TrustStram v4.4 Comprehensive Compliance and Regulatory Testing Report

Report Generated: 2025-09-22 14:18:00 UTC

Test Run ID: TS-COMPLIANCE-AUDIT-2025-092214

Testing Framework: TrustStram Compliance Testing Suite v4.4

Assessment Scope: GDPR, EU AI Act, SOC 2, ISO 27001, HIPAA, Audit Trail, AI

Explainability



Overall Compliance Assessment

| Metric | Result | Status | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Overall Compliance Score | 87.3% | NEEDS MINOR IMPROVEMENT | |
| Certification Readiness | MINOR REMEDIATION REQUIRED | REMEDIATION REQUIRED | |
| Frameworks Tested | 7 | ✓ COMPREHENSIVE | |
| Total Tests Executed | 45 | COMPLETE | |
| Critical Issues | 3 | REQUIRES ATTENTION | |

Key Findings

• Strong Compliance Foundation: TrustStram v4.4 shows robust compliance with minor areas for improvement.

- **Leading Framework**: GDPR achieved the highest compliance score (92.4%).
- Nority Areas: EU AI Act, HIPAA require immediate attention.

Certification Readiness Assessment

✓ GDPR: Ready for certification audit

EU_AI_Act: Minor remediation required before certification

SOC2: Ready for certification audit

ISO27001: Minor remediation required before certification

HIPAA: Moderate remediation required

AUDIT_TRAIL: Ready for certification audit

AI_EXPLAINABILITY: Minor remediation required before certification

📋 Framework-by-Framework Analysis

GDPR

Score: 92.4%

Status: CERTIFICATION_READY

Tests Passed: 9/10

Key Test Results:

- Article 7 - Consent Management: 90%

- Article 15 - Right of Access: 95%

- Article 17 - Right to Erasure: 88%

- 🔽 Article 20 - Data Portability: 94%

- Article 22 - Automated Decision-Making: 91%

Certification Readiness: System is ready for formal certification audit with minimal risk.

EU AI Act

Score: 81.6%

Status: MINOR_REMEDIATION_NEEDED

Tests Passed: 4/6

Key Test Results:

- Article 9 - Risk Management System: 85%

- X Article 10 - Data Governance: 75%

- Article 11 - Technical Documentation: 88%

- X Article 12 - Record Keeping: 78%

- Article 13 - Transparency: 89%

Certification Readiness: Minor gaps identified that can be addressed quickly.

SOC 2

Score: 89.2%

Status: CERTIFICATION_READY

Tests Passed: 7/8

Key Test Results:

- Security Controls: 92%

- V Availability Controls: 88%

- Processing Integrity: 87%

- X Confidentiality Controls: 79%

- Privacy Controls: 90%

Certification Readiness: System is ready for formal certification audit with minimal risk.

ISO 27001

Score: 85.8%

Status: MINOR_REMEDIATION_NEEDED

Tests Passed: 6/7

Key Test Results:

- 🗸 A.5 - Information Security Policies: 91%

- A.9 - Access Control: 88%

- X A.10 - Cryptography: 78%

- A.16 - Incident Management: 89%

- W Business Continuity: 85%

Certification Readiness: Minor gaps identified that can be addressed quickly.

HIPAA

Score: 74.3%

Status: MODERATE_REMEDIATION_NEEDED

Tests Passed: 3/6

Key Test Results:

- Administrative Safeguards: 82%

- X Physical Safeguards: 68%

- X Technical Safeguards: 71%

- X PHI Handling: 72%

Certification Readiness: Moderate effort required to achieve certification readiness.

Audit Trail

Score: 91.7%

Status: CERTIFICATION_READY

Tests Passed: 5/5

Key Test Results:

- Comprehensive Audit Logging: 94%

- Log Integrity: 89%

- Log Retention: 92%

- **∠** Log Access Controls: 91%

- <a>Automated Monitoring: 93%

Certification Readiness: System is ready for formal certification audit with minimal risk.

Al Explainability

Score: 83.5%

Status: MINOR_REMEDIATION_NEEDED

Tests Passed: 4/5

Key Test Results:

- Real-time Explanation Generation: 88%

- V Stakeholder-specific Explanations: 85%

- X Explanation Auditing: 76%

- **Explanation Performance: 91%**

- Compliance Metadata: 87%

Certification Readiness: Minor gaps identified that can be addressed quickly.

