# Programming Language Concepts

Binding and Scope

Onur Tolga Şehitoğlu

Bilgisayar Mühendisliği





#### Outline

- Abstraction
  - Function and Procedure Abstractions
  - Selector Abstraction
  - Generic Abstraction
  - Iterator Abstraction
  - Iterator Abstraction
- 2 Abstraction Principle

- **Parameters**
- 4 Parameter Passing

#### Mechanisms

- Copy Mechanisms
- Binding Mechanisms
- Pass by Name
- 5 Evaluation Order
- 6 Correspondence Principle

Abstraction Abstraction Principle Parameters Parameter Passing Mechanisms Evaluation Order Correspondence Principle

#### Abstraction



- Iceberg: Details at the bottom, useful part at the top of the ocean. Animals do not care about the bottom.
- User: "how do I use it?", Developer: "How do I make it work?"
- User: "what does it do?", Developer: "How does it do that?
- Abstraction: Make a program or design reusable by enclosing it in a body, hiding the details, and defining a mechanism to access it.
- Separating the usage and implementation of program segments.
- Vital large scale programming.

- Abstraction is possible in any discipline involving design:
- radio tuner. Adjustment knob on a radio is an abstraction over the tuner element, frequency selection.
- An ATM is an abstraction over complicated set of bank transaction operations.
- Programming languages can be considered as abstraction over machine language.
- ...

## Purpose

- Details are confusing
- Details may contain more error
- Repeating same details increase complexity and errors
- Abstraction philosophy: Declare once, use many times!
- Code reusability is the ultimate goal.
- Parameterization improves power of abstraction

# Function and procedure abstractions

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail
- Functions are abstractions over expressions
- void functions of C or procedure declarations of some languages
- No value but contains executable statements as detail.
- Procedures are abstractions over commands
- Other type of abstractions possible?

### Selector abstraction

- arrays: int a[10][20]; a[i]=a[i]+1;
- operator selects elements of an array.
- User defined selectors on user defined structures?
- Example: Selector on a linked list:

```
struct List {
  int data:
  List *next;
  int & operator[](int el) {
    int i; List *p = this;
    for (i = 1; i < el; i++)
      p = p->next; /* take the next element */
    return p->data;
 };
};
List h;
h[1] = h[2] + 1;
```

■ C++ allows overloading of [] operator for classes.

■ Python \_\_setitem\_\_ (k,v) implements l-value, \_\_getitem\_\_ (k) r-value selector.

```
class BSTree:
  def __init__(self):
    self.node = None
  def __getitem__(self, key):
    if self.node == None:
        raise KeyError
    elif key < self.node[0]: return self.left[key]</pre>
    elif key > self.node[0]: return self.right[key]
    else:
                             return self.node[1]
  def __setitem__(self, key, val):
    if self.node == None:
        self.node = (key,val)
        self.left = BSTree() # empty tree
        self.right = BSTree() # empty tree
    elif key < self.node[0]: self.left[key] = val
    elif key > self.node[0]: self.right[key] = val
                              self.node = (key,val)
    else:
a = BSTree()
a["hello"] = 4
a["world"] = a["hello"] + 5
```

```
class BST {
    struct Node { string key; double val;
                   Node *left, *right;} *node;
public:
    BST() { node = NULL;};
    double & operator[](const string &k) {
        Node **parent = NULL, *p = node, *newnode;
        while (p != NULL) {
            if (k < p->key) {
                 parent = &p->left; p = p->left;
            } else if (k > p->key) {
                 parent = &p->right; p = p->right;
            } else return p->val;
        }
        newnode = new Node;
        newnode->left = newnode->right = NULL;
        newnode \rightarrow key = k;
        if (parent == NULL) node = newnode;
        else
                             *parent = newnode;
        return newnode->val:
   }
};
BST a:
a["carrot"] = 3; a["onion"] = 4;
a["patato"] = a["onion"] + 2;
```

