

# The relation between stars and gas in distant galaxies

Jacky Cao

Level 4 Project, MPhys Physics

Supervisor: Dr. Mark Swinbank

Department of Physics, Durham University

Submitted: 23rd December 2018

Observing any galaxy in the universe will yield the fact that it contains stars and also gas. The dynamics of both can be explored by observing galaxies and collecting spectroscopic data.

## Contents

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1. Introduction

- a. Galactic classification
  - b. Galactic stellar formation
  - c. Galactic data
    - 1. HUDF
    - 2. MUSE
  - d. Project Aims

## 2. Analysis

- a. Cube extraction
  - b. Line fittings and pPXF
    - 1. Gaussian doublet fitting
    - 2. Voigt fitting

### 3. Discussion

## 4. Conclusions

### Acknowledgments

## References

1 Amongst the different types of cosmic  
2 structure within our universe, galaxies can  
3 be described as the most unique and diverse.  
4 With each containing countless numbers of  
5 stars and vast amounts of gas, dust, and  
6 dark matter [1], it would certainly be surprising  
if these various objects were found to not be connected in any way.


Through observational astronomy the internal structure of galaxies and the motions of their inner objects can be studied and understood. With approximately  $(2.0^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{12}$  galaxies in the universe up to  $z = 8$ , which in principle could be observed [2], there is definitely not a lack of choice. What is important is how these objects are observed and how the collected data is later analysed.

7 It is additionally significant to understand what a galaxy generally is and how they can be defined and placed into different categories. Once an appreciation is built for the galactic classification, the intricacies of motions and inter-relationships can be explored further.

### a. Galactic classification

As stated previously, a galaxy can be quite broadly defined as a collection of gas, dust, stars and dark matter. But if a large enough sample was observed then one would begin to see that they can be grouped and classified together.

The most general categorisation is called the *Hubble Sequence* or the *Hubble Tuning Fork* [1]. Developed by Edwin Hubble in 1926, galaxies can be roughly divided into ellipticals, spirals and irregulars (Fig. 1). With early Hubble type ellipticals along the horizontal handle, then the two prongs contain normal and barred spirals (later Hubble types), and irregulars as the third category. What can be seen from the Tuning Fork is a summarised view of the main galaxy types, however in reality there are more than the 11 named.



**Figure 1:** The Hubble Sequence displays the different morphologies of galaxies, they can be classified into three general groups: ellipticals, spirals, and irregulars. The former two can be broken up further, and from the diagram one can see an example pictogram and the respective classification name.

(This diagram has been adapted from *An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics* [1].)

The sequence itself does not show the evolution of the galaxies, rather it provides a way to view the different potential morphologies. So then, what does each grouping from the sequence actually represent?

Starting with the most broad, irregulars are objects which do not fall into the two main galaxy types (ellipticals and spirals).

In fact they themselves can be split into two sub-categories depending on if a particular galaxy could be seen to have structure, such as spiral arms [1]. If they did then they would be Irr I galaxies, and if they appeared to be extremely disorganised then Irr II. Generally, irregulars are not particularly large, their diameters typically range from 1 to 10 kpc, and they have an absolute B-band magnitude of  $-13$  to  $-20$  mag.

This classification by appearance can easily be extended to ellipticals and spirals, and their individual component composition can be studied and explored as well.

With ellipticals, they span from being virtually spherical (E0) to highly flattened (E7) collections of objects and material [3]. Through observations of their stars, it can be seen that the majority of them are old and red types. This may be attributed to the amount of gas used in the initial stages of galactic stellar formation. If a larger proportion was used up initially then current observations would show the stellar-birthrate in ellipticals to be low [1]. This could additionally explain the lack of disks which can otherwise be seen in spiral galaxies, if there is not enough surrounding material then the disk and arms features would not be able to form.

Exploring spirals, they can be described as being composed of a central nucleus with a surrounding disk of material. This disk contains denser regions which forces material to collapse and coalesce to form additional features. One of the characteristics leads to a supplemental classification, and that is whether the nucleus has a “bar” running through it. Observing and comparing the two different types, one finds that barred spirals appear to be more elongated along the bar axis than their non-barred counterparts.

The other main attribute for spiral galaxies are the protruding arms surrounding the main bulge [1]. In the later type spirals (Sc and SBc) they have arms which are more loosely wound than the earlier types (Sa and SBa) [3]. It can be seen implied that with more available “raw” gas and dust [1], spir-

als in their various forms could be assumed to have an overall younger stellar population.

It is within the arms of spiral galaxies that new stars are found to be created, however stellar birth is definitely not limited to just these regions. Inside the spiral structure the gravitational field allows for angular momentum to be transported outwards. Older and less massive stars in the galaxy produce a gravitational field which eventually leads to the shocking of interstellar gas [4]. As a result the density of the gas in the arms increase and certain regions then collapse to form new, young, blue, and massive stars.

There is therefore a range in the stellar population age of spiral galaxies. The spiral arms contain young stars whilst the central nucleus is akin to that of elliptical galaxies, where they have an older population of stars and fewer new stars are being created [1, 4].

These then are the general characteristics of galaxies, and whilst they can be classified based on their appearance, it is through studying their stellar populations that our understanding of them will improve.

### b. Galactic stellar formation

One could argue that the main backbone in any galaxy lies with the gas and dust, as without them there would be no stars and galaxies would simply not exist. There are many models which aim to provide an explanation for galactic evolution, from an initial proto-nebulae to potential structure. It would be difficult to explain them all, but it would be beneficial to address some issues which would have to be answered by a comprehensive theory.

One such problem is deciding if an initial nebula collapses in free-fall or whether it goes through a slow and dissipative collapse [1]. If the time taken to cool the nebula significantly (cooling timescale) is much less than the time taken for free-fall, then the cloud would not be pressure supported and the collapse would be a rapid free-fall. On

the other hand, if the cooling time exceeds the free-fall time then a rapid collapse cannot occur as the gas cannot radiate its energy away fast enough, so the gravitational potential energy released from collapse will heat the nebula adiabatically.

This is important to understand as from the heated and collapsed gas, the first stars can then be produced. It is not surprising then that a theory for galactic evolution should also be able to explain the rate of star formation. This rate can be described as a stellar birthrate function,  $B(M, t)$ , and be expressed as,

$$B(M, t)dMdt = \psi(t)\xi(M)dMdt, \quad (1)$$

where  $\psi(t)$  is the star formation rate (SFR),  $\xi(M)$  is the initial mass function (IMF),  $M$  is the stellar mass, and  $t$  is the time [1].

Describing what each term physically represents,  $B(M, t)$  is the number of stars per unit volume with masses between  $M$  and  $M + dM$  which are formed out of the interstellar medium (ISM) between time  $t$  and  $t + dt$ ,  $\psi(t)$  is the rate per unit volume at which mass in the ISM is converted into stars, and  $\xi(M)$  is the relative number of stars which form in each mass interval [1].

Various problems arise when several researchers provide different assumptions for the different terms in the equation [1]. Some say that the SFR is time-independent, whilst others describe it as exponentially decreasing with time, and a few even argue that the SFR is proportional to a power of the surface mass density of the galactic disk. Then take the IMF, there is disagreement on the exact form it takes, some model it to be a power-law as a function of mass, but it is not clear if it also varies with time or location.

Through contentious debate and research, astronomers can continue to build these different models and theories which attempt to explain galactic evolution. Whilst on the other hand, the evolution of the stars themselves are known and understood to a greater degree.

In a brief overview: stars are born from an interstellar medium where the gas and dust coalesce, collapse and heat-up until a protostar is created. Depending on the initial mass of material used, the star can then take various paths which will affect its subsequent future [5]. To view the different stages of stellar evolution, Hayashi tracks and the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram can be paired together [1]. In doing so, one would find that the majority of stars lie on the main-sequence, including our own Sun.

The classification of stars can be made with the *Harvard Spectral Classification* where stars are classed by their spectral types (Table I). It essentially orders stars by their observed temperature and their internal composition. If a star's spectra was observed, it could be identified by the absorption and emission features found in the sequence.

Type	Colour and notable lines
O	Hot blue-white (He II, He I)
B	Hot blue-white (He I, H I)
A	White (Balmer, Ca II)
F	Yellow-white (Ca II, Fe I, Cr, I)
G	Yellow (Ca II, Fe I, neutral metals)
K	Cool orange (Ca II H and K, metals)
M	Cool red (TiO, VO, neutral metals)
L	Very cool, dark red, infrared (Molecular absorption, CrH, FeH, H <sub>2</sub> O, CO, Na, K, Rb, Cs)
T	Coolest, infrared (CH <sub>4</sub> , CO)

**Table I:** The Harvard Spectral Classification for stellar objects. Stars can be defined by their colour and from the features seen from their spectra. Provided in the table are the spectral type, the associated colour plus the main emission or absorption lines to consider.

(This table is adapted from a version from An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics [1].)

This is therefore one of ways to study the stellar population of a galaxy as the signatures of different spectral types can be

matched up in the spectra of a particular galaxy.

It is clear then that observational data would be required for own study of galaxies and the internal motions of the objects within them.

### c. Galactic data


For an investigation of galaxies, one would have to collect data optically and also spectroscopically. The former would be useful as it would allow for a quick identification of the galaxy based on its appearance, this could then be used to infer whether a galaxy would be worth studying. Spectroscopic data on the other hand would be important to collect as a variety of information could be obtained such as the type of stars within a galaxy, the types of gas in surrounding clouds, and also the redshift.

Astronomers on a daily basis employ an assortment of telescopes and spectrographs, but there are just a few particular instruments which are worth discussing as they have produced remarkable datasets and results.

#### 1. Hubble Deep Field

The Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has been operating since April 1990 and for the duration of that time it has collected over 50 terabytes of data [5]. From observing nebulae to attempts to detect extra-solar planets, HST has been used in a variety of searches. However, one of the most impressive and insightful uses of HST was the collection of the long-exposures taken in 1996 to produce the Hubble Deep Field (HDF).

Through a combination of its visible and infrared cameras, the HDF was produced by piecing together different datasets which were collected over a period of 10 consecutive days [5, 6]. The four-band, 0.5 million s exposure revealed sources with redshifts  $z > 1$  which would have been difficult to view from ground-based observations [7].



**Figure 2:** (a) A colour image created from the MUSE spectroscopic data of the HUDF. The wavelength range was split into three equal regions and then collapsed to create three bands (R, G, B). A final colour image was produced by combining these separate frames together. (b) The optical HUDF as captured by the Advanced Camera for Surveys instrument on the Hubble Space Telescope [10].

It is therefore unsurprising that another attempt was made in 2011 which resulted in the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF). This survey utilised 1 million s of exposure time on an 11 arcmin<sup>2</sup> area in the southern sky. Viewed again with four different filters, this survey resulted in at least 10,000 objects being detected in the field [7]. With a large majority being galaxies, the HUDF would be a prime dataset for a study of them as one would have a large range of candidates spanning a large proportion of the universe’s history.

## 2. MUSE


In addition to optical observations, to truly study the HUDF objects, spectroscopic data must also be employed. One particular instrument which was used to acquire data on the HUDF region is the Multi-Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE) mounted to the Nasmyth platform on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) [8].

### d. Project Aims

This paper discusses the study undertaken to understand the dynamics between

The MUSE Hubble Ultra Deep Field Survey was performed over a two year time-frame from September 2014 to February 2017 [9]. It made use of 137 h of observation time and covers 90% of the HUDF region. The 3D composite data cube mosaic made use of nine fields and represents the deepest spectroscopic survey ever performed. Both HST and MUSE HUDFs can be optically compared together, the latter is achieved by collapsing the cube axis containing the spectroscopic data into three components representing (R,G,B). Looking at both images (Figure 2), the HST data appears to have more resolution whilst the MUSE objects are smeared out. However this is of no particular issue as the main use for MUSE is to collect spectroscopic data which can help inform the optical.

Through a coupling of both, the dynamics of stars and gas in galaxies can therefore be studied. The main analysis arising from the manipulation and comparison of different component areas of the spectra. the gas and stars in galaxies. Data extraction is performed on a MUSE data cube, the sample is reduced, and various fittings are then applied to the data set.



