Chapter 2

Zachary Campbell

March 7, 2018

In this chapter we will take a step back and look at primal-dual algorithms in more generality. The goal will be to describe a method of solving a set of primal-dual algorithms for network design problems. In network design problems we are given a graph G = (V, E) and a cost c_{ij} for each edge $(i, j) \in E$, and the goal is to find a minimum/maximum-cost subset $E' \subset E$ that satisfies some criteria. Our maximum-cost matching is an example. There are other common examples that we will explore later on, but for now it suffices to just think of these problems as choosing subsets of our graph according to some stipulations. Throughout, we will be looking at undirected graphs.

1 The Classical Primal-Dual Method

We begin by looking at what's known as the "classical" primal-dual method, which is concerned with linear programs for polynomial-time solvable optimization problems. This will allow us to build up a framework for a more general primal-dual method that we can use for approximation algorithms - i.e. for problems that are known to be NP-hard. **(Not sure if this is within the scope of the thesis – discuss with Jim)

Let's consider the linear program

minimize
$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$$
 (1)

subject to
$$A\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}$$
 (2)

$$\mathbf{x} \ge 0 \tag{3}$$

and its dual

$$\text{maximize } \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \tag{4}$$

subject to
$$A^T \mathbf{y} \le \mathbf{c}$$
 (5)

$$\mathbf{y} \ge 0. \tag{6}$$

We first define a concept that we will use throughout the rest of this thesis.

Definition (Complementary slackness). Given two linear programs in the form above, the primal complementary slackness conditions are the conditions which, given primal solution x, are necessary for a dual solution y:

$$x_j > 0 \implies A^j \mathbf{y} = c_j,$$

where A^{j} is the jth column of A. Similarly, the dual complementary slackness conditions are the conditions which, given dual solution y, are necessary for a primal solution x:

$$y_i > 0 \implies A_i \mathbf{x} = b_i,$$

where A_i is the *i*th row of A. Together, these conditions give us necessary and sufficient conditions for solving the primal-dual system.

Recall our primal linear program for maximum-weight matching:

$$\text{maximize} \qquad \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x_{ij} \tag{7}$$

subject to
$$\sum_{j}^{i,j} x_{ij} \le 1, \qquad \forall i \in L,$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{ij} \le 1, \qquad \forall j \in R,$$

$$(9)$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{ij} \le 1, \qquad \forall j \in R, \tag{9}$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}. \tag{10}$$

and its dual

$$\min_{i,j} (u_i + v_j) \tag{11}$$

subject to
$$u_i + v_j \ge c_{ij} \quad \forall i \in L, \ j \in R,$$
 (12)

$$u_i, v_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$
 (13)

The format here is a little different, since our primal is a maximization problem and the dual is a minimization, but it's easy enough to reverse the roles. It's easy to see our corresponding primal complementary slackness conditions

$$x_{ij} > 0 \implies u_i + v_j = c_{ij}. \tag{14}$$

The dual complementary slackness conditions are

$$u_i > 0 \implies \sum_j x_{ij} = 1, \tag{15}$$

$$v_j > 0 \implies \sum_i x_{ij} = 1. \tag{16}$$

In general, the slackness conditions guide us in our algorithm – they tells us how, given a solution to one of the problems, we should augment the solution to the other. For example, the algorithm we presented for maximum-weight matching/minimum vertex cover intializes with a solution to both the primal and dual that satisfies conditions (8) and (10); the algorithm then at each step works to decrease the number of conditions in (9) that are unsatisfied, while maintaining satisfiability of (8) and (10). This method is not unique to the Hungarian algorithm. In fact, the Hungarian algorithm paved the way for this general method, which we describe presently.

Looking back at the original linear programs at the beginning of this chapter, suppose we have a dual feasible solution y. We can then state the problem of finding a feasible primal solution xthat obeys our complementary slackness conditions as another restricted linear program. Define the sets $J = \{j \mid A^j \mathbf{y} = c_i\}$ and $I = \{i \mid y_i = 0\}$. So J tells us which dual constraints (5) are tight, given the solution y, and I tells us which y_i are 0. What we want to do is give a linear program to find a solution \mathbf{x} that minimizes the "violation" of the complementary slackness conditions and the primal constraints. We will have slack variables s_i which will describe the difference between A_i **x** and b_i for $i \notin I$. We do this because we want to look at all $y_i > 0$ where the we do not have that $A_i \mathbf{x} = b_i$. So part of our objective function will be to minimize the sum of these s_i . We also want to minimize the sum over variables x_j where $j \notin J$. This is because we want to see if there are any x_i such that $A^j \mathbf{y} \neq c_i$. So we give the following restricted primal linear program:

subject to
$$A_i \mathbf{x} \ge b_i \quad i \in I,$$
 (18)

$$A_i \mathbf{x} - b_i = s_i \quad i \notin I, \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbf{x} \ge 0,\tag{20}$$

$$s \ge 0. \tag{21}$$

Observe that if this restricted primal has a feasible solution (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) such that the objective function is 0, then \mathbf{x} is a feasible primal solution that satisfies the complementary slackness conditions for the dual solution \mathbf{y} . This means that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are optimal primal and dual solutions. If, however, the optimal solution to this restricted primal has value greater than 0, more work is required. We can consider the dual of the restricted primal:

$$\text{maximize } \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}' \tag{22}$$

subject to
$$A^j \mathbf{y}' \le 0 \qquad j \in J,$$
 (23)

$$A^{j}\mathbf{y}' \le 1 \qquad j \notin J, \tag{24}$$

$$y_i^{'} \ge -1 \quad i \notin I, \tag{25}$$

$$y_i^{'} \ge 0 \qquad i \in I. \tag{26}$$

What we want here is to improve our dual solution. By assumption, the optimal solution to this linear program's primal is greater than 0, so we know that this dual has a solution \mathbf{y}' such that $\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{y}' > 0$. What we want is the existence of some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbf{y}'' = \mathbf{y} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}'$ is a feasible dual solution. In particular, a solution of this form will be an improvement on our original solution \mathbf{y} . [SEE P.148 Goemans, Williamson for ϵ calculation].

It's not immediately clear why reducing our original linear programs to a series of linear programs is heplful. However, note that the vector \mathbf{c} has totally disappeared in the restricted primal and its dual. Recall that in the original linear program, \mathbf{c} gave us the edge-costs on our graph. So this method reworks our original weighted problem into unweighted parts, which are often easier to solve. Oftentimes, it is the case that we can interpret these unweighted problems as purely combinatorial, which means that instead of actually solving the problem with linear programming, we can solve it by combinatorial methods. Using a combinatorial algorithm to find a solution \mathbf{x} that obeys the complementary slackness conditions, or to find an improved dual solution \mathbf{y} , is oftentimes more efficient.

Let's revisit our maximum matching linear program, and its dual. The sets J and I corresponding to the slackness conditions are given as follows:

$$J = \{(i,j) \in E \mid u_i + v_j = w_{ij}\},\tag{27}$$

$$I = \{ u_i \in L \cup R \mid u_i = 0 \}. \tag{28}$$

(29)

The classical primal dual method that we've discussed tells us to start with a feasible dual solution **y**, and then to look at the restricted primal.