

ADVANCEMENT OF ROTORDYNAMIC TOPICS

A Thesis

presented to

the Faculty of California Polytechnic State University,

San Luis Obispo

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

by

Cameron Naugle

April 2018

© 2018  
Cameron Naugle  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

## COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

TITLE: Advancement of Rotordynamic topics

AUTHOR: Cameron Naugle

DATE SUBMITTED: April 2018

COMMITTEE CHAIR: Xi (Julia) Wu, Ph.D.  
Professor of Mechanical Engineering

COMMITTEE MEMBER: TBD  
Professor of Mechanical Engineering

COMMITTEE MEMBER: TBD  
Professor of Mechanical Engineering

## ABSTRACT

Advancement of Rotordynamic topics

Cameron Naugle

Your abstract goes in here

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to:

-

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	viii
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	ix
CHAPTER	
1 Finite Element Method For Rotordynamic systems . . . . .	1
1.1 Beam Element FE Equation . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Bernoulli-Euler Beam equation . . . . .	1
1.1.2 Timoshenko Beam Finite Element . . . . .	3
1.1.2.1 Kinematic Relationships . . . . .	3
1.1.2.2 Internal Constitutive Relationship . . . . .	6
1.1.2.3 Differential Equations of Motion . . . . .	9
1.1.2.4 Shape Functions . . . . .	13
1.1.2.5 Finite Equations of Motion . . . . .	18
1.1.2.6 Rotating Internal Damping . . . . .	18
1.1.2.7 Beam Element in Complex Coordinates . . . . .	21
1.2 Disk Nodal Equations . . . . .	22
1.2.1 Disk in complex coordinates . . . . .	24
1.3 Bearing Nodal Equations . . . . .	24
1.3.1 Bearing in Complex Coordinates . . . . .	25
1.4 Assembly of the Global Systems of Equations . . . . .	25
1.4.1 In the Real Coordinate System . . . . .	26
1.4.2 In the Complex Coordinate System . . . . .	26
1.4.3 Code Implementation . . . . .	26
1.4.4 Model Reduction . . . . .	26
1.4.4.1 Static Condensation . . . . .	26
1.5 Model Analysis . . . . .	26
1.5.1 State Space Representation . . . . .	27
1.5.2 Unbalance Response . . . . .	27
1.5.3 Stability Analysis . . . . .	27

1.5.4	Shapes . . . . .	27
1.5.5	Campbell . . . . .	27
1.5.6	RootLocus . . . . .	27
	BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	28
	APPENDICES	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
-------	------



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Free body diagram of a beam section in planar bending. . . . .	2
1.2 Beam Element with nodal displacements. . . . .	4
1.3 Timoshenko beam section with degrees of freedom at some point $x$ along beam axis. . . . .	4
1.4 Beam differential element with generalized forces. . . . .	10
1.5 Beam Element with nodal displacements. . . . .	14
1.6 Shape Functions as they vary with $\zeta$ using two different ratios of length to radius of beam element. . . . .	17
1.7 Depiction of skew angle $\chi$ . . . . .	23

## Chapter 1

### FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR ROTORDYNAMIC SYSTEMS

#### 1.1 Beam Element FE Equation


##### 1.1.1 Bernoulli-Euler Beam equation

Assumptions used to derive the Bernoulli-Euler beam equation are (Complete derivation in [7]):

1. Beam is bending in a plane, in this case in the y-direction, where the x-direction is along the length of the beam.
2. The neutral axis undergoes no deformation in the longitudinal direction.
3. Cross sections remain plane and perpendicular to the neutral axis.
4. The material is linear-elastic.
5. Stresses in the y and z direction are negligible compared to those in the x direction.
6. Rotary inertial effects are not considered.
7. Mass density is constant at each cross section, so that each mass center is coincident with the centroid of that section.

Using kinematics and assumptions 2 & 3, the strain in the x direction may be related to the curvature of the beam,  $\mu(x, t)$ , and the distance from the neutral axis by

$$\epsilon = -\frac{y}{\mu} \tag{1.1}$$



**Figure 1.1: Free body diagram of a beam section in planar bending.**

then, with assumption 4 & 7 the relation from curvature to moment is

$$M(x, t) = \frac{EI}{\mu} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $E$ , Young's modulus, and  $I$ , area moment of inertia are constant in cross sections. By using Newton's laws and the free body diagram of a single beam element, see Figure 1.1, the equations of motion are summarized as:

$$\sum F_y = \Delta m \ddot{v} \quad \& \quad \sum M_G = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

Moment equation is represented as moments summarized at the center of mass,  $G$ . The right hand side of moment equation of EOM (1.3) is know to be null due to assumption 6. Applying Newton's equations (1.3) to the FBD of Figure 1.1 results in the force equation

$$F(x, t) - F(x + \Delta x, t) = \rho A \Delta x \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \quad (1.4)$$

and moment equation

$$-M(x, t) + M(x + \Delta x, t) + F(x, t) \left( \frac{-\Delta x}{2} \right) + [-F(x + \Delta x, t)] \left( \frac{\Delta x}{2} \right) = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

Taking the limit of equations (1.4) & (1.5) as  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$  results in equations (1.6) & (1.7) respectively.

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = -\rho A \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - F = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

Assuming the beam slope,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ , remains relatively small, then linearized curvature of the beam is inversely related to  $\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}$ . Substituting this linearized curvature in (1.2) produces

$$M(x, t) = EI \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \quad (1.8)$$

Using linearized moment equation (1.8), combined with (1.6) & (1.7) lends the Euler beam equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( EI \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \right) = -\rho A \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \quad (1.9)$$

This is the governing differential equation for transverse motion of a slender beam. This equation is not suitable for an application involving lengths that are not much greater than the width of the beam [16].

### 1.1.2 Timoshenko Beam Finite Element

The Timoshenko beam element allows for the beam cross section plane at any axis location to differ from normal with the axis of the beam. In other words, the element allows for shear stresses. This element often also includes the effects of rotary inertia and gyroscopic moments, as it will in this derivation. Generalized displacements used are assumed to be variable in both time and space. The element has six degrees of freedom. Three translation and three rotations all defined on the beam axis. So then all displacements are functions of time,  $t$ , and the axial spacial coordinate,  $x$ .

#### 1.1.2.1 Kinematic Relationships

In order to develop the stresses and strains internal to the beam, and subsequently the equations of motion, the motion of some arbitrary point on the beam must be defined in terms of the generalized coordinates. Motion of two points is taken into




Figure 1.2: Beam Element with nodal displacements.




Figure 1.3: Timoshenko beam section with degrees of freedom at some point  $x$  along beam axis.

consideration to assist in dividing the motion into a translation and a rotation. These points are shown in Figure 1.2. The first point,  $C$ , falls on the beam axis at location  $x$ , and in the undeformed configuration, the vector  $\vec{r}_c = \overrightarrow{OC}$  forms a right angle with the surface of the cross section. The second point,  $P$  is at some arbitrary  $(y, z)$  location on the cross section. The vector  $\vec{t} = \overrightarrow{CP}$  points from the beam axis to the point along the cross section. If we follow this point  $P$  we will be able to define the motion of the cross section as a whole, or more succinctly, to define the displacements  $u_p, v_p, w_p$  in terms of the coordinates  $u, v, w, \psi, \theta$ , &  $\phi$ . The motion of point  $P$  is split into translation and rotation, where  $\vec{t}$  is rotated and  $\vec{r}_c$  is translated to point to the deformed location  $P'$ . The vector pointing to  $P$  in the undeformed state is defined as

$$\vec{r} = \vec{r}_c + \vec{t} \quad (1.10)$$

Rotations are represented with a rotation transformation matrix,

$$\vec{t}' = \underline{R}\vec{t} \quad (1.11)$$

The linearized first order rotational matrix for small angles is represented by

$$\underline{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\theta & \psi \\ \theta & 1 & -\phi \\ -\psi & \phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.12)$$

and the translation with a displacement vector

$$\vec{r}'_c = \vec{r}_c + \vec{u} \quad (1.13)$$

Combined motion from  $P$  to  $P'$  can be defined by

$$\vec{u}_p = \vec{r}' - \vec{r} \quad (1.14)$$

where  $\vec{u}_p$  is the vector containing  $u_p, v_p$ , &  $w_p$ . The vector definitions for  $\vec{r}'$  and  $\vec{r}$  are substituted to the above equation to obtain

$$\vec{u}_p = \vec{r}'_c + \vec{t}' - \vec{r}_c + \vec{t} \quad (1.15)$$

and now using the definition for  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{t}$  leads to the simplified expression for the motion of  $P$

$$\vec{u}_p = \vec{u} + (\underline{R} - \underline{I})\vec{t} \quad (1.16)$$

expanding matrices reveals the equation

$$\vec{u}_p = \begin{Bmatrix} u_p \\ v_p \\ w_p \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta & \psi \\ \theta & 0 & -\phi \\ -\psi & \phi & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ z \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.17)$$

Therefore, the motion of any point on the beam may be approximated with

$$\vec{u}_p = \begin{Bmatrix} u - \theta y + \psi z \\ v - \phi z \\ w + \phi y \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.18)$$

#### 1.1.2.2 Internal Constitutive Relationship

Stresses are assumed to exist in the beam in the axial direction, and in shear on the face of the beam section. Stresses in the transverse, or the y and z, directions are assumed negligible. Shear stresses out of the plane section are assumed to be vanishing as the differential element shrinks. Internal damping is to be considered independently from this material constitutive relationship. Written out, these stresses are represented by the matrix

$$\sigma_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{xz} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.19)$$

using the Hooke's Law for a linear elastic isotropic material, expressed as

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{E}[(1 + \nu)\sigma_{ij} - \nu\delta_{ij}\sigma_{kk}] \quad (1.20)$$

allows the determination of the stress strain relationship in engineering notation as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2G & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2G \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_{xx} \\ \epsilon_{xy} \\ \epsilon_{xz} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.21)$$

where,  $G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$ . Strains are derived from displacements of equation (1.18) using a linear strain-displacement relationship for infinitesimal strains:  $2\epsilon_{ij} = u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}$ .

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{xx} = u' - \theta'y + \psi'z \\ \epsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}(v' - \phi'z - \theta) \\ \epsilon_{xz} = \frac{1}{2}(w' + \phi'y + \psi) \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

Note that in the case of the Euler-Bernoulli beam derivation the slope in a transverse direction displacement is equal to the rotation angle about the orthogonal transverse axis, i.e.,  $v' = \theta$  and  $w' = \psi$ . Application of those would reduce the system to the Euler-Bernoulli beam.

It will be proven useful to introduce generalized strains that group strain contributions above as axial, bending, torsion, and shear, represented by the symbols  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\gamma$ , respectively.

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon = u' \\ \rho_y = -\theta' \\ \rho_z = \psi' \\ \varphi = \phi' \\ \gamma_y = v' - \theta \\ \gamma_z = w' + \psi \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

allowing the representation of the strains from eq (1.22) using the generalized strains as:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon + \rho_y y + \rho_z z \\ \epsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_y - \varphi z) \\ \epsilon_{xz} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_z + \varphi y) \end{cases} \quad (1.24)$$



Now the stresses in equation (1.21) can be represented with the displacements as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xz} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} E(u' - \theta'y + \psi'z) \\ G(v' - \phi'z - \theta) \\ G(w' + \phi'y + \psi) \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} E(\varepsilon + \rho_y y + \rho_z z) \\ G(\gamma_y - \varphi z) \\ G(\gamma_z + \varphi y) \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.25)$$

$$U = \int_V \sigma_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} dV \quad (1.26)$$

Now the inner product of the total virtual strain energy can be expanded

$$U = \int_V [\sigma_{xx} \epsilon_{xx} + 2\sigma_{xy} \epsilon_{xy} + 2\sigma_{xz} \epsilon_{xz}] dV \quad (1.27)$$