**Figure 3:** (a) The signal-to-noise of the image flux for every object in the MUSE collapsed image plotted against their respective V-band magnitudes from the HST catalogue. The red points represent those with redshifts  $z < 0.3$ , and the green points are a chosen sample of 300 points as defined by the sextractor probability that they are not stars. (b)

In Section 2, the methodology of the galaxy extraction from the MUSE cube is discussed, as well as the subsequent analysis performed by applying different fitting routines: O[II] Gaussian doublet fittings, Voigt profile fittings, and fitting with a penalised pixel-fitting method (pPXF). Following this, Section 3 provides an exploration on the implications on the results obtained from the data analysis. Then the discussion will end on future work which can be performed to extend on the project.


## 2. ANALYSIS

The initial goal in the analysis was to reduce down the MUSE data cube into individual galaxy spectra. These could then be collapsed to produce a single galaxy integrated spectrum to represent the dynamics of the entire galaxy. Subsequently different fitting routines could be applied which would allow for a comparison of the gas to the stars in a galaxy.

In Section 2, the methodology of the galaxy extraction from the MUSE cube is discussed, as well as the subsequent analysis performed by applying different fitting routines: O[II] Gaussian doublet fittings, Voigt profile fittings, and fitting with a penalised pixel-fitting method (pPXF). Following this, Section 3 provides an exploration on the implications on the results obtained from the data analysis. Then the discussion will end on future work which can be performed to extend on the project.

### a. Cube extraction

To begin with, galaxies were extracted from the 3D MUSE data cube so that they could later be manipulated. This process involved



**Figure 4:** Graph showing the calculated luminosity for the O[II] doublet plotted against redshift. Data points are plotted as well as a model line representing the lower-limit of the flux from the sample. [??]a

aasdasd

### b. Line fittings and pPXF


After extracting the individual galactic objects from the main MUSE cube, the data had to be verified and then fitted using two different routines: (i) O[II] doublet fitting, and (ii) pPXF absorption line fitting.

#### 1. Gaussian doublet fitting


sdfasdfs

#### 2. Voigt fitting

sadfasd



**Figure 5:** Fitting of a galaxy spectrum with pPXF.



**Figure 6:** The signal-to-noise versus the fractional error of the  $\sigma$  line width of the pPXF curve fittings.

## 3. DISCUSSION

sadfsadfsadf  
sdfasdfsdf

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, through extensive data and statistical analysis it can be said that the dynamics of stars and gas in galaxies are ... (?)

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Dr. M. Swinbank and Alfie Tiley for their continual help and support throughout the project period, without which, the project would have been experimentally grounded.

## References

- [1] Bradley W. Carroll and Dale A. Ostlie. *An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics*. Pearson, 2nd edition, 2007.
- [2] Christopher J. Conselice, Aaron Wilkinson, Kenneth Duncan, and Alice Mortlock. The Evolution of Galaxy

- Number Density at  $z < 8$  and its Implications. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 830(83):17, 2016.
- [3] Patrick Moore and Robin Rees. *Patrick Moore's Data Book of Astronomy*, pages 357 – 362. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [4] James Binney. *Astrophysics: A Very Short Introduction*, volume 1. Oxford Very Short Introductions, 2016.
- [5] John F. McCoy. *Space Sciences*, volume 2. Macmillan Reference USA, 2nd edition, 2012.
- [6] Robert E. Williams and Brett Blaauw and Mark Dickinson and others. The Hubble Deep Field: Observations, Data Reduction, and Galaxy Photometry. *The Astronomical Journal*, 112(4), 1996.
- [7] Steven V. W. Beckwith, Massimo Stiavelli, Anton M. Koekemoer, et al. The Hubble Ultra Deep Field. *The Astronomical Journal*, 132:1729–1755, 2006.
- [8] Francois Henault, Roland Bacon, Christophe Bonneville, et al. MUSE: a second-generation integral-field spectrograph for the VLT. *Proc.SPIE*, 4841, 2003.
- [9] Roland Bacon, Simon Conseil, David Mary, et al. The MUSE Hubble Ultra Deep Field Survey. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 608, 2017.
- [10] NASA, ESA, S. Beckwith, and HUDF Team. Hubble Ultra Deep Field. <https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/30946>, May 2018. [Online; accessed 5th November 2018].