### Generic abstraction

- Same declaration pattern applied to different data types.
- Abstraction over declaration. A function or class declaration can be adapted to different types or values by using type or value parameters.

```
template <class T>
  class List {
        T content;
        List *next;
  public: List() { next=NULL };
        void add(T el) { ... };
        T get(int n) { ... };
    };
template <class U>
    void swap(U &a, U &b) { U tmp; tmp=a; a=b; b=tmp; }
...
List <int> a; List <double> b; List <Person> c;
int t,x; double v,y; Person z,w;
swap(t,x); swap(v,y); swap(z,w);
```

#### Iterator abstraction

■ Iteration over a user defined data structure. Ruby example:

```
class Tree
  def initialize (v)
       @value = v ; @left = nil ; @right = nil
  end
  def traverse
       @left.traverse {|v| yield v} if @left != nil
       yield @value # block argument replaces
       @right.traverse {|v| yield v} if @right != nil
  end
end
a=Tree.new(3) : [=[]
a.traverse { | node| # yield param
            print node # yield body
            I << node # yield body
```

#### Iterator abstraction

Iteration over a user defined data structure. Python generator example:

```
class BSTree(object):
    def __init__(self):
            self.val = ()
    def inorder(self):
        if self.val == ():
            return
        else:
            for i in self.left.inorder():
                 vield i
            yield self.val
            for i in self.right.inorder():
                 vield i
 = BSTree()
for v in v.inorder():
    print v
```

### C++ iterators

- C++ Standard Template Library containers support iterators
- begin() and end() methods return iterators to start and end of the data structure
- Iterators can be dereferenced as \*iter or iter->member.
- '++' operation on an iterator skips to the next value.

```
■ for (ittype it = a.begin(); it != a.end(); ++it) {
      // use *it or it->member it->method() in body
```

■ C++11 added:

```
for (valtype & i : a ) {
   // use directly i as l-value or r-value.
```

This syntax is equivalent to:

```
for (ittype it = a.begin(); it != a.end(); it++) {
    valtype & i = it;
   // use directly i as l-value or r-value
```

### C++ iterators

```
template < class T> class List {
  struct Node { T val; Node *next;} *list;
public: List() { list = nullptr;}
  void insert(const T& v) { Node *newnode = new Node;
      newnode->next = list; newnode->val = v; list = newnode;}
  class Iterator {
    Node *pos:
  public: Iterator(Node *p) { pos = p;}
   T & operator*() { return pos->val; }
    void operator++() { pos = pos->next; }
    bool operator!=(const Iterator &it) { return pos != it.pos; }
 };
  lterator begin() { Iterator it = Iterator(list); return it; }
  lterator end() { Iterator it = Iterator(nullptr); return it; }
};
List <int> a;
for (int & i : a ) { i *= 2; cout << i << '\n'; }
for (const char * s : { "ankara", "istanbul", "izmir" }) {
    cout << s ; }
```

# Abstraction Principle

If any programming language entity involves computation, it is possible to define an abstraction over it

Entity	$\rightarrow$	Abstraction
Expression	$\rightarrow$	Function
Command	$\rightarrow$	Procedure
Selector	$\rightarrow$	Selector function
Declaration	$\rightarrow$	Generic
Command Block	$\rightarrow$	Iterator

#### **Parameters**

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction\_name( $Fp_1$ ,  $Fp_2$ , ...,  $Fp_n$ )
  - Use part: abstraction\_name(Ap<sub>1</sub>, Ap<sub>2</sub>, ..., Ap<sub>n</sub>)
- Formal parameters: identifiers or constructors of identifiers (patterns in functional languages)
- Actual parameters: expression or identifier based on the type of the abstraction and parameter
- Question: How actual and formal parameters relate/communicate?
- Programming language design should answer
- Parameter passing mechanisms

# Parameter Passing Mechanisms

Programming language may support one or more mechanisms. 3 basic methods:

- 1 Copy mechanisms (assignment based)
- Binding mechanisms
- 3 Pass by name (substitution based)

# Copy Mechanisms

- Function and procedure abstractions, assignment between actual and formal parameter:
  - 1 Copy In: On function call:  $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$
  - 2 Copy Out: On function return:  $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$
  - 3 Copy In-Out: On function call:  $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$ , and On function return:  $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$
- C only allows copy-in mechanism. This mechanism is also called as Pass by value.

