Programming Language Concepts

Binding and Scope

Onur Tolga Şehitoğlu

Bilgisayar Mühendisliği







Outline

- Abstraction
 - Function and Procedure Abstractions
 - Selector Abstraction
 - Generic Abstraction
 - Iterator Abstraction
 - Iterator Abstraction
- 2 Abstraction Principle

- 3 Parameters
- 4 Parameter Passing

Mechanisms

- Copy Mechanisms
- Binding Mechanisms
- Pass by Name
- 5 Evaluation Order
- 6 Correspondence Principle

Abstraction



Iceberg: Details at the bottom, useful part at the top of the ocean. Animals do not care about the bottom.

- Abstraction: Make a program or design reusable by enclosing it in a body, hiding the details, and defining a mechanism to access it.
- Separating the usage and implementation of program segments.
- Vital large scale programming.



Abstraction



- Iceberg: Details at the bottom, useful part at the top of the ocean. Animals do not care about the bottom.
- User: "how do I use it?", Developer: "How do I make it work?"

- Abstraction: Make a program or design reusable by enclosing it in a body, hiding the details, and defining a mechanism to access it.
- Separating the usage and implementation of program segments.
- Vital large scale programming.



Abstraction



- Iceberg: Details at the bottom, useful part at the top of the ocean. Animals do not care about the bottom.
- User: "how do I use it?", Developer: "How do I make it work?"
- User: "what does it do?", Developer: "How does it do that?
- Abstraction: Make a program or design reusable by enclosing it in a body, hiding the details, and defining a mechanism to access it.
- Separating the usage and implementation of program segments.
- Vital large scale programming.



■ Abstraction is possible in any discipline involving design:

- Abstraction is possible in any discipline involving design:
- radio tuner. Adjustment knob on a radio is an abstraction over the tuner element, frequency selection.

- Abstraction is possible in any discipline involving design:
- radio tuner. Adjustment knob on a radio is an abstraction over the tuner element, frequency selection.
- An ATM is an abstraction over complicated set of bank transaction operations.

- Abstraction is possible in any discipline involving design:
- radio tuner. Adjustment knob on a radio is an abstraction over the tuner element, frequency selection.
- An ATM is an abstraction over complicated set of bank transaction operations.
- Programming languages can be considered as abstraction over machine language.

- Abstraction is possible in any discipline involving design:
- radio tuner. Adjustment knob on a radio is an abstraction over the tuner element, frequency selection.
- An ATM is an abstraction over complicated set of bank transaction operations.
- Programming languages can be considered as abstraction over machine language.
- ...

■ Details are confusing

- Details are confusing
- Details may contain more error

- Details are confusing
- Details may contain more error
- Repeating same details increase complexity and errors

- Details are confusing
- Details may contain more error
- Repeating same details increase complexity and errors
- Abstraction philosophy: Declare once, use many times!

- Details are confusing
- Details may contain more error
- Repeating same details increase complexity and errors
- Abstraction philosophy: Declare once, use many times!
- Code reusability is the ultimate goal.

- Details are confusing
- Details may contain more error
- Repeating same details increase complexity and errors
- Abstraction philosophy: Declare once, use many times!
- Code reusability is the ultimate goal.
- Parameterization improves power of abstraction

Function and procedure abstractions

■ The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail
- Functions are abstractions over expressions

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail
- Functions are abstractions over expressions
- void functions of C or procedure declarations of some languages

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail
- Functions are abstractions over expressions
- void functions of C or procedure declarations of some languages
- No value but contains executable statements as detail.

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail
- Functions are abstractions over expressions
- void functions of C or procedure declarations of some languages
- No value but contains executable statements as detail.
- Procedures are abstractions over commands

- The computation of an expression is the detail (algorithm, variables, etc.)
- Function call is the usage of the detail
- Functions are abstractions over expressions
- void functions of C or procedure declarations of some languages
- No value but contains executable statements as detail.
- Procedures are abstractions over commands
- Other type of abstractions possible?

arrays: int a[10][20]; a[i]=a[i]+1;

- arrays: int a[10][20]; a[i]=a[i]+1;
- [..] operator selects elements of an array.

- int a[10][20]; a[i]=a[i]+1; arrays:
- operator selects elements of an array.
- User defined selectors on user defined structures?

- arrays: int a[10][20]; a[i]=a[i]+1;
- [..] operator selects elements of an array.
- User defined selectors on user defined structures?
- Example: Selector on a linked list:

- arrays: int a[10][20]; a[i]=a[i]+1;
- operator selects elements of an array.
- User defined selectors on user defined structures?
- Example: Selector on a linked list:

```
int & get(List *p, int el) {    /* linked list */
   int i:
   for (i=1;i<el;i++) {
       p = p->next; /* take the next element */
   return p->data;
get(head,i) = get(head,2) + 1; ...
```

■ C++ allows overloading of [] operator for classes.

