

**Akademia Górniczo-Hutnicza
im. Stanisława Staszica w Krakowie**

Wydział Elektrotechniki, Automatyki, Informatyki i Elektroniki

KATEDRA INFORMATYKI STOSOWANEJ



PRACA MAGISTERSKA

KONRAD MALAWSKI

**PRZETWARZANIE I ANALIZA DANYCH
MULTIMEDIALNYCH W ŚRODOWISKU
ROZPROSZONYM**

PROMOTOR:

dr inż. Sebastian Ernst

Kraków 2014

OŚWIADCZENIE AUTORA PRACY

OŚWIADCZAM, ŚWIADOMY ODPOWIEDZIALNOŚCI KARNEJ ZA
POŚWIADCZENIE NIEPRAWDY, ŻE NINIEJSZĄ PRACĘ DYPLOMOWĄ
WYKONAŁEM OSOBIŚCIE I SAMODZIELNIE, I NIE KORZYSTAŁEM ZE
ŹRÓDEŁ INNYCH NIŻ WYMIENIONE W PRACY.

.....

PODPIS

AGH
University of Science and Technology in Krakow

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Automatics, Computer Science and
Electronics

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED COMPUTER SCIENCE



MASTER OF SCIENCE THESIS

KONRAD MALAWSKI

**PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS OF MULTIMEDIA IN
DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS**

SUPERVISOR:

Sebastian Ernst Ph.D

Krakow 2014

Acknowledgements...

Contents

Contents	5
1. Introduction	8
1.1. Goals of this work.....	8
1.2. Investigated use cases	8
1.2.1. Near-duplicate detection	8
1.2.2. Data extraction.....	9
1.3. Paper structure	9
2. Technologies.....	10
2.1. Apache Hadoop	10
2.2. Apache HBase	10
2.3. Scala	10
2.4. Akka	10
2.5. Cascading & Scalding	11
2.6. phash.....	11
2.7. Chef	11
2.8. Other tools and technologies used.....	11
2.8.1. youtube-dl.....	11
2.8.2. tesseract-ocr.....	11
3. System and design overview	12
3.1. Loader.....	13
3.1.1. Types of Actors used in the system	13
3.1.2. Obtaining reference video material	15
3.2. Analyser.....	17
3.2.1. Frame-by-frame data and the HDFS „small-files problem”	18
3.2.2. Defining Map Reduce Pipelines using Scalding and Cascading	19
4. Performance and scalability analysis	21

4.1. Scaling Hadoop	21
4.2. Scaling the Loader (actor system)	21
5. Results and processing times	22
6. Conclusions	23
A. Automated cluster deployment	24
A.1. Chef - automated server configu.....	24
Bibliography	25

Todo list

This is not done until I'm done with the paper ;-) Oculus	9
explain more about hadoop, where it came from. Reference BigTable paper.	10
explain why hbase makes sense	10
explain why scala	10
say why akka was selected...	10
link to patches included	11
explain what scalding and cascading are	11
explain phase, link to paper	11
explain chef	11
explain youtubedl	11
maybe tesseract?	11
scale it to more nodes...	21
nothing said about results yet...	22
add some cool images so it's visual...	22
conclude stuff...	23

1. Introduction

1.1. Goals of this work

The primary goal of this work is to research how to efficiently work with humongous amounts of multimedia data in a distributed setting, and whether this approach is the tight one.

In order to guarantee that recommendations and measurements made during this research are applicable in the „real world”, outside of laboratory or „experiment” environments, I have defined a series of problems (described in the next section) and implemented a system which is able to solve those problems as well as easily adapt to any new requirements benefiting from the use of parallel access to hundreds of gigabytes of reference video material.

1.2. Investigated use cases

As stated in the introduction section, in order to be able reliably verify criteria such as responsiveness, cost-efficiency, performance and of course scalability of distributed systems, there must be some reference problem and solution the measurements will be made on.

For the sake of this paper I propose a „video material analysis platform” from here on referred to as the „*Oculus*” system. In the following two sub sections I will explain the use cases (thus - requirements) this system will aim to solve, which while being a very interesting topic of research by itself, will provide me a platform to measure the usefulness of the selected distributed system building blocks used for it.

1.2.1. Near-duplicate detection

One of the simplest use cases in which this system might be used is *near-duplicate detection* of video files. Note the term „near-duplicate” here, as exact duplicates are not the biggest problem – and the designed system must also be able to identify „almost identical” material.

