

CHILD'S NAME:

CASE NUMBER:

**SIX-MONTH PERMANENCY ATTACHMENT:
REUNIFICATION SERVICES TERMINATED
(Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(e))**

1. By a preponderance of the evidence, the return of the child to their parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child. The factual basis for this conclusion is stated on the record.

Placement

2. **The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.**
3. **The child's current placement is appropriate.**
4. For a child placed in a short-term residential therapeutic program or community treatment facility, the court has considered the evidence and documentation submitted under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.1(/) when determining the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement.
5. **The child's current placement is not appropriate.** The county agency must locate an appropriate placement for the child.
 - a. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-430, item 28, for a written oral report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an appropriate placement.
 - b. Other (specify):
6. The child has left their placement, and their whereabouts are unknown. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement was was not appropriate. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to locate the child.
7. The child is currently detained in juvenile hall. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement was was not appropriate.
8. There has been a change in the child's placement, and the child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child. Currently (choose one),
 - a. the child is placed with a member of the child's extended family as defined by 25 U.S.C. § 1903; or
 - b. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, the efforts are documented in detail in the record, and the child is placed in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; or
 - c. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family or in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; and the child is placed in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; or
 - d. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe, or in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; and the child is placed in an institution for children approved by an Indian tribe or operated by an Indian organization that has a program suitable to meet the Indian child's needs; or
 - e. the child is placed in accordance with the preferences established by the tribe; or
 - f. the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to depart from the placement preferences based on the reasons set out in the record.
9. **The child is placed outside the state of California, and that out-of-state placement**
 - a. continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is in the best interest of the child.
 - b. is no longer the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the best interest of the child. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-430, item 28, for a written oral report by the county agency on the progress made toward
 - (1) returning the child to California and locating an appropriate placement within California.
 - (2) locating an out-of-state placement that is the most appropriate placement for the child and in the best interest of the child.
 - (3) Other (specify):

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

Reunification services

10. The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and as set out in detail in the record,
- affirmative, active, thorough, and timely efforts have have not been made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family;
 - these efforts did did not include assisting the parent(s) or Indian custodian through the steps of the case plan and with accessing or developing the resources necessary to satisfy the case plan;
 - to the maximum extent possible, the efforts were were not provided in a manner consistent with the prevailing social and cultural conditions and way of life of the child's tribe;
 - these efforts and the case plan have have not been conducted and developed to the maximum extent possible in partnership with the Indian child, the parents, extended family members, Indian custodians, and the tribe and utilized the available resources of the Indian child's extended family, tribe, tribal and other Indian social service agencies, and individual Indian caregiver service providers; and
 - the active efforts have proved successful unsuccessful.
11. The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and
- qualified expert witness testimony was provided by _____; and
 - evidence regarding the prevailing social and cultural practices of the child's tribe was provided; and
 - there is clear and convincing evidence that continued physical custody by the following person is likely to cause serious emotional or physical damage to the child:
- Mother Biological father Legal guardian
 Presumed father Indian custodian
 Other (specify):
 Other (specify):
12. **Reunification services terminated: Child under age of three years at time of removal or member of sibling group**
- The child was under the age of three years on the date of the initial removal from the home.
 - The child and the child's siblings listed below form a sibling group in which one child in the sibling group was under the age of three years at the time of the initial removal, and all children in the sibling group were removed from parental custody at the same time and are placed together.
 - (name):
 - (name):
 - (name):
 - (name):
 - (name):
 - (name):
 - By clear and convincing evidence the

mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian Other (specify):
 Other (specify):

failed to participate regularly and make substantive progress in a court-ordered treatment plan and there is not a substantial probability of return within six months. Reunification services are terminated.
 - Scheduling a hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 for this child and some or all members of the sibling group is in the child's best interest. The factual basis for this finding is stated on the record.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

13. **Reunification services terminated: Child of any age**a. Reunification services are terminated for the

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> mother | <input type="checkbox"/> biological father | <input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> presumed father | <input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): | | |

because the child was initially removed from the person indicated under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 300(g) and, by clear and convincing evidence,

(1) the person's whereabouts remain unknown.(2) the person has not had contact or visited with the child for six months.b. Reunification services are terminated for the

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> mother | <input type="checkbox"/> biological father | <input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> presumed father | <input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): | | |

because, by clear and convincing evidence, that person has been convicted of a felony indicating parental unfitness.

c. Reunification services are terminated for the

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> mother | <input type="checkbox"/> biological father | <input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> presumed father | <input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): | | |

because it is determined that the person is deceased.

