Introduction to Numerical Analysis HW5

Yu Cang 018370210001

June 23, 2018

1 Lebesgue constant for Chebyshev nodes

1. a) Proof. Denote

$$LHS \triangleq (x - x_i)l_i(x)$$

$$RHS \triangleq \frac{T_{n+1}(x)}{T'_{n+1}(x_i)}$$
(1.1)

It is left to prove LHS = RHS.

The left part can be written as

$$LHS = c_l \omega(x) \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\omega(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i) \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$c_l = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=0, k \neq i}^{n} (x_i - x_k)}$$
 (1.4)

Since both LHS and RHS are polynomials of order n+1, they are equivalent iff. they have same roots and leading coefficients.

On the one hand, as for all x_i , where i = 0, 1, ..., n

$$T_{n+1}(x_i) = cos((n+1)\theta_i) = cos(\frac{2i+1}{2}\pi) = 0$$
 (1.5)

Thus, LHS and RHS have same roots. RHS can therefore be written as

$$RHS(x) = c_r \omega(x) \tag{1.6}$$

On the other hand, since

$$LHS'(x)|_{x=x_i} = (l_i(x) + (x - x_i)l_i'(x))|_{x=x_i} = 1$$
(1.7)

and

$$RHS'(x)|_{x=x_i} = \frac{T'_{n+1}(x)}{T'_{n+1}(x_i)}\Big|_{x=x_i} = 1$$
 (1.8)

Thus, the leading coefficients of *LHS* and *RHS* are equal, namely $c_l = c_r$. Hence, *LHS* = *RHS*.

b) Proof.

$$T'_{n+1}(x) = (\cos((n+1)\arccos(x)))'$$

$$= \sin((n+1)\arccos(x))(n+1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{\sqrt{1-\cos^2(\theta)}}\sin((n+1)\theta)$$
(1.9)

As $\theta_k = \frac{2k+1}{2(n+1)}\pi$, thus, $\sin(\theta_k) > 0$, and

$$T'_{n+1}(x_k) = \frac{n+1}{\sin(\theta_k)} \sin(\frac{2k+1}{2}\pi) = (-1)^k \frac{n+1}{\sin(\theta_k)}$$
 (1.10)

c) Proof. As

$$T_{n+1}(1) = cos((n+1)arccos(1)) = 1$$
 (1.11)

Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} |l_{i}(1)| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left| \frac{T_{n+1}(1)}{(1-x_{i})T'_{n+1}(x_{i})} \right|$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\left| (1-x_{i})T'_{n+1}(x_{i}) \right|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left| \frac{\sin \theta_{i}}{(1-\cos \theta_{i})} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left| \frac{\sin \theta_{i}}{2\sin^{2}(\frac{\theta_{i}}{2})} \right|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \cot(\frac{\theta_{i}}{2})$$
(1.12)

2. a) *Proof.* According to the mean value theorem, there exists $\theta \in [\frac{\theta_k}{2}, \frac{\theta_{k+1}}{2}]$, s.t.

$$\int_{\frac{\theta_k}{2}}^{\frac{\theta_{k+1}}{2}} \cot(t) dt = \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{2} \cot(\theta)$$
 (1.13)

As $\cot'(t) = -\frac{1}{\sin^2(t)} < 0$, and $\theta_k \le \theta \le \theta_{k+1}$, thus

$$cot(\theta) \le cot(\theta_k)$$
 (1.14)

Therefore

$$\int_{\frac{\theta_{k}}{2}}^{\frac{\theta_{k+1}}{2}} \cot(t) dt \le \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_{k}}{2} \cot(\theta_{k})$$
 (1.15)

b) *Proof.* As $\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k = \frac{\pi}{n+1}$ and according to the result that have been proved above

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{\frac{\theta_{k}}{2}}^{\frac{\theta_{k+1}}{2}} \cot(t) dt \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_{k}}{2} \cot(\frac{\theta_{k}}{2})$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \cot(\frac{\theta_{k}}{2})$$
(1.16)

c) *Proof.* As $\theta_n = \frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\pi < \pi$, $\theta_{n+1} = \frac{2n+3}{2n+2} > \pi$, and cot(x) is positive over $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, while negative otherwise. Thus

$$\int_{\frac{\theta_0}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cot(t)dt \le \int_{\frac{\theta_0}{2}}^{\frac{\theta_n}{2}} \cot(t)dt = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{\theta_k}{2}}^{\frac{\theta_{k+1}}{2}} \cot(t)dt$$
 (1.17)

Hence

$$\int_{\frac{\theta_0}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cot(t) dt \le \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \cot(\frac{\theta_i}{2})$$
 (1.18)

 \Box (... not fine)

3. Proof.

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda_{n} = \max_{x \in [a,b]} \sum_{i=0}^{n} |l_{i}(x)| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{n} |l_{i}(1)| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \cot(\frac{\theta_{i}}{2}) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\theta_{0}/2}^{\pi/2} \cot(t) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} ln(|\sin(t)|) \Big|_{\theta_{0}/2}^{\pi/2} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi} ln(\sin(\frac{\theta_{0}}{2})) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} ln(\frac{2}{\theta_{0}}) = \frac{2}{\pi} ln(\frac{4(n+1)}{\pi}) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} ln(n) \end{split}$$
(1.19)

2 INTERPOLATION

3 TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS