Manufactured Solution for 2D transient Euler equations using Maple*

Kémelli C. Estacio-Hiroms

August 19, 2010

Abstract

This document describes the usage of the Method of Manufactured Solutions (MMS) for Code Verification of Euler solvers for two-dimensional, unsteady flows. The manufactured solutions chosen for the flow variables are time-dependent, smooth and have non-trivial derivatives, so they may exercise all the terms of the governing equations without imposing special coordinate systems or particular boundary conditions. By the application of the MMS, a related set of governing equations is generated. The analytical solutions of the MMS-modified governing equations are, in turn, the manufactured solutions chosen a priori. Thus, the modified set of equations genetated by the MMS may be discretized and solved numerically, convergence studies may be conducted, and the code may be verified.

1 2D Euler Equations

The 2D Euler equations in conservation form are:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u^2 + p)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho uv)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho v u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v^2 + p)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho e_t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u e_t + p u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v e_t + p v)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{4}$$

where the Equation (1) is the unsteady term (mass conservation), Equations (2) and (3) are the nonlinear convection term in the x and y direction (momentum), and Equation (4) is the total energy. For a calorically perfect gas, Euler equations are closed with two auxiliary relations for energy:

$$e = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}RT$$
, and $e_t = e + \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2}$, (5)

and with the ideal gas equation of state:

$$p = \rho RT. \tag{6}$$

^{*}Work based on Salari and Knupp (2000).

2 Manufactured Solution

The Method of Manufactured Solutions (MMS) provides a general procedure for code accuracy verification (Roache, 2002; Bond et al., 2007). The MMS constructs a non-trivial but analytical solution for the flow variables; this manufactured solution usually does not satisfy the governing equations, since the choice is somewhat arbitrary. However, by passing the solution through the governing equations gives the production terms Q. A modified set of equations formed by adding these source terms to the right-hand-side of the original governing equations is forced to become a model for the constructed solution, i.e., the manufactured solutions chosen $a \ priori$ are the analytical solutions of the MMS-modified equations.

Although the form of the manufactured solution is slightly arbitrary, it should be chosen to be smooth, infinitely differentiable and realizable (solutions should be avoided which have negative densities, pressures, temperatures, etc.)(Salari and Knupp, 2000; Roy et al., 2004). Solutions should also be chosen that are sufficiently general so as to exercise all terms in the governing equations. Examples of manufactured solutions and convergence studies for Euler and/or Navier–Stokes equations may be found in Salari and Knupp (2000); Roy et al. (2002, 2004); Bond et al. (2007); Orozco et al. (2010).

Salari and Knupp (2000) propose the general form of the primitive solution variables to be a function of sines or cosines:

$$\phi(x, y, t) = \phi_0 \Big(f_s(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + \epsilon \Big), \tag{7}$$

where $\phi = \rho, u, v, p$ or e_t , and $f_s(\cdot)$ functions denote either sine or cosine function. Note that ϕ_0 , ω and ϵ are constants.

Therefore, a suitable set of time-dependent manufactured analytical solutions for for each one of the variables in Euler equations is:

$$\rho(x, y, t) = \rho_0 \left(\sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + \frac{3}{2} \right),$$

$$u(x, y, t) = u_0 \left(\sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + \varepsilon \right),$$

$$v(x, y, t) = v_0 \left(\cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + \varepsilon \right),$$

$$p(x, y, t) = p_0 \left(\cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + 2 \right).$$
(8)

Salari and Knupp (2000) present both the source for the 2D transient Navier–Stokes equations and a choice for the constants considering manufactured solutions for ρ , u, v, and e_t ; they also present source terms for the steady case with manufactured solutions for ρ , u, v, and p.

The source terms for the 2D transient Euler equations (1) using time-dependent manufactured solutions for ρ , u, v, and p, described in Equations (8), are presented in the following sections.

