Choice of Manufactured Analytical Solution for Code Verification of Axisymmetric Transient Euler Equations using Maple

Kemelli C. Estacio-Hiroms

December 20, 2010

Abstract

The Method of Manufactured Solutions is a valuable approach for code verification, providing means to verify how accurately the numerical method solves the equations of interest. The method generates a related set of governing equations that has known analytical (manufactured) solution. Then, the modified set of equations may be discretized and solved numerically, and the numerical solution may be compared to the manufactured analytical solution. A choice of analytical solutions for the flow variables of the axisymmetric Euler and their respective source terms are presented in this document.

1 Axisymmetric Euler equations

Euler equations may be written in cylindrical coordinates for (r, θ, z) , where r is the radial coordinate, θ is the angular coordinate, and z is the axial coordinate. In axisymmetrical flows, the pressure and the velocity fields are independent of the angular variable θ , and the problem depends exclusively on r and z. Therefore, Euler equations for axisymmetric unsteady flows, in conservation form, are:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \boldsymbol{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}) = -\nabla p, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho e_t)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} H) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Equations (1)–(3) are known as Euler equations and, ρ is the density, $\mathbf{u} = (u, 0, w)$ is the velocity in r, or z-direction, respectively, and p is the pressure. The total enthalpy, H, may be expressed in terms of the total energy per unit mass e_t , density, and pressure:

$$H = e_t + \frac{p}{\rho}.$$

For a calorically perfect gas, the Euler equations are closed with two auxiliary relations for energy:

$$e_t = e + \frac{\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad e = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} RT,$$
 (4)

and with the ideal gas equation of state:

$$p = \rho RT. \tag{5}$$

Recall that the divergence of a vector field $\mathbf{A} = (A_r, A_\theta, A_z)$ in cylindrical coordinates is given by:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{A} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rA_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (A_{\theta})}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rA_z)}{\partial z},$$

therefore, for axisymmetrical flows, $\mathbf{A} = (A_r, 0, A_z)$ and :

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rA_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (rA_z)}{\partial z}.$$

2 Manufactured Solutions

The choice of cylindrical coordinates, like any system that contains a symmetry axis, introduces singular terms in the governing equation of the type r^{-n} , being r the radial coordinate and n a positive exponent, although the flow is continuous and regular at the axis [1].

Accordingly, the representation of fluid flows in cylindrical coordinates requires the definition of appropriate boundary conditions at r = 0, despite the fact that it is not a physical boundary, that would guarantee the regularity of the flow:

$$u\big|_{r=0} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\Big|_{r=0} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\Big|_{r=0} = 0.$$
(6)

The strategy to deal with this difficulty in analytical approaches is commonly that of discarding the singular solutions among all the admissible ones. Consequently, a suitable form of each one of the primitive solution variables is a function of sines and cosines:

$$\rho(r,z,t) = \rho_0 + \rho_r \cos\left(\frac{a_{\rho r}\pi r}{L}\right) + \rho_z \sin\left(\frac{a_{\rho z}\pi z}{L}\right) + \rho_t \sin\left(\frac{a_{\rho t}\pi t}{L}\right),$$

$$u(r,z,t) = u_r \left[\cos\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right) - 1\right] \left[u_z \sin\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right) + u_t \cos\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right)\right],$$

$$w(r,z,t) = w_0 + w_r \cos\left(\frac{a_{wr}\pi r}{L}\right) + w_z \sin\left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L}\right) + w_t \cos\left(\frac{a_{wt}\pi t}{L}\right),$$

$$p(r,z,t) = p_0 + p_r \sin\left(\frac{a_{pr}\pi r}{L}\right) + p_z \cos\left(\frac{a_{pz}\pi z}{L}\right) + p_t \cos\left(\frac{a_{pt}\pi t}{L}\right),$$

$$(7)$$

where ρ_0 , ρ_r , ρ_z , ρ_t , p_0 , p_r , p_z , p_t , u_r , u_z , u_t , w_0 , w_r , w_z and w_t are pre-defined constants.