Then expand virtual strains into the generalized strains corresponding to the degrees of freedom of the beam element and collect terms on generalized strains

$$U = \int_V [\sigma_{xx} \varepsilon + \sigma_{xx} y \rho_y + \sigma_{xx} z \rho_z + \sigma_{xy} \gamma_y + \sigma_{xz} \gamma_z + (\sigma_{xz} y - \sigma_{xy} z) \varphi] dV \quad (1.28)$$

this internal mechanical energy expression allows us to recognize stresses conjugate with each generalized strain as the corresponding stress for that phenomena. Integration allows the determination of the forces and moments related to each generalized strain as

$$\begin{cases} N = \int_A \sigma_{xx} dA = E(A\varepsilon + S_y \rho_y + S_z \rho_z) \\ M_y = \int_A \sigma_{xx} z dA = E(S_z \varepsilon + I_{xy} \rho_y + I_y \rho_z) \\ M_z = \int_A \sigma_{xx} y dA = E(S_y \varepsilon + I_z \rho_y + I_{xy} \rho_z) \\ Q_y = \int_A \sigma_{xy} dA = \kappa G(A\gamma_y - S_z \varphi) \\ Q_z = \int_A \sigma_{xz} dA = \kappa G(A\gamma_z + S_y \varphi) \\ M_x = \int_A (\sigma_{xz} y - \sigma_{xy} z) dA = \kappa G(A_y \gamma_z - A_z \gamma_y + J_x \varphi) \end{cases} \quad (1.29)$$

$$\text{where, } \begin{cases} \kappa = \frac{6(1+\nu)}{7+6\nu}, \text{ for circular cross sections.} \\ A = \int_A dA \quad S_y = \int_A y dA \\ S_z = \int_A z dA \quad I_y = \int_A z^2 dA \\ I_z = \int_A y^2 dA \quad J_x = I_y + I_z \end{cases}$$

$\kappa$  is the shear coefficient which attempts to correct for the fact that the shear strain is not constant over the beam cross section. Assuming the central axis of the beam is coincident with the shear center, then  $A_y = A_z = I_{xy} = 0$ . Which simplifies the conjugate forces to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} N = EA\varepsilon = EAu' \\ M_y = EI_y\rho_z = EI_y\psi' \\ M_z = EI_z\rho_y = -EI_z\theta' \\ Q_y = \kappa GA\gamma_y = \kappa GA(v' - \theta) \\ Q_z = \kappa GA\gamma_z = \kappa GA(w' + \psi) \\ M_x = \kappa GJ_x\varphi = \kappa GJ_x\phi' \end{array} \right. \quad (1.30)$$

### 1.1.2.3 Differential Equations of Motion

Now the equations of motion are derived for the Timoshenko beam element. External forces are not included in this derivation. Though they may easily be added to the diagram of figure 1.4 and included in the analysis. It is also assumed that the cross section remains planar during deformation and the material properties are homogeneous through time and space. The derivation is the same as for a Euler-Bernoulli beam with the exception of the constitutive relations used at the end and the inclusion of torsion and axial degrees of freedom. Using conservation of momentum and conservation of the moment of momentum a relationship between inertia and internal forces is developed. Applying summation of forces in the x-direction

$$(N + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} dx) - (N - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} dx) = \rho A dx \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad (1.31)$$




Figure 1.4: Beam differential element with generalized forces.

by Simplifying the above equation, and performing the same steps for the other directions and moments we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = \rho A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \\ \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial x} = \rho A \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \\ \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial x} = \rho A \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\ \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial x} - Q_z = \rho I_y \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \rho J_x \Omega \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial M_z}{\partial x} + Q_y = \rho I_z \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} - \rho J_x \Omega \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} = \rho J_x \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \end{array} \right. \quad (1.32)$$

Gyroscopic moments have been explicitly added to the summations in the appropriate equations. The generalized forces of equation (1.30) are substituted in the equilibrium equations (1.32)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} EAu'' = \rho A \ddot{u} \\ \kappa GA(v'' - \theta') = \rho A \ddot{v} \\ \kappa GA(w'' + \psi') = \rho A \ddot{w} \\ EI_y \psi'' - \kappa GA(w' + \psi) = \rho I_y \ddot{\psi} + \rho J_x \Omega \dot{\theta} \\ EI_z \theta'' + \kappa GA(v' - \theta) = \rho I_z \ddot{\theta} - \rho J_x \Omega \dot{\psi} \\ \kappa G J_x \phi'' = \rho J_x \ddot{\phi} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} (1.33a) \\ (1.33b) \\ (1.33c) \\ (1.33d) \\ (1.33e) \\ (1.33f) \end{array}$$

In matrix form, this system of equations can be represented by this equation

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \ddot{\underline{\mathbf{u}}} + \Omega \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \dot{\underline{\mathbf{u}}} - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \underline{\mathcal{S}}^e - \underline{\mathcal{P}}^e \underline{\mathcal{S}}^e \right) \underline{\mathbf{u}} = 0 \quad (1.34)$$

where,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \rho A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho I_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho I_z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho J_x \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho J_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\rho J_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \underline{\mathcal{P}}^e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{\mathcal{S}}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa G A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 & -\kappa G A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa G A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \kappa G A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E I_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E I_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & E A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa G J_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right. \quad (1.35)$$

and  $\vec{\mathbf{u}} = [v, w, \psi, \theta, u, \phi]^\top$ . The principle of virtual displacements is utilized on the equations of motion to obtain the weak form of the equations of motion and integrated over the length of the beam.

$$\int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}} dx + \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \Omega \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \dot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}} - \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \underline{\mathcal{S}}^e \vec{\mathbf{u}} dx + \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\mathcal{S}}^e \vec{\mathbf{u}} dx = 0 \quad (1.36)$$

integration by parts on the third term and replacing  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ , and making use of the Identity matrix,  $\underline{\mathbf{I}}$

$$\int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}} dx + \Omega \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \dot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}} + \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \underline{\mathbf{I}} + \underline{\mathcal{P}} \right) \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathcal{B}} \vec{\mathbf{u}} dx = 0 \quad (1.37)$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa G A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa G A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E I_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E I_z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & E A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa G J_x \end{bmatrix} \quad \& \quad \underline{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.38)$$

Notice that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \underline{\mathbf{I}} + \underline{\mathcal{P}} = \underline{\mathcal{B}}^\top$  so the equation of motion becomes

$$\int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}} dx + \Omega \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \dot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}} + \int_0^l \delta \vec{\mathbf{u}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{B}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathcal{B}} \vec{\mathbf{u}} dx = 0 \quad (1.39)$$


$\underline{\mathcal{M}}^e$  is the inertia of the element,  $\underline{\mathcal{G}}^e$  is the rotating inertia,  $\underline{\mathcal{D}}^e$  is the material stress-strain relationship, and  $\underline{\mathcal{B}}^e$  is the strain-displacement operator. The solution of this differential system motivates a separation of variables that will be discussed in the next section.

