

# Introduction to Numerical Analysis

## HW2

---

Yu Cang  
018370210001

June 3, 2018

### 1 CONNECTED SPACE

1. *Proof.* a) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

Suppose (ii) is not true, which means  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ ,  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $U_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are open.

Thus,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are closed as  $U_1 = U_2^c$  and  $U_2 = U_1^c$ .

So,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are both open and closed in  $X$ , which is contradictory to (i).

Thus the assumption fails and (ii) is true when (i) is true.

b) (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

Suppose (i) is not true, which means there exists  $U$  s.t.  $U \subset X$ ,  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $U$  is both open and closed in  $X$ .

Thus,  $U^c$  is open as  $U$  is closed.

As  $X = U \cup U^c$ , then  $X$  can be written as the union of two disjoint, non-empty open subsets, which is contradictory to (ii).

Thus the assumption fails and (i) holds true when (ii) is true.

c) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

Suppose (iii) is not true, which means  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ ,  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $U_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U_2 \neq \emptyset$  and both  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are closed.

Thus,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are open as  $U_1 = U_2^c$  and  $U_2 = U_1^c$ .

So,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are both open and closed in  $X$ , which is contradictory to (i).

Thus the assumption fails and (iii) is true when (i) is true.

d) (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

Suppose (i) is not true, which means there exists  $U$  s.t.  $U \subset X$ ,  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $U$  is both open and closed in  $X$ .

Thus,  $U^c$  is closed as  $U$  is open.

As  $X = U \cup U^c$ , then  $X$  can be written as the union of two disjoint, non-empty closed subsets, which is contradictory to (iii).

Thus the assumption fails and (i) holds true when (iii) is true. □

2. *Proof.* If (iv) is false, then there exists a continuous, surjective application from  $X$  into  $[0, 1] \subset U$ , which can be denoted as  $f$ .

$[0, 1]$  can be written as  $[0, a) \cup [a, 1] \triangleq V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are closed. Denote  $U_1 = f^{-1}(V_1)$  and  $U_2 = f^{-1}(V_2)$ .

As  $f$  is surjective, it follows that  $U_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .

As  $f$  is continuous, it follows that  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are also closed,  $U_1 \cup U_2 = X$ .

Thus, it is contradictory to (iii) as  $X$  can be written as the union of two disjoint, non-empty closed subsets.

So, if (iv) is not true then (iii) is also false. □

3. *Proof.* If (iii) is false, then  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ , where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are two disjoint, non-empty closed subsets. □

...

## 2 INTERMEDIATE VALUE THEOREM

1. *Proof.* Suppose  $f(A) = V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are two disjoint, non-empty open subsets. Denote  $U_1 = f^{-1}(V_1)$ ,  $U_2 = f^{-1}(V_2)$ .  $A = U_1 \cup U_2$  as each element in  $A$  is mapped to either  $V_1$  or  $V_2$ . Further,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are open as  $f$  is a continuous map. Thus  $A$  can be written as the union of two disjoint, non-empty open subsets, which is contradictory to the fact that  $A$  is a connected space. Therefore,  $f(A)$  is connected. □

2. *Proof.* a) It's clear that  $\emptyset$  is connected as  $X$  is itself.

For  $A$  containing only 1 element, it is connected as it can no be written as the union of two disjoint non-empty closed subsets.

b) If  $A$  is not an interval and the corner cases in a) are excluded, then it can be written as union of non-empty, disjoint closed subsets. Thus  $A$  is not connected.

c) □

## 3 ROLLE'S THEOREM

*Proof.* 1. For  $n = 1$ , if  $f(x)$  has 2 distinct roots in  $[a, b]$ , then there exists the maximum  $M$  and minimum  $m$  between  $[a, b]$  according to the extream value theorem.

If  $M = m$ , then  $f(x)$  is constant, and it's obvious that for any  $c \in [a, b]$ ,  $f'(c) = 0$ ;  
 If  $M \neq m$ , then  $\exists \xi \in (a, b)$ , s.t.  $f(\xi)$  reaches its extremum, and equals to 0.

2. As induction hypothesis, assume the statement is true for  $n = k$ .
3. For  $n = k + 1$ , where  $f(x)$  has  $k + 2$  distinct roots denoted as  $c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k < c_{k+1}$ , applying the results for  $n = 1$  on each gap  $[c_i, c_{i+1}]$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ), then  $g(x) \triangleq f'(x)$  has  $k + 1$  roots in  $[c_0, c_{k+1}]$ . By induction hypothesis, there exists  $c \in [c_0, c_{k+1}]$  s.t.  $g^{(k)}(c) = f^{(k+1)}(c) = f^{(n)}(c) = 0$ . Thus the statement holds true for  $n = k + 1$ .

□

## 4 EXTREME VALUE THEOREM

1. *Proof.* □
2. *Proof.* □
3. *Proof.* □

## 5 CONTINUITY

1. *Proof.* □
2. *Proof.* □
3. *Proof.* □