

# Habit2vec: Trajectory Semantic Embedding for Living Pattern Recognition in Population

---

Recognizing representative living patterns in population is extremely valuable for urban planning and decision making. Thanks to the growing popularity of location-based applications and check-ins on social networking sites, Point of Interest (POI) of a location is quite often available in the trajectory data, which expresses user living semantics. However, adopting trajectory semantics for living pattern recognition is an open and challenging research problem due to three major technical challenges: effective feature representation, suitable granularity selection for habit unit, and reliable habit distance measurement. In this paper, we propose a representation learning based system named habit2vec to represent user trajectory semantics in vector space, which preserves the original user living habit information. We evaluated our proposed system on a large-scale real-world dataset provided by a popular social network operator including 123,803 users for 1.5 months in Beijing. The results justify the representation ability of our system in preserving user habit pattern, and demonstrate the effectiveness of clustering users with similar living habits.

CCS Concepts: •Information systems →Data mining; •Human-centered computing →Ubiquitous and mobile computing;

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Representation learning, embedding, living pattern, semantic-rich spatial temporal data

**ACM Reference format:**

. 2017. Habit2vec: Trajectory Semantic Embedding for Living Pattern Recognition in Population. *PACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.* 0, 0, Article 0 (2017), 16 pages.

DOI: 10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

---

## 1 INTRODUCTION

With the increasing popularity of personal mobile devices and location-based applications, large-scale semantic-rich trajectories of individuals are being recorded and accumulated at a faster rate than ever[10], where Point of Interest (POI) of a location is often available and associated with the trajectories[22]. POI information, as the semantics of location, is a good indicator of the person's behavior at the location[23]. Mining underlying patterns in trajectory semantics through POIs therefore make it possible to recognize typical living patterns in the city. Understanding living patterns population is of great importance, as it has the potential to reveal people's social and economic status[21], as well as social capital[1], which provides key insights for city planners and decision makers. Despite its great significance, there have been few studies dedicated to living pattern recognition in population via semantic-rich trajectory data.

Recently, emerging research on trajectories focuses on mining their frequent patterns. For instance, Lee et al.[11] and Yao et al.[4] propose trajectory clustering methods to cluster users who share similar geographical routes. However, these works on trajectory pattern mining are based on the viewpoint of physical location transition patterns and therefore, constrained to only discovering common mobility pattern of people located in nearby geographical regions. Meanwhile, there have been some works focusing on mining trajectory semantics

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2017 ACM. 2474-9567/2017/0-ART0 \$15.00

DOI: 10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

similarity. Jiang et al.[9] make use of a PCA-based method to cluster daily patterns of human activities through travel survey data; Furtado et al.[6] propose a multidimensional similarity measures to compare semantic trajectories. However, these works typically measure trajectory semantics solely on static POI type labels. Two trajectories with similar semantics but distinct POI type labels (e.g. supermarkets and shops) will therefore be measured completely different. As a result, these works often involve manually grouping POI type labels, which heavily rely on prior knowledge and result in coarse granularity.

In this paper, we seek to recognize typical living patterns distributed in different geographical locations in population through the semantics embedded in the trajectories. We define the similarity of living patterns as engaging in similar behavior at similar times instead of staying in geographically neighboring location. For instance, the people in the city who follow the weekday routine: sleep at night in residence district, get up at 9am, go to work in commercial center from 10am to 6pm, and get back to arrive at home in the residence district at 8:30pm, belong to the same living pattern group, though they may be physically far away.

Nevertheless, recognizing typical living patterns in population through POI semantic is challenging. First, there is no ready method to build user habit representation through the varied-length and often biased POI records in trajectory data. Second, it is difficult to select a suitable POI granularity as there are usually multiple levels of course-grain granularity. Third, it is also challenging to define accurate metrics to measure the similarity between user habits to take both semantics and time scheduling into account. Classical approach fails to capture the semantic similarity between different POI type as well as temporal variations of POI type semantics.

To address the above three challenges, we propose a representation learning-based system to convert trajectories into living patterns. First, POI type transitions are extracted from raw trajectory data. To solve the feature representation problem, they are put in a preprocessing layer, which outputs a fixed length representation for each individual's unbiased living habit. Next, a representation learning inspired feature extraction layer produces vector representation for each person's living habit preserving both semantic and temporal information in the same space. Therefore, recognizing typical living pattern in population is reduced to a classical clustering problem through our system. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to recognize human living patterns in population through trajectory data along with the outsider semantic information that breaks through the geographical constraints.
- We propose a representation learning system named habit2vec to represent the habit of a person as a vector, which upgrades the word2vec model according to special characteristics of trajectories. The system fits the objective of typical habit recognition and solves the habit feature representation problem.
- We evaluate our proposed habit2vec system on a large-scale real-world dataset that records the trajectory of 123,803 users for 1.5 months. The results justify the ability of our system in preserving user habit information, which discovers 13 typical weekday living habits and 12 typical weekend living habits, coinciding physical meanings.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews related work. Section 3 identifies the problem and discusses the key challenges. Section 4 proposes the framework of our habit2vec system. We evaluate our proposed system in Section 5 and provide concluding remarks in Section 6.

## 2 RELATED WORK

We summarize the closely related works from three aspects: trajectory mining, activity pattern modelling and representation learning.

*Trajectory pattern mining:* Extensive studies have been dedicated to detecting the prevailing trajectory patterns in large scale spatiotemporal data. However, previous works mostly focus on identifying the popular location sequences shared by different trajectories and grouping trajectories based on their physical closeness [11, 12].

Giannotti et al. [8] designed *T-patterns* framework to addressed the problem of detecting frequent sub-trajectories in spatiotemporal data. In addition, Mamoulis et al. [12] focused on mining frequent periodic mobility patterns, and Zheng et al. [25] investigated the problem of detecting frequent traveling paths between fixed locations. As for trajectory clustering, Lee et al. [11] proposed a *partition-and-group* framework to detect popular common sub-trajectories and group similar trajectories based on the shared sub-trajectories. [24] exploited principal component analysis technique to extract latent mobility patterns from raw trajectories and cluster trajectories based on the latent features. The previous works were limited in measuring the similarity between trajectories based on their physical closeness, such as distance, overlapping sub-trajectories and co-occurrences. Different from them, we investigate a novel problem of mining the living patterns embedded in trajectories. Instead of measuring the physical closeness, we design a methodology that captures the semantic features of living patterns in a vector space.

*Activity pattern modelling:* Modelling the activity patterns in individuals' daily lives is an increasingly important topic that has been extensively studied in recent years[15]. A bunch of previous works focus on mining the activities behaviours from survey data. Eagle et al. [5] utilized PCA algorithm to extract the features from semantic annotated trajectories, and then identified the clusters activity patterns. Banovic et al.[2] proposed a decision-theoretic framework to rationalize the casual relationship in human routine behaviour logs. Jiang et al.[9] exploited statistical learning techniques to analyze an activity-based travel survey, where the spatiotemporal points are labeled with activities. They aimed to identify the key patterns in individual's daily activities. These previous works relied on human-labeled survey data, which prevented population scale analysis. In addition, unsupervised methods have also been developed to model individual's activity patterns. Furletti et al.[6] proposed a method to infer the activities behind the GPS records. Furtado et al.[7] developed an unsupervised algorithm to measure similarity between semantic trajectory data, where the spatiotemporal records are associated with POIs. However, these works fell short of capturing the semantic features of the trajectories, since they did not properly model the correlation between activities or POIs. Different from previous works, in this paper we develop an unsupervised algorithm to model the semantic similarities between unlabeled trajectories, which shows promising results in living pattern detection.

*Representation learning:* Representation learning is a category of unsupervised learning method that aims to extract effective and low-dimensional features from the complicated and high-dimensional data [3]. Various algorithms have been designed to capture the features in different data sources. In the area of natural language processing, Mikolov et al. [13, 14] proposed word2vec, a neural network based representation learning model, to extract the features of words' semantic meaning from their sequential orders. Pennington et al. [16] designed a representation learning algorithm, Glove, that captured the semantic meaning of words based on their global co-occurrences features. In addition, Perozzi et al. [17] and Tang et al. [20] introduced the representation learning techniques into complex networks analysis, and they proposed different algorithms to derive representation for nodes' structural roles in the network. The representation learning techniques have also been applied in spatial-temporal data mining. Yao et al. [4] designed a recurrent neural network to capture the physical features of trajectories with a continuous vector, which enabled them to detect trajectories that are similar in speed and acceleration patterns. Zhang et al. [23] modelled the semantic meaning of spatial-temporal points based on their co-occurrence with the texts in social media's check-ins. Different from previous works, we develop an algorithm to extract effective semantic representations for individuals' living patterns from their trajectories, i.e., the transition patterns between semantic locations. We demonstrate that our derived representations facilitate the living pattern recognition in population scale.




Fig. 1. System Architecture.

### 3 SYSTEM OVERVIEW

#### 3.1 Motivation and Challenges

In this paper, we aim to cluster population into groups of similar living habits. We consider living habits as people's regular behavior at specific times. As is often the case, a person's behavior at a time is strongly related to his current location. Thus, we are motivated to develop a system to derive the representation of people's living habits from their trajectories.

However, different from previous works on trajectory mining, which aim at clustering people of similar geographical location transition pattern, we seek to group people who share similarity in trajectory semantics, i.e., people who go to similar type of places at similar time. To put it another way, we aim to group individuals sharing similar daily routine but not necessarily in nearby places. For this goal, we filter out other information in trajectory data (e.g. GPS information, user profile) and select the semantic information, i.e., the transition series of different types of POIs, as the principal input. The system outputs clusters of distinct living habits reflected in the trajectory. Recognizing living patterns and clustering people based on POI transition series, is challenging for three reasons.

*Feature Representation.* Raw POI transition series in our daily trajectories are sparse and quite often not uniformly sampled in passively recorded trajectories. For instance, users tend to use their phones more frequently during their leisure time than during working hours. Therefore, there tend to be more POI records at noon or in the evening. If directly using the raw data as a feature, the user's living habit will be represented in a biased way. How to select proper features to represent a user's daily living habit is therefore hard to manage.

*Granularity Selection.* A person's daily habit is reflected by a trace of POI transitions. However, there are multiple levels of POI types. High-level types fail to capture meaningful types of living patterns since they do not properly distinguish semantics, while finer-grained types capture semantic differences much better. How to select a proper granularity to represent user's living pattern is challenging.