#### 1.1.2.4 Shape Functions

The displacements thus far have been assumed to be functions of both position and time. Now the total displacement is separated into functions that depend on time and functions that depend on position. This is a fundamental part of the discretization of the beam element, and the use the finite element method.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{\mathbf{u}}(x, t) = \underline{\mathbf{N}}(x)\vec{\mathbf{q}}(t) \\ \dot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}}(x, t) = \underline{\mathbf{N}}(x)\dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}(t) \\ \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{u}}}(x, t) = \underline{\mathbf{N}}(x)\ddot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}(t) \\ \delta\vec{\mathbf{u}}(x, t) = \underline{\mathbf{N}}(x)\delta\vec{\mathbf{q}}(t) \end{array} \right. \quad (1.40)$$

where,  $\vec{\mathbf{u}} = [v, w, -\psi, \theta, u, \phi]^\top$  &  $\vec{\mathbf{q}} = [v_1, w_1, -\psi_1, \theta_1, v_2, w_2, -\psi_2, \theta_2, u_1, \phi_1, u_2, \phi_2]^\top$ . This specific order of  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}$  is chosen with  $u$  and  $\phi$  at the end to ease the condensation of the axial and torsional degrees of freedom out of the system if their use is not necessary for the system of interest.  $\psi$  angles are defined as negative to allow for the same stiffness matrix to define the motion in both planes, and more importantly, to allow for the use of the complex plane to simplify the problem. The shape functions  $\underline{\mathbf{N}}(x)$  interpolate the displacements between the beam ends. These functions must solve the static portion of the differential equations (1.33). These shape functions are chosen as a polynomials that satisfy the boundary nodal displacements and rotations at the ends of a beam element. These nodal degrees of freedom, depicted in Figure 1.5 are considered to be interpolated through the beam element by the shape functions. Interpolation functions chosen are listed in Equation (1.41). Axial displacement,  $u$ , and torsional rotation,  $\phi$  are independent, so their shape functions are



**Figure 1.5: Beam Element with nodal displacements.**

chosen as polynomials that satisfy the differential equation. Conversely, transverse displacements,  $v$  &  $w$ , and bending rotations,  $\psi$  &  $\theta$  are coupled. Coupling of the shape functions has been proven to reduce some negative effects of linearly interpolated elements [25]. Polynomial functions are chosen for  $v$  &  $w$  and their rotational counterparts are derived using the differential relations.

$$\begin{cases} u = c_1 + c_2x & (1.41a) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} v = c_3 + c_4x + c_5x^2 + c_6x^3 & (1.41b) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} w = c_7 + c_8x + c_9x^2 + c_{10}x^3 & (1.41c) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \phi = c_{11} + c_{12}x & (1.41d) \end{cases}$$

$c_{1,2,\dots}$  are the unknown constants of the polynomial solutions. Using transverse displacement of equations (1.41b) & (1.41c) in the differential equations (1.33b), (1.33c),

(1.33d), (1.33e) the interpolation functions of bending rotations are derived as:

$$\begin{cases} \psi = K_y c_{10} - c_8 - 2c_9 x - 3c_{10} x^2 & (1.42a) \\ \theta = K_z c_6 + c_4 + 2c_5 x + 3c_6 x^2 & (1.42b) \end{cases}$$

where,  $K_y = \frac{6EI_y}{\kappa GA}$  &  $K_z = \frac{6EI_z}{\kappa GA}$  Boundary Conditions Boundary conditions for the interpolation polynomials of equations (1.41) & (1.42) are defined as the components of the vector  $\bar{\mathbf{q}}u_j = u(x_j)$  and similarly for other degrees of freedom. Where,  $j = 1, 2$  and defines the two states. In this derivation,  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = l$ . Application of these boundary condition results in this relation between the polynomial constants and the boundary conditions.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & l & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & l & l^2 & l^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & l & l^2 & l^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -K_y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2l & -K_y - 3l^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & K_z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2l & K_z + 3l^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & l \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \\ c_9 \\ c_{10} \\ c_{11} \\ c_{12} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.43)$$

Inversion of this matrix results in a system of equations defining the constant  $c_1$  through  $c_{12}$ . These constants are then substituted in to the polynomial expressions



(1.41) & (1.42) giving the interpolations as functions of the nodal displacements.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u = N_1 u_1 + N_2 u_2 \\ v = T_{t_1 y} v_1 + T_{t_2 y} v_2 + T_{r_1 y} \theta_1 + T_{r_2 y} \theta_2 \\ w = T_{t_1 z} w_1 + T_{t_2 z} w_2 + T_{r_1 z} \psi_1 + T_{r_2 z} \psi_2 \\ \psi = R_{t_1 z} w_1 + R_{t_2 z} w_2 + R_{r_1 z} \psi_1 + R_{r_2 z} \psi_2 \\ \theta = R_{t_1 y} v_1 + R_{t_2 y} v_2 + R_{r_1 y} \theta_1 + R_{r_2 y} \theta_2 \\ \phi = N_1 \phi_1 + N_2 \phi_2 \end{array} \right. \quad (1.44)$$

with;

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} N_1 = 1 - \zeta & N_2 = \zeta \\ T_{t_1 y, z} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} (2\zeta^3 - 3\zeta^2 - \alpha_{y, z} \zeta + 1 + \alpha_{y, z}) & T_{t_2 y, z} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} (-2\zeta^3 + 3\zeta^2 + \alpha_{y, z} \zeta) \\ T_{r_1 y, z} = \frac{l}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} [\zeta^3 - (2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{y, z}) \zeta^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{y, z}) \zeta] & T_{r_2 y, z} = \frac{l}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} [\zeta^3 - (1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{y, z}) \zeta^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{y, z} \zeta] \\ R_{t_1 y, z} = \frac{6/l}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} (\zeta^2 - \zeta) & R_{t_2 y, z} = \frac{6/l}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} (-\zeta^2 + \zeta) \\ R_{r_1 y, z} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} (3\zeta^2 - (4 + \alpha_{y, z}) \zeta + 1 + \alpha_{y, z}) & R_{r_2 y, z} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_{y, z}} (3\zeta^2 - (2 - \alpha_{y, z}) \zeta) \end{array} \right. \quad (1.45)$$


where,  $\alpha_y = 2K_y/l^2 = \frac{12EI_y}{\kappa GAl^2}$ ,  $\alpha_z = 2K_z/l^2 = \frac{12EI_z}{\kappa GAl^2}$ , &  $\zeta = x/l$ . (1.44) is expressed in matrix form as it appears in (1.40) where

$$\underline{\mathbf{N}}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{t_1 y} & 0 & 0 & T_{r_1 y} & T_{t_2 y} & 0 & 0 & T_{r_2 y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{t_1 z} & T_{r_1 z} & 0 & 0 & T_{t_2 z} & T_{r_2 z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{t_1 z} & R_{r_1 z} & 0 & 0 & R_{t_2 z} & R_{r_2 z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{t_1 y} & 0 & 0 & R_{r_1 y} & R_{t_2 y} & 0 & 0 & R_{r_2 y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_1 & 0 & N_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_1 & 0 & N_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.46)$$

still with the generalized displacement vector  $\vec{\mathbf{q}} = [v_1, w_1, -\psi_1, \theta_1, v_2, w_2, -\psi_2, \theta_2, u_1, \phi_1, u_2, \phi_2]^T$

