PRUNING SUBSEQUENCE SEARCH WITH ATTENTION-BASED EMBEDDING

Colin Raffel and Daniel P. W. Ellis

LabROSA Columbia University New York, NY

ABSTRACT

Finding the most similar sequence to a query in a database of sequences can be prohibitively expensive when the database size is large. Typically, the scalability of different approaches are dependent on various "pruning" techniques, which use heuristics to avoid expensive comparisons against a large subset of the database. We present an approximate pruning technique which involves embedding sequences in a Euclidean space. Sequences are embedded using a convolutional network with a form of attention which integrates over time, which is trained using matching and non-matching pairs of sequences. By using fixed-length embeddings, our pruning method effectively runs in constant time, making it many orders of magnitude faster when compared to full Dynamic Time Warping-based matching. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach on a large-scale musical score-to-audio recording retrieval task.

Index Terms— Sequence Retrieval, Attention-Based Models, Convolutional Networks, Dynamic Time Warping, Pruning

1. INTRODUCTION

Sequences¹ are a natural way of representing data in a wide range of fields, including multimedia, environmental sciences, natural language processing, and biology. The proliferation of sensors and decreasing cost of storage in recent years has resulted in the creation of very large databases of sequences. Given such a database, a fundamental task is to find the database entry which is most similar to a query. However, this task can be prohibitively expensive depending on both the method used to compare sequences and the size of the database.

An effective way to compare sequences is the Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) distance, first proposed in the context of speech utterances [1]. DTW first computes an optimal alignment of the two sequences of feature vectors being compared, and then reports their distance as the total distance among aligned feature vectors. The alignment step makes

DTW much more robust to phase or offset errors than typical distance metrics, such as the Euclidean distance [2]. DTW also naturally extends to the setting where subsequence matching is allowed. Using dynamic programming, the optimal DTW alignment can be found in time quadratic in the length of the sequences. Despite this optimization, naive application of DTW to nearest-neighbor retrieval of the most similar sequence in a database can be prohibitively expensive. Other dynamic programming-based "edit distance" metrics are used when appropriate, and are similar in both their effectiveness and inefficiency.

To mitigate this issue, a variety of "pruning methods" have been proposed. The idea behind these techniques is to use heuristics to avoid computing the full DTW alignment for a large proportion of the database. [2] gives a broad overview of different pruning methods, and shows that their application makes exact retrieval feasible in extremely large (e.g. trillions of sequences) databases. However, these methods rely on various assumptions, including that the query sequence is a subsequence of its correct match and the length of the alignment path is known a priori.

An alternative approach is to replace the DTW search with a surrogate problem which is faster to solve. For example, [3] proposes a technique which maps sequences to a fixed-length embedding, which avoids DTW calculation when matching the query to the database. The embedding is constructed by pre-computing the DTW distance between each sequence in the database and a small collection of "reference" sequences, which are chosen to optimize retrieval accuracy. Then, to match a query sequence to the database, its DTW distance is computed against the same collection of reference sequences, and the resulting vector of DTW distances is matched against the database of embeddings using a standard Euclidean distance-based nearest-neighbor search. The resulting algorithm is approximate, but provided state-of-the-art rsults both in terms of accuracy and speed. This technique relies on the same assumptions as the pruning methods described in [2]. In addition, it presents a tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy where more reference sequences generally improve accuracy but require more full DTW calculations to be made, which can be prohibitively expensive for very long or very high-dimensional sequences.

 $^{^{1}}$ In this work, we refer to "signals" by the slightly more generic term "sequences", but the two can be used interchangeably.

Motivated by the above issues, we propose a learning-based system for producing sequence embeddings for approximate matching. Our approach is similar in spirit to [3], except that it is fully general, i.e. it does not rely on any assumptions about the alignment length or whether the query is a subsequence of its match. This is thanks to the fact that we utilize an attention-based neural network model which can adapt to any problem setting according to the training data provided. Our approach is also constant-time: By mapping sequences to a fixed-length embedding, comparing a query to each database entry is a single Euclidean distance calculation, which does not become less efficient for longer or higher-dimensional sequences.

In the following section, we discuss embedding and attention-based neural network models, which we use to motivate our proposed model in Section 3. In Section ??, we evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed model on the task of matching musical transcriptions to audio recordings of their corresponding songs in a large database. Finally, we discuss possibilities for improvement in Section ??.

