Streetwise Product Specification v2.0

Executive Summary

Streetwise is an Al-powered real estate valuation platform that provides prospective home buyers with standardized, data-driven analysis of New York Metro Area property listings. The platform combines machine learning, econometric modeling, and public data sources to generate a comprehensive 0-100 Streetwise Score representing a property's value-for-price relative to market conditions.

Core Value Proposition

- **For Buyers**: Democratizes access to professional-grade property analysis, closing the information asymmetry between buyers and sellers
- **Unique Approach**: Goes beyond simple comparables to quantify nuanced factors like renovation quality, layout efficiency, and hyper-local environmental conditions
- Transparency: Every score is explainable with clear attribution to specific factors and data sources

Product Architecture

1. Core Scoring Framework

1.1 Streetwise Score (0-100)

A composite score derived from five weighted categories, representing whether a listing offers good value relative to its asking price and inherent characteristics.

Score Interpretation Bands:

- 85-100: Exceptional Value Significantly underpriced relative to market
- **70-84**: Good Value Fairly priced with positive attributes
- **50-69**: Average Value Market-appropriate pricing
- **30-49**: Poor Value Overpriced relative to comparables
- **0-29**: Significantly Overpriced Major pricing concerns

1.2 Category Breakdown

Each category receives its own 0-100 score with detailed explainers:

Category	Weight	Description	
Fair Value & Market Context	40%	Asking price vs. comp-adjusted expected price	
Location & Neighborhood	20%	Transit access, schools, noise, amenities	
Building & Amenities	15%	Building quality, amenities, services	
Unit & Layout	20%	Renovation, features, layout efficiency	
Bonuses/Penalties	±5-15%	Special conditions and deal-breakers	

1.3 Confidence System

Every score includes a confidence metric (0-100%) based on:

- Data completeness (missing fields, quality of sources)
- Comparable properties count and similarity
- Model prediction intervals
- Data source reliability

Confidence-Based Display:

- **80-100% confidence**: "Streetwise Score" (standard display)
- **60-79% confidence**: "Preliminary Score" (with missing data indicators)
- Below 60% confidence: "Limited Analysis" (heavily caveated, optional display)

2. Data Pipeline & Ingestion

2.1 Data Sources Hierarchy

Primary Sources:

- 1. **StreetEasy Listing Data** (scraped via Firecrawl/Playwright)
 - Listing details, building information, photos, floorplans
 - Broker remarks and descriptions
 - Days on market, price history

2. NYC Public Datasets:

- **DOF/ACRIS**: Closed sales transactions, deed records
- **PLUTO**: Building metadata, lot characteristics
- **DOE**: School zones, quality reports
- MTA GTFS: Subway entrance locations
- 311 Data: Noise complaints (filtered for chronic issues)

• OpenStreetMap: POIs, street classifications

3. Fallback: Manual Entry

- User-provided corrections and missing data
- Validation against reasonable ranges

2.2 Ingestion Flow



2.3 Data Schema

json		

```
"listing": {
 "address": string,
 "unit": string,
 "price": number,
 "status": enum,
 "days_on_market": number,
 "price_history": array
},
"physical": {
 "bedrooms": number,
 "bathrooms": number,
 "square_feet": number | estimated,
 "floor": number,
 "total_floors": number,
 "outdoor_space": {
  "type": enum,
 "square_feet": number
},
"financial": {
 "maintenance": number,
"common_charges": number,
 "taxes": number.
 "assessment": number
},
"features": {
 "renovation_level": enum,
"in_unit": array,
 "exposures": array,
 "views": array
},
"building": {
"type": enum,
 "year_built": number,
 "units": number,
 "amenities": array
},
"location": {
 "coordinates": [lat, lng],
 "neighborhood": string,
 "school_zone": string,
 "subway_distance": number,
```

```
"noise_score": number
}
```

3. AI/ML Components

3.1 LLM-Powered Extraction

Purpose: Parse unstructured listing text and HTML into structured schema **Implementation**:

- GPT-4 class model with few-shot prompting
- Typed output validation against schema
- Confidence scoring for extracted fields

3.2 Renovation Level Classifier

Status: Implemented (96% accuracy) **Approach**: TF-IDF + Logistic Regression on text features **Classes**:

- Estate (needs full gut)
- Dated (functional but old)
- Recently Updated (refreshed <10 years)
- Gut High-End (premium renovation)
- New Development (never occupied)

3.3 Light Quality Classifier

Status: Roadmap (pending photo dataset) **Target Approach**: Multimodal (text + images) **Classes**: Poor, Average, Good, Exceptional **Current Performance**: 59% accuracy (text-only)

3.4 Layout Efficiency Analyzer

Status: Roadmap **Approach**:

- Floorplan detection from listing images
- OCR + vectorization to extract room polygons
- Calculate circulation vs. usable space ratios

4. Pricing Model & Comparables

4.1 Hedonic Pricing Model

Objective: Generate expected price using market comparables and property characteristics

Methodology:

```
 \begin{tabular}{ll} python \\ \# Segment definition \\ segment = \{ & 'borough': categorical, \\ 'neighborhood_micro': categorical, \\ 'property_type': ['coop', 'condo', 'townhouse'], \\ 'bed_bucket': [0, 1, 2, 3, '4+'], \\ 'era': ['prewar', 'postwar', 'new_dev'] \\ \} \\ \# Model specification \\ log(price) = $\beta_0 + \Sigma(\beta_1 \times features) + segment_interactions + \epsilon \\ \# Features include all physical, location, and building characteristics \\ \# Trained via Ridge/ElasticNet with cross-validation \\ \end{tabular}
```

Square Footage Policy:

- When square footage is missing (common in co-ops):
 - 1. Estimate using segment medians: ({borough × neighborhood × property_type × bed_bucket × era})
 - 2. Maintain confidence score but flag as estimated
 - 3. Do NOT penalize the overall score
 - 4. Display transparency note in UI

4.2 Comparable Selection

Algorithm:

- 1. Find properties in same segment
- 2. Apply similarity scoring:
 - Same building line (highest weight)
 - Distance decay (exponential, $\tau = 0.4$ miles)
 - Recency decay (linear, 18-month window)
- 3. Select top 10-20 comparables
- 4. Calculate adjustments for differences

5. Scoring Algorithms

5.1 Fair Value & Market Context (40%)

```
def calculate_fair_value_score(listing, comps, market):
    expected_price = hedonic_model.predict(listing.features)
    price_gap = (expected_price - listing.price) / expected_price

# Map to 0-100 scale with S-curve
if price_gap > 0: # Underpriced
    score = 50 + logistic_transform(price_gap, midpoint=0.08, slope=25)
else: # Overpriced
    score = 50 - logistic_transform(abs(price_gap), midpoint=0.08, slope=25)

# Apply market context adjustments
score *= market_adjustment_factor(listing.dom, listing.price_cuts)

return clamp(score, 0, 100)
```

5.2 Location & Neighborhood (20%)

Sub-components:

Factor	Weight	Transform	
Subway Distance	4%	Logistic (saturates at 15 min)	
School Zone Rating	3%	Borough-relative percentile	
Parks/Waterfront	3%	Logistic (saturates at 10 min)	
Neighborhood Prestige	5%	StreetEasy taxonomy ranking	
Noise Score	2%	Composite (see Noise Proxy section)	
Block Quality	3%	Mid-block bonus, corner penalty	

5.3 Noise Proxy Calculation

python			

```
def calculate_noise_score(location):
  # Component weights
  traffic_weight = 0.40
  complaints_weight = 0.15
  nightlife_weight = 0.25
  emergency_weight = 0.20
  # Traffic proximity (to major roads)
  traffic_score = 100 * (1 - logistic(distance_to_primary, midpoint=40, k=0.1))
  # 311 complaints (chronic only, exclude construction)
  included_types = ['Noise - Street/Sidewalk', 'Noise - Commercial',
            'Noise - Vehicle', 'Noise - Air Condition']
  complaints = filter_311(location, radius=100m, types=included_types)
  complaint_score = 100 * (1 - min(complaints/200, 1))
  # Nightlife density
  venues = count_pois(location, radius=90m, types=['bar', 'nightclub'])
  nightlife_score = 100 * (1 - sqrt(min(venues/10, 1)))
  # Emergency services
  emergency_dist = distance_to_nearest(['hospital', 'fire_station'])
  emergency_score = 100 * logistic(emergency_dist, midpoint=75, k=0.05)
  return weighted_average([traffic_score, complaint_score,
               nightlife_score, emergency_score],
              [traffic_weight, complaints_weight,
               nightlife_weight, emergency_weight])
```

5.4 Building & Amenities (15%)

Scoring Matrix:

python

```
amenity_points = {
  'doorman': 2.0,
  'elevator': 2.5,
  'gym': 1.5,
  'pool': 1.0,
  'roof_deck': 1.5,
  'garage': 1.0,
  'storage': 0.5,
  'bike_room': 0.5,
  'laundry': 1.0,
  'live_in_super': 1.0
def calculate_building_score(building):
  base_score = 50
  # Amenity scoring with diminishing returns
  amenity_sum = sum([amenity_points.get(a, 0) for a in building.amenities])
  amenity_score = sqrt(min(amenity_sum / 10, 1)) * 30
  # Building quality adjustment
  if building.type == 'luxury':
    quality_bonus = 10
  elif building.type == 'walkup':
    quality_bonus = -5
  else:
    quality_bonus = 0
  # Maintenance fee assessment
  maint_percentile = calculate_percentile(building.maintenance_psf, segment)
  maint_score = (100 - maint_percentile) * 0.1
  return base_score + amenity_score + quality_bonus + maint_score
```

5.5 Unit & Layout (20%)

Components:

- Renovation Level: 7% (from classifier)
- In-Unit Features: 5% (W/D, dishwasher, central air, fireplace)
- Layout Efficiency: 3% (when floorplan available)
- Floor Height: 2% (percentile within building)

• Outdoor Space: 3% (with diminishing returns on size)

5.6 Bonuses & Penalties (±5-15%)

```
python
adjustments = {
  # Penalties
  'ongoing_assessment': -5,
  'pending_litigation': -4,
  'estate_sale': -3,
  'flip_tax_high': -2,
  'no_pets': -2,
  'no_subletting': -3,
  # Bonuses
  'low_carrying_costs': +3,
  'tax_abatement': +2,
  'sponsor_sale': +1,
  'pets_allowed': +2,
  'private_terrace': +3,
  'river_views': +4
```

6. User Experience

6.1 Input Flow

Option A: URL Input (Primary)

```
1. User pastes StreetEasy URL
```

- 2. System attempts extraction via Firecrawl
- 3. If confidence < 70%, prompt for manual corrections
- 4. Generate and display score

Option B: Address Search

- 1. User enters address
- 2. Geocode and attempt to match listing
- 3. If no listing found, use public records only
- 4. Display limited analysis with manual input option

6.2 Results Display

Primary View:

- Overall Streetwise Score with confidence indicator
- Score interpretation (Exceptional/Good/Average/Poor Value)
- Price verdict with confidence level

Detailed Breakdown:

- Five category scores with meter visualizations
- Top positive and negative drivers
- Comparable properties map and table
- Market context charts (neighborhood trends, inventory)

Explainability Panel:

- Data sources for each factor
- Missing data indicators
- Calculation transparency
- "What-if" simulator for price changes

6.3 Manual Input Validation

python		

```
validation_rules = {
  'square_feet': {
    'min': 200,
    'max': 10000,
    'warning_if_outside': [
       segment_percentile(5),
       segment_percentile(95)
    ]
  },
  'price': {
    'min': 50000,
    'max': 50000000,
    'cross_check': 'price_per_sqft in [50, 5000]'
  },
  'maintenance': {
    'max': price * 0.05, # Flag if >5% of price monthly
    'typical_range': segment_percentiles(10, 90)
```

7. Technical Implementation

7.1 Technology Stack

Frontend:

- React + TypeScript
- Tailwind CSS
- Vite build system
- Mapbox/Leaflet for visualizations

Backend:

- Node.js edge functions (orchestration)
- Python FastAPI microservices (ML/pricing)
- PostgreSQL with PostGIS (spatial queries)
- Redis (caching layer)

Data Pipeline:

Firecrawl/Playwright (scraping)

ML Infrastructure:	
scikit-learn (baseline models)	
XGBoost (hedonic pricing)	
Weights & Biases (experiment tracking)	
7.2 API Specification	
yaml	

• Apache Airflow (ETL orchestration)

