

Problem Set 3 - Analysis - Solutions¹

Question 1 Let X be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$. Show that $\mathbb{E}[X^2] > 0$ if X takes more than one value with positive probability.
(Hint: Use Jensen's inequality.)

Let X be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$.

Let us define $g(x) = x^2$. Since $g(x)$ is a convex function, by Jensen's inequality:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq g(\mathbb{E}[X])$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] \geq (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] \geq 0$$

If X takes more than one value with positive probability, then $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] > 0$$

Question 2 Consider the following expression for the reservation wage w_R :

$$w_R = b + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

Use integration by parts to show that this expression can be rewritten as:

$$w_R = b + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw$$

where $F(w)$ is the (cumulative) distribution of wages, with support (\underline{w}, \bar{w}) .

(Hint: consider how the CDF behaves at the boundaries of its support.)

Let us consider the integral in the expression:

$$w_R = b + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

¹Instructors: Camilo Abbate and Sofía Olguín

Let us define:

$$H(x) = (w - w_R) \quad h(x) = 1$$

$$g(x)dx = dF(w) \quad G(x) = F(w) \quad g(x) = f(w)$$

Thus, applying integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_R) dF(w) &= (\bar{w} - w_R) F(\bar{w}) - (w_R - w_R) F(w_R) - \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} F(w) dw \\ &= (\bar{w} - w_R) - \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} F(w) dw \\ &= \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} 1 dw - \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} F(w) dw \\ &= \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$w_R = b + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw$$

Question 3 Consider the following constrained utility maximization problem:

$$\max_{x_1, x_2} \alpha \ln(x_1) + \beta \ln(x_2) \quad \text{subject to} \quad m \geq p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2, \quad x_1 \geq 0, \quad x_2 \geq 0$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $m > 0$, $p_1 > 0$ and $p_2 > 0$.

- (a) Find the demand functions (or correspondences) $x_1^*(p_1, p_2, w)$ and $x_2^*(p_1, p_2, w)$, assuming the budget constraint binds.

$$\max_{x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0} \alpha \ln(x_1) + \beta \ln(x_2) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = m$$

Let us write the Lagrangian:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \alpha \ln(x_1) + \beta \ln(x_2) + \lambda [m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2]$$

FOC

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\alpha}{x_1} - \lambda p_1 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\beta}{x_2} - \lambda p_2 = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow m = p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \quad (3)$$

From (1) and (2):

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{x_2}{x_1} = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \Rightarrow x_2 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{p_1}{p_2} x_1$$

Plugging into (3):

$$m = p_1 x_1 + p_2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{p_1}{p_2} x_1 \Rightarrow x_1^*(p_1, p_2, m) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_1}$$

Using this result in the expression for x_2 : $x_2^*(p_1, p_2, m) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_2}$

- (b) Find the demand functions (or correspondences) using the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions.

$$\max_{x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0} \alpha \ln(x_1) + \beta \ln(x_2) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq m$$

Since $\ln(t)$ requires $x_1, x_2 > 0$ then the complementary slackness conditions would require $\mu_1 = 0$ and $\mu_2 = 0$. Thus, we only need to consider the budget constraint. Let us write the Lagrangian:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \alpha \ln(x_1) + \beta \ln(x_2) + \lambda [m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2]$$

KKT conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\alpha}{x_1} - \lambda p_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\beta}{x_2} - \lambda p_2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow m \geq p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda [m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2] = 0$$

Case 1: $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0$

Then $m = p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2$, and it is the case solved in a).

Case 2: $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} > 0$

Then $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow$ From $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} : \frac{\alpha}{x_1} = 0$ which is not possible since $\alpha > 0$ and $x_1 > 0$.

Therefore, the only solution is the interior solution found in a).

- (c) Verify that the demand functions solve the maximization problem. (You may refer to the theorems discussed in class.)

Since the utility function is differentiable and concave for $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ and the budget constraint is convex, x_1^*, x_2^* solves the maximization problem, by the Kuhn-Tucker sufficiency theorem.

- (d) Find the indirect utility function $v(p_1, p_2, m) = \alpha \ln(x_1^*) + \beta \ln(x_2^*)$.

$$\begin{aligned} v(p_1, p_2, m) &= \alpha \ln(x_1^*) + \beta \ln(x_2^*) \\ &= \alpha \ln \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_1} \right) + \beta \ln \left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_2} \right) \\ &= \alpha \ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) + \beta \ln \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) + (\alpha + \beta) \ln(m) - \alpha \ln(p_1) - \beta \ln(p_2) \end{aligned}$$

Question 4 Consider the following constrained expenditure minimization problem:

$$\min_{h_1, h_2} p_1 h_1 + p_2 h_2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln(h_2) \geq u, \quad h_1 \geq 0, \quad h_2 \geq 0$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $u > 0$, $p_1 > 0$ and $p_2 > 0$.

- (a) Find the demand functions (or correspondences) $h_1^*(p_1, p_2, u)$ and $h_2^*(p_1, p_2, u)$.

$$\min_{h_1 \geq 0, h_2 \geq 0} p_1 h_1 + p_2 h_2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln(h_2) \geq u$$

Let us write the Lagrangian:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \mu) = -p_1 h_1 - p_2 h_2 + \mu [\alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln(h_2) - u]$$

KKT conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial h_1} = -p_1 + \alpha\mu \frac{1}{h_1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_1 = \alpha\mu \frac{1}{h_1} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial h_2} = -p_2 + \beta\mu \frac{1}{h_2} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_2 = \beta\mu \frac{1}{h_2} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = \alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln(h_2) - u \geq 0$$

$$\mu \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu [\alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln(h_2) - u] = 0$$

From (1) and (2):

$$\frac{p_1}{p_2} = \frac{\alpha h_2}{\beta h_1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_2 = \frac{\beta p_1}{\alpha p_2} h_1 \quad (3)$$

Case 1: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} > 0$

In this case, the constraint is not binding, so the multiplier μ must be zero. Substituting $\mu = 0$ into equation (1) yields $p_1 = \alpha\mu \frac{1}{h_1} = 0$, which is not possible given the assumptions of the problem.