Shape functions depend on the term  $\alpha$  which is sometimes called the shear correction factor. This shear correction factor is proportional to the square of the ratio of radius to length of the beam element. So, as the length increases relative to the radius,  $\alpha$  tends to zero. It will be evident in the following section that as  $\alpha$  approaches

zero, the equations of motion approach the equations of the Bernoulli-Euler beam. A spatial representation of the shape functions of equation (1.45) is given in figure 1.6. Shape functions plotted with respect to the non-dimensional length lend a visu-



**Figure 1.6: Shape Functions as they vary with  $\zeta$  using two different ratios of length to radius of beam element.**

alization to the contribution of each shape function. Shape functions for axial and torsion are omitted since they are just linear polynomials. Each individual plot can be interpreted as a transformation from the input, being the coordinate multiplied to it, to the output of the variable function. For instance, the first shape function plot is the output of  $v(x)$  with an input of  $v_1$  while all other coordinates are zero. The shape makes sense under this interpretation, as the translation starts at some value,  $v_1$  and decreases to zero at the end since  $v_2$  is zero. Also, the expected shape breaks as the radius approaches the length as in Figure 1.6b. All shapes this beam will make in the model is a linear combination of the shapes shown here.

### 1.1.2.5 Finite Equations of Motion

To obtain the equations of motion in terms of the generalized coordinates,  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}$ , displacement variables  $\vec{\mathbf{u}}$  are replaced with definitions in equation 1.40.

$$\int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \delta \vec{\mathbf{q}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} dx + \Omega \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \delta \vec{\mathbf{q}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} dx + \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \delta \vec{\mathbf{q}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{B}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathcal{B}} \underline{\mathbf{N}} \vec{\mathbf{q}} dx = 0 \quad (1.47)$$

Note that  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}$  is not dependent on  $x$  so it, and it's derivatives, may be pulled out of the integrals. Define  $\underline{\mathbf{B}} = \underline{\mathcal{B}} \underline{\mathbf{N}}$  and substitute in, noting that  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$  interpolates strains from discrete displacements  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}$ . The motion equations are then

$$\int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} dx \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} + \Omega \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} dx \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} + \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{B}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathbf{B}} dx \vec{\mathbf{q}} = 0 \quad (1.48)$$

Define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathbf{M}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{M}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} dx \\ \underline{\mathbf{G}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} dx \\ \underline{\mathbf{K}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{B}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathbf{B}} dx \end{array} \right. \quad (1.49a)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathbf{G}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{N}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{G}}^e \underline{\mathbf{N}} dx \\ \underline{\mathbf{K}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{B}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathbf{B}} dx \end{array} \right. \quad (1.49b)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{B}}^\top \underline{\mathcal{D}}^e \underline{\mathbf{B}} dx \end{array} \right. \quad (1.49c)$$

so that, the general equations of motion for the timoshenko beam element are

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}^e \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} + \Omega \underline{\mathbf{G}}^e \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} + \underline{\mathbf{K}}^e \vec{\mathbf{q}} = 0 \quad (1.50)$$

Notwithstanding the inclusion of viscous and hysteretic internal damping phenomena. Derivations, and inclusion of these phenomena in the equations of motion are to be included in the following section.

### 1.1.2.6 Rotating Internal Damping

Rotating damping is the main cause of instability in rotating machines. Non-rotating damping, such as the damping contributions from bearing supports, introduce a stabilizing effect. But, as rotating damping is dependent on rotation, its direction of force

can contribute to destabilization. Typically, friction components such as bearings with shrink fits or oil bearings are responsible for this destabilizing force. Due to the inherent complexity of modeling loose bearing components, or shrink fit dynamics, the analysis of the internal damping in the shaft elements is considered alone. This will allow for the study of the destabilizing effect in general, and the factors that may contribute stability such as structural damping and anisotropy of supports. Another area of interest is the design of components for specific rotor geometry to maximize the stability in the system. This stability analysis is only possible with the inclusion of some destabilizing force, [16],[15],[22],[36].

To motivate understanding of this force a simple derivation is provided with a rotating damping whose force is proportional to the flex of rate of change of the flex of the shaft. Obviously this is easier to define in the rotating reference frame, as the variables in this coordinate system directly represent the flex of the shaft from its neutral position. This relationship is as follows:

$$\vec{\mathcal{F}}_{\xi\eta} = -c_r \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\xi}_c \\ \dot{\eta}_c \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.51)$$

Now to translate this force back to the stationary reference frame, we will see the rotation transformation matrix

$$\underline{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Omega t & \sin \Omega t \\ -\sin \Omega t & \cos \Omega t \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.52)$$

transforms stationary into rotating coordinates

$$\begin{cases} \begin{Bmatrix} \xi_c \\ \eta_c \end{Bmatrix} = \underline{\mathcal{R}} \begin{Bmatrix} y_c \\ z_c \end{Bmatrix} \\ \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\xi}_c \\ \dot{\eta}_c \end{Bmatrix} = \underline{\mathcal{R}} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{y}_c \\ \dot{z}_c \end{Bmatrix} + \dot{\underline{\mathcal{R}}} \begin{Bmatrix} y_c \\ z_c \end{Bmatrix} \end{cases} \quad (1.53)$$

where,

$$\dot{\underline{R}} = \Omega \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \Omega t & \cos \Omega t \\ -\cos \Omega t & -\sin \Omega t \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.54)$$

substituting the second equation in 1.53 for the velocities in 1.51

$$\vec{F}_{xy} = -c_r \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{y}_c \\ \dot{z}_c \end{Bmatrix} - c_r \Omega \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} y_c \\ z_c \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.55)$$

From the equation (1.55) we see a dependence on both velocity and position. The portion dependent on the velocity is inherently stable as pulls opposite the motion. Conversely, the portion dependent on position cross couples the two displacements. This causes a destabilizing effect that grows as  $\Omega$  increases. A net destabilizing force is produced once the latter portion of the exceeds the former. Without the presence of other structural damping forces, the system will destabilize.

