


TASK: Using the HW Counters, measure the number of cycles, instructions, loads and stores in the program from **Error! Reference source not found.** How much time in total (both for reading and writing) does it take to access Main Memory? You can compare the execution when using the DDR memory as in Figure 3 and when using the DCCM (another PlatformIO project is provided at [\[RVfpgaEL2NexysA7NoDDRPath\]/Labs/Lab19/LW-SW_Instruction_DCCM/](#), which contains the same program prepared for reading from / writing to the DCCM).




```
PROBLEMS  OUTPUT  DEBUG CONSOLE

Connected success !
Cycles = 23364
Instructions = 5306
Loads = 1098
Stores = 1092
```

- The loop contains 5 instructions.
- Ideally, IPC could be up to 1.
- However, we miss several cycles per iteration due to the read/write latency to Main Memory.

If we now execute the program that uses the DCCM, we obtain:



```
PROBLEMS  OUTPUT  DEBUG CONSOLE

Connected success !
Cycles = 6174
Instructions = 5306
Loads = 1098
Stores = 1092
```

- Now the IPC is closer to 1, as the DCCM has 1 cycle read latency.

TASK: Use the example from [\[RVfpgaEL2NexysA7NoDDRPath\]/Labs/Lab19/LW_Instruction_MainMemory](#) to estimate the Main Memory read latency using the HW Counters. As in the previous task, you can use the example from [\[RVfpgaEL2NexysA7NoDDRPath\]/Labs/Lab19/LW_Instruction_DCCM](#) to compare with a program with no stalls due to the memory accesses.

Execution in Main Memory:

```

PROBLEMS    OUTPUT    DEBUG CONSOLE

Connected success !
UCycles = 55322
Instructions = 10328
Loads = 4103
Stores = 96

```

Execution in DCCM:

```

PROBLEMS    OUTPUT    DEBUG CONSOLE

Connected success !
UCycles = 11265
Instructions = 10328
Loads = 4103
Stores = 96

```

TASK: Analyse module `ifu_ic_mem` and the parameters of file `el2_param.vh` to understand how the elements in **Error! Reference source not found.** are implemented.

Solution not provided for this exercise.

TASK: Analyse the Verilog code from **Error! Reference source not found.** and explain how it operates based on the above explanations.

```

else begin : two_ways_plru
    assign replace_way_mb_any[0] = (~way_status_mb_ff & tagv_mb_ff[0] & tagv_mb_ff[1]) / ~tagv_mb_ff[0];
    assign replace_way_mb_any[1] = ( way_status_mb_ff & tagv_mb_ff[0] & tagv_mb_ff[1]) / ~tagv_mb_ff[1] & tagv_mb_ff[0];

```

If both ways are invalid (i.e. `tagv_mb_ff = 00`), way 0 must be replaced first:

- `replace_way_mb_any[0] = 1`, as the second operand of the OR, which is `~tagv_mb_ff[0]`, is 1.
- `replace_way_mb_any[1] = 0`, as the two operands of the OR are 0.

If there is one invalid way, this is the one replaced.

- If way 0 is invalid, the second operand of the OR, which is `~tagv_mb_ff[0]`, is 1.
- If way 1 is invalid and way 0 is valid, the second operand of the OR, which is `~tagv_mb_ff[1] & tagv_mb_ff[0]`, is 1.

If both ways are valid, signal `way_status_mb_ff` holds the LRU state (thus, the least recently used way, or the way to replace first) of the selected set, determines the way to replace.

TASK: Analyse the Verilog code that performs the same functionality on a 4-way I\$.

Solution not provided for this exercise.