The MMS applied to Euler equations consists in modifying Equations (1) – (3) by adding a source term to the right-hand side of each equation:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(\rho r u)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(\rho r w)}{\partial z} = Q_{\rho},$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r \rho u^{2})}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r \rho u w)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = Q_{u},$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r \rho u w)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r \rho w^{2})}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = Q_{w},$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho e_{t})}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r \rho e_{t} u)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r \rho e_{t} w)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r p u)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r p w)}{\partial z} = Q_{e_{t}},$$
(8)

so the modified set of equations conveniently has the analytical solution given in Equation (7) [2]. This is achieved by simply applying Equations (1) - (3) as operators on Equation (7).

Terms Q_{ρ} , Q_{u} , Q_{w} and $Q_{e_{t}}$ are obtained by symbolic manipulations of equations above using Maple and are presented in the following sections. The following auxiliary variables have been included in order to improve readability and computational efficiency:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Rho} &= \rho_0 + \rho_r \cos \left(\frac{a_{\rho r} \pi r}{L}\right) + \rho_z \sin \left(\frac{a_{\rho z} \pi z}{L}\right) + \rho_t \sin \left(\frac{a_{\rho t} \pi t}{L}\right), \\ \operatorname{U} &= u_r \left[\cos \left(\frac{a_{ur} \pi r}{L}\right) - 1\right] \left[u_z \sin \left(\frac{a_{uz} \pi z}{L}\right) + u_t \cos \left(\frac{a_{ut} \pi t}{L}\right)\right], \\ \operatorname{W} &= w_0 + w_r \cos \left(\frac{a_{wr} \pi r}{L}\right) + w_z \sin \left(\frac{a_{wz} \pi z}{L}\right) + w_t \cos \left(\frac{a_{wt} \pi t}{L}\right), \\ \operatorname{P} &= p_0 + p_r \sin \left(\frac{a_{pr} \pi r}{L}\right) + p_z \cos \left(\frac{a_{pz} \pi z}{L}\right) + p_t \cos \left(\frac{a_{pt} \pi t}{L}\right). \end{split}$$

2.1 Source term for mass conservation equation

The mass conservation equation for axisymmetrical flows written as an operator is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial(\rho)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(\rho r u)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(\rho r w)}{\partial z}$$

Analytically differentiating Equation (7) for ρ , u and w using operator \mathcal{L} defined above gives the source term Q_{ρ} :

$$\begin{split} Q_{\rho} &= -\frac{a_{\rho r} \pi \rho_{r} \, \mathbb{U}}{L} \sin \left(\frac{a_{\rho r} \pi r}{L} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{\rho z} \pi \rho_{z} \, \mathbb{W}}{L} \cos \left(\frac{a_{\rho z} \pi z}{L} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{\rho t} \pi \rho_{t}}{L} \cos \left(\frac{a_{\rho t} \pi t}{L} \right) + \\ &- \frac{\pi \, \text{Rho}}{L} \left[a_{ur} u_{r} u_{z} \sin \left(\frac{a_{ur} \pi r}{L} \right) \sin \left(\frac{a_{uz} \pi z}{L} \right) + a_{ur} u_{r} u_{t} \sin \left(\frac{a_{ur} \pi r}{L} \right) \cos \left(\frac{a_{ut} \pi t}{L} \right) - a_{wz} w_{z} \cos \left(\frac{a_{wz} \pi z}{L} \right) \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\text{Rho} \, \mathbb{U}}{r}. \end{split}$$

2.2 Source term for radial velocity

For the generation of the analytical source term Q_u for the r-momentum equation (radial velocity), the first component of Equation (2) is written as an operator \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r\rho u^2)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r\rho uw)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}$$

which, when operated in Equation (7), provides source term Q_u :

$$\begin{split} Q_{u} &= \frac{a_{uz}\pi u_{r}u_{z}\operatorname{Rho}\,\mathbb{W}}{L}\left[\cos\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right) - 1\right]\cos\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{\rho r}\pi \rho_{r}\,\mathbb{U}^{2}}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{\rho r}\pi r}{L}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{\rho z}\pi \rho_{z}\,\mathbb{U}\,\mathbb{W}}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{\rho z}\pi z}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{ut}\pi u_{r}u_{t}\operatorname{Rho}}{L}\left[\cos\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right) - 1\right]\sin\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{\rho t}\pi \rho_{t}\,\mathbb{U}}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{\rho t}\pi t}{L}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{pr}\pi p_{r}}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{pr}\pi r}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{\pi\operatorname{Rho}\,\mathbb{U}}{L}\left[2a_{ur}u_{r}u_{z}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\sin\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right) + 2a_{ur}u_{r}u_{t}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\cos\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right) + \\ &- a_{wz}w_{z}\cos\left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L}\right)\right] + \\ &+ \frac{\operatorname{Rho}\,\mathbb{U}^{2}}{r}. \end{split}$$