*Distance metric.* Clustering people of similar living habits requires a distance metric to measure the similarity between users' living patterns while a good metric should consider both semantic and temporal similarity. In terms of semantics, people with high similarity should go to similar types of locations every day. In terms of a temporal factor, people with great similarity should have analogous time scheduling. How to define an effective distance metric to combine semantics and temporal factors so as to cluster people who appear at similar POI types at close times is of great difficulty.

#### 3.2 System Overview

In order to effectively tackle the above three challenges, we propose a representation learning based system to convert trajectory into living pattern clusters. First, POI type transitions is extracted from raw trajectory data. To solve the feature representation problem, the POI type transitions is then put in a preprocessing layer and the layer outputs a fixed length representation for each individual's unbiased living habit. Next, a feature extraction

layer based on representation learning produces vector representation for each person's living habit, which preserves both semantic and temporal information in the same space so that similarity between user habits can be easily determined. Therefore, the granularity selection and distance measuring challenges are resolved. Finally, clustering analysis is made on living habit vectors (along with other user-specified features from trajectory data) to output a living habit group. The framework of our system is shown in Fig. 1.

## 4 EMBEDDING SEMANTICS IN TRAJECTORIES

### 4.1 Preliminary

To better represent semantic difference in people's living habits, we first utilize the lowest level POI type representation. Based on it, we define a habit record  $Hr$  as follows.

*Definition 4.1.* A habit record  $Hr$  records a person's habit at a specific timestamp, in the format of  $(p, t)$ , where  $p$  represents a POI type, and  $t$  represents a specific timestamp, meaning an individual appears in POI type  $p$  at timestamp  $t$ .

Based on the above definition for habit record, we further define a person's raw habit trace, denoted by  $H_{tr}$ .

*Definition 4.2.* A person's raw habit trace  $H_{tr}$  is a relation containing all habit records left by a specific person in the dataset, in the format of  $(u_i, Hr_1, Hr_2, \dots, Hr_n)$ , where  $u_i$  represents the ID of the individual,  $Hr_j$  represents the  $j$ th habit record of  $u_i$  and  $n$  represents the total number of habit records of  $u_i$  in the dataset.

For different users, the total number of habit records  $n$  is most likely to be different. As mentioned earlier, trajectory data recorded by mobile devices are often biased in time and of varying length. Furthermore, POI information are usually quite sparse in trajectory data. To effectively represent a person's typical living pattern for later analysis, as well as to filter out redundant information, we therefore carry out a preprocessing step on the raw habit trace  $H_{tr}$ , which is motivated by the following two observations.

- Individual mobility follows a strong periodicity pattern and is therefore highly predictable, i.e., people tend to be in similar places at similar time[19].
- Most of us have quite different mobility trajectories on weekdays and weekends.

In the preprocessing, we compress the irregular raw habit trace  $H_{tr}$  for a person into two fixed-length POI transition traces: we divide a day into  $m$  equal-length time slices (e.g. 30 minutes) for both weekday and weekend and then aggregate the person's raw habit trace  $H_{tr}$  on those time slices, which solves the data sparsity problem. To best represent the person's eigen living pattern, we select the most frequent POI type the person visits in each time slices as his typical habit during that time slice, and have the following definition for eigen habit trace  $H_e$  and habit unit  $h_j^p$ .

*Definition 4.3.* Individual's eigen habit trace  $H_e$  is a feature representing a person's typical POI type he/she visits at a specific time slice, in the format of  $(u_i, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$ , where  $u_i$  represents the ID of the person,  $p_j$  is  $u_i$ 's most likely POI type to visit during the  $j$ th time slice on weekday/weekend and  $m$  represents the total number of time slices.

*Definition 4.4.* Habit unit  $h_j^p$  represents a basic unit in POI type - time slice two dimensional space, meaning a visit to POI type  $p$  at the  $j$ th time slice.

*Definition 4.5.* A person's weekday/weekend eigen habit unit transition trace  $H_u$  is a feature representing a person's typical POI type he/she visits at a specific time slice, in the format of  $(u_i, h_1^{p_1}, h_2^{p_2}, \dots, h_m^{p_m})$ , where  $u_i$  represents the ID of the person,  $h_j^{p_j}$  is  $u_i$ 's most likely state of habit unit during the  $j$ th time slice on weekday/weekend, and  $m$  represents the total number of time slices.

Table 1. Analogy from habit trace to natural language

Habit unit (a visit to a POI type during a time slice) → word
Habit unit transition mode → grammar rules/fixed collocation
an individual's eigen habit unit trace → sentence
people's eigen habit unit traces → corpus




Fig. 2. Statistics of habit unit satisfies Zipf Law.

#### 4.2 Representation Learning on Living Habits

Although we have obtained fixed-length eigen habit trace  $H_e$  to represent the typical living pattern of each user in the dataset on both weekdays and weekends, this feature is not expressive enough for analysis yet. First, it fails to capture the similarity between different types of POIs such as Beijing Style and Shanghai Style restaurant, which is a frequent problem under the finest POI labels. Second, a metric to compare different users' eigen habit combining semantic and temporal factor, is still hard to define. Therefore, we propose a representation learning method inspired by word2vec to embed semantics and temporal factors of users' eigen habit in the same space. User habit Similarity can therefore be easily determined by classical distance/similarity metrics.

