

# Vexing Vulnerabilities in Web Applications

LIS4774 - Information Security

Instructor: Dr. Metcalfe

Team Name: CyberAlligator (Team A)

Team Members: Mehmet Ozmen, Jordan Northup, Joshua France, Adam MacDougall, Joshua Flashman, Matteo van Zwieten, Jamel Douglas, Aiden Talavera





# Agenda

- Significance
- Research Environment / Network Topology
- Study Framework
- Analysis and Solutions
- Lessons Learned and Conclusion



# Significance in Vulnerabilities

- Identifying and understanding the vulnerabilities of user input that are exploited in systems destroying confidentiality.
  - Attackers using unsecure text queries to enter bash like script to obtain system information.

## *A Classification of SQL Injection Attacks and Countermeasures*

- Addressed the problem of SQL Injection Attacks
- Using SQL Injections through User input is one of the main attacks they talk about through their paper
- This is done to extract or modify data, avoid detection, and bypass authentication

## *Testing and comparing web vulnerability scanning tools for SQL injection and XSS attacks*

- Discussed how widespread vulnerabilities on websites and how attacks can occur
- By testing with multiple scanners then using sample injections on detected vulnerabilities, there is a high success rate of patching most vulnerabilities



# Significance in Countermeasures

- Identifying solutions and countermeasures to make it more difficult for threat agents to get information out of systems.
  - Testing our countermeasures, we were able to achieve better system integrity, confidentiality, and availability.


## *DNS Pharming through PHP Injection: Attack Scenario and Investigation*

- Discussed PHP Injection attacks being used on unsanitized input streams
- Suggested mitigation strategies
  - Sanitization of input
  - Reduction of privileges

## *Cross-Site Scripting Prevention with Dynamic Data Tainting and Static Analysis*

- Discussion of Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) string match algorithm's use in detecting XSS attacks & SQL Injections
  - Uses filter() function to detect malicious code
  - Perl's taint mode can also be used to track tainted data
- Proxies can also be used to analyze all HTTP traffic and filter malicious data

# Network Topology / Research Environment





# Study Framework: Injection

Part A consisted of setting up a web application server of an unsecure website that has an attack vector of injection. Specifically PHP injection was the main focal point of Part A. Command line code could be injected into a text query box to take advantage of vulnerabilities allowing for loss of confidentiality, availability, and integrity within the system. This compromises both the server itself and the users. The goal was to identify the threat and with any luck find a solution or at least mitigate it.

## Injecting into a query box


## Confidentiality compromised



# Study Framework: Cross Site Scripting


Part B consisted of creating and executing a server environment that was susceptible to cross site scripting attacks. The goal behind this was to try to execute a cross site scripting attack on the server. Then analyze the problem through the use of ingenuity and other assisted tools. This was to try to coax out an solution to cross site scripting being a vulnerability within the server.

## Stored XSS Attack



There are stored and reflected XSS attacks. The figure above is a stored XSS attack which is persistent in the system.


## XSS popup executed



# Analysis and Solutions

To build our analysis, we ran manual and automatic web application security scanners. We were able to identify multiple command injection vulnerabilities through faulty PHP codes, XSS vulnerabilities as well as path traversal and file injection vulnerabilities.

Most of these vulnerabilities were due to using file system calls and unsanitized user inputs. To be secure, file system calls should not be used when taking input from a user. Every time user input is taken into the application, input validation should be put in place to make code viable and more secured.







# Analysis and Solutions

**Input Validation:** recognizing malicious code by checking input against a set of criteria

Can be a...

**Blacklist:** input is checked against a set of illegal inputs, and discarded if it matches

**Whitelist:** only allows input that is checked against criteria that is known to be clean

**Input Sanitization:** 'cleaning' inputs of malicious code by removing any illegal characters while preserving the original content

**Escaping Metacharacters:** identifying and telling the code interpreter to ignore any characters that have a special meaning in the code while keeping the output to the user the same

PHP functions to avoid when taking user input:

- `system()`
- `passthru()`
- `show_source()`
- `exec()`
- `shell_exec()`
- `proc_open()`
- `popen()`
- `curl_exec()`
- `curl_multi_exec()`
- `parse_ini_file()`



# Lesson Learned, Conclusions, and Future Work

PHP injections and cross-site scripting attacks are very common amongst the known network strafes. They are quite easy to understand, but are immensely grueling to successfully defend against.

After the analysis of the network topology, it was concluded how threats could initially gain access to a network. Through the use of a vulnerability scanner, our team was able to point out multiple solutions to combat the vulnerabilities, reduce overall damage, and prevent them from happening in the first place.

Future work could be done to increase the effectiveness of the already known security measures, or begin the development of defensive systems that are more accurate and are almost impenetrable.