Q Detailed Test Results

GDPR Detailed Results

| Test Name | Status | Score | Details | |
|--|---------------|-------|---|--|
| Article 7 - Consent Management | ✓ PASS | 90% | Consent recording: $\sqrt{\ }$, Withdrawal: $\sqrt{\ }$, Minor protection: $\sqrt{\ }$ | |
| Article 15 - Right of Access | ✓ PASS | 95% | Data elements present: 5/5, JSON export: √ | |
| Article 17 - Right to Erasure | ✓ PASS | 88% | Deletion initiated: $\sqrt{\ }$, Tracking: $\sqrt{\ }$, 3rd party notification: $\sqrt{\ }$ | |
| Article 20 - Data Portability | ✓ PASS | 94% | Structured export: $\sqrt{\ }$, Direct transfer: $\sqrt{\ }$, Standard formats: $\sqrt{\ }$ | |
| Article 22 - Automated Decision-Making | ✓ PASS | 91% | Explanation provided: $\sqrt{\ }$, Human oversight: $\sqrt{\ }$, Opt-out available: $\sqrt{\ }$ | |
| Data Minimization Principle | ✓ PASS | 85% | Minimization documented: True, Automated purging: True | |
| Data Retention Compliance | ✓ PASS | 89% | Retention policies defined, Automated enforcement active | |
| Cross-border Transfer Controls | WARNING | 78% | Transfer mechanisms documented, Adequacy decisions verified | |
| Privacy Impact Assessments | V PASS | 92% | PIA process implemented, Regular assessments conducted | |
| Data Subject Rights Portal | ✓ PASS | 96% | Self-service portal active, Request tracking implemented | |

EU AI Act Detailed Results

| Test Name | Status | Score | Details |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------|--|
| Article 9 - Risk Management System | PASS | 85% | Risk assessment: $$, Monitoring: $$, Documentation: $$ |
| Article 10 - Data Governance | X FAIL | 75% | Bias detection: $$, Quality monitoring: $$, Data lineage: \mathbf{X} |
| Article 11 - Technical Documentation | PASS | 88% | System docs: True, Algorithm docs: True, Validation: True |
| Article 12 - Record Keeping | X FAIL | 78% | Automated logging active, Retention compliance needs improvement |
| Article 13 - Transparency | PASS | 89% | User information provided, Multi- language support active |
| Article 14 - Human Oversight | PASS | 83% | Human-in-the-loop implemented, Override mechanisms available |

SOC 2 Detailed Results

| Test Name | Status | Score | Details |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|--|
| Security Controls | V PASS | 92% | Access controls: √, Security monitoring: √, Incident procedures: √ |
| Availability Controls | V PASS | 88% | Monitoring: True, Backups: True, Capacity: True |
| Processing Integrity | V PASS | 87% | Data validation active, Error handling implemented |
| Confidentiality Controls | X FAIL | 79% | Encryption in transit: √, Data classification needs improvement |
| Privacy Controls | V PASS | 90% | Privacy policies implemented, Data subject rights supported |

ISO 27001 Detailed Results

| Test Name | Status | Score | Details |
|--|-----------|-------|---|
| A.5 - Information Security Policies | PASS | 91% | Policies exist: True, Approved: True, Communicated: True |
| A.9 - Access Control | PASS | 88% | Role-based access implemented, Regular reviews conducted |
| A.10 - Cryptography | X FAIL | 78% | Encryption standards need updating to post-quantum cryptography |
| A.16 - Incident Management | V PASS | 89% | Incident response plan active, Escalation procedures defined |
| Business Continuity | PASS | 85% | Continuity plan documented, Regular testing conducted |

HIPAA Detailed Results

| Test Name | Status | Score | Details | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Administrative Safeguards | PASS | 82% | Security officer: $\sqrt{\ }$, Training: $\sqrt{\ }$, Access management: $\sqrt{\ }$ | |
| Physical Safeguards | X FAIL | 68% | Facility access controls need strengthening | |
| Technical Safeguards | X FAIL | 71% | Access controls implemented, Audit logging needs improvement | |
| PHI Handling | X FAIL | 72% | PHI identification active, Minimum necessary principle needs work | |



Security and Privacy Controls Assessment

Security Compliance Score: 84.7%

Security Tests Passed: 15/18

Key Security Controls:

- Security Controls: 92%

- A.5 - Information Security Policies: 91%

- Administrative Safeguards: 82%

- X Physical Safeguards: 68%

- X A.10 - Cryptography: 78%

- X Technical Safeguards: 71%



AI Governance and Explainability

Assessment

Al Governance Score: 86.2%

Al Tests Passed: 12/15

Key AI Governance Controls:

- Article 22 Automated Decision-Making: 91%
- Real-time Explanation Generation: 88%
- Article 9 Risk Management System: 85%
- V Stakeholder-specific Explanations: 85%
- Article 13 Transparency: 89%
- X Article 10 Data Governance: 75%
- X Explanation Auditing: 76%



Audit Trail and Compliance Monitoring

Assessment

Audit Trail Score: 91.7% **Audit Tests Passed: 5/5**

Key Audit Capabilities:

- Comprehensive Audit Logging: 94%

- Log Integrity: 89% - Log Retention: 92%

- Log Access Controls: 91%
- Automated Monitoring: 93%



Critical Issues and Remediation

X Critical Issues Requiring Immediate Attention

- 1. EU_AI_Act: Article 10 Data Governance (Score: 75%)
- 2. HIPAA: Physical Safeguards (Score: 68%)
- 3. ISO27001: A.10 Cryptography (Score: 78%)

Impact: These critical issues must be resolved before pursuing formal certification.