Representation learning, as a growing interest and emphasis on unsupervised learning, aims at transforming complicated, high-dimensional and often redundant real-world data into low-dimensional data while preserving information embedded in the raw data[3].

Word2vec[14], takes advantage of a three-layer neuron network to learn input corpus. It finds a fixed-length low-dimensional representation (often by the hundred assigned by users) for each word. Word representations are learnt in a way such that words sharing common contexts in the corpus are located close to each other in the embedding space, thus word similarity can be easily determined by cosine similarity. Experiments show that word2vec is both effective and efficient in learning word-level semantics.

We have discovered a strong similarity between natural language and eigen habit trace  $H_e$ .

Firstly, natural language and eigen habit trace can both be viewed as time-dependent series. For each word in the sentence, there can be multiple choices from the dictionary regardless of context. Similarly, there are multiple choices of POI type for each element in the eigen habit trace.

Secondly, both natural language and eigen habit trace can be approximated by context. In many cases, if given context, we are able to predict nearby words without much trouble. Likewise, a human living pattern has some typical transition modes, which are reflected in POI type transition mode in eigen habit trace.

Thirdly, large scale of data are available for both natural language and eigen habit trace to learn their characteristics.

Lastly, the frequency distribution of habit unit is very similar to word frequency distribution in natural language. A typical distribution of habit unit is shown in Fig. 2 (observed in our dataset utilized in experiment), which approximately follows Zipf Law, the governing law in word frequency distribution. [18]

Therefore, we draw an analogy between learning representation for eigen habit trace and word embedding, as shown in Table 1. Inspired by the idea of word2vec, we are motivated to propose an algorithm to learn an individual's habits from the trajectory, with the name of habit2vec.



Fig. 3. Learning context through sliding window.

### 4.3 Methodology

The key idea of habit2vec is to embed a user's current visit to a POI type *target* during a time slice (current habit unit  $h_j^{p_j}$ ) based on its *context*. We first acquire embedding vectors for all habit units. Then, we take mean of all habit units appearing in a user's eigen habit unit transition trace as this user's living habit embedding vector.

The model requires two user-specified hyper parameters. We define hyper parameter *dim* as the expected length of embedding vectors (same for habit unit embedding vector and user living habit embedding vector). We define another hyper parameter window size *w* so as to define the context of habit unit appearing in a user's trace. Here we make the assumption that habit units the user appears farther than *w* time slices won't have direct influence on the user's current habit unit state, and exclude them from the context.

*Definition 4.6.* Context  $C_j^i$  represents all habit units person  $u_i$  visits in nearby time slices of  $j$ . With a user-specified window size *w*,  $C_j^i$  contains habit units person  $u_i$  visits from time slice  $(j - w)$  to  $(j + w)$  (time slice  $j$  excluded). The habit unit state  $u_i$  lies in at the  $j$ th time slice is called target.

Note that we need to pay special attention to the boundary. Instead of treating the habit unit transition trace as a line, which neglects the dependency between time slices right before and after midnight, we treat each user's habit unit transition as circle. The context of a boundary target is shown as an example in Fig. 3. In this case, window size is assigned 3, and the context of habit unit for this user at 11:00 pm not only includes habit unit at 9:30pm, 10:00pm, 10:30pm and 11:30pm, but also takes into account those 'very first' habit units at midnight and 0:30 am.

By sequentially identifying each habit unit in each user's habit unit transitions as a target and sliding the window across the user's habit unit transitions to get the target's context, we get a list of (*target*, *context*) training pairs and put them into a three-layer neural network model CBOW (Continuous Bag-of-Words)[13] to learn the habit unit embedding vector. All habit unit embedding vectors are initialized as random *dim*-dimension vectors. The objective of the neural network is to adjust and find the optimal weights of neuron and habit unit vectors at the output layer such that the possibility of *target*'s appearance is maximized when given *context*.

The architecture of the CBOW model is shown in Fig. 4, where *N* is the number of habit units in the dataset (length of one-hot key representation). When training  $(target_i, context_i)$ , the neural network takes all one-hot key representations of  $context_i$  as input and uses an embedding vector matrix acquired in previous training steps to transform a one-hot key representation of  $context_i$  to a vector representation, as shown in the input layer of Fig. 4. Then a second layer sums up all vector representations of  $context_i$ , as shown in the projection layer of Fig. 4. The third layer predicts the possibility of  $target_i$  based on the weights of a neuron at the output layer (shown in the output layer of Fig. 4) and feeds the result in a loss function. Using an optimization method such as gradient descent, we adjusted the weights of the neuron and embedding vector representation accordingly. The complexity of CBOW training process is log-linear.

After training with a large enough dataset, the weights of neurons and representation for embedding vectors converge. We therefore obtain embedding vectors for all habit units.




Fig. 4. Architecture of CBOW neural network.

#### 4.4 Clustering Method

After the habit2vec representation learning procedure, we obtain the vector representations for the living habit of each user. Since the living habit has been embedded in a single space, we can use a classical clustering method, such as K-means and density-based method, to cluster similar living habits. People who visit similar POI types at similar time slices will be under the same cluster. Apart from the POI type transition information we use in the habit2vec process, there is usually other trajectory information from the raw dataset, such as GPS information and a user profile. Through user-specified features and a distance/similarity metric, we can get finer living habit clusters with constraints, such as “find clusters of people who have similar POI transition patterns and travel similar distance from home to work”.

## 5 EXPERIMENTS

Now, based on a large-scale real world spatial-temporal dataset, we implement our proposed habit2vec system to detect human living habits and further cluster them into different groups.

### 5.1 Data Description and Processing

Our dataset is collected by one of the largest social network service provider in China. Its service covers the majority of Chinese citizens with over 0.89 billion monthly active users. Thanks to the wide coverage, users recorded by our dataset can be seen as a good representative of Chinese citizens. We select the data to focus on the largest metropolis Beijing, which is ideal for studying metropolis-level living habits of human beings.

The obtained spatial-temporal dataset is recorded whenever the users make requests on localization service in different platforms of the same service provider, such as location sharing, location check-ins, location-based social network, etc. GPS information at the timestamp is therefore recorded by localization modules. In addition to GPS data, POI information, such as the name of a restaurant, or a specific address, is often recorded at the same time. We also have a dictionary, which maps each POI to a three-level POI types. As the localization is achieved through both GPS and network-based approaches, the obtained dataset is of fine-grained spatial granularity.

In our experiment, we implement our system by randomly sampled the data to have 123,803 users in Beijing from September 17, 2016 to October 31, 2016. All user information in the dataset has been anonymized for privacy concerns.

Based on the POI information from the raw dataset, we use the POI dictionary to convert POI transitions into POI type transitions. Then we discrete a day into 48 equal-length time slices (30 minutes every time slice) and aggregate the POI type transitions into a weekday and a weekend day. We mark time slices missing POI

information as “missing” type in the dataset. In the acquired POI type transition trace, 5.2% of the time slices in the aggregated weekday and 8.1% of the time slices in the aggregated weekend day is marked missing.

As people follow quite different living patterns on weekday and weekend, which in turn affects the POI transitions and the context of each habit unit, we separately adopt habit2vec representation learning on weekday and weekend data. We set the representation vector length  $dim$  as 80, and the window size  $w$  as 3 (1.5 hours). After obtaining the vector representation for each habit unit, we take the mean of all habit units of a user as the vector representation for his/her living habit. To evaluate the performance of habit2vec, we take user’s living habit vector representation as the only feature, then adopt K-means clustering algorithm (with cosine similarity metric) separately on weekday and weekend trace to find groups of similar living habits on weekday/weekend.

## 5.2 Results Analysis

**5.2.1 Habit Unit Embedding.** One main objective to adopt habit2vec is to measure the semantic similarity between POI types under the variation of time. We first take a specific POI type as an example to check the effect of habit2vec in distinguishing semantic difference. For instance, restaurant is subdivisioned into different styles as a Beijing style restaurant, Hunan (a Chinese province) style restaurant, Pizza, etc. We measure the cosine similarity (value between -1 and 1 where the greater the value, the closer is the relationship between the two features) between a Beijing style restaurant and a Hunan style restaurant at 12 noon. The similarity is 0.86, which implies a great similarity. On the other hand, the similarity between a Beijing style restaurant and Pizza (both are restaurants, but they have customers with different purposes, where Pizza is fast food while a Beijing style restaurant is much more formal) at 12 noon is 0.34 while the similarity between a Beijing style restaurant and a factory (they have no relationship) at 12 noon is -0.45. Habit2vec also measures temporal difference. The similarity between a residence at 1am and a residence at 11:30pm (both late night) on a weekday is 0.79, while the similarity between a residence at 1am (late night) and 8am (morning rush hour) on a weekday is 0.22.

We further test habit unit vectors on a global scale. We select 5 major POI types: residence, university, commercial, restaurant and entertainment and check their similarity at different time slices on a weekday. For a high-level POI type such as restaurant and entertainment (which includes low-level POI types as cinema, club, etc.), we take the mean of all their subdivision POI types at the same time slice as its representation. The cosine similarity between the five POI types at 3am, 10am and 8pm is shown in Table 2. Each non-diagonal cell in the table has three components, referring to the similarity between the two POI type at 3am, 10am and 8pm. For instance, the element at the second row third column (-0.08, 0.29, -0.25) means the similarity between residence and commercial district is -0.08 at 3am, 0.29 at 10am and -0.25 at 8pm. From Table 2, we observe that the result of habit2vec is in accordance with our expectation. The five major POI types have quite clear semantic difference, which is reflected in the fact that most elements in the table are much less than 1.

On the other hand, habit2vec has the ability to distinguish semantic variations of POI type at different time. For instance, the similarity between restaurant and entertainment is 0.29 at 3am, -0.1 at 10am while 0.51 at 8pm. This is consistent with our intuition: being in restaurant could simply mean filling the stomach, hanging in out with friends or doing a job (chef) while being in entertainment zone is closely related to entertaining with friends. If a person goes to a restaurant at night, he/she is likely to meet with friend and therefore similar in the purpose of going to entertainment zones. If a person appears in a restaurant 10am in the morning, either because he/she is hungry or the person works in the restaurant, which is quite different from being in entertainment zones. The semantic difference of POIs at different times, is therefore successfully embedded in our habit2vec approach.