TASK: Analyse the Verilog code from **Error! Reference source not found.** and explain how it operates based on the above explanations.

```

assign way_status_hit_new[pt.ICACHE_STATUS_BITS-1:0] = ic_rd_hit[0];
assign way_status_rep_new[pt.ICACHE_STATUS_BITS-1:0] = replace_way_mb_any[0];

end
// Make sure to select the way_status_hit_new even when in hit under miss.
assign way_status_new[pt.ICACHE_STATUS_BITS-1:0] = (bus_ifu_wr_en_ff_q & last_beat) ? way_status_rep_new[pt.ICACHE_STATUS_BITS-1:0] :
    way_status_hit_new[pt.ICACHE_STATUS_BITS-1:0];

```

The new value of the LRU state is determined by signal **way_status_new**.

- If there was a hit, signal **ic_rd_hit** determines the new value, as it holds the way where the hit has taken place.
- If there was a replacement, signal **replace_way_mb_any** determines the new value, as it holds the way that has been replaced.

TASK: Analyse the Verilog code that performs the same functionality on a 4-way I\$.

Solution not provided for this exercise.

1. EXERCISES

- 1) Transform the infinite loop from **Error! Reference source not found.** into a loop with 10000 iterations, but keep the `j` instructions at the same addresses. Measure the number of cycles and I\$ hits and misses. Then remove one of the `j` instructions and measure the same metrics. Compare and explain the results.

A Catapult project is provided at:

[RVfpgaEL2NexysA7NoDDRPath]/Labs/RVfpgaLabsSolutions/Lab19/InstructionMemory_LRU_Example_FiniteLoop

```

C Test.c  ASM Test_Assembly.S x
src > ASM Test_Assembly.S
27 test_Assembly:
28
29 INSERT_NOPS_32
30 INSERT_NOPS_16
31 INSERT_NOPS_8
32 INSERT_NOPS_2
33 INSERT_NOPS_1
34
35 li t0, 10000
36
37 Block1: beq t0, zero, OUT
38          add t0, t0, -1
39          j Block2      #
40          INSERT_NOPS_1023
41
42 Block2:  j Block3      #
43          INSERT_NOPS_1023
44
45 Block3:  j Block1      #
46
47 /*
48 Block2:  j Block1      #
49 */
50
51
52 OUT:
53
PROBLEMS  OUTPUT  DEBUG CONSOLE  TERM
Connected success !
Cycles = 659015
Instructions = 50356
I$ Hits = 20318
I$ Misses = 30006

```

```

C Test.c  ASM Test_Assembly.S x
src > ASM Test_Assembly.S
27 test_Assembly:
28
29 INSERT_NOPS_32
30 INSERT_NOPS_16
31 INSERT_NOPS_8
32 INSERT_NOPS_2
33 INSERT_NOPS_1
34
35 li t0, 10000
36
37 Block1: beq t0, zero, OUT
38          add t0, t0, -1
39          j Block2      #
40          INSERT_NOPS_1023
41
42 /*
43 Block2:  j Block3      #
44          INSERT_NOPS_1023
45
46 Block3:  j Block1      #
47 */
48
49 Block2:  j Block1      #
50
51
52 OUT:
53
PROBLEMS  OUTPUT  DEBUG CONSOLE  TERM
Cycles = 61279
Instructions = 40356
I$ Hits = 40315
I$ Misses = 7

```

- The number of I\$ misses in the code with 3 jump instructions is 3 per iteration ($30000 / 10000 = 3$).
- There are no I\$ misses in the code with 2 jump instructions, except for the first iteration. This dramatically decreases the number of cycles.

2) Extend **Error! Reference source not found.** to analyse in detail how each 64-bit chunk is written in the I\$.

Solution not provided for this exercise.

3) Analyse in simulation and on the board other I\$ configurations. For example, it can be very interesting to analyse a 4-way I\$.

Solution not provided for this exercise.

You can find a useful study in RVfpga v2.2 (provided at: <https://university.imgtec.com/rvfpga-download-page-en/>), Lab 19, where a 4-way I\$ is used in SweRV EH1.

- 4) Analyse the logic that checks the correctness of the parity information.

Solution not provided for this exercise.