For the beam element, the constitutive relationship[36] is comprised of both viscous and hysteretic forms of damping,  $\eta_v$  &  $\eta_h$  respectively.

$$\sigma_{xx} = E \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_{xx}}{\sqrt{1 + \eta_h^2}} + \left( \eta_v + \frac{\eta_h}{\omega \sqrt{1 + \eta_h^2}} \right) \dot{\epsilon}_{xx} \right\} \quad (1.56)$$

through the use of kinematics to obtain strain-displacement relations,

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{xx} &= -r \cos(\Omega - \omega) t \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial x^2} \\ \dot{\epsilon}_{xx} &= (\Omega - \omega) r \sin(\Omega - \omega) t \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial x^2} - r \cos(\Omega - \omega) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial x^2} \end{cases} \quad (1.57)$$

and inspection to obtain moment equations,

$$\begin{cases} M_y &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a [w + r \sin \Omega t] \sigma_{xx} dr (rd(\Omega t)) \\ M_z &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a [-v + r \cos \Omega t] \sigma_{xx} dr (rd(\Omega t)) \end{cases} \quad (1.58)$$

we can complete a moment bending relationship to be used in the equations of motion

$$\begin{Bmatrix} M_y \\ M_z \end{Bmatrix} = EI \begin{bmatrix} \eta_a & \Omega \eta_v + \eta_b \\ \Omega \eta_v + \eta_b & -\eta_a \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} v'' \\ w'' \end{Bmatrix} + EI \begin{bmatrix} \eta_v & 0 \\ 0 & -\eta_v \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{v}'' \\ \dot{w}'' \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.59)$$

where,  $\eta_a = \frac{1 + \eta_h}{\sqrt{1 + \eta_h^2}}$  &  $\eta_b = \frac{\eta_h}{\sqrt{1 + \eta_h^2}}$

Now use the same strategy followed when solving for the weak form of the beam differential equations using the Principle of Virtual Displacements starting at equation (1.36). Then use the separation of variables of defined by equation (1.40) to arrive at the total beam element equations of motion including internal damping as

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}^e \ddot{\underline{\mathbf{q}}} + (\eta_v \underline{\mathbf{K}}^e + \Omega \underline{\mathbf{G}}^e) \dot{\underline{\mathbf{q}}} + [\eta_a \underline{\mathbf{K}}^e + (\Omega \eta_v + \eta_b) \underline{\mathbf{C}}^e] \underline{\mathbf{q}} = 0 \quad (1.60)$$

where,

$$\underline{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \& \quad \underline{\mathbf{C}}^e = \int_0^l \underline{\mathbf{B}}^T \underline{\mathcal{I}} \underline{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\mathbf{B}} dx \quad (1.61)$$

$\underline{\mathbf{C}}^e$  is the “Circulation matrix”, or the skew symmetric stiffness matrix.

#### 1.1.2.7 Beam Element in Complex Coordinates

Complex coordinates collapse the equations of each plane into one set of equations. This lends properties to a axisymmetric rotor systems that will be exploited in the analysis of the model. For the complex analysis in this body of work, the system is assumed to be axisymmetric and the torsional and axial degrees of freedom are omitted. Since the contributions from axial and torsional degrees of freedom are uncoupled from the system, there condensation has no effect on the remainder of system matrices. Complex coordinates used here are defined as:

$$\vec{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{Bmatrix} \vec{r} \\ \vec{p} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} v + iw \\ \theta - i\psi \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.62)$$

Because the element is axisymmetric, and the special form of coordinates is used, symmetric beam equations in one plane hold for the complex plane and skew symmetric matrices become complex versions of the same matrix. Elemental matrices

can be formed using the collapsed version of the shape functions matrix

$$\underline{\mathbf{N}}^c = \begin{bmatrix} T_{t_1 y} & T_{r_1 y} & T_{t_2 y} & T_{r_2 y} \\ R_{t_1} & R_{r_1} & R_{t_2} & R_{r_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.63)$$

in

$$\vec{\mathbf{s}} = \underline{\mathbf{N}}^c \vec{\mathbf{q}}^c \quad (1.64)$$

where  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}^c = [\vec{\mathbf{s}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{s}}_2]^\top$ .

To convince this property of the system in the complex plane, a proof for the elemental equations of motion will be made. Taking equation (1.33b), adding equation (1.33c) multiplied by the imaginary unit  $i$  and using the definition for complex variables  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{r}$  leads to the transverse equation of motion in the complex plane

$$\kappa GA(\vec{r}'' - \vec{p}') = \rho A \ddot{\vec{r}} \quad (1.65)$$

and taking equation (1.33e) and subtracting equation (1.33d) multiplied by  $i$  gives the rotation equation in the complex plane


$$EI \vec{p}'' - \kappa GA(\vec{r}' - \vec{p}) = \rho I \ddot{\vec{p}} + i \rho J \Omega \dot{\vec{p}} \quad (1.66)$$

which by inspection of both of these equations it is evident that the form is the same as equations (1.33b) and (1.33e) except for the imaginary unit on the cross coupled parts of the equation. Now that this idea is motivated, the finite element equations of motion analogous to equation (1.60) are

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}^{ec} \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}^c + (\eta_v \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{ec} - i \Omega \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{ec}) \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}^c + [\eta_a \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{ec} - i(\Omega \eta_v + \eta_b) \underline{\mathbf{C}}^{ec}] \vec{\mathbf{q}}^c = 0 \quad (1.67)$$

## 1.2 Disk Nodal Equations

Since the beam element has been discretized into nodal degrees of freedom, so long as the locations of disks in the model are chosen to coincide with one of these nodal locations, the expressions for stiffness and inertia can be directly combined with the



**Figure 1.7: Depiction of skew angle  $\chi$**

global matrices at that node. The mass element is considered as a body at a point with inertia, gyroscopic moments, and unbalance considered as external forces.