**5.2.2 Label User Habit Clusters.** We further check the performance of user habit vectors obtained from habit2vec. We implement K-means clustering method separately on weekday and weekend user living habit representation. By increasing the number  $K$  from 2 to 30, and calculating the sum of squared error from user samples to the cluster center, we choose  $K$  at elbow point where the sum of squared error does not drop

Table 2. Similarity Between 5 Major POI types at 3am, 10am and 8pm on Weekday

	residence	university	commercial	restaurant	entertainment
residence	1	(-0.03,0.12,0.21)	(-0.08,0.29,-0.25)	(-0.01,-0.06,0.1)	(-0.41,0.11,0.21)
university	(-0.03,0.12,0.21)	1	(0.23,0.32,-0.16)	(0.11,0.02,0.12)	(0.05,0.04,0.12)
commercial	(-0.08,0.29,-0.25)	(0.23,0.32,-0.16)	1	(0.33,-0.08,0.11)	(0.29,0.06,0.10)
restaurant	(-0.01,-0.06,0.1)	(0.11,0.02,0.12)	(0.33,-0.08,0.11)	1	(0.29,-0.1,0.51)
entertainment	(-0.41,0.11,0.21)	(0.05,0.04,0.12)	(0.29,0.06,0.10)	(0.29,-0.1,0.51)	1




Fig. 5. Four weekday and four weekend living patterns detected by habit2vec.

significantly compared to other points as the number of clusters. In this way, we determine the number of habit clusters for a weekday as 13 and the number of habit clusters for a weekend as 12. Then, we determine the semantics, or the label of each habit cluster based on 2 criteria.

- The statistics of POI types in the cluster;
- The living habit closest to the cluster center.

For instance, we determine the label of weekday cluster #2 and cluster #5 through the following way. We first make a statistics of the POI type in cluster #2 and #5. We find that POI type commercial building accounts for 36.7%, while residence accounts for 52.8% of all POI types appearing in cluster #2. In the meantime, POI type commercial building accounts for 25.3%, while residence accounts for 45.3% of all POI types appearing in cluster #5. As we are not able to tell the difference between semantics of the two clusters, we move on using the cluster center temporal information. We find out that the living habit of user whose habit vector is closest to the vector of the cluster #2 center stays in residence at night and goes to commercial building in the day (Fig. 5(a)), while user closest to cluster #5 is on the contrary (Fig. 5(c)). Thus, we label them differently. This example shows that habit2vec clustering is good at measuring difference in time scheduling.

Table 3. Semantics, Possible Identity and Population Proportion of 13 weekday living habit clusters.

ID	Semantics	Possible Identity	%
#1	stay in residence at night, stay in shops for the day	shop owner, shop assistant	5.7%
#2	stay in residence at night, stay in commercial building for the day	white collar	7.1%
#3	stay in hospital the whole day	doctor, nurse	1.0%
#4	stay in industrial zone whole day	engineer, laborer	4.1%
#5	stay in commercial building at night, in residence for the day	white collar	7.3%
#6	stay in residence the whole day	retired, freelance	28.0%
#7	POI type missing	-	5.9%
#8	stay in residence most of the day, go to commercial buildings briefly	senior white collar	4.7%
#9	stay in university the whole day	college student	9.8%
#10	stay in residence most of the day, go to shops briefly in the day	retired, freelance	14.1%
#11	irregular life, skip from one POI type to another	people leading irregular life	2.0%
#12	stay in suburb residence most of the day, go to market in the day	local business owner	2.9%
#13	stay in residence at night, go to schools in the day	teacher, student	7.4%

In this way, we label semantics of all weekday and weekend cluster. The semantics, possible identity as well as the population proportion of the 13 weekday living habit clusters are summarized in Table 3 while the semantics and the population proportion of the 12 weekend living habit clusters are summarized in Table 4. The cluster results show that habit2vec not only captures distinctions in users' visits to different POI types, but also distinguishes schedule difference.

Note that we are using cluster center to represent typical living habit in the city, which turn out to be simple enough to be represented by one or two POI types. However, not all users in a living habit cluster are similar to cluster center in terms of POI type labels. For instance, in weekday habit cluster #1, we observe people who spend most of their day time at auto repair, auto service, local market, pharmacies, etc. (different from habit center POI type "shops"), but they don't show up in other habit clusters. They belong to cluster #1 as the semantics of working in these POI types during the day are much more similar to working in shops than working elsewhere (other habit clusters). In addition, users in a living habit cluster generally have variations from cluster center, e.g. different users may go to different type of restaurant at different time slices. Despite the variations, their general living habit fit the pattern defined by cluster center. Therefore, habit2vec is capable of capturing fine-grained semantic similarity dynamically in user habit without prior knowledge, compared with previous works which directly consider static POI type labels (often involve manually grouping POI labels).

**5.2.3 Spatial Analysis on User Habit Cluster.** We visualize the spatial distribution of four interesting habit clusters for weekday (Fig. 6), and four habit clusters for weekend (Fig. 7) at midnight (2am) and in the morning (10:30am). We further evaluate the clustering performance of habit2vec, and obtain the following featured clusters.

- Weekday cluster #2 represents people who stay in residence at night and stay in commercial building for the day. The spatial distribution of the cluster at midnight spreads across the city. While in the morning working hours, users of this cluster aggregates in commercial center as CBD and Zhongguancun.

