

Remote marine protected area reveals unusual social behaviour in *Chaetodon trifascialis*

D. J. Coker¹ · C. D. Braun^{2,3} · J. Cavin⁴ · S. Payet¹ · M. L. Berumen¹

Received: 10 February 2016 / Accepted: 7 June 2016

© Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Phoenix Islands Protected Area (PIPA) in the Republic of Kiribati is one of the largest marine protected areas in the world ($408,250 \text{ km}^2$). These reefs are extremely remote (Fig. 1a) and, therefore, escape many anthropogenic impacts. While snorkelling in the shallow lagoon at Kanton Island ($2^\circ 47' 25''\text{S}$ $171^\circ 42' 48''\text{W}$) in September 2015, we came upon unusually high cover (~100 %) of tabular *Acropora* (*A. hyacinthus* and/or *A. cytherea*) (Fig. 1b) and strikingly high numbers of *Chaetodon trifascialis*. Up to 25 individuals were

observed coexisting within a relatively small area of ~4 m² (Fig. 1c). Moreover, there were additional individuals present under the coral colonies out of view. Based on size, all individuals within the group were adults or sub-adult and clearly displayed overlapping ranges with minimal conspecific aggression.

To our knowledge, this is the first reported social aggregation of *C. trifascialis*. In stark contrast, *C. trifascialis* is currently known to be solitary and highly territorial toward same-sex conspecifics, with territories ranging from 16 to 102 m² and containing one male and ~3 females (Yabuta and Kawashima 1997). Solitary and territorial sociality is associated with a harem mating system in this species (Yabuta and Kawashima 1997), possibly due to a scattered female distribution and patchy resources. In addition, *C. trifascialis* displays high dietary specialisation, feeding almost exclusively on *Acropora* (Pratchett 2007).

Although typically reported to exhibit resource and female (or harem) defence polygyny, it is possible that the surplus of essential coral cover and isolation of PIPA reefs has shifted the social structure from solitary/territorial to aggregating/non-territorial. From a cost–benefit perspective, it may no longer be economical to maintain and defend territories and mates if abundance and resources availability is high. Our observation

Communicated by R. Serrao Santos

✉ D. J. Coker
Darren.coker@kaust.edu.sa

¹ Red Sea Research Center, Division of Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

² MIT-WHOI Joint Program in Oceanography, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³ MIT-WHOI Joint Program in Oceanography, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02540, USA

⁴ Animal Health Department, New England Aquarium, Boston, MA 02110, USA




Fig. 1 **a** Phoenix Islands Protected Area, **b** high cover of tabular *Acropora*, **c** aggregation of *Chaetodon trifascialis*

also suggests that higher abundance levels are possible when the influences of humans are reduced.

Acknowledgements The research expedition was funded by New England Aquarium, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and King Abdullah University of Science and Technology. We thank the Government of Kiribati for access to the region.

References

- Pratchett MS (2007) Dietary selection by coral-feeding butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae) on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Raffles Bull Zool* 14:171–176
- Yabuta S, Kawashima M (1997) Spawning behavior and harem mating system in the corallivorous butterflyfish, *Chaetodon trifascialis*, at Kuroshima Island, Okinawa. *Ichthyol Res* 44:183–188