- Resist offering your solution unless that is the best avenue for a positive lesson. Your influence could wrongly infer there is only one right answer and inhibit independent solutions.

Post Exercise

- Review the intent of TDGS and STEX:
 - Exercise decision-making skills in a tactical context.
 - Practice communicating decisions.
 - Provide experience to develop pattern recognition skills.
 - Illustrate tactical concepts.
 - Develop implicit understanding within the group.
- Reinforce lessons learned by offering an historical account of a similar scenario.
- Encourage evaluation of your performance as facilitator.
- Solicit suggestions for future STEX.

Appendix C: STEX Props and Accessories

A number of props and accessories may be used for STEX. The possibilities are unlimited. The short list provided below will get you started:

- Incident Response Pocket Guide (IRPG), PMS 461,
<https://www.nwcg.gov/publications/461> 1 per player
- Box of multi-colored poker chips (Paper icons for various resources can be adhered to them using various colors of the chips to denote air, personnel, and fire equipment type resources) 1 box
- Small wood blocks (to represent structures) 6-10
- Polyester or cotton batting (to represent smoke) 1 small bag
- Toy plastic figures (Micromachine fire trucks & dozers, soldiers to represent firefighters/crews, etc.) Assortment
- Toy helicopters and airplanes (can be attached to soft wire) 1-2 of each
- Yarn or P-cord (appropriate colors) to represent roads, color fireline, streams, etc.) 10 feet each
- “Will-be-back” clock (to show time elapsing) 1 each
- 12” x 3” arrow (to indicate wind direction) 1 each
- Flipchart or chalk board to display pertinent information 1 each
- Masking tape to display scale of table and N/E/W/S (Use 1½” or 2” wide and tape to edge of table, also can be used for temporary role player position labels) 2 rolls
- Card stock for labeling divisions, role player prompts, etc. Assortment
- Tarp (to cover sand from varmint/cat use during storage) 1 each

Appendix D: TDGS Template

This template is available as a Word document at:

<https://www.nwccg.gov/sites/default/files/wfldp/docs/tdg-submission-template.docx>

Initial Facilitator Information—Not to Be Shared With Students

Title:

Author(s):

Target Audience:

Training Objective:

Given the following scenario, players...

...Players should verbally communicate their decisions to the appropriate individuals.

Resources Referenced:

Scenario Information to Be Shared With Students

Facilitator Briefing to Student(s):

Fire environment conditions:

Temperature:

Relative humidity:

Winds:

Sky:

You are...

You have 5 minutes to determine a course of action and prepare any communication contacts you think are necessary.

Additional Information for Facilitator Only

Facilitator Murphy's Law Suggestions:

The Murphy's Law suggestions listed below can be added as what ifs at any time during the scenario to raise the stress level of the leader. You can also use one of your own.

Facilitator's Notes:

This TDGS should focus on...

After Action Review:

Conduct an AAR with focus on the training objective.

TDGS shouldn't have a single solution, keep the focus of the AAR on what decisions were made and why.

Map

The *Design and Delivery of Tactical Decision Games* is developed and maintained by the Leadership Subcommittee (LSC) under the direction of the Operations and Training Committee (OTC), an entity of the National Wildfire Coordinating Group (NWCG).

Previous editions: 2011

While they may still contain current or useful information, previous editions are obsolete. The user of this information is responsible for confirming that they have the most up-to-date version. NWCG is the sole source for the publication.

This publication is available electronically at <https://www.nwcg.gov/publications/468-1>.

Comments, questions, and recommendations shall be submitted to the appropriate agency program manager assigned to the LSC. View the complete roster at <https://www.nwcg.gov/committees/leadership-subcommittee/roster>.

Publications and training materials produced by NWCG are in the public domain. Use of public domain information, including copying, is permitted. Use of NWCG information within another document is permitted if NWCG information is accurately credited to NWCG. The NWCG logo may not be used except on NWCG authorized information. “National Wildfire Coordinating Group,” “NWCG,” and the NWCG logo are trademarks of NWCG.

The use of trade, firm, or corporation names or trademarks in NWCG products is solely for the information and convenience of the reader and does not constitute endorsement by NWCG or its member agencies or any product or service to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

This NWCG publication may contain links to information created and maintained by other non-Federal public and/or private organizations. These organizations may have different policies from those of NWCG. Please note that NWCG does not control and cannot guarantee the relevance, timeliness, or accuracy of these outside materials.