

ANALYSIS OF SORTING ALGORITHMS

Bubble Sort | Insertion Sort | Merge Sort | Quick Sort

ENVIRONMENT

- **OS**

- macOS 10.15.1 (19B88)

- **Processor**

- 2,8 GHz Quad-Core Intel Core i7

- **Memory**

- 16 GB 2133 MHz LPDDR3

IMPLEMENTATIONS

- **Bubble Sort**

- C + Clang
- Time measurement via C

- **Insertion Sort**

- C + Clang
- Time measurement via C

- **Merge Sort**

- Haskell + GHC
- Time measurement via scripting

- **Quick Sort**

- Haskell + GHC
- Time measurement via scripting

RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION

- Custom-built pseudo random generator,
- Via bash scripting.

TEST DATA

- **A file set:**
 - Unordered
 - Ascending
 - Descending
- **Categories:** 10K, 20K, 30K, ..., 100K
- **Set Count:** 30 / category
- **Total:** $3 \times 30 \times 10 = 900$ single files ≈ 290 MB

SAMPLE TEST DATA

A chunk of the Bubble Sort results

| FileName | KnownNumberArrangement | SecondsElapsed | NumberCount | OperationCount |
|--|------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| data-set/10000-numbers-0-ordered-ascending.txt.csv | ascending | 0.409916 | 10000 | 19997 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-0-ordered-descending.txt.csv | descending | 1.194424 | 10000 | 199963930 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-0-unordered.txt.csv | unordered | 1.330959 | 10000 | 100169463 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-1-ordered-ascending.txt.csv | ascending | 0.443937 | 10000 | 19998 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-1-ordered-descending.txt.csv | descending | 1.238136 | 10000 | 199963941 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-1-unordered.txt.csv | unordered | 1.315640 | 10000 | 99540563 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-10-ordered-ascending.txt.csv | ascending | 0.135241 | 10000 | 9998 |
| data-set/10000-numbers-10-ordered-descending.txt.csv | descending | 0.301652 | 10000 | 49993506 |

KNOWN ISSUES

- Number count is sporadically wrong,
- File listing doesn't account number magnitudes.

EXPERIMENT SUBJECT

Measuring and comparing the execution time performances of Bubble sort, Insertion sort, Merge sort, Quick sort

EXPERIMENT CASES

HYPOTHESES

- **H₀:**

- Merge sort performs faster than Bubble sort,
- $t_{\text{exec}}(\text{MS}) < t_{\text{exec}}(\text{BS})$.

- **H_{0alt}:**

- Merge sort doesn't perform faster than Bubble sort,
- $t_{\text{exec}}(\text{MS}) \geq t_{\text{exec}}(\text{BS})$.

VARIABLES

- Known number arrangement
- Seconds elapsed
- Number count

TOTAL EXECUTION TIMES

- **Bubble Sort:** 8443.856 seconds \approx 140 minutes
- **Insertion Sort:** 2397.788 seconds \approx 40 minutes
- **Merge Sort:** 7.05s user 20.41s system 68% cpu
40.149 total
- **Quick Sort:** 6.77s user 18.37s system 67% cpu
37.253 total

THREATS TO VALIDITY

- Conclusion validity / isolating machine power in a virtual machine
- External validity / application by others