### Project 3

### Cam Hayes

The system works by initially creating a dictionary of attributes and their binary representation, encoding the attributes into a list composed of ordered lists for each object, a constraints set defined by the constraints file and the feasibility set generated by iterating over all of the encoded objects and checking them against the constraints. Because pySAT uses a binary integer representation for objects, the system maintains that structure in all its data objects and references a find\_in\_dict method when a textual representation is needed.

After generating the workable data sets, the system engages the menu interfaces. Because of time/scope constraints, there is a functional method for penalty and qualitative logic for each of the main data processing components.

### Penalty Logic:

A penalty logic set is generated through the provided preference file. This creates a binary integer PySAT friendly interpretation of each line in the penalty logic file. The logic follows that a formula is split into clauses at AND, and their literals are evaluated at OR and NOT. An example output of a rule would be:

beef = -3, cake = 1; soup = -2

Rule: beef OR cake AND NOT soup

Output: [[-3, 1], [2]]

Processing penalty logic involves iterating over every rule for every feasible object. If a rule is solved by an object, then the penalty appended to the data structure for that object is 0. If it does violate the rule, the actual penalty is appended to the data structure for that object. Processing the penalty logic returns a list of processed object sets, where the  $0^{th}$  index is the object number and the remaining members are penalty costs incurred for rules n, n+1, ... etc.

Exemplifying and optimizing penalty logic is a simple comparison of the sum of incurred penalties between each object.

#### Qualitative Choice Logic:

A similar logic set is generated for QCL, with the exception of a BT and IF delimiter. The object set for each QCL rule is a composite of the rules themselves, followed by the initial condition which is appended to the end of the list. Processing QCL occurs by first

processing whether the initial condition is applicable. If so, each condition is processed until the first successful solution. If there is no solution or if the initial condition failed, the infinity value is appended to the object. The object set for processed QCL objects is formatted such that each member of the list if the preference found during evaluation. For optimization and exemplification of QCL sets, the program iterates over the vector components for two vectors, and determines whether or not comparison rules in Pareto optimization apply at each vector component. This is, for example, if  $x_1 > y_2$ ,  $x_2 < y_2$ ,  $x_3 == y_3$ ,  $x_4$  OR  $y_4 == INFINITY$ , etc. The result of that comparison is returned and evaluated by the calling method.

The remaining methods handle basic dispatch and utility services.

### **Initialization and Encoding Print:**

```
PS C:\Users\cmhay> cd C:\Users\cmhay\Documents\School\"Spring 2025"\"Intro to AI
PS C:\Users\cmhay\Documents\School\Spring 2025\Intro to AI\Repos\CAP4630-Project3
Enter attributes file name: ../TestCase/a.txt
Enter hard constraints file name: ../TestCase/c.txt
Choose a preference logic:
1. Penalty Logic
2. Qualitative Choice Logic
3. Exit
Your Choice: 1
You picked Penalty Logic
Enter hard preferences file name: ../TestCase/pl.txt
Choose the reasoning task to perform
1. Encoding
2. Feasibility Checking
3. Show the Table
4. Exemplification
5. Omni-optimization
6. Back to previous menu
Your Choice: 1
o0 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, ice-cream, decaf, grumpy
ol - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, ice-cream, decaf, happy
o2 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, ice-cream, regular, grumpy
o3 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, ice-cream, regular, happy
o4 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, cake, decaf, grumpy
o5 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, cake, decaf, happy
o6 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, cake, regular, grumpy
o7 - salad, cheese, water, beef, vegetables, cake, regular, happy o8 - salad, cheese, water, beef, potatoes, ice-cream, decaf, grumpy o9 - salad, cheese, water, beef, potatoes, ice-cream, decaf, bappy
```

### Feasibility Display:

Choose the reasoning task to perform

- 1. Encoding
- 2. Feasibility Checking
- 3. Show the Table
- 4. Exemplification
- 5. Omni-optimization
- 6. Back to previous menu

Your Choice: 2

Yes, there are 72 feasible objects.