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}^d \ddot{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}_k + \Omega \underline{\mathbf{G}}^d \dot{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}_k = \Omega^2 \vec{\mathbf{F}}^d \quad (1.68)$$

the superscript  $d$  represents that the matrix or array is for a disk, and the subscript  $k$  on the displacement array indicates the array is only displacements for a single node, written out as:  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}_k = [v, w, -\psi, \theta, u, \phi]^\top$ . The matrices and forcing array of (1.68) are as follows,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathbf{M}}^d = \begin{bmatrix} \rho Al & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho Al & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\rho I_z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho I_y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho Al & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho J_x \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{\mathbf{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho J_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho J_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \vec{\mathbf{F}}^d = \begin{bmatrix} \rho Al \epsilon \cos(\Omega t + \delta_\epsilon) \\ \rho Al \epsilon \sin(\Omega t + \delta_\epsilon) \\ \rho(I_y - J_x) \chi \sin(\Omega t) \\ \rho(I_z - J_x) \chi \cos(\Omega t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right. \quad (1.69)$$

Disk unbalance is caused by an eccentricity, or a geometrical distance between the axis of rotation and the center of mass of the disk. Eccentricity is represented here



as  $\epsilon$  and is equivalent to that geometric distance. The moment unbalance forces, the third and fourth equations of  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}^d$  in (1.69), are caused by the skew angle,  $\chi$ , which is the angle the disk major axis forms with the axis of rotation as in Figure 1.7. The major axis is the axis normal from the disk face from which the polar moment of inertia,  $J_x$  is defined.

### 1.2.1 Disk in complex coordinates

Disk equations of motion (1.68) are converted to the complex plane in the same manner the beam element was derived in §1.1.2.7

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}^{dc} \ddot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}_k^c - i\Omega \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{dc} \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}_k^c = \Omega^2 \vec{\mathbf{F}}^{dc} e^{i\Omega t} \quad (1.70)$$

where,

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}}^{dc} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho Al & 0 \\ 0 & \rho I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{G}}^{dc} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho J_x \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\mathbf{F}}^{dc} = \begin{Bmatrix} \rho Al \epsilon e^{i\delta_\epsilon} \\ \rho(I - J_x)\chi \end{Bmatrix}$$

## 1.3 Bearing Nodal Equations

Bearings in this work are to be considered massless points of stiffness and damping acting at a node. Represented by the local equations of motion

$$\underline{\mathbf{D}}^b \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}_k + \underline{\mathbf{K}}^b \vec{\mathbf{q}}_k = 0 \quad (1.71)$$

The superscript <sup>*b*</sup> indicates the matrix is for a bearing. A simple model for the stiffness and damping is used. Structural damping of the bearing is considered to be proportional to the stiffness, Raleigh Damping for the local bearing system. The

stiffness matrix is comprised of only transverse stiffness terms, as

$$\underline{\mathbf{K}}^b = \begin{bmatrix} k_{yy} & k_{yz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k_{zy} & k_{zz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{\mathbf{D}}^b = a\underline{\mathbf{K}}^b \quad (1.72)$$

The stiffness is typically simplified further to represent an orthotropic bearing, where  $k_{yz} = k_{zy} = 0$  or further yet as a isotropic bearing, where  $k_{yz} = k_{zy} = 0$  &  $k_{yy} = k_{zz} = k$ . Generally, these unknown parameters of stiffness are determined by changing the values to achieve the correct natural frequencies of the system.

### 1.3.1 Bearing in Complex Coordinates

Following the same methodology as sections §1.1.2.7 & §1.2.1, the equation of motion in the complex plane is

$$\underline{\mathbf{D}}^{bc} \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}}_k^c + \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{bc} \vec{\mathbf{q}}_k^c = 0 \quad (1.73)$$

where,

$$\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{bc} = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{D}}^{bc} = a\underline{\mathbf{K}}^{bc}$$

$k$  is the isotropic bearing stiffness. It is possible to define the complex system with anisotropic terms of stiffness, but the complexity added to the system outweighs the benefit of using the complex plane in the first place.

## 1.4 Assembly of the Global Systems of Equations

The matrices in the global system of equations are determined using the direct approach of taking the summation of the inertia, damping, stiffness, or force at each degree of freedom.

#### 1.4.1 In the Real Coordinate System

$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}\ddot{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}\dot{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}} = \Omega^2 \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}} e^{i\Omega t} \quad (1.74)$$

Each summation is defined as

$$\begin{cases} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} &= \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_G^e + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_G^b + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_G^d & \underline{\underline{\mathbf{D}}} &= \eta_v \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}_G^e + \Omega \underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}_G^e + \Omega \underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}_G^d + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{D}}}_G^b \\ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}} &= \eta_a \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}_G^e + (\Omega \eta_v + \eta_b) \underline{\underline{\mathbf{C}}}_G^e + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}_G^b & \vec{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}} &= \vec{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}}^d \end{cases}$$

Subscript  $_G$  indicates the matrix is in the global coordinate system that contains all of the degrees of freedom. Care must be taken here to associate the correct degrees of freedom, and recognize that some of the matrices are elemental and others are nodal.

#### 1.4.2 In the Complex Coordinate System

$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}^c \ddot{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}^c} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}^c \dot{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}^c} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}^c \underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}^c = \Omega^2 \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}^c e^{i\Omega t} \quad (1.75)$$

where,

$$\begin{cases} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}^c &= \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_G^{ec} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_G^{dc} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_G^{bc} & \underline{\underline{\mathbf{D}}} &= \eta_v \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}_G^{ec} - i\Omega \underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}_G^{ec} - i\Omega \underline{\underline{\mathbf{G}}}_G^{dc} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{D}}}_G^{bc} \\ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}^c &= \eta_a \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}_G^{ec} - i(\Omega \eta_v + \eta_b) \underline{\underline{\mathbf{C}}}_G^{ec} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}_G^{bc} & \vec{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}}^c &= \vec{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}}^{dc} \end{cases}$$

#### 1.4.3 Code Implementation

#### 1.4.4 Model Reduction

##### 1.4.4.1 Static Condensation

### 1.5 Model Analysis

For a simple critical speed computation without dependence on spin speed,  $\Omega$ , the homogeneous solution, using  $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}} = e^{i\Omega t}$  while neglecting all damping, will provide the free whirling dynamic equation. In the case that the critical speeds need to be

calculated with the inclusion of spin speed, like in the Campbell diagram, a particular integral of  $\vec{\mathbf{q}}_0 e^{i\omega t}$

### 1.5.1 State Space Representation

The system can be represented in state space where,

$$\dot{\vec{\mathbf{z}}} = \underline{\mathbf{A}}\vec{\mathbf{z}} + \underline{\mathbf{B}}\vec{\mathbf{w}}, \quad \vec{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\vec{\mathbf{q}}} \\ \vec{\mathbf{q}} \end{Bmatrix} \quad (1.76)$$

$\underline{\mathbf{B}}\vec{\mathbf{w}}$  is an input into the system, which here will represent the forces due to unbalance.

