

Auction algorithm

Zachary Campbell

March 20, 2018

We now look at a cool application of weighted bipartite matching. Consider an auction scenario, where there is a set B of bidders, a set G of goods, and costs c_{ij} that quantifies the amount that bidder $i \in B$ is willing to pay for good $j \in G$. This can easily be modeled with a weighted bipartite graph. Our goal is to maximize the total amount earned in the auction – i.e. to maximize $\sum_{(i,j)} c_{ij}$, under the constraint that no bidder gets more than one good, and no good is purchased by more than one bidder.

The algorithm presented here is an alternative to the alternating path algorithms we have discussed.

ALG 1

```
1  For each  $j \in G$ , set  $p_j := 0$  and  $owner_j := null$ .
2  Queue  $Q := B$ .
3  Set  $\delta = 1/(|G| + 1)$ .
4  while  $Q \neq \emptyset$ 
5       $i = Q.dequeue()$ 
6      Find  $j \in G$  that maximizes  $c_{ij} - p_j$ 
7      if  $c_{ij} - p_j \geq 0$ 
8           $Q.enqueue(owner_j)$ 
9           $owner_j = i$ 
10          $p_j = p_j + \delta$ 
11 return  $(owner_j, j)$  for all  $j$ .
```

Definition . We say that a bidder i is δ -happy if one of the following is true:

1. i is the owner of some good j , and for all other goods j' , we have that $\delta + c_{ij} - p_j \geq c_{ij'} - p_{j'}$,
i.e. our i has the good j that maximizes the value of their contribution.
2. for no good j does it hold that $owner_j = i$ and for all goods j $w_{ij} \leq p_j$.

What we will show is that the algorithm reaches an equilibrium in which all bidders are “happy,” as defined above. The loop invariant is that all bidders not in Q are δ -happy. This is clearly true at the beginning, as all bidders start in our queue. When a bidder i is dequeued, line 6 in our loop chooses good j that maximizes $w_{ij} - p_j$, which means it chooses a good that makes i δ -happy, if such a good exists. We need to confirm that this step does not hurt the invariant for any other bidder i' . Well, an increase in p_j by δ for any j not own by i' does not violate the inequality $\delta + w_{i'j'} - p_{j'} \geq w_{i'j} - p_j$. On the other hand, if i' did own j , this means that i' has been thrown back into Q , so i' no longer owns anything.

Lemma . If all bidders are δ -happy then for every matching M' we have that

$$n\delta + \sum_{i=\text{owner}_j} w_{ij} \geq \sum_{(i,j) \in M'} w_{ij},$$

where n is the number of bidders.

First, note that this lemma implies the correctness of our algorithm. Since $n\delta < 1$ and all of our weights are integral, this proving this inequality will show that the matching we output is a maximum matching.

Proof. Fix a bidder i , and let good j be such that $i = \text{owner}_j$. Let j' be the good assigned to i in M' . (Note: these could be *null*, in which case we adopt the convention of assigning their weights and prices to be zero.) Since i is δ -happy, we have that $\delta + w_{ij} - p_j \geq w_{ij} - p_j$. Now let's sum over all i to get

$$\sum_{i=\text{owner}_j} (\delta + w_{ij} - p_j) \geq \sum_{(i,j') \in M'} (w_{ij'} - p_j).$$

We know that our algorithm gives us a matching, as does M' , which means that each good j can only appear at most once on each side of this inequality. So if we subtract $\sum_j p_j$ from each side, and rearrange a bit, we get

$$\sum_{i=\text{owner}_j} \delta + \sum_{i=\text{owner}_j} w_{ij} \geq \sum_{(i,j') \in M'} (w_{ij'}).$$

But $\sum_{i=\text{owner}_j} \delta \leq n\delta$, which gives us what we want. □