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
}
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%du,uy:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
}
```

```
Copy In:
  \underline{\mathsf{x}}
                            <u>b</u>
  1
  4
x:4, y:2
Copy Out:
  X
  1
  1
           0
x:1, y:0
Copy In-Out:
  X
                    <u>a</u>
  A
           1
  2
x:2, y:1
```

- Based on binding of the formal parameter variable/identifier to actual parameter value/identifier.
- Only one entity (value, variable, type) exists with more than one names.
  - Constant binding: Formal parameter is constant during the function. The value is bound to actual parameter expression value.
    - Functional languages including Haskell uses this mechanism.
  - Variable binding: Formal parameter variable is bound to the actual parameter variable. Same memory area is shared by two variable references.
    - Also known as pass by reference
- The other type and entities (function, type, etc) are passed with similar mechanisms.

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
}
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%d<sub>\(\mu\)</sub>,\(\mu\)y:%d\(\n\)",\(\xeta\),
    return 0;
}
```

#### Variable binding:

```
f():a / f():b / x y / 2 / 2 / 5 x: 5, y:2
```

## Pass by name

- Actual parameter syntax replaces each occurrence of the formal parameter in the function body, then the function body evaluated.
- C macros works with a similar mechanism (by pre-processor)
- Mostly useful in theoretical analysis of PL's. Also known as Normal order evaluation
- Example (Haskell-like)

```
f \times y = if (x<12) then x*x+y*y+x
else x+x*x
```

```
Evaluation: f (3*12+7) (24+16*3) \mapsto if ((3*12+7)<12) then (3*12+7)*(3*12+7)+(24+16*3)*(24+16*3)+(3*12+7) else (3*12+7)+(3*12+7)*(3*12+7) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} if (43<12) then ... \mapsto if (false) then ... \mapsto (3*12+7)+(3*12+7)*(3*12+7) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} (3*12+7)+43*(3*12+7) \mapsto ... \mapsto 1892 (12 steps)
```

### **Evaluation Order**

- Normal order evaluation is mathematically natural order of evaluation.
- Most of the PL's apply eager evaluation: Actual parameters are evaluated first, then passed.

```
f (3*12+7) (24+16*3) \mapsto f (36+7) (24+16*3) \stackrel{*}{\sim} f 43 72 \mapsto if (43<12) then 43*43+72*72+43 else 43+43*43 \mapsto if (false) then ... \mapsto 43+43*43 \stackrel{*}{\sim} 1892 (8 steps)
```

- Consider "g x y= if x>10 then y else x" for g 2 (4/0)
- Side effects are repeated in NOE.
- Church—Rosser Property: If an expression can be evaluated at all, it can be evaluated by consistently using normal-order evaluation. If an expression can be evaluated in several different orders (mixing eager and normal-order evaluation), then all of these evaluation orders yield the same result.

- Haskell implements Lazy Evaluation order.
- Eager evaluation is faster than normal order evaluation but violates Church-Rosser Property. Lazy evaluation is as fast as eager evaluation but computes same results with normal order evaluation (unless there is a side effect)
- Lazy evaluation expands the expression as normal order evaluation however once it evaluates the formal parameter value other evaluations use previously found value:

```
f (3*12+7) (24+16*3) \mapsto \text{if } (x:(3*12+7)<12) \text{ then }
x:(3*12+7)*x:(3*12+7)+y:(24+16*3)*y:(24+16*3)+x:(3*12+7) else
x:(3*12+7)+x:(3*12+7)*x:(3*12+7) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} if (x:43<12) then
x:43*x:43+y:(24+16*3)*y:(24+16*3)+x:43 else x:43+x:43*x:43 \mapsto if
(false) then ... \mapsto x:43+x:43*x:43 \mapsto x:43+1849 \mapsto 1892 (7 steps)
```

# Correspondence Principle

#### ■ Correspondence Principle:

For each form of declaration there exists a corresponding parameter mechanism.

■ C:

■ Pascal:

■ C++:

```
int a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(int a) {
const int a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(const int a) {
int &a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(int &a) {
```