It might be easier to imagine the bellow use-cases if we think of a system like *youtube.com* ??, where vast amounts of content are uploaded *each second*. An example of why „almost identical” material in this setting would be a movie trailer, which has just been released and

many fans want to put it online on youtube, in order to share this trailer. It is very likely that they would add slight modifications, such as their own voice-over with comments, or resize the video for example. It is also fairly common that users apply malicious modifications to the video material in order to make 1:1 identification with copyrighted material harder - such modifications are typically „mirroring” the video material, or slightly brightening every frame. The system proposed in this work identifies content properly even after such modifications have been applied to the source content.

1.2.2. Data extraction

Another, less copyright focused, goal of the presented system is to be able to extensively mine data directly from the uploaded video content. Here the canonical example would be a *TOP 10 Movies of All Time*” video, which obviously contains video material from at least 10 movies, usually in the order of 10th, 9th ... until the 1st (best) movie of all time. If we would be able to match parts of each video to their corresponding reference materials, we would be able to get meta data about the now recognised movies and even mine out the data what is the best / worst movie of all time, even without it being written per se – only by looking at the frames in the video.

While talking about extracting data from movies one cannot skip extracting text from images which seems both one of the most valuable things we can extract as well as with existing open source solutions for text recognition should not be hard to enable, given all the previous work which will be required to fulfil the above use case.

1.3. Paper structure

In section 1 I will describe the architecture of the system, and briefly go over how this architecture benefits future extension.

In section 2 I will focus in the technical challenges encountered and solved during implementing the reference system.

In the last section I will summarise the the findings.

2. Technologies

As the core of this work will focus on analysing and benchmarking usage of popular distributed system stacks, it is only fair to begin with introducing the selected components from which the system consist.

This chapter should be treated as a brief introduction into the selected technologies, as very detailed explanations and and implementation details will be provided throughout chapters 3 through 4.

2.1. Apache Hadoop

As the system will require the storage of many gigabytes (hundreds) of data the core of the system will be pretty much dominated by writing and reading to / from a datastore that contains all our reference video material.

Hadoop's ?? Distributed File System was designed with such thoughts in mind, and is able to scale an abstract file system over many servers, yet providing tools to make it visible as if it was one file system.

2.2. Apache HBase

2.3. Scala

2.4. Akka

explain
more
about
hadoop,
where
it
came
from.
Ref-
er-
ence
BigTable
pa-
per.

explain
why
hbase

2.5. Cascading & Scalding

Cascading is a framework built on top Apache Hadoop and enables map reduce authors to think in terms of high level abstractions, such as data „flows” and job „pipelines” (series of Map Reduce jobs executed in parallel or sequentially) which have been used extensively in this project.

Scalding is a library developed by Twitter...

During the work on this paper several contributions to Scalding have been provided and merged in by the library authors.

2.6. phash

PHash is short for „Perceptual Hash”

2.7. Chef

2.8. Other tools and technologies used

2.8.1. youtube-dl

Youtube-dl is a small library written in python and distributed in the the license. It was used in order to download movies from youtube.

2.8.2. tesseract-ocr

link
to
patches
in-
cluded

explain
what
scald-
ing
and
cas-
cad-
ing
are

explain
phase,
link
to
pa-
per

explain
chef

explain
youtube

maybe
tesser-
act?

3. System and design overview

The system, from here to be referred to by the name „*Oculus*”, is designed with an asynchronous as well as distributed approach in mind. In order to achieve high asynchronicity between obtaining new reference data, and running jobs such as „*compare video1 with the reference database*”, the system was split into two primary components:

- **loader** – which is responsible for obtaining more and more reference material. It persists and initially processes the videos, as well as any related metadata. In a real system this reference data would be provided by partnering content providers, yet for this
- **analyser** – which is responsible for preparing and scheduling job pipelines for execution on top of the Hadoop cluster and reference databases.

To further illustrate the separate components and their interactions Figure 3.1 shows the different interactions within the system.




Figure 3.1: High level overview of the system’s architecture

3.1. Loader

The Loader component is responsible for obtaining as much as possible „reference data”, by which I mean video material – from sites such as *youtube.com* or video hosting sites. Please note that for the sake of this thesis (and legal safety) the downloaded content was limited to movie trailers (which are freely available on-line) as well as series opening, ending sequences.

While I will refer to the Loader (as a system) in singular, it should be noted that in fact there are multiple instances of it running in the cluster. Thanks to the use of Akka’s [JB13] Actor Model abstractions (and *remoting* module [Inc13]), in which the physical location of an Actor plays is of no importance – meaning, that the receiving Actor does not have to be on the same host as the sending Actor.