Table 4. Semantics and Population Proportion of 12 weekend living habit clusters.

ID	Semantics	%
#1	stay in residence the whole day	34.0%
#2	stay in university the whole day	7.1%
#3	stay in residence at night, go shopping in the day	6.2%
#4	stay in residence at night, go to gym in the day	1.8%
#5	stay in university most of the day, go shopping briefly in the day	6.8%
#6	stay in residence at night, go to scenic spot in the day	3.9%
#7	stay in residence at night, go to university, shops in the day	8.8%
#8	stay in industrial zone the whole day	3.2%
#9	stay in residence most of the day, go shopping in the evening	8.5%
#10	POI type missing	7.8%
#11	stay in residence most of the day, stay in entertainment zones in the evening	7.3%
#12	stay in residence at night, go shopping and gym in the day	4.6%

- Weekday cluster #3 represents people who stay in hospital for the entire day. The spatial distribution of the cluster at midnight looks almost the same as the cluster in the morning, and the distribution resembles the distribution of Beijing's hospitals.
- Weekday cluster #5 represents people staying in commercial building at night and going back to residence in the day. The spatial distribution of the cluster is the opposite of Weekday cluster #2, despite this group concentrates more in suburban commercial center as Huoyin.
- Weekday cluster #9 represents people staying in university for the entire day. The spatial distribution of the cluster is the same as university distribution in Beijing.
- Weekend cluster #1 represents people staying in residence for the entire day. This habit group covers the whole city.
- Weekend cluster #2 represents people staying in university for the entire day. The spatial distribution of the cluster is quite similar to weekday cluster #9.
- Weekend cluster #6 represents people staying in residence at night who go to scenic spot in the day. The spatial distribution of the cluster in the day highlights the scenic spots in suburb Beijing.
- Weekend cluster #11 represents people staying in residence in the day and going out to entertainment district at night. The spatial distribution of the cluster is similar to the spatial distribution of Beijing's entertainment district.

From the above analysis, we come to the conclusion that the spatial distribution of habit clusters is in conformity with the habit labels we assigned previously.

**5.2.4 Relationship between Weekday and Weekend.** We further evaluate the performance of habit2vec by studying the relationship between weekday and weekend user habit clusters. As mentioned earlier, we notice the difference between weekday and weekend POI transition mode and therefore separately train weekday and weekend habit unit vectors. We notice that there is a strong correlation between weekday cluster #9 (university whole day) and weekend cluster #2 (university whole day) and cluster #5 (university+briefly shopping in the day), where 64% of people in weekday cluster #9 appears in weekend cluster #2 while 15% of people in weekday cluster #9 appears in weekend cluster #5. There is also a correlation between weekday cluster #6 and weekend cluster #1 (both means staying in residence for the whole day), where 63% of people in cluster #6 end up in weekend cluster #1. On the other hand, there is no simple one-one or one-two matching between other weekday and weekend habit clusters, indicating that other groups have more flexibility in living style. The result of weekday and weekend living pattern clusters is shown in Table 5. Each element in the table refers to the percentage of

Table 5. Relationship Between Weekday and Weekend Living Habit Clusters.


weekend weekday \	#1	#2	#5	#9
#2	31.2%	1.0%	0.5%	10.2%
#6	62.6%	0.1%	0.3%	7.3%
#8	28.9%	0.2%	1.1%	6.7%
#9	4.2%	64.2%	14.8%	1.2%

people in a weekday habit cluster who belongs to a weekend habit cluster. For instance, element 0.1% in the third row third column in the table means that 0.1% people in weekday cluster #6 belongs to weekday cluster #2. In summary, the results of weekday-weekend habit cluster relationship lives up to our expectation.


**5.2.5 Evaluation via Ground Truth.** Finally, we evaluate the living patterns recognized through habit2vec via ground truth data labelled by volunteers. We randomly select 200 users from our dataset and ask 20 volunteers (8 female and 12 male) to label the most likely identity of those users by viewing their weekday eigen habit trace (a 48-length POI type series, whose components represent the most likely POI type the user visits during a specific 30-minute time slice on weekdays). Of the 200 users, 189 users' identities labelled by volunteers match the results recognized through habit2vec, with an accuracy of 94.5%. This result further verifies the effectiveness of habit2vec.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS


In this paper, we use semantic information embedded in trajectories to identify typical living patterns in a population. We propose a representation learning method called habit2vec to mine the users' eigen living habit to embed semantics and time in the same space. We evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed system based on a real-world dataset with 123,803 users, and successfully discover 13 and 12 meaningful weekday and weekend living patterns respectively. The experiment shows that habit2vec is capable of preserving both semantics and time information in users' living habit. In the future, we plan to predict the career and social-economic status based on living habits recognized by our system.




(a) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #2 at mid-day  
 (b) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #2 in morning working hours  
 night



(c) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #3 at mid-day  
 (d) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #3 in morning working hours  
 night



(e) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #5 at mid-day  
 (f) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #5 in morning working hours  
 night




(g) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #9 at mid-day  
 (h) Spatial distribution of week-day user habit cluster #9 in morning working hours  
 night

Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of four weekday living habit user clusters at midnight and in morning working hours.