• dbt (data transformation)

```
endpoints:
/api/v1/score:
  method: POST
  input:
   url: string | null
   address: string | null
   manual_overrides: object | null
  output:
   score: number
   confidence: number
   categories: object
   comparables: array
   explanations: object
/api/v1/comparables:
  method: GET
  params:
   address: string
   radius: number
   limit: number
  output:
   properties: array
   statistics: object
/api/v1/market:
  method: GET
  params:
   neighborhood: string
   timeframe: string
  output:
   median_prices: array
   inventory: array
   absorption_rate: number
```

8. Geographic Expansion Framework

8.1 Market Adaptation Requirements

Data Requirements by Market:

1. Transaction Data: MLS or public records access

2. **Transit Data**: GTFS feeds or equivalent

- 3. School Data: State education department APIs
- 4. **Neighborhood Definitions**: Local taxonomy mapping
- 5. Market Characteristics: Seasonality, typical DOM, price dynamics

8.2 Model Adaptation Process

```
python
class MarketAdapter:
  def ___init___(self, market_code):
    self.market = market_code
    self.load_market_config()
  def adapt_features(self):
    # Market-specific feature engineering
    if self.market == 'SF':
       self.features.add('earthquake_risk')
       self.features.add('tech_shuttle_access')
    elif self.market == 'MIA':
       self.features.add('flood_zone')
       self.features.add('hurricane_rating')
  def adapt_weights(self):
    # Market-specific category weights
    market_weights = {
       'NYC': {'location': 0.20, 'building': 0.15},
       'SF': {'location': 0.25, 'building': 0.10},
       'MIA': {'location': 0.15, 'building': 0.20}
    return market_weights[self.market]
```

9. Personalization System

9.1 Dual Score Architecture

Standard Score: Market-objective valuation (unchangeable) **Fit Score**: Personalized based on user preferences

python			

```
def calculate_fit_score(listing, user_preferences):
    # Start with standard weights
    weights = copy.deepcopy(STANDARD_WEIGHTS)

# Apply preference adjustments (capped at ±25% per factor)
for pref, importance in user_preferences.items():
    affected_factors = PREFERENCE_MAPPING[pref]
    for factor in affected_factors:
        delta = importance * 0.25 / 3 # Scale 0-3 to weight delta
        weights[factor] *= (1 + delta)

# Never adjust Fair Value weight
    weights['fair_value'] = STANDARD_WEIGHTS['fair_value']

# Renormalize
    total = sum(weights.values())
    weights = {k: v/total for k, v in weights.items()}

return calculate_score(listing, weights)
```

9.2 Preference Schema

```
json

{
    "hard_requirements": {
        "pets_allowed": boolean,
        "minimum_beds": number,
        "maximum_price": number,
        "elevator": boolean
},

"soft_preferences": {
        "gym_importance": 0-3,
        "outdoor_space": 0-3,
        "quiet_street": 0-3,
        "school_quality": 0-3,
        "prewar_charm": 0-3
}
}
```

10. Quality Assurance & Monitoring

10.1 Model Performance Metrics

Backtesting Framework:

```
python

def backtest_model(time_window):

# For each closed sale in window

for sale in closed_sales:

# Calculate score using only pre-sale data

predicted_score = calculate_score(sale, date=sale.list_date)

# Compare to actual outcome

actual_discount = (sale.list_price - sale.sale_price) / sale.list_price

# Track calibration

calibration_metrics.add(predicted_score, actual_discount)

return {

'correlation': pearson_r(predicted_scores, actual_discounts),

'mae': mean_absolute_error(predicted_prices, sale_prices),

'calibration_plot': calibration_metrics.plot()

}
```

Monitoring Dashboard:

- Score distribution by segment
- Confidence levels over time
- Data completeness rates
- Scraping success rates
- Model drift indicators

10.2 A/B Testing Framework

python			

```
class ABTest:
    def __init__(self, feature_name):
        self.feature = feature_name
        self.control_model = current_model
        self.treatment_model = proposed_model

def assign_user(self, user_id):
    # Deterministic assignment based on hash
    return 'treatment' if hash(user_id) % 2 == 0 else 'control'

def track_metrics(self):
    return {
        'engagement': clicks_per_user,
        'accuracy': backtest_performance,
        'user_satisfaction': feedback_scores
}
```

11. Roadmap & Future Features

Phase 1 (MVP - Current)

- **V** Core scoring algorithm
- StreetEasy scraping
- V Basic comparables
- **V** Renovation classifier
- **W**eb interface