Case 2: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = 0$

By this condition:

$$\alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln(h_2) = u$$

Plugging in (3):

$$\alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln\left(\frac{\beta p_1}{\alpha p_2} h_1\right) = u$$

$$\alpha \ln(h_1) + \beta \ln\left(\frac{\beta p_1}{\alpha p_2}\right) + \beta \ln(h_1) = u$$

$$(\alpha + \beta) \ln(h_1) = u - \beta \ln\left(\frac{\beta p_1}{\alpha p_2}\right)$$

$$h_1^{\alpha+\beta} = e^u \left(\frac{\beta p_1}{\alpha p_2}\right)^{-\beta}$$

$$h_1^*(p_1, p_2, u) = \exp\left\{\frac{u}{\alpha + \beta}\right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}$$

Plugging this result into (3):

$$\begin{aligned} h_2^*(p_1, p_2, u) &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{p_1}{p_2} \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Find the expenditure function $e(p_1, p_2, u) = p_1 h_1^* + p_2 h_2^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} e(p_1, p_2, u) &= p_1 h_1^* + p_2 h_2^* \\ &= p_1 \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} + p_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} p_1^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} p_2^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(c) Verify the duality property:

$$x^*(p_1, p_2, e(p_1, p_2, u)) = h^*(p_1, p_2, u) \quad \text{and} \quad h^*(p_1, p_2, v(p_1, p_2, m)) = x^*(p_1, p_2, m).$$

using the demands x_1^* , x_2^* , and indirect utility function v derived in Question 3.

First, consider the demand function $x_1^*(p_1, p_2, m)$, evaluated at $m = e(p_1, p_2, u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(p_1, p_2, e(p_1, p_2, u)) &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{e(p_1, p_2, u)}{p_1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{1}{p_1} \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} p_1^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} p_2^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \left[1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \\ &= h_1^*(p_1, p_2, u) \end{aligned}$$

This verifies the first equality.

Similarly, evaluating the demand function $h_1^*(p_1, p_2, u)$ at $u = v(p_1, p_2, m)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1^*(p_1, p_2, u) &= \exp \left\{ \frac{u}{\alpha + \beta} \right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \ln \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_1} \right) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \ln \left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_2} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_1} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}} \left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_2} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right) \frac{m}{p_1} \\
 &= x_1^*(p_1, p_2, m)
 \end{aligned}$$

This confirms the second equality.

The duality property can be fully confirmed by applying the same procedure to the demand functions for good 2.

Question 5 Suppose the motion of capital, \dot{k} , satisfies the differential equation:

$$\dot{k} = 0.03k + 0.01$$

- (a) Find the general solution ($k(t)$) to this ODE.

Applying the solution for Form 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(t) &= a e^{0.03t} - \frac{0.01}{0.03} \\
 &= a e^{0.03t} - \frac{1}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where a is a constant.

- (b) Let $k(0) = 100$. Find the particular solution ($k(t)$) to this ODE.

$$k(0) = a - \frac{1}{3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a = 100 + \frac{1}{3} \quad (\text{using } k(0) = 100)$$

Replacing the constant:

$$k(t) = \left(100 + \frac{1}{3} \right) e^{0.03t} - \frac{1}{3}$$

Question 6 Assume the capital-output ratio $x(t) = k(t)/y(t)$ evolves according to:

$$\dot{x}(t) = s_1(1 - \alpha) - (\delta + n)(1 - \alpha)x(t)$$

(a) Find the general solution ($x(t)$) to this ODE.

Let us rewrite the ODE:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} + (\delta + n)(1 - \alpha)x(t) = s_1(1 - \alpha)$$

$$r(t)\frac{dx(t)}{dt} + r(t)(\delta + n)(1 - \alpha)x(t) = r(t)s_1(1 - \alpha) \quad (\text{using an integrating factor } r(t))$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t)r(t) = r(t)s_1(1 - \alpha) \quad (\text{with } \frac{dr(t)}{dt} = r(t)(\delta + n)(1 - \alpha))$$

$$x(t)r(t) = \int^t r(v)s_1(1 - \alpha)dv \quad (\text{integrating both sides})$$

$$x(t)e^{(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)t} = \int^t e^{(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)v}s_1(1 - \alpha)dv \quad (\text{using } r(t) = e^{(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)t})$$

$$x(t) = e^{-(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)t} \left[\frac{s_1}{(\delta + n)} e^{(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)t} + a \right]$$

$$x(t) = a e^{-(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)t} + \frac{s_1}{(\delta + n)}$$

where a is a constant.

(b) Let $x(0) = \frac{s_0}{\delta+n}$. Find the particular solution ($x(t)$) to this ODE.

$$x(0) = a + \frac{s_1}{(\delta + n)}$$

$$a = x(0) - \frac{s_1}{(\delta + n)}$$

$$a = \frac{s_0 - s_1}{(\delta + n)}$$

Thus, the particular solution is:

$$x(t) = \frac{s_0 - s_1}{(\delta + n)} e^{-(\delta+n)(1-\alpha)t} + \frac{s_1}{(\delta + n)}$$