(a) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #1 at night (b) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #1 at mid-end in the morning



(c) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #2 at night (d) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #2 at mid-end in the morning



(e) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #6 at night (f) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #6 at mid-end in the morning



(g) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #11 at night (h) Spatial distribution of week-end user habit cluster #11 at mid-end in the morning

Fig. 7. Spatial distribution of four weekend living habit user clusters at midnight and in the morning.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Paul S. Adler. 2002. Social Capital: Prospects for a New Concept. *Academy of Management Review* 27, 1 (2002), 17–40.
- [2] Nikola Banovic, Tofi Buzali, Fanny Chevalier, Jennifer Mankoff, and Anind K. Dey. 2016. Modeling and Understanding Human Routine Behavior. In *CHI Conference*. 248–260.
- [3] Yoshua Bengio, Aaron Courville, and Pascal Vincent. 2013. Representation Learning: A Review and New Perspectives. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 35, 8 (2013), 1798.
- [4] Yao Di, Zhang Chao, Zhu Zhihua, Huang Jianhui, and Bi Jingping. 2017. Trajectory Clustering via Deep Representation Learning. In *IJCNN*.
- [5] Nathan Eagle and Alex Sandy Pentland. 2009. Eigenbehaviors: identifying structure in routine. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 63, 7 (2009), 1057–1066.
- [6] Barbara Furtletti, Paolo Cintia, Chiara Renso, and Laura Spinsanti. 2013. Inferring human activities from GPS tracks. In *ACM SIGKDD International Workshop on Urban Computing*. 5.
- [7] Andre Salvaro Furtado, Despina Kopanaki, Luis Otavio Alvares, and Vania Bogorny. 2016. Multidimensional Similarity Measuring for Semantic Trajectories. *Transactions in Gis* 20, 2 (2016), 280–298.
- [8] Hoyoung Jeung, Lung Yiu Man, and Christian S. Jensen. 2007. Trajectory Pattern Mining. In *ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 330–339.
- [9] Shan Jiang. 2012. Clustering daily patterns of human activities in the city. *Data Mining Knowledge Discovery* 25, 3 (2012), 478–510.
- [10] N. D. Lane, Emiliano Miluzzo, Hong Lu, Daniel Peebles, Tanzeem Choudhury, and A. T. Campbell. 2010. A survey of mobile phone sensing. *IEEE Commun Mag*. 48, 9 (2010), 140–150.
- [11] Jae Gil Lee, Jiawei Han, and Kyu Young Whang. 2007. Trajectory clustering:a partition-and-group framework. In *ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data*. 593–604.
- [12] Nikos Mamoulis, Huijing Cao, George Kollios, Marios Hadjieleftheriou, Yufei Tao, and David W. Cheung. 2004. Mining, indexing, and querying historical spatiotemporal data. In *Tenth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Seattle, Washington, Usa, August*. 236–245.
- [13] Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space. *Computer Science* (2013).
- [14] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 26 (2013), 3111–3119.
- [15] Christine Parent, Stefano Spaccapietra, Chiara Renso, Gennady Andrienko, Natalia Andrienko, Vania Bogorny, Maria Luisa Damiani, Aris Gkoulalas-Divanis, Jose Macedo, and Nikos Pelekis. 2013. Semantic trajectories modeling and analysis. *Acm Computing Surveys* 45, 4 (2013), 42.
- [16] Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher Manning. 2014. Glove: Global Vectors for Word Representation. In *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 1532–1543.
- [17] Bryan Perozzi, Rami Alrfou, and Steven Skiena. 2014. DeepWalk: online learning of social representations. (2014), 701–710.
- [18] Steven T Piantadosi. 2014. Zipf's word frequency law in natural language: A critical review and future directions. *Psychonomic bulletin & review* 21, 5 (2014), 1112–1130.
- [19] C. Song, Z. Qu, and N. Blumm. 2010. Limits of predictability in human mobility. *Science* (2010).
- [20] Jian Tang, Meng Qu, Mingzhe Wang, Ming Zhang, Jun Yan, and Qiaozhu Mei. 2015. LINE: Large-scale Information Network Embedding. In *International Conference on World Wide Web*. 1067–1077.
- [21] Bernd Wegener. 1991. Job Mobility and Social Ties: Social Resources, Prior Job, and Status Attainment. *American Sociological Review* 56, 1 (1991), 60–71.
- [22] Jing Yuan, Yu Zheng, and Xing Xie. 2012. Discovering regions of different functions in a city using human mobility and POIs. In *ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 186–194.
- [23] Chao Zhang, Keyang Zhang, Quan Yuan, Haoruo Peng, Yu Zheng, Tim Hanratty, Shaowen Wang, and Jiawei Han. 2017. Regions, Periods, Activities: Uncovering Urban Dynamics via Cross-Modal Representation Learning. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2017, Perth, Australia, April 3-7, 2017*. 361–370.
- [24] Ke Zhang, Yu Ru Lin, and Konstantinos Pelechrinis. 2016. EigenTransitions with Hypothesis Testing: The Anatomy of Urban Mobility. In *Tenth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*.
- [25] Yu Zheng, Lizhu Zhang, Xing Xie, and Wei Ying Ma. 2009. Mining interesting locations and travel sequences from GPS trajectories. In *International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2009, Madrid, Spain, April*. 791–800.