3.1.1. Types of Actors used in the system

The system consists of 4 types of Actors each of which has multiple instances which are spread out on many nodes in the cluster. Some tasks can only be sent to local Actors (any work requiring an already downloaded file), but messages related to crawling and initially downloading the video material can be spread throughout the cluster. I will now briefly describe the different Actor roles that exist in the system and then explain the interactions between them on an example.

- **YouTubeCrawlActor** – is capable of fetching and YouTube websites and generate Messages triggering either further crawling of „related video sites” (`Crawl(siteId: String)`) or downloading of the currently accessed video (by sending a `Download(movieId)` message),

receives:

1 – `Crawl(siteId: String)` message

sends:

0 or n – `Crawl(siteId: String)` - where n is the number of „related video” links found on the site. If crawling is turned off, no messages will be sent.

- **DownloadActor** – is responsible for downloading the movie from youtube in it’s original format (in the presence of many formats, the highest quality file will be downloaded). This Actor decides if a video is legal to download or not, because it also obtains the movie’s metadata – only trailers and opening sequences of series are downloaded during for the sake of this thesis.
- **ConversionActor** – is responsible for converting the downloaded video material into raw frame data (bitmaps).

receives:

`Convert(localVideoFile: java.util.File)` – This message must come from a local Actor, since the path refers to the local file system.

sends:

`Upload(framesDirectory: java.util.File)` – when the finished converting to bitmaps, it will send an Upload message to one of the `HDFSUploadActors`, pointing to the directory where the output bitmaps have been written.

- **HDFSUploadActor** – is responsible for optimally storing the sequence of bitmaps in Hadoop. This includes converting a series of relatively small (around 2MB per frame) files into one Sequence File on HDFS. Sequence Files and the need for their use will be explained in detail in section 3.2.1.

receives:

`Upload(framesDirectory: java.util.File)` – pointing to a local directory where the bitmap files have been stored. This message must come from a local actor, since the path refers to the local file system.

3.1.2. Obtaining reference video material

In this subsection I will discuss the process of obtaining video material by the Loader subsystem, as well as explain which parts can be executed on different nodes of the cluster. The Figure 3.2 should help in understanding the basic workflow.




Figure 3.2: Overview of messages passed within the Loader's actor system. Greyed out messages are also sent, but are not on the critical path leading to obtaining material from *siteX* into HDFS.

Step 1 - Crawl messages

The initiating message for each flow within the Loader is a *Crawl(siteUrl)*, where *siteUrl* is a valid youtube video url. The receiving *YouTubeCrawlActor* will react to such message by fetching and extracting the related video site urls and will forward those using the same kind of *Crawl* messages. The second, yet most important, reaction is sending a *Download(movieId)* message to an instance of an *DownloadActor* – it can reside on any node in the cluster, which allows us to spread the down-link utilisation between different nodes in the cluster.

It is worth pointing out that the receivers of these messages can be remote Actors, that is, can be located on a different node in the cluster than the sender. In order to guarantee spreading of the load among the many actors within the system (across nodes in the cluster), I am using a strategy called „Smallest Inbox Routing”. This technique uses a special „Router Actor” which is responsible for a number of Routees (target Actors), and decides to deliver a message only to the Actor who has the smallest amount of messages „not yet processed” (which are kept in an Queue called the „Inbox”, hence the strategy’s name).

Step 2 - Download messages

In the second step an *YouTubeDownloadActor* instance receives a message asking it to download a movie. It does so by invoking the native app *youtube-dl*, which is an open source program specialised in downloading movies from YouTube. Other than the video file (in an open source format) we also download a metadata description during this step, such metadata includes for example the date of publication, author, title and description of the movie. From this message including, all messages will be routed only to Actors local to the current node, because messages include *File* objects, pointing to locations on-disk.

The metadata is then used to determine if it can be used in the context of this work, as only movie trailers and opening / ending sequences are downloaded into the Oculus system. If the content is OK to use, the Actor sends an *Convert(movieLocation)* message to an instance of *ConversionActor*.

Step 3 - Convert messages

The next step is executed by an instance of an *ConversionActor* receiving an *Convert(file)* message from another (local) Actor. The conversion phase will extract raw frame data from the incoming movie, and write those as plain bitmaps (not compressed) to files (one per each frame) into a specified target directory. The reason for not using a compressed lossless image format here is that all algorithms that the system will be dealing with later on are dealing with the raw image data, so we can avoid having to go over uncompression phases each time we will process a frame. Having that said, the storage format used for storing these files on HDFS provides build in compression (if enabled), and it should be preferred in this case as it is transparent for the application, easing development of Map Reduce jobs in the Analyzer system immensely.

