

Modelling of the El Niño Southern Oscillations

The El Niño Southern Oscillations (ENSOs) are generally known to be a composite weather phenomena originating in the Pacific Ocean which produces lasting teleconnections on the global climate system. El Niño can be approximately considered to be an oceanic warming event which disrupts the normal Pacific circulation at irregular intervals of 2–7 years, whilst the Southern Oscillations are an inter-annual flip between the tropical sea level pressure between the western and eastern Pacific leading to the weakening and strengthening of the easterly trade winds across the ocean.

During El Niño years, strong trade winds are absent to transfer warm water westwards across the Pacific resulting in the water flowing back eastwards towards the American continents. This leads to warmer and wetter conditions along the western coastlines of the Americas and dryer conditions in Australia and Indonesia. This would benefit South American farmers as there would be an increase in vegetation growth but also the potential for tropical diseases such as malaria and cholera to thrive. At the same time, Australian farmers would struggle to produce crops due to the lack of rainfall. Therefore it would be beneficial to predict when an ENSO event occurs as society could then efficiently plan around it. Modern research has yet to find a reliable model even though the effects are mostly understood.

At the utmost basic level there are discrepancies with the definition of “El Niño”. On a national scale Australia, Peru and the USA employ different ways to classify an El Niño event (L’Heureux et al. 2017). Each country experiences the effects of ENSO differently therefore each specifies alternative conditions for when an El Niño event is occurring. Whilst they all roughly consider oceanic and atmospheric anomalies to inform their updates, utilising one general definition would provide a better gauge of the severity of an ENSO event therefore enabling proper preparations. This lack of consensus is extended to the scientific community where there is no single identifiable model for El Niño due to the scale and complexity of the event (Trenberth 1997).

Bjerknes (1969) first theorised that a positive ocean-atmosphere feedback system leads to an El Niño event. An initial positive sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly in the eastern Pacific would reduce the east-west SST gradient which eventually leads to the strengthening of the Walker circulation and the production of weaker trade winds across the equatorial Pacific. In a complete ENSO theory this positive system should be counterbalanced by a negative loop which returns the Pacific to its “normal” (pre-ENSO) state. Whilst Bjerknes’ hypothesis fails to provide a negative feedback mechanism, Zebiak and Cane (1987) presents a singular model which demonstrates and outlines the coupling between the atmosphere and the ocean to produce an ENSO event. The atmospheric component is a linear Gill-type model (Gill 1980) which describes the atmospheric response to SST anomalies, and the ocean is represented by a low-gravity model which is forced by the wind stress from the atmospheric model. This Zebiak-Cane model is used as the basic foundation for several modern ENSO oscillator theories: the recharge oscillator (Jin 1997), the delayed oscillator (Battisti and Hirst 1988, Suarez and Schopf 1988), the western Pacific oscillator (Wang et al. 1999, Weisberg and Wang 1997), and the advective-reflective oscillator (Picaut et al. 1997).

This idea forms the basis of two approximate schools of thought on the theoretical

origins of ENSOs (Wang et al. 2017). The first suggests El Niño to be a phase of a self-sustained, unstable, and natural oscillatory mode of the coupled ocean-atmosphere system. The second, describes El Niño to be a stable (or damped) mode which is triggered by or interacted with random forcing or noise such as westerly wind bursts, tropical instability waves in the eastern Pacific (An 2008), and Madden-Julian oscillation events (Gebbie et al. 2007).

The prevalent mechanism amongst both groupings of ideas is the effect of an oscillator on the Pacific climate system. There are several conceptual models which aim to describe ENSO including those based on the coupled system, for example the delayed oscillator (Battisti and Hirst 1988, Suarez and Schopf 1988) and the recharge-discharge oscillator (Jin 1997). These are generally there exists a “unified oscillator” (Wang 2001) which suggests that all alternative oscillator models are a special case of itself.

References

- An, S.-I. (2008), ‘Interannual Variations of the Tropical Ocean Instability Wave and ENSO’, Journal of Climate **21**(15), 3680–3686.
- Battisti, D. S. and Hirst, A. C. (1988), ‘Interannual Variability in a Tropical Atmosphere–Ocean Model: Influence of the Basic State, Ocean Geometry and Nonlinearity’, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences **46**(12), 1687–1712.
- Bjerknes, J. (1969), ‘Atmospheric teleconnections from the equatorial Pacific’, Monthly Weather Review **97**(3), 163–172.
- Gebbie, G., Eisenman, I., Wittenberg, A. and Tziperman, E. (2007), ‘Modulation of Westerly Wind Bursts by Sea Surface Temperature: A Semistochastic Feedback for ENSO’, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences **64**(9), 3281–3295.
- Gill, A. E. (1980), ‘Some simple solutions for heat-induced tropical circulation’, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society **106**(449), 447–462.
- Jin, F.-F. (1997), ‘An Equatorial Ocean Recharge Paradigm for ENSO. Part I: Conceptual Model’, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences **54**(7), 811–829.
- L’Heureux, M. L., Takahashi, K., Watkins, A. B., Barnston, A. G., Becker, E. J., Di Liberto, T. E., Gamble, F., Gottschalk, J., Halpert, M. S., Huang, B., Mosquera-Vásquez, K. and Wittenberg, A. T. (2017), ‘Observing and Predicting the 2015/16 El Niño’, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society **98**(7), 1363–1382.
- Picaut, J., Masia, F. and du Penhoat, Y. (1997), ‘An advective-reflective conceptual model for the oscillatory nature of the enso’, Science **277**(5326), 663–666.
URL: <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/277/5326/663>
- Ruddiman, W. F. (2008), Earth’s Climate: Past and Future, 2nd edn, W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Suarez, M. J. and Schopf, P. S. (1988), ‘A Delayed Action Oscillator for ENSO’, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences **45**(21), 3283–3287.
- Trenberth, K. E. (1997), ‘The Definition of El Niño.’, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society **78**, 2771–2777.
- Wang, C. (2001), ‘A unified oscillator model for the el niño–southern oscillation’, Journal of Climate **14**(1), 98–115.
- Wang, C., Deser, C., Yu, J.-Y., DiNezio, P. and Clement, A. (2017), El Niño and southern oscillation (ENSO): a review, in ‘Coral Reefs of the Eastern Tropical Pacific’, Springer, pp. 85–106.
- Wang, C., Weisberg, R. H. and Yang, H. (1999), ‘Effects of the wind speed–evaporation–sst feedback on the el niño–southern oscillation’, Journal of the atmospheric sciences **56**(10), 1391–1403.
- Weisberg, R. H. and Wang, C. (1997), ‘A western pacific oscillator paradigm for the el niño–southern oscillation’, Geophysical Research Letters **24**(7), 779–782.
- Zebiak, S. E. and Cane, M. A. (1987), ‘A Model El Niño–Southern Oscillation’, Monthly Weather Review **115**(10), 2262–2278.