2.3 Source term for axial velocity

Analogously, for the generation of the analytical source term Q_w for the z-momentum equation (axial velocity), the second component of Equation (2) is written as an operator \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r\rho uw)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r\rho w^2)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}$$

and then applied to Equation (7). It yields:

$$\begin{split} Q_{w} &= -\frac{a_{\rho r}\pi\rho_{r}\,\mathtt{U}\,\mathtt{W}}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{\rho r}\pi r}{L}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{\rho z}\pi\rho_{z}\,\mathtt{W}^{2}}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{\rho z}\pi z}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{wr}\pi w_{r}\,\mathtt{Rho}\,\mathtt{U}}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{wr}\pi r}{L}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{\rho t}\pi\rho_{t}\,\mathtt{W}}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{\rho t}\pi t}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{wt}\pi w_{t}\,\mathtt{Rho}}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{wt}\pi t}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{pz}\pi\rho_{z}}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{pz}\pi z}{L}\right) + \\ &- \frac{\pi\,\mathtt{Rho}\,\mathtt{W}}{L}\left[a_{ur}u_{r}u_{z}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\sin\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right) + a_{ur}u_{r}u_{t}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\cos\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right) + \\ &- 2a_{wz}w_{z}\cos\left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L}\right)\right] + \\ &+ \frac{\mathtt{Rho}\,\mathtt{U}\,\mathtt{W}}{r}. \end{split}$$

2.4 Source term for energy

The operator for the axisymmetric Euler total energy equation is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial(\rho e_t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r\rho e_t u)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(r\rho e_t w)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(rpu)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(rpw)}{\partial z}.$$

Source term Q_{e_t} is obtained by operating \mathcal{L} on Equation (7) together with the use of the auxiliary relations (4) – (5) for energy:

$$\begin{split} Q_{et} &= -\frac{a_{ut}\pi u_r u_t \operatorname{Rho} \operatorname{U}}{L} \left[\cos \left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L} \right) - 1 \right] \sin \left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{a_{pr}\pi p_r \operatorname{U}}{L} \cos \left(\frac{a_{pr}\pi r}{L} \right) + \\ &- \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \frac{a_{pz}\pi p_z \operatorname{W}}{L} \sin \left(\frac{a_{pz}\pi z}{L} \right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{wt}\pi w_t \operatorname{Rho} \operatorname{W}}{L} \sin \left(\frac{a_{wt}\pi t}{L} \right) + \\ &- \frac{a_{pr}\pi \rho_r \operatorname{U} (\operatorname{U}^2 + \operatorname{W}^2)}{2L} \sin \left(\frac{a_{pr}\pi r}{L} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{pz}\pi \rho_z \operatorname{W} (\operatorname{U}^2 + \operatorname{W}^2)}{2L} \cos \left(\frac{a_{pz}\pi z}{L} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_{pt}\pi \rho_t (\operatorname{U}^2 + \operatorname{W}^2)}{2L} \cos \left(\frac{a_{pt}\pi t}{L} \right) + \\ &- \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \frac{a_{pt}\pi p_t}{L} \sin \left(\frac{a_{pt}\pi t}{L} \right) + \\ &- \frac{\pi \operatorname{Rho} \operatorname{U}^2}{2L} \left[3a_{ur}u_r u_z \sin \left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L} \right) \sin \left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L} \right) + 3a_{ur}u_r u_t \sin \left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L} \right) \cos \left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L} \right) + \\ &- a_{wz}w_z \cos \left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L} \right) \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\pi \operatorname{Rho} \operatorname{U} \operatorname{W}}{L} \left[a_{uz}u_r u_z \cos \left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L} \right) \cos \left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L} \right) - a_{uz}u_r u_z \cos \left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L} \right) - a_{wr}w_r \sin \left(\frac{a_{wr}\pi r}{L} \right) \right] + \\ &+ \dots \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{\pi\operatorname{Rho}\,\mathbb{W}^{2}}{2L}\left[a_{ur}u_{r}u_{z}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\sin\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right)+a_{ur}u_{r}u_{t}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\cos\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right)+\right.\\ \left.\left.-3a_{wz}w_{z}\cos\left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L}\right)\right]+\\ \left.\left.-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\frac{\pi\operatorname{P}}{L}\left[a_{ur}u_{r}u_{z}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\sin\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right)+a_{ur}u_{r}u_{t}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\cos\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right)+\right.\\ \left.\left.\left.-a_{wz}w_{z}\cos\left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L}\right)\right]+\right.\\ \left.\left.\left.\left.+\frac{\operatorname{Rho}\,\mathbb{U}(\mathbb{U}^{2}+\mathbb{W}^{2})}{2r}+\right.\\ \left.\left.\left.+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\frac{\operatorname{P}\,\mathbb{U}}{r}\right.\right.\right.\right.$$