Phase 2 (Q2 2025)

Chrome extension
User accounts & saved searches
Personalized Fit Scores
 Enhanced comparables with photos
■ What-if analysis tools

Phase 3 (Q3 2025)

Light quality classifier (with photo dataset)
Layout efficiency analyzer
☐ Empirically-derived weights (HBW)
Advanced market predictions

1 1100 1 (4 1 2020)
Geographic expansion (SF, Boston, DC)
☐ Zillow/Redfin support
■ Mobile applications
Predictive sale price modeling
Investment analysis tools

12. Compliance & Legal

API for partners

Phase 4 (Q4 2025)

12.1 Data Usage Guidelines

- Respect robots.txt and terms of service
- Implement rate limiting and backoff strategies
- Cache aggressively to minimize requests
- Provide user-agent identification

12.2 Disclaimers

- Not a professional appraisal
- For informational purposes only
- Users should verify all information independently
- Past performance doesn't guarantee future results

12.3 Privacy Policy Requirements

- No PII storage without consent
- Encrypted data transmission
- GDPR/CCPA compliance framework
- Data retention policies

13. Appendices

Appendix A: Feature Dictionary

Fair Value & Market Context Features (40% total weight)

Feature	Description	Weight	Transform
asking_vs_expected_price	Comp-adjusted price differential	15%	S-curve normalization
market_drift	YoY neighborhood median trends	5%	Linear scaling
price_per_sqft	Relative to neighborhood baseline	5%	Percentile ranking
days_on_market	Normalized vs neighborhood average	5%	Exponential decay
price_cut_history	Number and magnitude of cuts	3%	Cumulative penalty
seasonal_effects	Listing season adjustment	3%	Seasonal multiplier
absorption_rate	Local supply-demand balance	2%	Linear scaling
original_ask_discount	Current vs original list	2%	Linear scaling

Location & Neighborhood Features (20% total weight)

Feature	Description	Weight	Transform
neighborhood_prestige	StreetEasy neighborhood tier	5%	Categorical ranking
subway_distance	Walking minutes to nearest	4%	Logistic (saturates 15 min)
park_distance	Distance to parks/waterfront	3%	Logistic (saturates 10 min)
school_zone_rating	DOE quality score	3%	Borough-relative percentile
noise_composite	Traffic/nightlife/311	2%	Weighted composite
block_position	Mid-block vs corner	2%	Binary bonus/penalty
street_aesthetic	Tree-lined, landmark status	1%	Categorical bonus

Building & Amenities Features (15% total weight)

Feature	Description	Weight	Transform
elevator	Presence and type	2.5%	Binary/categorical
doorman	Full/part-time/virtual	2.0%	Categorical
gym	On-site fitness facility	1.5%	Binary + quality tier
roof_deck	Common roof access	1.5%	Binary + quality tier
maintenance_charges	Per sqft vs neighborhood	2.0%	Inverse percentile
building_size	Units and scale	2.0%	Categorical
laundry	In-building facilities	1.0%	Binary
pool	Swimming pool	1.0%	Binary
garage	Parking availability	1.0%	Binary
live_in_super	On-site superintendent	1.0%	Binary
storage	Unit storage available	0.5%	Binary

Unit & Layout Features (20% total weight)

Feature	Description	Weight	Transform
renovation_level	Estate to new development	7%	5-class categorical
washer_dryer	In-unit W/D	2%	Binary
dishwasher	Dishwasher present	1%	Binary
central_air	Central HVAC	1%	Binary
fireplace	Working fireplace	1%	Binary
layout_efficiency	Usable vs circulation space	3%	Percentage score
floor_height	Relative position	2%	Percentile in building
outdoor_space	Private balcony/terrace	3%	Sqrt(sqft) with cap

Bonuses & Penalties (±5-15% adjustment)

Feature	Туре	Adjustment	Condition
ongoing_assessment	Penalty	-5%	Binary flag
pending_litigation	Penalty	-4%	Binary flag
estate_condition	Penalty	-3%	If not priced in
high_flip_tax	Penalty	-2%	>3% of sale
no_pets	Penalty	-2%	Binary flag
no_subletting	Penalty	-3%	Binary flag
tax_abatement	Bonus	+2%	Years remaining
sponsor_sale	Bonus	+1%	No board approval
low_carrying	Bonus	+3%	<20th percentile
river_views	Bonus	+4%	Text/photo verified
private_terrace	Bonus	+3%	>150 sqft

Appendix B: Neighborhood Taxonomy

Manhattan Segments

StreetEasy Neighborhood	Model Segment	Prestige Tier
Tribeca	Downtown Luxury	1
West Village	Downtown Premium	1
SoHo	Downtown Luxury	1
Upper East Side	Uptown Traditional	2
Upper West Side	Uptown Family	2
Chelsea	Midtown Premium	2
Gramercy Park	Midtown Traditional	1
East Village	Downtown Young	3
Lower East Side	Downtown Emerging	3
Financial District	Downtown Corporate	2
Midtown East	Midtown Corporate	2
Midtown West	Midtown Mixed	3
Harlem	Uptown Emerging	4
Washington Heights	Uptown Value	5
Inwood	Uptown Value	5

Brooklyn Segments

StreetEasy Neighborhood	Model Segment	Prestige Tier
DUMBO	Waterfront Luxury	1
Brooklyn Heights	Brownstone Premium	1
Park Slope	Brownstone Family	2
Williamsburg	Hipster Premium	2
Cobble Hill	Brownstone Traditional	2
Fort Greene	Cultural Premium	2
Prospect Heights	Central Family	3
Greenpoint	Hipster Emerging	3
Bushwick	Artist Emerging	4
Crown Heights	Central Value	4
Bed-Stuy	Central Emerging	4
Bay Ridge	Outer Traditional	4
Sunset Park	Outer Value	5

Property Type Classifications

- Coop: Cooperative ownership, board approval required
- Condo: Fee simple ownership, no board approval
- Townhouse: Single/multi-family, full building
- Multifamily: 2-4 unit investment property

Era Definitions

• **Prewar**: Built before 1940

• **Postwar**: Built 1940-1999

• New Development: Built 2000 or later

Appendix C: Mathematical Formulations

Logistic Transform Function

```
f(x) = 100 / (1 + e^{-(k(x - \mu))})
where:
x = \text{input value (e.g., distance in meters)}
\mu = \text{midpoint (inflection point)}
k = \text{slope coefficient (steepness)}
\text{Example (Subway Distance):}
\mu = 7 \text{ minutes (midpoint)}
k = 0.5 \text{ (moderate steepness)}
\text{Results: 0 min } \Rightarrow 98 \text{ pts, 7 min} \Rightarrow 50 \text{ pts, 15 min} \Rightarrow 2 \text{ pts}
```

Price Gap to Score Mapping

```
score = 50 + 50 * \tanh(\text{price\_gap} / \sigma) where:

price\_gap = (expected - asking) / expected \sigma = 0.08 (8% normalization factor)

\tanh = \text{hyperbolic tangent (smooth S-curve)}

Results:

-20% gap (overpriced) \Rightarrow 15 points

-8% gap \Rightarrow 35 points

0% gap \Rightarrow 50 points
+8% gap (underpriced) \Rightarrow 65 points
+20% gap \Rightarrow 85 points
```

Diminishing Returns (Outdoor Space)

```
score = 100 * (1 - e^(-\lambda * sqrt(sqft)))
where:
\lambda = 0.15 \text{ (decay parameter)}
sqft = outdoor space area

Results:
0 \text{ sqft} \rightarrow 0 \text{ points}
100 \text{ sqft} \rightarrow 39 \text{ points}
400 \text{ sqft} \rightarrow 70 \text{ points}
900 \text{ sqft} \rightarrow 86 \text{ points}
1600 \text{ sqft} \rightarrow 92 \text{ points} \text{ (diminishing returns)}
```

Noise Composite Score

```
noise_score = \Sigma(wi * si) for i in [traffic, complaints, nightlife, emergency]

Traffic Score:
    st = 100 * (1 / (1 + e^(-0.1*(d - 40))))
    where d = distance to major road in meters

311 Complaint Score:
    sc = 100 * max(0, 1 - (n/200))
    where n = complaint count in 100m radius, 12 months

Nightlife Score:
    sn = 100 * max(0, 1 - sqrt(v/10))
    where v = venue count in 90m radius

Emergency Score:
    se = 100 / (1 + e^(-0.05*(d - 75)))
    where d = distance to hospital/fire in meters
```