3 Euler mass conservation equation

The mass conservation equation written as an operator is:

$$L = \frac{\partial(\rho)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial y} \tag{9}$$

Analytically differentiating Equation (8) for ρ , u and v using operator L defined above gives the source term Q_{ρ} :

$$Q_{\rho} = \rho_0 u_0 x \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) [4 \sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3] +$$

$$+ \rho_0 v_0 y [-4 \sin^2(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) - 3 \sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) + 2] +$$

$$+ \rho_0 \omega \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t)$$

$$(10)$$

4 Euler momentum equation

For the generation of the analytical source term Q_u for the x momentum equation, Equation (2) is written as an operator L:

$$L = \frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u^2 + p)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho uv)}{\partial y}.$$
 (11)

which, when operated in Equation (8), provides source term Q_u :

$$Q_{u} = \rho_{0} u_{0}^{2} x \Big[3 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \sin(2(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)) + 2\varepsilon [3 + \varepsilon] \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \\ + [3 + 4\varepsilon] \sin(2(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)) \Big] + \\ - \rho_{0} u_{0} v_{0} y \Big[6 \sin^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + [4\varepsilon + 6] \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + [-4 + 3\varepsilon] \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \\ - \varepsilon [2\varepsilon + 3] \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2\varepsilon \sin(2(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)) - 2\varepsilon - 3 \Big] + \\ + \frac{\rho_{0} u_{0} \omega}{2} \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon + 3 \Big] + \\ - 2p_{0} x \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big[4 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2\varepsilon \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \Big] \Big[2 \cos(x^$$

Analogously, for the generation of the analytical source term Q_v for the y momentum equation, Equation (3) is written as an operator L:

$$L = \frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u v)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v^2 + p)}{\partial y}$$
(13)

and then applied to Equation (8). It yields:

$$Q_{v} = -\rho_{0} u_{0} v_{0} x \Big[6 \sin^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + [6 + 4\varepsilon] \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + [-4 + 3\varepsilon] \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \\ -\varepsilon [3 + 2\varepsilon] \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2\varepsilon \sin(2(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)) - 3 - 2\varepsilon \Big] + \\ -\rho_{0} v_{0}^{2} y \Big[8\varepsilon \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + [3\sin(2(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)) + 6\varepsilon] \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \\ + [-2\varepsilon^{2} - 2] \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 3\sin(2(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)) - 4\varepsilon \Big] + \\ -\frac{\rho_{0} v_{0} \omega}{2} \Big[4\sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 3\sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2\varepsilon \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \Big] + \\ -2p_{0} y \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)$$

$$(14)$$

5 Euler energy equation

The last component of Euler equations is written as an operator:

$$L = \frac{\partial(\rho e_t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u e_t + p u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v e_t + p v)}{\partial y}.$$
 (15)

Source term Q_e is obtained by operating L on Equation (8) together with the use of the auxiliary relations (5)–(6) for energy:

$$Q_{e} = \frac{\rho_{0} w_{0}^{3} x}{2} \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \left[2\varepsilon + 8 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 9 \right] \left[\varepsilon + \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right]^{2} + \frac{\rho_{0} w_{0}^{2} v_{0} y}{2} \left[\varepsilon + \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right] \left[8 \sin^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \left[4\varepsilon + 9 \right] \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \frac{\rho_{0} w_{0}^{2} \omega}{2} \left[\varepsilon + \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right] \left[8 \sin^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \left[-2\varepsilon^{2} - 6\varepsilon \right] \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 6 \right] + \frac{\rho_{0} w_{0}^{2} \omega}{2} \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \left[\varepsilon + \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right] \left[\varepsilon + 3 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 3 \right] + \frac{\rho_{0} u_{0} v_{0}^{2} \omega}{2} \left[\varepsilon + \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right] \left[8 \sin^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \left[6\varepsilon + 9 \right] \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \frac{\rho_{0} w_{0}^{3} y}{2} \left[\varepsilon + \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right] \left[8 \sin^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + \left[-2\varepsilon^{2} - 3\varepsilon \right] \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 3 \right] + \frac{\rho_{0} w_{0}^{3} y}{2} \left[\varepsilon + \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right]^{2} \left[-2\varepsilon \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 8 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 9 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \right] + \frac{\rho_{0} v_{0}^{3} \omega}{2} \left[\varepsilon + \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) \right] \left[-\varepsilon \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 3 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 3 \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{2p_{0} v_{0} y \gamma}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) + 2 \sin^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 2 \cos(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t) - 1 \right] + \frac{p_{0} \omega}{\gamma - 1} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2} + \omega t)$$