Generic abstraction

- Same declaration pattern applied to different data types.
- Abstraction over declaration. A function or class declaration can be adapted to different types or values by using type or value parameters.

```
template <class T>
  class List {
        T content:
        List *next;
  public: List() { next=NULL };
        void add(T el) { ... };
        T get(int n) { ...};
  };
template <class U>
  void swap(U &a, U &b) { U tmp; tmp=a; a=b; b=tmp; }
List <int> a; List <double> b; List <Person> c;
int t,x; double v,y; Person z,w;
swap(t,x); swap(v,y); swap(z,w);
```

Iterator abstraction

■ Iteration over a user defined data structure. Ruby example:

```
class Tree
  def initialize(v)
       @value = v ; @left = nil ; @right = nil
  end
  def traverse
       @left.traverse {|v| yield v} if @left != nil
      yield @value # block argument replaces
       @right.traverse {|v| yield v} if @right != nil
  end
end
a=Tree.new(3) : [=[]
print node # yield body
           I << node # yield body
```

Iterator abstraction

■ Iteration over a user defined data structure. Python example:

```
class BSTree(object):
    def __init__(self):
            self.val = ()
    def inorder(self):
        if self.val == ():
            return
        else:
            for i in self.left.inorder():
                 yield i
            vield self.val
            for i in self.right.inorder():
                 vield i
 = BSTree()
for v in v.inorder():
    print v
```

Abstraction Principle

■ If any programming language entity involves computation, it is possible to define an abstraction over it

| Entity | \rightarrow | Abstraction |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Expression | \rightarrow | Function |
| Command | \rightarrow | Procedure |
| Selector | \rightarrow | Selector function |
| Declaration | \rightarrow | Generic |
| Command Block | \rightarrow | Iterator |

Parameters

■ Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".

Parameters

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction_name(Fp₁, Fp₂, ..., Fp_n)

Use part: abstraction_name(Ap₁, Ap₂, ..., Ap_n)

Parameters

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction_name(Fp₁, Fp₂, ..., Fp_n)
 - Use part: abstraction_name(Ap₁, Ap₂, ..., Ap_n)
- Formal parameters: identifiers or constructors of identifiers (patterns in functional languages)

Parameters

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction_name(Fp₁, Fp₂, ..., Fp_n)

Use part: abstraction_name(Ap₁, Ap₂, ..., Ap_n)

- Formal parameters: identifiers or constructors of identifiers (patterns in functional languages)
- Actual parameters: expression or identifier based on the type of the abstraction and parameter

Parameters

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction_name(Fp₁, Fp₂, ..., Fp_n)
 - Use part: abstraction_name(Ap₁, Ap₂, ..., Ap_n)
- Formal parameters: identifiers or constructors of identifiers (patterns in functional languages)
- Actual parameters: expression or identifier based on the type of the abstraction and parameter
- Question: How actual and formal parameters relate/communicate?

Parameters

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction_name(Fp₁, Fp₂, ..., Fp_n)

Use part: abstraction_name(Ap₁, Ap₂, ..., Ap_n)

- Formal parameters: identifiers or constructors of identifiers (patterns in functional languages)
- Actual parameters: expression or identifier based on the type of the abstraction and parameter
- Question: How actual and formal parameters relate/communicate?
- Programming language design should answer



Parameters

- Many purpose and behaviors in order to take advantage of "declare once use many times".
- **Declaration part:** abstraction_name(Fp₁, Fp₂, ..., Fp_n)

Use part: abstraction_name(Ap₁, Ap₂, ..., Ap_n)

- Formal parameters: identifiers or constructors of identifiers (patterns in functional languages)
- Actual parameters: expression or identifier based on the type of the abstraction and parameter
- Question: How actual and formal parameters relate/communicate?
- Programming language design should answer
- Parameter passing mechanisms



Parameter Passing Mechanisms

Programming language may support one or more mechanisms. 3 basic methods:

- 1 Copy mechanisms (assignment based)
- 2 Binding mechanisms
- 3 Pass by name (substitution based)

Abstraction Abstraction Principle Parameters Parameter Passing Mechanisms Evaluation Order Correspondence Principle

Copy Mechanisms

■ Function and procedure abstractions, assignment between actual and formal parameter:

- Function and procedure abstractions, assignment between actual and formal parameter:
 - 1 Copy In:

On function call: $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$

- Function and procedure abstractions, assignment between actual and formal parameter:
 - 1 Copy In:

On function call: $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$

2 Copy Out:

On function return: $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$

- Function and procedure abstractions, assignment between actual and formal parameter:
 - 1 Copy In:

On function call: $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$

2 Copy Out:

On function return: $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$

3 Copy In-Out:

On function call: $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$, and On function return: $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$

- Function and procedure abstractions, assignment between actual and formal parameter:
 - 1 Copy In:
 - On function call: $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$
 - 2 Copy Out: On function return: $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$
 - 3 Copy In-Out: On function call: $Fp_i \leftarrow Ap_i$, and On function return: $Ap_i \leftarrow Fp_i$
- C only allows copy-in mechanism. This mechanism is also called as Pass by value.