3 Comments

The complexity and, consequently, length of the source terms increase with both dimension and physics handled by the governing equations.

Applying commands in order to simplify extensive expressions is challenging even with a high performance workstation; thus, a suitable alternative to this issue is to simplify each equation by dividing it into a combination of sub-operators handling different physical phenomena. Then, each one of the operators may be applied to the manufactured solutions individually, and the resulting sub-source terms are combined back to represent the source term for the original equation.

For instance, instead of writing the axisymmetric transient Euler energy equation using one single operator \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial(\rho e_t)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} e_t) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{u}), \tag{13}$$

to then be used in the MMS, let equation (13) be written with three distinct operators, according to their physical meaning:

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \frac{\partial(\rho e_{t})}{\partial t},
\mathcal{L}_{2} = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} e_{t}),
\mathcal{L}_{3} = \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{u}), \tag{14}$$

where \mathcal{L}_1 denotes the rate of accumulation of inertial and kinetic energy, \mathcal{L}_2 is the net rate of internal and kinetic energy increase by convection and \mathcal{L}_3 is the rate of work done on the fluid by external body forces. Naturally:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3.$$

After the application of \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3 , the corresponding sub-source terms are simplified, factorized and sorted. Then, the final factorized version is checked against the original one, to assure that not human error has been introduced

Another advantage of this strategy is the possibility of inclusion and/or removal of other physical effects without the need of re-doing previous manipulations.

For instance, in flows that transient effects may be neglected (steady case), the sub-operator representing the rate of accumulation of inertial and kinetic energy should be removed from the total energy equation (13). The equation formend by considerig $\mathcal{L}_1 \equiv 0$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{steady}} = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} e_t) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u})$$

is the steady Euler equation for total energy.

Moreover, in order to include viscous effects in the total energy equation (13), the addition two extra suboperators would be required: one for the rate of work done on the fluid by external body forces and one for the rate of work done by viscous forces:

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{u}),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = -\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{u}).$$
(15)

and the equation formend by including sub-operators (15) in (14)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Navier-Stokes}} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5.$$

is the Navier-Stokes equation for total energy.

In both cases, one may notice that the manipulations previously performed on sub-operators \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3 have not been changed, what saves computational resources, and mostly importante, circumvents a possible combinatorial explosion.

3.1 Boundary Conditions

Additionally to verifying code capability of solving the governing equations accurately in the interior of the domain of interest, one may also verify the software capability of correctly imposing boundary conditions. Therefore, the gradients of the analytical solutions (7) have been calculated:

$$\nabla \rho = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{a_{pr}\pi\rho_r}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{pr}\pi r}{L}\right) \\ 0 \\ \frac{a_{\rho z}\pi\rho_z}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{\rho z}\pi z}{L}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \nabla p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{pr}\pi p_r}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{pr}\pi r}{L}\right) \\ 0 \\ -\frac{a_{pz}\pi p_z}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{pz}\pi z}{L}\right) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\nabla u = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{a_{ur}\pi u_r}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right)\left[u_z\sin\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right) + u_t\cos\left(\frac{a_{ut}\pi t}{L}\right)\right] \\ 0 \\ \frac{a_{uz}\pi u_r u_z}{L}\left(\cos\left(\frac{a_{ur}\pi r}{L}\right) - 1\right)\cos\left(\frac{a_{uz}\pi z}{L}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \nabla w = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{a_{wr}\pi w_r}{L}\sin\left(\frac{a_{wr}\pi r}{L}\right) \\ 0 \\ \frac{a_{wz}\pi w_z}{L}\cos\left(\frac{a_{wz}\pi z}{L}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

and translated into C codes:

3.2 C Files

The C files for both source terms and gradients of the manufactured solutions are:

- Euler_taxi_transient_e_code.C
- Euler_taxi_transient_rho_code.C
- Euler_taxi_transient_u_code.C
- Euler_taxi_transient_v_code.C
- Euler_taxi_manuf_solutions_grad_code.C

An example of the automatically generated C file from the source term for the total energy source term Q_{e_t} is:

```
double SourceQ_e (double r, double z, double t)
 double Q_e;
 double RHO;
 double P;
 double U;
 double W;
 RHO = rho_0 + rho_r * cos(a_rhor * PI * r / L) + rho_z * sin(a_rhoz * PI * z / L)
   + rho_t * sin(a_rhot * PI * t / L);
 P = p_0 + p_r * sin(a_pr * PI * r / L) + p_z * cos(a_pz * PI * z / L)
   + p_t * cos(a_pt * PI * t / L);
 U = u_r * (cos(a_ur * PI * r / L) - 0.1e1) * (u_z * sin(a_uz * PI * z / L)
   + u_t * cos(a_ut * PI * t / L));
 W = w_0 + w_r * cos(a_w * PI * r / L) + w_z * sin(a_w z * PI * z / L)
   + w_t * cos(a_wt * PI * t / L);
 Q_e = -(cos(a_ur * PI * r / L) - 0.1e1) * a_ut * PI * u_r * u_t * RHO * U * sin(a_ut * PI * t / L) / L
   + Gamma * a_pr * PI * p_r * U * cos(a_pr * PI * r / L) / (Gamma - 0.1e1) / L
    - Gamma * a_pz * PI * p_z * W * \sin(a_pz * PI * z / L) / (Gamma - 0.1e1) / L
   - a_wt * PI * w_t * RHO * W * sin(a_wt * PI * t / L) / L
    - (U * U + W * W) * a_rhor * PI * rho_r * U * sin(a_rhor * PI * r / L) / L / 0.2e1
   + (U * U + W * W) * a_rhoz * PI * rho_z * W * cos(a_rhoz * PI * z / L) / L / 0.2e1
   + (U * U + W * W) * a_rhot * PI * rho_t * cos(a_rhot * PI * t / L) / L / 0.2e1
    - a_pt * PI * p_t * sin(a_pt * PI * t / L) / (Gamma - 0.1e1) / L -
    (0.3e1 * a_ur * u_r * u_z * sin(a_ur * PI * r / L) * sin(a_uz * PI * z / L)
     + 0.3e1 * a_ur * u_r * u_t * sin(a_ur * PI * r / L) * cos(a_ut * PI * t / L)
      - a_wz * w_z * cos(a_wz * PI * z / L)) * PI * RHO * U * U / L / 0.2e1
    + (a_uz * u_r * u_z * cos(a_ur * PI * r / L) * cos(a_uz * PI * z / L)
      - a_uz * u_r * u_z * cos(a_uz * PI * z / L)
      - a_wr * w_r * sin(a_wr * PI * r / L)) * PI * RHO * U * W / L
    - (a_ur * u_r * u_z * sin(a_ur * PI * r / L) * sin(a_uz * PI * z / L)
     + a_ur * u_r * u_t * sin(a_ur * PI * r / L) * cos(a_ut * PI * t / L)
     - 0.3e1 * a_wz * w_z * cos(a_wz * PI * z / L)) * PI * RHO * W * W / L / 0.2e1
    - (a_ur * u_r * u_z * sin(a_ur * PI * r / L) * sin(a_uz * PI * z / L)
     + a_ur * u_r * u_t * sin(a_ur * PI * r / L) * cos(a_ut * PI * t / L)
      - a_wz * w_z * cos(a_wz * PI * z / L)) * Gamma * PI * P / (Gamma - 0.1e1) / L
    + Gamma * P * U / (Gamma - 0.1e1) / r
    + (U * U + W * W) * RHO * U / r / 0.2e1;
 return(Q_e);
```

References

- [1] F. Domenichini and B. Baccani, "A formulation of Navier-Stokes problem in cylindrical coordinates applied to the 3D entry jet in a duct," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 200, p. 177–191, 2004.
- [2] C. Roy, T. Smith, and C. Ober, "Verification of a compressible CFD code using the method of manufactured solutions," in AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference and Exhibit, no. AIAA 2002-3110, 2002.