Solving for  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$

$$\underline{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\underline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{D}}) & -\underline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{K}}) \\ \underline{\mathbf{I}} & \underline{\mathbf{0}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.77)$$

In this representation, the eigenvalue problem can be assessed with the inclusion of damping. When the particular integral

### 1.5.2 Unbalance Response

The unbalance response of the dynamic system can be achieved by

### 1.5.3 Stability Analysis

### 1.5.4 Shapes

### 1.5.5 Campbell

### 1.5.6 RootLocus

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. L. Adams. *Rotating machinery vibration: from analysis to troubleshooting*. CRC Press, 2009.
- [2] L. Andersen and S. R. Nielsen. Elastic beams in three dimensions. *Aalborg University*, 2008.
- [3] G. Barbaraci. Axial active magnetic bearing design. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 22(5):1190–1197, 2016.
- [4] D. E. Bently and T. Hatch'Charles. Fundamentals of rotating machinery diagnostics. *Mechanical Engineering-CIME*, 125(12):53–54, 2003.
- [5] A. Bouaziz, S. Bouaziz, T. Hentati, J. Y. Cholley, and M. Haddar. Vibrations monitoring of high speed spindle with active magnetic bearings in presence of defects. *International Journal of Applied Electromagnetics and Mechanics*, 49(2):207–221, 2015.
- [6] D. W. Childs. *Turbomachinery rotordynamics: phenomena, modeling, and analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, 1993.
- [7] R. R. Craig and A. J. Kurdila. *Fundamentals of structural dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- [8] S. M. Darbandi, A. Habibollahi, M. Behzad, H. Salarieh, and H. Mehdigholi. Sensor runout compensation in active magnetic bearings via an integral adaptive observer. *Control Engineering Practice*, 48:111–118, 2016.
- [9] A. Das, M. Nighil, J. Dutt, and H. Irretier. Vibration control and stability analysis of rotor-shaft system with electromagnetic exciters. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 43(10):1295–1316, 2008.

- [10] A. Dimarogonas and S. Haddad. Vibration for engineers. *VhS^ WI) IN914A R4 22k AWn 22kVAR5£ ilOuF 100k [^ . 2k 22k 22k*, page 252, 1993.
- [11] B. Ertas and J. Vance. The influence of same-sign cross-coupled stiffness on rotordynamics. *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, 129(1):24–31, 2007.
- [12] M. G. Farmakopoulos, M. D. Thanou, P. G. Nikolakopoulos, C. A. Papadopoulos, and A. P. Tzes. A control model of active magnetic bearings. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Engineering Against Failure (ICEAF III)*, pages 26–28, 2013.
- [13] L. Forrai. Stability analysis of symmetrical rotor-bearing systems with internal damping using finite element method. In *International Gas Turbine and AeroB engine Congress and Exhibition, Birmingham, UK*, 1996.
- [14] G. Genta. Consistent matrices in rotor dynamic. *Meccanica*, 20(3):235–248, 1985.
- [15] G. Genta. On a persistent misunderstanding of the role of hysteretic damping in rotordynamics. *TRANSACTIONS-AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS JOURNAL OF VIBRATION AND ACOUSTICS*, 126(3):459–461, 2004.
- [16] G. Genta. *Dynamics of rotating systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [17] T. Gmur and J. Rodrigues. Shaft finite elements for rotor dynamics analysis. *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, 113(4):482–493, 1991.
- [18] U. Goerguelue. Beam theories the difference between euler-bernoulli and timoschenko. *Lecture Handouts*, 2009.

- [19] P. Goldman and A. Muszynska. Application of full spectrum to rotating machinery diagnostics. *Orbit*, 20(1):17–21, 1999.
- [20] N. Instruments. Ni labview for compactrio developer’s guide. 2013.
- [21] C. Jin, Y. Xu, J. Zhou, and C. Cheng. Active magnetic bearings stiffness and damping identification from frequency characteristics of control system. *Shock and Vibration*, 2016, 2016.
- [22] M. A. Kandil. *On rotor internal damping instability*. PhD thesis, Imperial College London (University of London), 2005.
- [23] B. Kirchgäßner. Finite elements in rotordynamics. *Procedia Engineering*, 144:736–750, 2016.
- [24] A. Kuperman. Uncertainty and disturbance estimator-assisted control of a two-axis active magnetic bearing. *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, 38(6):764–772, 2016.
- [25] Y. Luo. An efficient 3d timoshenko beam element with consistent shape functions. *Adv. Theor. Appl. Mech*, 1(3):95–106, 2008.
- [26] A. Muszynska. *Rotordynamics*. CRC press, 2005.
- [27] V. Mykhaylyshyn. *Application of Active Magnetic Force Actuator for Control of Flexible Rotor System Vibrations*. PhD thesis, Cleveland State University, 2011.
- [28] H. Nelson and J. McVaugh. The dynamics of rotor-bearing systems using finite elements. *Journal of Engineering for Industry*, 98(2):593–600, 1976.
- [29] H. Roy, A. Das, and J. Dutt. An efficient rotor suspension with active magnetic bearings having viscoelastic control law. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 98:48–63, 2016.

- [30] G. Schweitzer. Active magnetic bearings-chances and limitations. In *IFTToMM Sixth International Conference on Rotor Dynamics, Sydney, Australia*, volume 1, pages 1–14, 2002.
- [31] E. Swanson, C. D. Powell, and S. Weissman. A practical review of rotating machinery critical speeds and modes. *Sound and vibration*, 39(5):16–17, 2005.
- [32] K. Tammi. *Active vibration control of rotor in desktop test environment*. VTT, 2003.
- [33] J. M. Vance. *Rotordynamics of turbomachinery*. John Wiley & Sons, 1988.
- [34] H. Wettergren and K.-O. Olsson. Dynamic instability of a rotating asymmetric shaft with internal viscous damping supported in anisotropic bearings. *Journal of sound and vibration*, 195(1):75–84, 1996.
- [35] T. Yamamoto and Y. Ishida. *Linear and nonlinear rotordynamics: a modern treatment with applications*, volume 11. John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- [36] E. Zorzi and H. Nelson. Finite element simulation of rotor-bearing systems with internal damping. *Journal of Engineering for Power*, 99(1):71–76, 1977.