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%du,uy:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
```

```
Copy In:
                    b
 X
 4
x:4, y:2
```

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
}
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%du,uy:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
}
```

```
Copy Out:

x y a b

1 2 0 1

x:1, y:0
```

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%du,uy:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
```

```
Copy In-Out:
  Х
                  <u>a</u>
  A
x:2, y:1
```

- Based on binding of the formal parameter variable/identifier to actual parameter value/identifier.
- Only one entity (value, variable, type) exists with more than one names.
 - 1 Constant binding: Formal parameter is constant during the function. The value is bound to actual parameter expression value.
 - Functional languages including Haskell uses this mechanism.
 - 2 Variable binding: Formal parameter variable is bound to the actual parameter variable. Same memory area is shared by two variable references.
 - Also known as pass by reference
- The other type and entities (function, type, etc) are passed with similar mechanisms

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
}
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%du,uy:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
}
```

Variable binding:

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%du,uy:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
```

Variable binding:

```
f():a /
               f():b /
   Х
```

```
int x=1, y=2;
void f(int a, int b) {
    x += a+b;
    a++;
    b=a/2;
int main() {
    f(x,y);
    printf("x:%d_,_y:%d\n",x,y);
    return 0;
```

Variable binding:

Pass by name

- Actual parameter syntax replaces each occurrence of the formal parameter in the function body, then the function body evaluated.
- C macros works with a similar mechanism (by pre-processor)
- Mostly useful in theoretical analysis of PL's. Also known as Normal order evaluation
- Example (Haskell-like)

```
x y = if (x<12) then x*x+y*y+x
                 else x+x*x
```

```
Evaluation: f (3*12+7) (24+16*3) \mapsto if ((3*12+7)<12) then
(3*12+7)*(3*12+7)+(24+16*3)*(24+16*3)+(3*12+7) else
(3*12+7)+(3*12+7)*(3*12+7) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} \text{if } (43<12) \text{ then } \dots \mapsto \text{if } (\text{false})
then ... \mapsto (3*12+7)+(3*12+7)*(3*12+7) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} (3*12+7)+43*(3*12+7) \mapsto
                                                                               (12 \text{ steps})
... → 1892
```

Evaluation Order

- Normal order evaluation is mathematically natural order of evaluation.
- Most of the PL's apply eager evaluation: Actual parameters are evaluated first, then passed.

```
f (3*12+7) (24+16*3) \mapsto f (36+7) (24+16*3) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} f 43 72 \mapsto if (43<12)
then 43*43+72*72+43 else 43+43*43 \mapsto \text{if (false) then } \dots
43+43*43 <sup>*</sup>→ 1892
                                                                                (8 steps)
```

- Consider "g x y= if x>10 then y else x" for g 2 (4/0)
- Side effects are repeated in NOE.
- Church–Rosser Property: If an expression can be evaluated at all, it can be evaluated by consistently using normal-order evaluation. If an expression can be evaluated in several different orders (mixing eager and normal-order evaluation), then all of these evaluation orders yield the same result.



- Haskell implements Lazy Evaluation order.
- Eager evaluation is faster than normal order evaluation but violates Church-Rosser Property. Lazy evaluation is as fast as eager evaluation but computes same results with normal order evaluation (unless there is a side effect)
- Lazy evaluation expands the expression as normal order evaluation however once it evaluates the formal parameter value other evaluations use previously found value:

```
f (3*12+7) (24+16*3) \mapsto \text{if } (x:(3*12+7)<12) \text{ then }
x:(3*12+7)*x:(3*12+7)+y:(24+16*3)*y:(24+16*3)+x:(3*12+7) else
x:(3*12+7)+x:(3*12+7)*x:(3*12+7) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} if (x:43<12) then
x:43*x:43+y:(24+16*3)*y:(24+16*3)+x:43 else x:43+x:43*x:43 \mapsto if
(false) then ... \mapsto x:43+x:43*x:43 \mapsto x:43+1849 \mapsto 1892 (7 steps)
```

Correspondence Principle

Correspondence Principle:

For each form of declaration there exists a corresponding parameter mechanism.

C.

```
int a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(int a) {
const int a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(const int a) {
```

Pascal:

```
var a: integer; ↔ procedure f(a:integer) begin
                  \leftrightarrow ??? \{
const a:5;

→ procedure f(var a:integer) begin

???
```

■ C++:

```
\leftrightarrow void f(int a) \{
int a=p;
const int a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(const int a) {
int &a=p; \leftrightarrow void f(int &a) {
```