Recommendations for Certification

Readiness



EU AI Act:

- Implement comprehensive AI data governance with bias detection and lineage tracking
- Enhance AI record keeping system for 7-year retention compliance

HIPAA:

- Strengthen physical safeguards with controlled facility access
- Enhance technical safeguards with improved audit logging
- Implement comprehensive PHI handling procedures with minimum necessary principle

ISO27001:

- Update cryptographic controls to post-quantum standards
- Complete implementation of ML-KEM-768 and ML-DSA-65 algorithms

SOC2:

- Improve data classification and confidentiality controls
- Enhance encryption for data at rest

AI_EXPLAINABILITY:

- Implement comprehensive explanation auditing system
- Add explanation versioning and change tracking

TOMPLIANCE Metrics and KPIs

Overall Testing Metrics

| Metric | Value | Target | Status | |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|---------------------|--|
| Overall Compliance Score | 87.3% | ≥90% | X BELOW TARGET | |
| Tests Passed | 38/45 | 100% | ⚠ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT | |
| Critical Issues | Issues 3 | | XACTION REQUIRED | |
| Certification Ready Frameworks | 3 | 7 | PARTIAL | |

Framework Performance

| Framework | Score | Status | Tests Passed |
|-------------------|-------|--------|--------------|
| GDPR | 92.4% | V | 9/10 |
| EU_AI_Act | 81.6% | | 4/6 |
| SOC2 | 89.2% | V | 7/8 |
| ISO27001 | 85.8% | | 6/7 |
| HIPAA | 74.3% | | 3/6 |
| AUDIT_TRAIL | 91.7% | V | 5/5 |
| AI_EXPLAINABILITY | 83.5% | | 4/5 |
| | 1 | | |

Appendices

Appendix A: Test Methodology

The TrustStram v4.4 compliance testing framework employs automated testing methodologies to assess regulatory compliance across multiple frameworks:

Testing Approach:

- Automated endpoint testing for functional compliance
- Configuration analysis for security controls
- Policy and procedure validation
- Documentation completeness assessment
- Performance and availability testing

Scoring Methodology:

- Each test receives a score from 0-100%
- Framework scores are calculated as the average of all tests within that framework
- Overall score is the weighted average across all frameworks
- Pass/Fail thresholds: ≥80% = PASS, <80% = FAIL

Certification Readiness Levels:

- 95-100%: Certification Ready
- 85-94%: Minor Remediation Needed
- 70-84%: Moderate Remediation Needed
- 50-69%: Major Remediation Needed
- <50%: Not Ready for Certification

Appendix B: Regulatory Framework Requirements

GDPR Requirements Tested:

- Article 7: Consent Management
- Article 15: Right of Access
- Article 17: Right to Erasure
- Article 20: Data Portability
- Article 22: Automated Decision-Making

EU AI Act Requirements Tested:

- Article 9: Risk Management System

- Article 10: Data and Data Governance
- Article 11: Technical Documentation
- Article 12: Record Keeping
- Article 13: Transparency and Information
- Article 14: Human Oversight

SOC 2 Trust Service Criteria:

- Security
- Availability
- Processing Integrity
- Confidentiality
- Privacy

ISO 27001 Controls Tested:

- A.5: Information Security Policies
- A.9: Access Control
- A.10: Cryptography
- A.16: Information Security Incident Management

HIPAA Safeguards:

- Administrative Safeguards
- Physical Safeguards
- Technical Safeguards

Appendix C: Evidence and Artifacts

Evidence Collection Summary:

- Total evidence artifacts collected: 45

- API responses captured: 38

- Configuration snapshots: 12

- Error logs captured: 7

Evidence Storage:

All evidence artifacts are stored securely and can be provided for audit purposes upon request.

Data Privacy:

No personal or sensitive data was collected during testing. All test data used synthetic or anonymized datasets.



TrustStram v4.4 shows **strong compliance foundations** with an overall score of 87.3%.

While most requirements are met, some areas require attention before pursuing formal certification. The identified gaps are addressable and should not significantly delay certification timelines.

Recommendation: Address identified issues and conduct follow-up testing before formal audits.

Report Prepared By: TrustStram Compliance Testing Framework

Assessment Date: 2025-09-22 14:18:00 UTC **Next Review Recommended:** 2025-12-22

Contact: compliance@trustram.com

This report provides a comprehensive assessment of TrustStram v4.4's compliance posture across major regulatory frameworks. All findings are based on automated testing and should be validated through formal audits for certification purposes.