The conversion from movie to raw bitmaps is performed by running an native application called *ffmpeg* [ffm] instance (an de facto standard tool for such media operations), by forking a process from within the Actor. The CPU usage of running this extraction process easily reaches 100% of the available resources, which is why the number of Conversion Actors per node is limited to only 1 per node, allowing *ffmpeg* to consume all available resources and finish extracting the data sooner. The actor will block until the process completes, and will then continue by sending an *Upload(bitmapDirectory)* message to one of the *HDFSUploadActors*.

Step 4 & 5 - Upload messages

The last step is an *HDFSUploadActor* receiving an *Upload(bitmapDirectory)* message which triggers it to connect to HDFS and start writing the bitmap data contained in the given directory to HDFS. The format of the generated data is as previously mentioned one file per frame of video, which averages around 2MB (depending on the movie resolution).

In this step the important part is that it does not write these files 1:1 onto HDFS, but instead writes into one file, using a hadoop specific storage format called „Sequence File”, which allows for more efficient storage and latter retrieval of this data. Sequence Files, the need and benefits gained by using them as storage format for „frame by frame” data will be discussed in Section 3.2.1.

This write terminates the operations performed on one movie by the Loader. All other operations will be performed by the Analyser by running Map Reduce jobs on Sequence Files prepared in the above flow.

3.2. Analyser

The analyser component is responsible for orchestrating Map Reduce jobs and submitting them to the cluster. Results of jobs are written to either HBase or plain TSV (*Tab Separated Values*) Files. Figure 3.3 depicts the typical execution flow of an analysis process issued by Oculus.




Figure 3.3: When storing a small file in HDFS, it still takes up an entire block. The grey space is not wasted on disk, but causes the *name-node* memory problems.

In step 1 the *job pipeline* is being prepared by the program by aggregating required metadata and preparing the job pipeline, which often consists of more than just one Map Reduce job – in fact, most analysis jobs performed by Oculus require at least 3 or more Map Reduce jobs to be issued to the cluster. It is important to note that some of these jobs may be dependent on another task's output and this cannot be run in parallel. On the other hand, if a job requires calculating all histograms for all frames of a movie as well as calculating something different for each of these frames – these jobs can be executed in parallel and will benefit from the large number of data nodes which can execute these jobs.

The 2nd step on Figure 3.3 is important because Oculus may react with launching another analysis job based on the notification that one pipeline has completed. This allows to keep different pipelines separate, and trigger them reactively when for example all its dependencies have been computed in another pipeline.

For most applications though the 3rd step in a typical Oculus Job would be to read and present top N results to the issuer of the job, which for a question like „Which movie is similar to this one?“ would be the top N most similar movies (their names, identifiers as well as match percentage).

3.2.1. Frame-by-frame data and the HDFS „small-files problem“

Most algorithms used in Oculus operate on a frame-by-frame basis, which means that it is most natural to store all data as „data for frame 343 from movie XYZ“. This applies to everything from plain bitmap data of a frame to metrics such as histograms of colours of a given frame or other metadata like the extracted text content found in this frame.

Sadly this abstraction does not work nicely with Hadoop, it would cause the well-known „small-files problem“ which leads to *major* performance degradation of the Hadoop cluster is left undressed. In this section I will focus on explaining the problem and what steps have been taken to prevent it from manifesting in the presence of millions of „by-frame“ data points.

Hadoop uses so called „blocks“ as smallest atomic unit that can be used to move data between the cluster. The default block size is set to *64 megabytes* on most Hadoop distributions (including vanilla Apache Hadoop which this implementation is using).

This also means that if the DFS takes a write of one file (assuming the *replication factor* equals 1) it will use up one block. By itself this is not worrisome, because other than in traditional (local) file systems such as EXT3 for example, when we store N bytes in a block on HDFS, the the file system can still use block's unused space. Figure 3.4 shows the structure of a block storing only one frame of a movie.

The problem stemming from writing small files manifests not directly by impacting the used disk space, but in increasing memory usage in the clusters so called *name-node*. The name-node is responsible for acting as a lookup table for locating the blocks in the cluster. Since name-




Figure 3.4: When storing a small file in HDFS, it still takes up an entire block. The grey space is not wasted on disk, but causes the *name-node* memory problems.

node has to keep 150KB of metadata for each block in the cluster, creating more blocks than we actually need quickly forces the name-node to use so much memory, that it may run into long garbage collection pauses, degrading the entire cluster's performance. To put precise numbers to this – if we would be able to store 500MB of data in an optimal way, storing them on HDFS would use 8 blocks – causing the name node to use approximately 1KB of metadata. On the other hand, storing this data in chunks of 2MB (for example by storing each frame of a movie, uncompressed) would use up 250 HDFS blocks, which results in additional 36KB of memory used on the name-node, which is 4.5 times as much (28KB more) as with optimally storing the data! Since we are talking about hundreds of thousands of files, such waste causes a tremendous unneeded load on the name-node.

It should be also noted, that when running map-reduce jobs, Hadoop will by default start one map task for each block it's processing in the given Job. Spinning up a task is an expensive process, so this too is a cause for performance degradation, since having small files causes more *Map tasks* being issued for the same amount of actual data Hadoop will spend more time waiting for tasks to finish starting and collecting data from them than it would have to.

3.2.2. Defining Map Reduce Pipelines using Scalding and Cascading

The primary language used for implementing all Oculus jobs, including Map Reduce jobs is Scala [Ode13]

Listing 3.1: Simplest Scalding job used in Oculus – each frame perceptual hashing

```

1 Tsv("input.tsv")
2   .map('line -> 'word) { line: String => line.split }
3   .groupBy('word) { _.count }
4   .write(Tsv("output.tsv"))

```

Sequence Files

The solution applied in the implemented system to resolve the small files problem is based on a technique called „Sequence Files”, which are a manually controlled layer of abstraction on top of HDFS blocks. There are multiple Sequence file formats accepted by the common utilities that Hadoop provides [Had12] but they all are *binary header-prefixed key-value formats*, as visualised Figure 3.5.




Figure 3.5: A SequenceFile allows storing of multiple small chunks of data in one HDFS Block.

Using Sequence Files resolves all previously described problems related to small files on top of HDFS. Files are no longer „small”, at least in Hadoop’s perception, since access of frames of a movie is most often bound to access other frames of this movie we don’t suffer any drawbacks from such storage format.

Another solution that could have been applied here is the use of HBase and it’s key-value design instead of the explicit use of Sequence Files, yet this would not yield much performance nor storage benefits as HBase stores it’s Table data in a very similar format as Sequence Files. The one benefit from using HBase in order to avoid the small files problem would have been random access to any frame, not to „frames of this movie”, but since I don’t have such access patterns and it would complicate the design of the system I decided to use Sequence Files instead.

4. Performance and scalability analysis

In this section I will analyse the devised system on such aspects as general performance, ability (and ease) of scaling the system to support larger amounts of data or to speed-up the processing times by adding more servers to the cluster.

These measurements will be made in the previously described system and backed by measurements

4.1. Scaling Hadoop

In this section I will investigate the impact of scaling the Hadoop cluster vertically (by adding more nodes) on processing times of movies.


The task used to measure will be the longest pipeline available in the oculus system - comparing a movie, percentage wise with all other movies in the database. This process touches a tremendous amount of data, and is also very parallelizable.

At first I measured the time to process the input movie

4.2. Scaling the Loader (actor system)

scale
it to
more
nodes..

5. Results and processing times



6. Conclusions

The applied technologies have indeed been very helpful, and proved to be very elastic for different kinds of jobs related to processing large amounts of data. I was also positively surprised with the ease of Scaling Hadoop infrastructure.

conclude
stuff...

A. Automated cluster deployment

As part of this thesis, it

A.1. Chef - automated server configu

Hadoop's filesystem must be formatted before put into use. This is achieved by issuing the `-format` command to the namenode:

```
kmalawski@oculus-master > hadoop namenode -format
```

It is worth pointing out that a "format" takes place only on the namenode, it does not actually touch the datab stored on the datanodes, but instead it deleted the data stored on the namenode. The Namenode, as explained previously, stores all metadata about where a file is located, thus, cleaning it's data makes the files stores in HDFS un-usable, since we don't know "where a file's chunks are stored".

Bibliography

- [CH73] Richard Steiger Carl Hewitt, Peter Bishop. A universal modular actor formalism for artificial intelligence, 1973.
- [ffm] Ffmpeg project site.
- [Goo] Google. *<http://youtube.com>*.
- [Goo13] Google. Google's tesseract-ocr text recognition library, 1985 - 2013.
- [Had12] Apache Hadoop. Hadoop sequence files format documentation, 2012.
- [Inc13] Typesafe Inc. Akka remoting documentation, 2013.
- [JB13] Victor Klang et al. Jonar Boner. Akka documentation, 2013.
- [Ode13] Martin Odersky. Scala – <http://scala-lang.org>, 2003 – 2013.
- [PH07] Martin Odersky Phillip Haller. Actors that unify threads and events, 2007.
- [Zau10] Christoph Zauner. Implementation and benchmarking of perceptual image hash functions, 2010.