# Introduction to Numerical Analysis HW4

# Yu Cang 018370210001

June 19, 2018

# 1 LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS

1. Proof. Let

$$\varphi(x) = (x^2 - 1)^n \tag{1.1}$$

then

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \varphi^{(n)}(x) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\varphi^{(k)}(1) = \varphi^{(k)}(-1) = 0 \ (k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1)$$
 (1.3)

Suppose  $h(x) \in C^n(-1,1)$ , then performing integration by parts

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(x)h(x)dx = \frac{1}{2^{n}n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi^{(n)}(x)h(x)dx$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{n}n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi^{(n-1)}(x)h'(x)dx$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n}}{2^{n}n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi(x)h^{(n)}(x)dx$$
(1.4)

Thus, the proof can be discussed on 2 cases

a) If the order of h(x) is less than n, then

$$h^{(n)}(x) = 0 (1.5)$$

Thus

$$\int_{-1}^{1} Q_n(x)Q_m(x)dx = 0 \ (n \neq m)$$
 (1.6)

b) If  $h(x) = Q_n(x)$ , then the n - th derivative of g(x) is

$$h^{(n)}(x) = Q^{(n)}(x) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}$$
(1.7)

Thus

$$\int_{-1}^{1} Q_{n}(x)Q_{m}(x)dx = \int_{-1}^{1} Q_{n}^{2}(x)dx \quad (n=m)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n}(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^{2}} \int_{-1}^{1} (x^{2}-1)^{n} dx$$

$$= \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^{2}} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-x^{2})^{n} dx$$

$$= \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \cos^{2n+1} t dt$$

$$= \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^{2}} \frac{2 \times 4 \times \dots \times (2n)}{1 \times 3 \times \dots \times (2n+1)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{2n+1}$$
(1.8)

Thus,  $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are a sequence of orthogonal polynomials.

2. Proof. Denote

$$\varphi(x) = (x^2 - 1)^n \tag{1.9}$$

then

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \varphi^{(n)}(x) \tag{1.10}$$

As the power of each item in  $\varphi(x)$  is even when  $\varphi(x)$  is extended, thus  $\varphi^{(n)}(x)$  is even function if the order of derivative is even, and  $\varphi^{(n)}(x)$  is odd function if the order of derivative is odd.

Therefore  $Q_n(x)$  is even function if n is even, and  $Q_n(x)$  is odd function if n is odd. So, it can be summarized as  $Q_n(-x) = (-1)^n Q_n(x)$ .

3. *Proof.* As  $xQ_n(x)$  can be written as

$$xQ_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i Q_i(x)$$
 (1.11)

According to the orthogonality of Legendre polynomials,  $a_i = 0$  for i = 0, 1, ..., n - 2, n, as

$$0 = \int_{-1}^{1} x Q_n(x) Q_i(x) dx = a_i \int_{-1}^{1} Q_i^2(x) dx \quad (i = 0, 1, ..., n - 2, n)$$
 (1.12)

Thus,  $xQ_n(x)$  can be written as

$$xQ_n(x) = a_{n-1}Q_{n-1}(x) + a_{n+1}Q_{n+1}(x)$$
(1.13)

Since the highest order item in  $xQ_n(x)$  is  $x^{n+1}$  and its coefficient is  $\frac{(2n)!}{2^n(n!)^2}$ , thus

$$\frac{(2n)!}{2^n(n!)^2} = a_{n+1} \frac{(2n+2)!}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!^2}$$
 (1.14)

which implies that

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{n+1}{2n+1} \tag{1.15}$$

Denote

$$I_n = \int_{-1}^{1} x Q_{n-1}(x) Q_n(x) dx \tag{1.16}$$

Then

$$I_n = a_{n-1} \int_{-1}^{1} Q_{n-1}^2(x) dx = a_{n-1} \frac{2}{2n-1}$$
 (1.17)

As

$$I_{n+1} = a_{n+1} \int_{-1}^{1} Q_{n+1}^{2}(x) dx = a_{n+1} \frac{2}{2n+3} = \frac{2(n+1)}{(2n+1)(2n+3)}$$
 (1.18)

Thus

$$I_n = \frac{2n}{(2n-1)(2n+1)} \tag{1.19}$$

which implies that

$$a_{n-1} = \frac{n}{2n+1} \tag{1.20}$$

Hence

$$(2n+1)xQ_n(x) = nQ_{n-1}(x) + (n+1)Q_{n+1}(x)$$
(1.21)

4. Proof. As

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} [(x+1)^n (x-1)^n]^{(n)}$$

$$= \frac{2^n}{n!} [(\frac{x+1}{2})^n (\frac{x-1}{2})^n]^{(n)}$$
(1.22)

Denote

$$f(x) = (\frac{x+1}{2})^n \tag{1.23}$$

and

$$g(x) = (\frac{x-1}{2})^n \tag{1.24}$$

Then

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \frac{n!}{2^k (n-k)!} (\frac{x+1}{2})^{n-k}$$
 (1.25)

and

$$g^{(k)}(x) = \frac{n!}{2^k (n-k)!} (\frac{x-1}{2})^{n-k}$$
(1.26)

Since

$$(fg)^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k f^{(k)} g^{(n-k)}$$
(1.27)

Thus

$$Q_{n}(x) = \frac{2^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n}^{k} f^{(k)}(x) g^{(n-k)}(x)$$

$$= \frac{2^{n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n}^{k} \frac{n!}{2^{k}(n-k)!} (\frac{x+1}{2})^{n-k} \frac{n!}{2^{n-k}k!} (\frac{x-1}{2})^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n}^{k} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} (\frac{x+1}{2})^{n-k} (\frac{x-1}{2})^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (C_{n}^{k})^{2} (\frac{x+1}{2})^{n-k} (\frac{x-1}{2})^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} {n \choose k}^{2} (\frac{1+x}{2})^{n-k} (\frac{1-x}{2})^{k}$$
(1.28)

#### 2 INTERPOLATION

f(2) can be determined using the Lagrange interpolation scheme. As the lagrange interpolation polynomial can be written as below, and n = 8 in this case.

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) l_i(x)$$
 (2.1)

 $l_i(x)$  are the base functions that can be written as below.

$$l_i(x) = \frac{(x - x_1)(x - x_2)...(x - x_{i-1})(x - x_{i+1})...(x - x_{n-1})(x - x_n)}{(x_i - x_1)(x_i - x_2)...(x_i - x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i+1})...(x_i - x_{n-1})(x_i - x_n)}$$
(2.2)

 $l_i(2)$  are calculated accordingly as below.

$$l_1(2) = -0.0006$$
  $l_2(2) = 0.1224$   $l_3(2) = -0.5600$   $l_4(2) = 1.0606$   $l_5(2) = 0.4167$   $l_6(2) = -0.0400$   $l_7(2) = 0.0012$   $l_8(2) = -0.0003$ 

Thus, f(2) is calculated according to (2.1) as 11.0.

#### 3 Newton's form of interpolation polynomial

1. a) *Proof.* Denote  $P^1(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$ , where  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are coefficients to be determined. Then

$$\begin{cases}
f(x_0) = a_0 + a_1 x_0 \\
f(x_1) = a_0 + a_1 x_1
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

 $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are solved as below

$$\begin{cases}
 a_0 = \frac{x_1 f(x_0) - x_0 f(x_1)}{x_1 - x_0} \\
 a_1 = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}
\end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Thus

$$P^{1}(x) = \frac{x_{1}f(x_{0}) - x_{0}f(x_{1})}{x_{1} - x_{0}} + \frac{f(x_{1}) - f(x_{0})}{x_{1} - x_{0}}x$$

$$= \frac{(x_{1} - x_{0})f(x_{0}) - x_{0}(f(x_{1}) - f(x_{0}))}{x_{1} - x_{0}} + \frac{f(x_{1}) - f(x_{0})}{x_{1} - x_{0}}x$$

$$= f(x_{0}) + \frac{f(x_{1}) - f(x_{0})}{x_{1} - x_{0}}(x - x_{0})$$

$$= P^{0}(x) + \frac{f(x_{1}) - f(x_{0})}{x_{1} - x_{0}}(x - x_{0})$$
(3.3)

b) If  $P^2(x) = P^1(x) + R(x)$ , then  $R(x_0) = 0$  and  $R(x_1) = 0$ , thus  $R(x) = c(x - x_1)(x - x_0)$ , where c is the coefficient to be determined. Since

$$R(x_2) = P^2(x_2) - P^1(x_2)$$
(3.4)