Confidence Score Calculation

```
confidence = wc * coverage + wm * model_confidence + wd * data_quality

where:
    coverage = count(non_null_fields) / count(critical_fields)
    model_confidence = 1 - (prediction_interval_width / price)
    data_quality = weighted_avg(source_reliability)

wc = 0.4 (coverage weight)
    wm = 0.4 (model weight)
    wd = 0.2 (data quality weight)
```

Segment-Relative Scoring

```
percentile_score = 100 * \Phi((x - \mu s) / \sigma s)

where:

x = feature \ value

\mu s = segment \ mean

\sigma s = segment \ standard \ deviation

\Phi = cumulative \ normal \ distribution

Applied per segment:

\sigma s = segment = \{borough, property\_type, bed\_bucket, era\}
```

Appendix D: Sample API Responses

POST /api/v1/score



```
"request": {
 "url": "https://streeteasy.com/building/163-east-81-street-new_york/3a",
 "manual_overrides": {
  "square_feet": 850
 }
},
"response": {
 "status": "success",
 "data": {
  "streetwise_score": 76,
  "confidence": 84,
  "interpretation": "Good Value",
  "verdict": {
   "assessment": "Fairly Priced",
   "confidence": "High",
   "expected_price": 1250000,
   "asking_price": 1195000,
   "price_gap_percentage": -4.4
  },
  "categories": {
   "fair_value": {
    "score": 71,
    "weight": 0.40,
    "drivers": [
      "factor": "asking_below_expected",
      "impact": "+8",
      "description": "$55K below expected based on comps"
     },
      "factor": "high_dom",
      "impact": "-5",
      "description": "67 days on market vs 45 avg"
   "location": {
    "score": 82,
    "weight": 0.20,
    "drivers": [
      "factor": "subway_proximity",
```

```
"impact": "+15",
   "description": "0.2 miles to 4/5/6 at 86th St"
  },
   "factor": "school_zone",
   "impact": "+12",
   "description": "PS 6 (GreatSchools 9/10)"
"building": {
 "score": 78,
 "weight": 0.15,
 "features": {
  "doorman": true,
  "elevator": true,
  "gym": false,
  "laundry": true,
  "amenity_score": 6.5
},
"unit": {
 "score": 73,
 "weight": 0.20,
 "features": {
  "renovation": "recently_updated",
  "in_unit_laundry": false,
  "outdoor_space": null,
  "floor_height_percentile": 0.45
},
"adjustments": {
 "bonuses": [
   "type": "pets_allowed",
   "impact": "+2"
  }
 "penalties": [
   "type": "high_maintenance",
   "impact": "-3",
   "detail": "$3.50/sqft vs $2.10 neighborhood avg"
```

```
],
  "net_adjustment": -1
"comparables": [
  "address": "163 E 81st St #5B",
  "sale_date": "2024-10-15",
  "sale_price": 1175000,
  "price_per_sqft": 1382,
  "similarity_score": 0.94,
  "adjustments": {
   "floor": "+2%",
   "renovation": "-3%",
   "time": "+1.5%"
"missing_data": [
"light_quality",
"floor_plan"
],
"data_sources": {
"listing": "StreetEasy",
"building": "PLUTO",
"sales": "ACRIS",
 "schools": "NYC DOE"
```

GET /api/v1/market

```
json
```

```
"request": {
 "neighborhood": "Upper East Side",
 "timeframe": "12_months"
},
"response": {
 "median_prices": {
  "current": 1425000,
  "year_ago": 1350000,
  "change_percentage": 5.6
 "price_per_sqft": {
  "current": 1456,
  "year_ago": 1398,
  "trend": "increasing"
 "inventory": {
  "current_listings": 342,
  "average_dom": 52,
  "absorption_rate": 0.34,
  "months_supply": 2.9
 "segments": {
  "1_bedroom": {
   "median_price": 895000,
   "inventory": 128
  "2_bedroom": {
   "median_price": 1750000,
   "inventory": 156
  },
  "3_plus_bedroom": {
   "median_price": 3450000,
   "inventory": 58
```

Document Version History

- v2.0: Complete technical specification (December 2024)
- v1.0: Initial draft specification

Contact

For questions about this specification or the Streetwise platform, contact: [project contact information]