Deep Stochastic Block Models for Measuring Political Engagement

by

Cameron Raymond

A thesis submitted to the

School of Computing

in conformity with the requirements for

the course of CISC 500 - Undergraduate Thesis

Queen's University

Kingston, Ontario, Canada

March 2020

Supervisor: Dr. Robin Dawes

Abstract

This is my abstract.

Acknowledgments

Blah blah blah.

Contents

Introduction

1.1   Background

The advent of social media has enabled political parties to engage with the broader populous in new and unforeseen ways. The ability to bypass the traditional mediating forces of mass media allows for an unfiltered promotion of policy, ideology and party stances. This is specifically interesting in Canada's political system which has historically been defined by large brokerage parties. In order to win a diverse range of electoral districts across Canada, these "big tent" parties try to appeal to various political persuasions. Political adverts, and policy have traditionally been the conduits through which brokerage parties attempt to accommodate different ideologies, but social media allows for a direct, granular approach to political messaging which is completely novel. Social networks, formed through new media like Twitter, are inherently relational and thus lend themselves well to being represented as graphs. Therefore, as political strategy becomes increasingly digital, the use of graph theory can potentially illustrate how large brokerage parties organize and along what axes Canadians engage with political parties.

1.2 Motivation

The way information is distributed and received has changed significantly over the past decade. Cogburn and Espinoza-Vasquez argue that Barrack Obama's 2008 presidential campaign was a watershed moment in social media campaigning - and in the subsequent decade, from Macron to Brexit to the Five Star Movement, social media has played an increasing role in how politics is conducted [2]. Between 2013 and 2018, the share of Canadian federal media expenditure spent on digital advertising rose from 27% to 65%, a 140% increase, making the study of new media critical from a social science perspective [1]. Additionally, rises in political polarization, populism and a decline in trust in political institutions in the 21st century has been a topic of popular debate. Ezra Klein argues in his 2019 book, *Why We're Polarized*, that this due to a shift in preferences for parties over policies [5]. If this is the case, then this preference to engage along party lines rather than choosing to engage with specific issues should pattern engagement. An empirical analysis of how users behave and engage with political parties online should privilege the relational aspect of social media. Social network analysis helps avoid the pitfalls of survey data, famously described by Allen Barton as "a sociological meat grinder, tearing the individual from [their] social context" [3].

Graph theory's use in social network analysis, also called network science, has already been applied to explore problems in marketing, sociology and epidemiology - but there is a gap in analysis of political engagement online. Therefore, the contribution of this thesis is a novel, robust mathematical process for analyzing different axes of political engagement online in a purely relational manner. A secondary outcome of making relationships a "first-class citizen" in this work will be an organic analysis

of what issues produce the most engagement. This deviates from traditional survey data that ask test subjects which issues concern them - and instead uses observations of past behaviour to model variations in local connectivity to answer the question: "what do people actually care about?" All of this will be done in the context of the 2019 Canadian federal election and the tweets of Canada's five major, english speaking party leaders: Andrew Scheer, Elizabeth May, Jagmeet Singh, Justin Trudeau, and Maxime Bernier.

1.3 Research Question

The primary question concerning this project is: in the lead up to the 2019 Canadian federal election, did politically active users on Twitter engage with political elites along the axis of issues (also referred to as topics or policies) or parties? If Ezra Klein is correct, then *who* produces the message will pattern engagement more than *what* the message is; this will act as the initial null hypothesis.

The secondary question to be explored is: during this period, what topics produced the highest level of engagement? And, what topics were important to the broader populous versus a party leader's base?

Social Media and Politics

2.0.1 Political Communication in the Digital Era

Technological innovation often precedes political disruption; Martin Luther's 95 theses could only be spread at scale by virtue of Gutenberg inventing his printing press first [4]. This example had obvious political ramifications and is a testament to the impact of the means of communication on the political sphere. McNair defines political communication as:

1. All forms of communication undertaken by politicians and other political actors for the purpose of achieving specific objectives.

2. Communication addressed to these actors by non-politicians such as voters and newspaper columnists.

3. Communication about these actors and their activities, as contained in news reports, editorials, and other forms of media discussion of politics. [6]

This is a broad, outward focused definition that includes most public, political discourse - verbal or otherwise. However, social media has allowed all three aspects of this definition to be changed in novel ways. Most notably, the rate at which politicians can communicate information to achieve "specific objectives" has increased as

a result of rapid nature of social media, and the granularity at which actors can target the these messages has gotten smaller due to the massive swaths of user data available [7]. Therefore, the value of using computational methods to study political communication in the 21st century is a natural fit.

Technologies Implications for Democratization

2.0.2 Canadian Brokerage Politics

ahh yeah boiii. Explain what brokerage parties are

Rationale

Why canada is a good case for this kind of question

Modelling Unstructured Text Data

3.1 Background

Blah blah blah

3.2 Latent Dirichlet Allocation

Blah blah blah

Graph Theory and Computational Social Science

4.1 Graph Theory

Where the graphs at...

4.1.1 Background

Woohoo euler

4.1.2 Spectral Graph Theory

An excerpt from an Alloy

4.1.3 Network Laplacian Spectral Descriptor

Hellll ya

4.2 Random Graphs

Where the graphs at...

4.2.1 Background

Hellll Ya

4.2.2 Stochastic Block Models

Hellll Ya

4.3 Measures of Centrality

Where the graphs at...

4.3.1 Background

Hellll Ya

4.3.2 Eigenvector Centrality

Hellll Ya

Thesis Contribution

Here we go This is the big one

5.1 Topic Modelling

Model topics?

5.1.1 Hyper-Parameter Tuning

5.1.2 Results

5.2 Topic Centrality

5.2.1 Total Network Topic Centrality

Define what it is, show the full graph again.

5.2.2 Party Leader Topic Centrality

Now, consider a fact stating that relation $\mathbf{r}$ is total, i.e.,

5.2.3 Results

...upper bound on Embee's performance:

$$\text{upper bound is} \begin{cases} O(bN^2) & \text{if } scope \leq 16 \\ O(bF) & \text{if } scope > 16 \end{cases}$$

5.3 Deep Stochastic Block Models

5.3.1 Artificial Neural Network Adaptation

5.3.2 NetLSD for Describing Political Engagment

5.3.3 Results

Summary and Conclusions

6.1   Summary

6.2   Other Work

6.2.1   International Network for Social Network Analysis

6.3   Future Work

6.4   Conclusion

Bibliography

[1] *Annual report on Government of Canada advertising activities*. Public Works and Government Services Canada., 2018.

[2] Derrick L Cogburn and Fatima K Espinoza-Vasquez. From networked nominee to networked nation: Examining the impact of web 2.0 and social media on political participation and civic engagement in the 2008 obama campaign. *Journal of political marketing*, 10(1-2):189–213, 2011.

[3] Linton Freeman. The development of social network analysis. *A Study in the Sociology of Science*, 1:687, 2004.

[4] Nathan Gardels and Nicolas Berggruen. *Renovating democracy: Governing in the age of globalization and digital capitalism*, volume 1. Univ of California Press, 2019.

[5] Steven Levitsky and Daniel Ziblatt. *How democracies die*. Broadway Books, 2018.

[6] Brian McNair. *An introduction to political communication*. Taylor & Francis, 2017.

[7] David W Nickerson and Todd Rogers. Political campaigns and big data. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(2):51–74, 2014.