# Penalty Logic Table:

Choose the reasoning task to perform

- 1. Encoding
  2. Feasibility Checking
  3. Show the Table
  4. Exemplification

- 5. Omni-optimization
- 6. Back to previous menu

Your Choice: 3

Penalty Logic Table

Encoding	salad AND soda	chicken OR cheese	vegetables AND NOT grumpy OR decaf AND cake	Total Cost
о3	9	0	8	17
06	9	0	8	17
07	9	0	0	9
019	9	0	8	17
022	9	0	8	17
023	9	0	0	9
o27	9	0	8	17
o30	9	0	8	17
o31	9	0	8	17
o33	0	0	8	8
o35	0	0	8	8
o36	0	0	0	0
o37	0	0	0	0
o38	0	0	8	8
o39	0	0	0	0
o49	0	0	8	8
o51	0	0	8	8
o52	0	0	0	0
o53	0	0	0	0
o54	0	0	8	8
o55	0	0	0	0
o57	0	0	8	8
o59	0	0	8	8
060	0	0	8	8
061	0	0	8	8
062	0	0	8	8
063	0	0	8	8
o67	9	5	8	22
o70	9	5	8	22
o71	9	5	0	14
083	9	0	8	17
086	9	0	8	17
o87	9	0	0	9
o91	9	0	8	17
o94	9	0	8	17
o95	9	0	8	17
o97	0	5	8	13

### Exemplification (penalty logic):

```
Choose the reasoning task to perform

1. Encoding

2. Feasibility Checking

3. Show the Table

4. Exemplification

5. Omni-optimization

6. Back to previous menu

Your Choice: 4

Two randomly selected feasible objects are o99 and o53

o53 is strictly preferred over o99
```

# Omni-optimization (penalty logic):

```
Choose the reasoning task to perform

1. Encoding

2. Feasibility Checking

3. Show the Table

4. Exemplification

5. Omni-optimization

6. Back to previous menu

Your Choice: 5

All optimal objects: o36, o37, o39, o52, o53, o55, o116, o117, o119
```

# Qualitative Choice Table:

hoose the reasoning task to perform										
l. Encoding P. Feasibility Checking										
r. Feasibility (necking 8.). Show the Table										
. Show the labe										
. Omni-optimization										
5. Back to previous menu										
Our Choice: 3 Qualitative Choice Table										
	1-4 PT TE	-b PT burnd TEd-	chicken AND cake BT chicken AND	d6 PT1 TE	vegetables BT potatoes IF NOT					
encoding	salad BT soup IF	cheese BT bread IF soda	ice-cream IF happy	decaf BT regular IF grumpy	beef					
о3	1	inf	inf	inf	inf					
06	1	inf	inf	2	inf					
07	1	inf	inf	inf	inf					
019	1	inf	2	inf	1					
022	1	inf	inf	2	1					
o23	1	inf	1	inf	1					
o27	1	inf	2	inf	2					
o30	1	inf	inf	2	2					
o31	1	inf	1	inf	2					
o33	1	1	inf	inf	inf					
o35	1	1	inf	inf	inf					
o36	1	1	inf	1	inf					
o37	1	1	inf	inf	inf					
o38	1	1	inf	2	inf					
o39	1	1	inf	inf	inf					
o49	1	1	2	inf	1					
o51	1	1	2	inf	1					
o52	1	1	inf	1	1					
o53	1	1	1	inf	1					
o54	1	1	inf	2	1					
055	1	1	1	inf	ī					
o57	1	1	2	inf	2					
059	1	1	2	inf	2					
060	1	1	inf	1	2					
061	ī	Ī	1	inf	2					
062	ī	1	inf	2	2					
063	ī	ī	1	inf	2					
067	1	inf	inf	inf	inf					
079	ī	inf	inf	2	inf					
071	ī	inf	inf	inf	inf					
083	1	inf	2	inf	1					
086	1	inf	inf	2	1					
087	ī	inf	1	inf	î					
091	1	inf	2	inf	2					
094	1	inf	inf	2	2					
095	1	inf	1	inf	2					
097	1	2	inf	inf	inf					
099	1 1	5	inf	inf	inf					

# Exemplification (Qualitative Choice):

Choose the reasoning task to perform

- 1. Encoding
- 2. Feasibility Checking
- 3. Show the Table
- 4. Exemplification
- 5. Omni-optimization
- 6. Back to previous menu

Your Choice: 4

Two randomly selected feasible objects are o61 and o185 o61 is more optimal than o185

Omni Optimization (Qualitative Choice):

Choose the reasoning task to perform

- Encoding
   Feasibility Checking
- 3. Show the Table
- 4. Exemplification
- 5. Omni-optimization
- 6. Back to previous menu

Your Choice: 5

The optimal sets are: o52, o53, o55