	Fields institute mini-course . Lestine 1
	Fields institute mini-course: Lecture 1 April 16, 2018 Numerical techniques for simulating collective and coherent quantum states
	Chris Anderson (UCLA Dept. of Mathematics).
	ONITS THINGHSIM C MCCOT DEG), OT MONDY 165 J.
	lado s
	Today
_	Why?
	,
	- Overview Task dimension reduction (separation at variables/density of 1D, 20, 3D Schroedinger-Poisson states
	- Overview Task dimension reduction (separation at variables/density of
	states.
V	^^
	Why
	Macro-scopic behovior Devilopment/Exploration/Use
	of ODE and PDE martnematical
	models at oll scales requires
	micro-scopic collective behavior simulation
	quantum mechanical systim
	_
	Fortunately, at every scale there is great commonality of the
	computational tasks that must be done within a simulation
	based on the models. Specifically
	charged particles — electrostic problems — Poisson's equation
	$\Delta \phi = -\rho$
	$\operatorname{div}(X\nabla t) = -\beta$
	=> need to solve Poisson's equation.

Werefunctions ~ time-independent Schroedinger equation ~ Eigenfunction/
"quantum states" (or dynamic projected onto eigenfunctions of) Eigenvolve

(time independent Schroedinger operator) computation

Do need to solve eigensystem problems, for example the time independent single particle Schroedinger equation

$$[-RA+VR]Y = XY & ER^{3} \\ or \nabla^{2} if you prefer. & X \in R^{3}$$

Also, fortwately, numerical methods for many of the common computational tasks have been well studied. However, there are many methods to choose from and good choices depend on an awareness of some of the specific features of the computational tasks that arise when constructing simulations involving quantum mechanical behavior.

In addition, many simulations are based an coupled systems, and while each sub-system itself may be composed of equations whose solutions can be obtained using "conventional" methods, the methods for combining subsystem solutions can be tricky.

So, there are aspects of numerical methods for mony common problems that are useful to know about, and, knowing them will increase the liklihood that you will be able to develop / explore / use simulations of systems involving quantum mechanical behavior.

Exposition plan: Present and discuss the teanniques and details in the context of creating a simulation based on a Schroedinger. Poisson model for determining electron density in a layered simi-conductor. The perspective I'm going to take is "here is a coupled system of PDE's - let's creete a simulation based on this system of PDE's "I'm generally not going to get into a discussion of the physics involved - e.g. it's being picked for expository reasons.

Device: A layed semi- emanctor periodic or infinite in transverse

differing wo

top and bottom surfaces "gated"

(electrostatic potential specified)

material

with a toms in the material are frozin in

Equations/mathematical model.

- * The electrostatic potential is the sum of
- ØD : (1) The potential induced by gate bias and any static charge
- \$ 0 (2) The potential induced by the donsity of "mobile" electrons
- ΦB 0 (3). A bandshift potential

A scociated with each motorial is a bound shift - a fixed potential offset from the ambient potential

p: Potential due to mobile electron charge dansity.


$$\frac{\partial (\chi(2))}{\partial z} + \chi(2) \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}}{\partial y^2} + \chi(2) \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}}{\partial x^2} = - e$$

$$\varphi(x,y,z_{A})=0$$
 $\tilde{\varphi}(x,y,z_{B})=0$

Notation for convenience
$$\Delta \phi = \frac{1}{2}(x(2)) + x(2) \frac{3}{2} \phi + x(2) \frac{3}{2} \phi + x(2) \frac{3}{2} \phi = \frac{1}{2}(x(2)) + \frac{1}{2}(x($$

Electrostatic potential.

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \tilde{\phi}(\vec{x}) + \phi_{D}(\vec{x}) + \phi_{R}(\vec{x}) \quad \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$$





OB: given

$$\triangle_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_{\mathbf{D}} = - \rho_{\mathbf{D}} \qquad \phi_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{A}}) = g_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \qquad \phi_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{B}}) = g_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$\Delta_{k}\tilde{\varphi} = -\rho_{e} \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x,y,z_{A}) = 0 \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x,y,z_{B}) = 0$$

mm

What are the equations for pe - the electron density?

If Yj(天) is the single particle wave function with energy E;

$$\rho_{e} = 2 \vec{Z} + \vec{Y}(\vec{x}) + \vec{Y}(\vec{x}) \qquad \text{Ef} = \text{Fermi energy} \quad (2 \text{ for double occuponen})$$

$$E_{j} < E_{f}$$

So: determine single particle states, end up densities associated with each state, if the state has a sufficiently low energy level.

Equations?

Single particle Schroedinger equation

$$-\frac{1}{7} \left[\frac{1}{32} \frac{1}{m_r(2)} \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{m_r(2)} \frac{1}{3y^2} + \frac{1}{m_r(2)} \frac{1}{3y^2} + \frac{1}{m_r(2)} \frac{1}{3y^2} \right] \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3$$

Mr (7) = effective mass - constant in each layer, but may be discontinuous across layers.

$$V(\vec{x}) = \vec{\phi}(\vec{x}) + \phi_{s}(\vec{x}) + \phi_{s}(\vec{x})$$

Notational convenience
$$\Delta_m = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{m_r(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{m_r(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{m_r(z)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right]$$

So, the equations for the Lensity become

$$\left[\Delta_{m} + \left[\tilde{\varphi} + \varphi_{p} + \varphi_{R} \right] \right] \psi_{j} = \lambda_{j} \psi_{j}$$

$$= \lambda_{j} \psi_{j}$$

Since $\varphi(\vec{x})$ is determined by $ge(\vec{x})$, and $ge(\vec{x})$ is determined by $\varphi(\vec{x})$, there is a coupling between the potential and the states of the system. A non-linear coupling.

Equations/tasks required to obtain a solution of the Schroedinger-Poisson.

(0) Determine of such that

$$\Delta_{x} \phi_{D} = - P_{D} \phi_{D}(x,y,z_{A}) = g_{A}(x,y) \phi_{D}(x,y,z_{B}) = g_{B}(x,y)$$

(1) Determine \$ (\$), pe(\$) so that

$$\triangle_{k} \overset{\sim}{\varphi} = - \int_{e} \overset{\sim}{\varphi}_{[\uparrow, \gamma_{1} \downarrow \Lambda)} = 0 \overset{\sim}{\varphi}_{[\uparrow, \gamma_{1} \downarrow \Lambda]} = 0.$$

$$\left[\Delta_{m} + \left[\tilde{\varphi} + \Phi_{p} + \Phi_{R} \right] \right] \psi_{j} = \lambda_{j} \psi_{j}$$