14. The county agency has has not exercised due diligence to locate an appropriate relative with whom the child could be placed. Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency has has not been evaluated.**Important individuals**15. **Child in out-of-home placement for six months or longer**a. The county agency has made reasonable efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationship with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.b. The county agency has **not** made reasonable efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationship with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.c. To identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, the county agency must provide the services(1) as stated on the record.(2) as follows:**Health**16. The mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian Other (specify):
 Other (specify):

is unable unwilling unavailable to make decisions regarding the child's needs for medical, surgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is suspended under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 369 and vested with the county agency.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

Setting for selection of permanent plan

17. a. The matter is ordered set for hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 to select the most appropriate permanent plan for the child.
- b. By clear and convincing evidence, reasonable services have been provided or offered to the child's parents, legal guardian, or Indian custodian.
- c. The county agency and the licensed county adoption agency or the California Department of Social Services, acting as an adoption agency, will prepare and serve an assessment report as described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(i).
- d. The court advised all parties present in court that to preserve any right to review on appeal of this order, a party must seek an extraordinary writ by filing notice of intent to file a writ petition and a request for the record, which may be submitted on *Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record to Review Order Setting a Hearing Under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 366.26 (California Rules of Court, Rule 8.450)* (form JV-820), and a petition for extraordinary writ, which may be submitted on *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* (form JV-825). A copy of each form is available in the courtroom. The court further advised all parties present in court that, as to them, a notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record must be filed with the juvenile court clerk within seven days of the date of this hearing. The clerk of the court must provide written notice as stated in rule 5.590(b)(2) of the California Rules of Court to any party not present.
- e. The court advised each parent present in court of the date, time, and place of the hearing set under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26; their right to counsel; the nature of the proceedings; and the requirement that at the proceedings the court must select and implement a plan of adoption, guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or another planned permanent living arrangement, or in the case of an Indian child, in consultation with the child's tribe, tribal customary adoption for the child. The court ordered each parent present in court to appear for the hearing set under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 and directed that each parent be notified hereafter by first-class mail to their usual place of residence or business only.
- f. The court orders that no notice of the hearing set under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 be provided to the person named below, who is a mother, a presumed father, or an alleged father and who has relinquished the child for adoption where the relinquishment has been accepted and filed with notice under Family Code, § 8700, or an alleged father who has denied paternity and has executed section 2 of *Statement Regarding Parentage* (form JV-505).
- (1) (name):
(2) (name):
(3) (name):
(4) (name):
- g. The likely date by which the child may be placed for adoption, tribal customary adoption, legal guardianship, or with a fit and willing relative is (date):

18. By clear and convincing evidence, there is a compelling reason for determining that a hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 is not in the best interest of the child because the child is not a proper subject for adoption at this time and a potential legal guardian has not been identified.
- a. The child's permanent plan is permanent placement with (name): , a fit and willing relative.
The likely date by which the child's permanent plan will be achieved is (date):
- b. The child is ordered to remain in foster care with a permanent plan of (specify)
(1) return home.
(2) adoption.
(3) tribal customary adoption.
(4) legal guardianship.
(5) placement with a fit and willing relative.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

18. c. The child is 16 years of age or older, there is a compelling reason that no other preferred permanent plan is in the child's best interest, and the child is ordered placed in another planned permanent living arrangement with ongoing and intensive efforts to

- return home. establish legal guardianship.
- place for adoption. place with a relative.
- Other (specify):

The likely date by which the child's permanent plan will be achieved is (*date*):

d. The court finds that the barriers to achieving the child's permanent plans are (*describe*):

19. **For children 16 years of age or older placed in another planned permanent living arrangement,**

a. the court asked the child where the child wants to live, and the child provided the following information (*describe*):

b. the court has considered the evidence before it and finds that another planned permanent living arrangement is the best permanent plan because (*describe*):

c. the compelling reasons why the other permanent plan options are not in the child's best interests are (*describe*):