6 Comments

#include <math.h>

return(Q_rho);

}

Source terms Q_{ρ} , Q_{u} , Q_{v} and Q_{e} have been generated by replacing the analytical Expressions (8) into respective Equations (1) – (4), followed by the usage of Maple commands for collecting, sorting and factorizing the terms. Files containing C codes for the source terms have also been generated. They are: Euler_2d_transient_rho_code.C, Euler_2d_transient_v_code.C, and Euler_2d_transient_e_code.C.

An example of the automatically generated C file from the source term for the mass conservation equation is:

 $rho_0 * cos(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * omega;$

Maple's package for code generation also offers an option optimization of the code. Optimized versions of the code generated for each source code have also been created. An example of the code generated for Q_{ρ} using such option is:

#include <math.h>

```
double SourceQ_rho (double x, double y, double t, double rho_0, double p_0, double u_0,
                    double v_0, double omega, double epsilon)
{
  double t1;
  double t14;
  double t2;
  double t4;
  double t5;
  double t8:
  t1 = x * x;
  t2 = y * y;
  t4 = t1 + t2 + omega * t;
  t5 = cos(t4);
  t8 = \sin(t4);
  t14 = t8 * t8;
  return(t5 * x * (0.3e1 + 0.2e1 * epsilon + 0.4e1 * t8) * u_0 * rho_0 +
  (0.2e1 - 0.4e1 * t14 - 0.3e1 * t8 + 0.2e1 * t5 * epsilon) * rho_0 * v_0 * y +
  rho_0 * t5 * omega);
}
```

Finally, the gradients of the analytical solutions (7) have also been computed and their respective C codes are presented in Euler_2d_transient_manuf_solutions_grad_code.C. Therefore,

$$\nabla \rho = \begin{bmatrix} 2\rho_0 x \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \\ 2\rho_0 y \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \nabla p = \begin{bmatrix} -2p_0 x \sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \\ -2p_0 y \sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\nabla u = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_0 x \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \\ 2u_0 y \cos(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \nabla v = \begin{bmatrix} -2v_0 x \sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \\ -2v_0 y \sin(x^2 + y^2 + \omega t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

are written in C language as:

```
grad_rho_an[0] = 0.2e1 * rho_0 * cos(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * x;
grad_rho_an[1] = 0.2e1 * rho_0 * cos(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * y;
grad_p_an[0] = -0.2e1 * p_0 * sin(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * x;
grad_p_an[1] = -0.2e1 * p_0 * sin(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * y;
grad_u_an[0] = 0.2e1 * u_0 * cos(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * x;
grad_u_an[1] = 0.2e1 * u_0 * cos(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * y;
grad_v_an[0] = -0.2e1 * v_0 * sin(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * x;
grad_v_an[1] = -0.2e1 * v_0 * sin(x * x + y * y + omega * t) * y;
```

References

- Bond, R., C. Ober, P. Knupp, and S. Bova (2007). Manufactured solution for computational fluid dynamics boundary condition verification. *AIAA Journal* 45(9), 2224–2236.
- Orozco, C., D. Kizildag, A. Oliva, and C. D. Pérez-Segarra (2010). Verification of multidimensional and transient CFD solutions. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B: Fundamentals* 57(1), 46–73.
- Roache, P. (2002). Code verification by the method of manufactured solutions. *Journal of Fluids Engineering Transactions of the ASME 124*(1), 4–10.
- Roy, C., C. Nelson, T. Smith, and C. Ober (2004). Verification of Euler/Navier-stokes codes using the method of manufactured solutions. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* 44, 599–620.

- Roy, C., T. Smith, and C. Ober (2002). Verification of a compressible CFD code using the method of manufactured solutions. In AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference and Exhibit, Number AIAA 2002-3110.
- Salari, K. and P. Knupp (2000). Code verification by the method of manufactured solutions. Technical Report SAND2000-1444, Sandia National Laboratories.