2. EMBEDDING AND ATTENTION

The idea of "embedding" is an attractive one: Given data which is provided in a representation which is either inefficient or does not readily reveal factors of interest, can we produce a mapping to fixed-length vectors for which our data has the desired properties? Because many simple machine learning methods are very effective given data where Euclidean distance corresponds to some notion of similarity, embedding can make data more amenable to learning and comparison.

As a concrete example, in [4], a neural network architecture is used to embed images of faces such that images of the same person have small pairwise distances in the embedded space images of different people have large distances. The authors were able to achieve state-of-the-art results on the "labeled faces the wild" dataset by simply thresholding the resulting distances to denote "same person" or "different person". In addition, the embedded representation produced qualitatively useful results when performing unsupervised clustering using k-means. Another highly effective application of embedding is word2vec, which is a family of models for mapping words to a Euclidean space which has desireable properties [5]. For example, the difference between "China" and "Beijing" in the embedded space is roughly equivalent to the difference between "Rome" and "Italy".

The idea of embedding has also been applied to sequences. Notably, in [6], a recurrent network was trained to map a sequence of words in a source language to a fixed-length vector, which was then used to generate a sequence of words in a target language, resulting in a quality translation of the original sentence. The resulting source-sentence embeddings encoded high-level linguistic characteristics, such as invariance to word order in sentences with the same meaning.

A benefit of using recurrent networks is their ability to summarize an entire sequence of arbitrary length as a fixed-length vector, which brings the possibility of learning embeddings to sequences.

One issue with using recurrent networks is their difficulty in modeling long-term dependencies. In the embedding setting, this may result in the end of the sequence having more of an effect on the embedding than the beginning. A recently proposed technique for mitigating this issue is "attention" [7]. Conceptually, an attention-based model includes a mechanism which learns to assign a weighing at each sequence step based on the current and all previous feature vectors. When used with recurrent networks, the addition of attention has proven effective in a wide variety of domains including speech recognition, machine translation, and image captioning [8].

At a high level, attention-based models are similar to the common technique of summarizing a sequence of feature vectors by the mean, variance, and occasionally covariance of feature dimensions. This simple approach has seen frequent application in the domain of music information retrieval, where it has proven to be surprisingly effective at genre classification [9, 10], instrument classification [11], artist recognition [12], and similarity rating prediction [13]. Attention-based models can be seen as a generalization of this technique where both the feature representation and a weighted average are both optimized and data-adaptive.

3. FEED-FORWARD ATTENTION

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Hiroaki Sakoe and Seibi Chiba, "Dynamic programming algorithm optimization for spoken word recognition," *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 1978.
- [2] Thanawin Rakthanmanon, Bilson Campana, Abdullah Mueen, Gustavo Batista, Brandon Westover, Qiang Zhu, Jesin Zakaria, and Eamonn Keogh, "Searching and mining trillions of time series subsequences under dynamic time warping," in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, 2012, pp. 262–270.
- [3] Panagiotis Papapetrou, Vassilis Athitsos, Michalis Potamias, George Kollios, and Dimitrios Gunopulos, "Embedding-based subsequence matching in timeseries databases," ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 17, 2011.
- [4] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin, "Facenet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering," arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.03832, 2015.

- [5] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Corrado, and Jeff Dean, "Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality," in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2013, pp. 3111–3119.
- [6] Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V. Le, "Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks," in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2014, pp. 3104–3112.
- [7] Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio, "Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate," arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473, 2014.
- [8] KyungHyun Cho, Aaron C. Courville, and Yoshua Bengio, "Describing multimedia content using attention-based encoder-decoder networks," arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.01053, 2015.
- [9] James Bergstra, Norman Casagrande, Dumitru Erhan, Douglas Eck, and Balázs Kégl, "Aggregate features and adaboost for music classification," *Machine learning*, vol. 65, no. 2-3, pp. 473–484, 2006.
- [10] George Tzanetakis and Perry Cook, "Musical genre classification of audio signals," *Speech and Audio Processing, IEEE transactions on*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 293–302, 2002.
- [11] Jeremiah D Deng, Christian Simmermacher, and Stephen Cranefield, "A study on feature analysis for musical instrument classification," *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 429–438, 2008.
- [12] Michael I. Mandel and Daniel P. W. Ellis, "Song-level features and support vector machines for music classification," in *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval*. Queen Mary, University of London, 2005, pp. 594–599.
- [13] Peter Foster, Matthias Mauch, and Sam Dixon, "Sequential complexity as a descriptor for musical similarity," Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, IEEE/ACM Transactions on, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1965–1977, 2014.