# Introduction to Numerical Analysis HW7

# Yu Cang 018370210001

July 14, 2018

### 1 QUESTION 1

(a) For example

$$y = tan(x), \ x \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$$
 (1.1)

It's differentiable over  $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and the derivative is

$$y' = \frac{1}{\cos^2(x)} \tag{1.2}$$

It's obvious that  $y' \to \infty$  when  $x \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

(b) *Proof.* Denote g(x) over  $[x_1, x_2]$  as

$$g(x) = f(x) - f(x_1) - \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} (x - x_1)$$
(1.3)

where  $a < x_1 < x_2 < b$ . Then,  $g(x_1) = 0$  and  $g(x_2) = 0$ .

Thus, from Rolle's theorem, there exists  $\xi \in (x_1, x_2)$  s.t.

$$g'(\xi) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

namely

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} = f'(\xi) \tag{1.5}$$

Since f' is bounded, then  $|f'(\xi)| \le M$ . Thus

$$|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \le M|x_2 - x_1| \tag{1.6}$$

which means that f(x) is Lipschitz continous.

(c) For example

$$y = \frac{1}{r}, \ x \in (0,1) \tag{1.7}$$

y is obviously differentiable and its derivative is

$$y' = -\frac{1}{x^2}, \quad x \in (0,1)$$
 (1.8)

Suppose there exist a constant c > 0 s.t.

$$|y_2 - y_1| \le c|x_2 - x_1| \tag{1.9}$$

is valid for all  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$ . Let y(b) - y(a) = c(b - a), where a < bthen

$$c = \frac{1}{ab} \tag{1.10}$$

Take the mid-point of *a*, *b*, then

$$\frac{y(a) - y(\frac{b+a}{2})}{\frac{b+a}{2} - a} = \frac{1}{a(\frac{b+a}{2})} > \frac{1}{ab} = c$$
 (1.11)

Thus, the assumption fails, which means that *y* is not Lipschitz continous.

(d) For example

$$y = |x|, \ x \in (-1, 1)$$
 (1.12)

It's Lipschitz continous as for any  $-1 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$ 

$$\frac{|y(x_2) - y(x_1)|}{|x_2 - x_1|} \le 1 \tag{1.13}$$

but it is not differentiable at x = 0.

## 2 QUESTION 2

1. *Proof.* Since |g'(x)| < 1, there exists 0 < L < 1 s.t.  $|g'(x)| \le L$ . Then, according to the Lagrange intermediate value theorem

$$|g(x_{j}) - g(x_{i})| = |g'(\xi)||x_{j} - x_{i}|$$

$$\leq L|x_{j} - x_{i}|$$
(2.1)

As  $g(x^*) = x^*$ , applying the fixed point iteration, then

$$|x_{k+1} - x^*| = |g(x_k) - g(x^*)|$$

$$\leq L|x_k - x^*|$$

$$= L|(x_{k+1} - x^*) - (x_{k+1} - x_k)|$$

$$\leq L|x_{k+1} - x^*| + L|x_{k+1} - x_k|$$
(2.2)

Thus

$$|x_{k+1} - x^*| \le \frac{L}{1 - L} |x_{k+1} - x_k|$$

$$\le \frac{L^2}{1 - L} |x_k - x_{k-1}|$$

$$\le \dots$$

$$\le \frac{L^{k+1}}{1 - L} |x_1 - x_0|$$
(2.3)

Since 0 < L < 1, and  $|x_1 - x_0|$  is finite, it indicates that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |x_{k+1} - x^*| = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, the fixed-point iteration will converge to the unique fixed point  $x^*$ .

2. *Proof.* Since |g'(x)| > 1, there exists L > 1 s.t.  $|g'(x)| \ge L$ . Then, according to the Lagrange intermediate value theorem

$$|g(x_j) - g(x_i)| = |g'(\xi)||x_j - x_i|$$

$$\ge L|x_j - x_i|$$
(2.5)

As  $g(x^*) = x^*$ , applying the fixed point iteration, then

$$|x_{k+1} - x^*| = |g(x_k) - g(x^*)|$$

$$\geq L|x_k - x^*|$$

$$= L|(x_{k+1} - x_k) - (x_{k+1} - x^*)|$$

$$\geq L(|x_{k+1} - x_k| - |x_{k+1} - x^*|)$$
(2.6)

Thus

$$|x_{k+1} - x^*| \ge \frac{L}{1+L} |x_{k+1} - x_k|$$

$$\ge \frac{L^2}{1+L} |x_k - x_{k-1}|$$

$$\ge \dots$$

$$\ge \frac{L^{k+1}}{1+L} |x_1 - x_0|$$
(2.7)

Since L > 1, and  $|x_1 - x_0|$  is finite, it indicates that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |x_{k+1} - x^*| = \infty \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, the fixed-point iteration will never converge to the unique fixed point  $x^*$ .

#### 3 QUESTION 3

1. For resolving the smallest positive root, the equation can be reformed as

$$x = tg^{-1}(4x) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} g(x) \tag{3.1}$$

It can be easily observed that the root lies in  $(1, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

Applying the fundamental inequality, g'(x) can be further determined as

$$0 < g'(x) = \frac{4}{1 + (4x)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} + 4x^2} \le \frac{1}{x} < 1$$
 (3.2)

Thus, the fixed-point iteration can be employed to resolve the result. The starting value is given as

$$x_0 = \frac{1 + \frac{\pi}{2}}{2} \tag{3.3}$$

A python script is written to perform the numerical iteration, and the final result is

$$x^* \approx 1.393 \tag{3.4}$$

2. For resolving the second smallest positive root, the original coordinate axes Oxy can be transfromed to O'xy to simplify to equation, where  $(\pi,0)$  in original coordinate is selected as the transformed origin. Thus, it's equivalent to solve

$$tg(x) = 4(x+\pi) \tag{3.5}$$

For similar consideration, the equation is reformed as

$$x = tg^{-1}(4(x+\pi)) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} g(x)$$
 (3.6)

Obviously, the root is located in  $(1, \frac{\pi}{2})$  in the transformed coordinate. Similarly, the range of g'(x) is examined as

$$0 < g'(x) = \frac{4}{1 + 16(x + \pi)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} + 4(x + \pi)^2} < \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} + 4x^2} < \frac{1}{x} < 1$$
 (3.7)

Thus, the fixed-point iteration can be employed to resolve the result. The starting value is given as

$$x_0' = \frac{1 + \frac{\pi}{2}}{2} \tag{3.8}$$

A python script is written to perform the numerical iteration, and the final result is

$$x^* = x'^* + \pi \approx 1.517 + \pi \approx 4.659 \tag{3.9}$$

## 4 QUESTION 6

- 1. For example,  $e^x = x + 1$  over [-1,1] can be solved by bisection, but  $g'(x) \triangleq (e^x 1)' = e^x > 1$  when x > 0. Thus the fixed-point iteration will diverge if the initial value is given as  $x_0 > 0$ .
- 2. For example, cos(x) = 0 over  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Let  $g'(x) \triangleq (cos(x) + x)' = 1 sin(x)$ , it's clear that  $0 \le g'(x) \le 1$ , thus, it can be resolved by the fixed-point iteration given an starting value  $x_0 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . However, the Newton method would fail if  $x_0$  is very close to 0. This is caused as  $cos'(x) = sin(x) \to 0$ , which lead to the further displacement of  $x_1$  as  $\frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)} \to \infty$ .