Thus

$$c(x_2 - x_1)(x_2 - x_0) = f(x_2) - [f(x_0) + \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}(x_2 - x_0)]$$
(3.5)

c is solved as

$$c = \frac{1}{x_2 - x_0} \left[ \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} \right]$$
(3.6)

Hence

$$R(x) = \frac{1}{x_2 - x_0} \left[ \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} \right] (x - x_1)(x - x_0)$$
(3.7)

c) *Proof.* As have been shown above, the statement is valid for j = 1, 2. Suppose it's still valid for j = n, then

$$P^{n}(x) = P^{n-1}(x) + a_n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - x_k)$$
(3.8)

where  $a_n$  depends only on  $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n$ . Let  $P^{n+1}(x) = P^n(x) + R(x)$ , then  $R(x_k) = 0$  for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n, thus

$$R(x) = a_{n+1} \prod_{k=0}^{n} (x - x_k)$$
 (3.9)

Then  $a_{n+1}$  is solved as

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{f(x_{n+1}) - P^n(x_{n+1})}{\prod_{k=0}^n (x - x_k)}$$
(3.10)

As  $a_n$  only depends on  $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n$ ,  $P^n(x_{n+1})$  only depends on  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}$ , thus  $a_{n+1}$  only depends on  $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, a_{n+1}$ .

2. Proof. As

$$P^{j}(x) = P^{j-1}(x) + a_{j} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (x - x_{k})$$
(3.11)

Thus, by recursion,  $P^{j}(x)$  can be written as

$$P^{n}(x) = P^{0}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (x - x_{k})$$
(3.12)

3. Proof. (haven't figured out yet...)

4. Algorithm that calculate the approximation of f(x) is given as below

### **Algorithm 1** Calculation of the approximated value of f(x)

```
Input: x, n, x[i], f[i] (i = 0, 1, ..., n)
Output: P^n(x) \approx f(x)
 1: ret \leftarrow 0
 2: prod \leftarrow 1
 3: for i = 0 \rightarrow n do
         coef[i] \leftarrow f[i]
 5: end for
 6: for i = 0 \to n do
         ret \leftarrow coef[i] * prod
         prod \leftarrow prod * (x - x_i)
 8:
        for j = n \rightarrow i + 1 do
coef[j] \leftarrow \frac{coef[j] - coef[j-1]}{x[j] - x[j-(i+1)]}
 9:
10:
         end for
11:
12: end for
13: return ret
```

5. Proof. As

$$f[x_k, x_{k+1}] = \frac{f_{k+1} - f_k}{x_{k+1} - x_k} = \frac{\nabla f_k}{h}$$
(3.13)

The statement is valid for m = 1.

Assume it is still valid for m = n, then

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+n}] = \frac{\nabla^n f_i}{n! h^n}$$
(3.14)

Consider m = n + 1, by the definition of  $f[x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+n+1}]$ 

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+n+1}] = \frac{f[x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+n+1}] - f[x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n]}{x_{i+n+1} - x_i}$$
(3.15)

By the induction hypothesis, it can be simplified as

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+n+1}] = \frac{1}{(n+1)h} \frac{1}{n!h^n} [\nabla^n f_{i+1} - \nabla^n f_i]$$
(3.16)

By the definition of operator  $\nabla$ , it can be further simplified as

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+n+1}] = \frac{\nabla^{n+1} f_i}{(n+1)! h^{n+1}}$$
(3.17)

Thus, the statement is valid for all  $m \ge 1$ .

- 6. (haven't figured out yet...)
- 7. Algorithm that calculate the approximation of f(x) with equidistant nodes is given as below

## **Algorithm 2** Calculation of the approximated value of f(x) with equidistant nodes

```
Input: h, n, x, f[i] (i = 0, 1, ..., n)
Output: P^n(x) \approx f(x)
 1: ret \leftarrow 0
 2: prod \leftarrow 1
3: t \leftarrow \frac{x - x_0}{h}
4: for i = 0 \rightarrow n do
        coef[i] \leftarrow f[i]
 6: end for
 7: for i = 0 \to n do
        ret \leftarrow coef[i] \times prod
        prod \leftarrow prod \times \frac{t-i}{i+1}
 9:
        for j = n \rightarrow i + 1 do
10:
            coef[j] \leftarrow coef[j] - coef[j-1]
11:
        end for
12:
13: end for
14: return ret
```